

Wissenschaftliche Reihe
Fahrzeugtechnik Universität Stuttgart

RESEARCH

Edoardo Rossi

Investigation of Innovative Water Injection Strategies for Gasoline Engines by Means of a 3D-CFD Virtual Engine Test Bench



Universität Stuttgart



Springer Vieweg

Wissenschaftliche Reihe Fahrzeugtechnik Universität Stuttgart

Series Editors

Michael Bargende, Stuttgart, Germany

Hans-Christian Reuss, Stuttgart, Germany

Jochen Wiedemann, Stuttgart, Germany

Das Institut für Fahrzeugtechnik Stuttgart (IFS) an der Universität Stuttgart erforscht, entwickelt, appliziert und erprobt, in enger Zusammenarbeit mit der Industrie, Elemente bzw. Technologien aus dem Bereich moderner Fahrzeugkonzepte. Das Institut gliedert sich in die drei Bereiche Kraftfahrwesen, Fahrzeugantriebe und Kraftfahrzeug-Mechatronik. Aufgabe dieser Bereiche ist die Ausarbeitung des Themengebietes im Prüfstandsbetrieb, in Theorie und Simulation. Schwerpunkte des Kraftfahrwesens sind hierbei die Aerodynamik, Akustik (NVH), Fahrdynamik und Fahrermodellierung, Leichtbau, Sicherheit, Kraftübertragung sowie Energie und Thermomanagement – auch in Verbindung mit hybriden und batterieelektrischen Fahrzeugkonzepten. Der Bereich Fahrzeugantriebe widmet sich den Themen Brennverfahrensentwicklung einschließlich Regelungs- und Steuerungskonzeptionen bei zugleich minimierten Emissionen, komplexe Abgasnachbehandlung, Aufladesysteme und -strategien, Hybridsysteme und Betriebsstrategien sowie mechanisch-akustischen Fragestellungen. Themen der Kraftfahrzeug-Mechatronik sind die Antriebsstrangregelung/ Hybride, Elektromobilität, Bordnetz und Energiemanagement, Funktions- und Softwareentwicklung sowie Test und Diagnose. Die Erfüllung dieser Aufgaben wird prüfstandsseitig neben vielem anderen unterstützt durch 19 Motorenprüfstände, zwei Rollenprüfstände, einen 1:1-Fahrsimulator, einen Antriebsstrangprüfstand, einen Thermowindkanal sowie einen 1:1-Aeroakustikwindkanal. Die wissenschaftliche Reihe „Fahrzeugtechnik Universität Stuttgart“ präsentiert über die am Institut entstandenen Promotionen die hervorragenden Arbeitsergebnisse der Forschungstätigkeiten am IFS.

Reihe herausgegeben von

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Michael Bargende
Lehrstuhl Fahrzeugantriebe
Institut für Fahrzeugtechnik Stuttgart
Universität Stuttgart
Stuttgart, Deutschland

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jochen Wiedemann
Lehrstuhl Kraftfahrwesen
Institut für Fahrzeugtechnik Stuttgart
Universität Stuttgart
Stuttgart, Deutschland

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Hans-Christian Reuss
Lehrstuhl Kraftfahrzeugmechatronik
Institut für Fahrzeugtechnik Stuttgart
Universität Stuttgart
Stuttgart, Deutschland

Edoardo Rossi

Investigation of Innovative Water Injection Strategies for Gasoline Engines by Means of a 3D-CFD Virtual Engine Test Bench

 Springer Vieweg

*„No bird soars too high, if he soars with his own wings“
William Blake*

Preface

This work was realized during my tenure as a research associate at the Institute of Automotive Engineering (IFS) of the University of Stuttgart under the supervision of Prof. Dr.-Ing. M. Bargende.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. M. Bargende for the opportunity he provided me to complete this work and for the scientific support and supervision during these years. My gratitude goes also to Prof. F. Millo from Politecnico di Torino, who accepted to join the doctoral committee after being for me an excellent professor and advisor during my master studies in Turin. Furthermore, I would like to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. A. Casal Kulzer for the technical supervision of the project from which this work is inspired.

I am also very grateful to Dr.-Ing. Marco Chiodi. He gave me the opportunity to work in an incredible team. He is an example of great leader and I thank him for all the great advice, the support and the trust he always gave me with the professional and technical challenges I faced.

Furthermore, I am extremely happy to have shared these years with my colleagues and friends from IFS/FKFS, especially Antonino, Francesco, Mario, Peter, Robin, Thomas, Oli, Simon, Rodolfo, Hubert and all the others that joined or left the team. Thanks for all the support, advice and pleasant time that we spent together in and outside of work.

Last but not least, I want to dedicate this work to my mum Valentina, my dad Giuseppe, my sister Michela and my dear Enrica. This work is the result of these though years of distance, and none of this would have been possible without your love, patience and unconditional support. I hope to have made you proud.

Stuttgart

Edoardo Rossi

Contents

Preface.....	VII
Figures.....	XIII
Tables.....	XIX
Abbreviations.....	XXI
Symbols.....	XXV
Abstract.....	XXVII
Kurzfassung.....	XXXI
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Principles of Water Injection in Spark-Ignition Engines.....	7
1.1.1 Limiting factors of Water Injection.....	11
1.1.2 Water-in-Fuel Emulsions in Gasoline Engines.....	13
1.2 Potential of Alternative Fuels towards Carbon Neutrality.....	17
1.2.1 Investigated eFuel in the Study: POSYN.....	18
2 Fundamentals of CFD Simulations for ICEs Development.....	21
2.1 The Real Working-Process Analysis.....	22
2.2 1D-CFD Simulation.....	23
2.3 3D-CFD Simulation.....	24
2.3.1 Fundamental Equations.....	24
2.3.2 Turbulence Modelling.....	27
2.3.3 Engine-Specific 3D-CFD Models.....	29
3 3D-CFD Simulation Environment: the Virtual Test Bench.....	31
3.1 The 3D-CFD Tool QuickSim.....	31
3.2 Fuel Model and Working Fluid Description.....	33
3.3 Water Description and Numerical Implementation.....	36
3.4 Combustion and Auto-Ignition Model.....	37
3.5 Injection Model.....	40
3.6 Single-Cylinder Virtual Engine Test Bench.....	42
3.7 3-Cylinder Virtual Engine Test Bench.....	44

4	Experimental Spray Analysis and 3D-CFD Injection Model Calibration	47
4.1	Experimental Setup and Methodology	47
4.1.1	Phase Doppler Anemometry (PDA)	47
4.1.2	Spray Optical Measurements	51
4.1.3	Experimental Test Matrix	52
4.2	Experimental Spray Analysis Results	55
4.2.1	Spray Optical Measurements Results	55
4.2.2	PDA Measurements Results	60
4.3	Calibration of the 3D-CFD Injection Simulations	63
4.3.1	Results of the Calibration of 3D-CFD Injection Simulations	66
5	Applicability of Water Injection in Combination with an eFuel	71
5.1	Investigated Fuels Modeling and Properties	72
5.2	Calibration of the 3D-CFD Single-Cylinder Engine Simulations	74
5.3	Water Injection in Combination with an eFuel for Knock Mitigation	75
5.3.1	Direct Water Injection Strategies	79
5.3.2	Indirect Water Injection Strategies	93
5.3.3	Influence of eFuel and Water Injection on Fuel Consumption	97
5.4	Water Injection with an eFuel for Exhaust Temperature Control	99
6	Water-in-Fuel Emulsions at the Virtual Engine Test Bench	107
6.1	Water-in-Fuel Emulsions Modeling	109
6.2	3D-CFD Virtual Engine Test Bench Calibration with Emulsions	111
6.3	Direct Emulsion Injection for Knock-Limited Operating Conditions	114
6.3.1	Comparison of Different Water Injection Strategies (DWI, IWI, DEI)	123

- 6.4 Water Injection Concepts Comparison at 2500 rpm and 15 bar IMEP 128
- 7 Water Injection at the 3-Cylinder Virtual Engine Test Bench 131**
 - 7.1 Knock Mitigation and Efficiency Enhancement with Water Injection 132
 - 7.1.1 Water Injection Strategies Implementation 135
 - 7.2 Exhaust Temperature Control by Means of Water Injection 140
 - 7.2.1 Water Injection Strategies Implementation 140
- 8 Conclusion and Outlook..... 147**
 - 8.1 Experimental Spray Analysis and 3D-CFD Injection Simulations 148
 - 8.2 Water Injection and eFuels 149
 - 8.3 Water-in-Fuel Emulsions Direct Injection Implementation 150
 - 8.4 Water Injection Implementation at the 3-Cylinder Engine 151
 - 8.5 Outlooks and Possible Future Work 152
- Bibliography 153

Figures

1.1	Light-duty vehicles regulations for tailpipe emissions	2
1.2	Physical properties of water and gasoline	8
1.3	Concepts for indirect and direct water injection	9
1.4	Concepts for Water-in-Fuel emulsions applications	14
3.1	Examples of time scale for simulations and real time controls.....	32
3.2	Visualization of the scalars composing a computational cell in <i>QuickSim</i>	35
3.3	Schematic of turbulent flame propagation.....	38
3.4	Virtual engine test bench for the single-cylinder engine and positioning of the injectors for different water injection strategies	44
3.5	Virtual engine test bench for the 3-cylinder engine	45
4.1	Schematic of the optical spray analysis laboratory	48
4.2	PDA Setup: Constant volume chamber and laser transmitter.....	49
4.3	Example of obtainable results from PDA analysis	51
4.4	Post-processing of high-speed injection video	52
4.5	Liquid properties of investigated fluids: E10, POSYN and water	54
4.6	PDA measurement grids for Bosch HDEV5 and EV-WI injectors	55
4.7	Analyzed frames comparison for the injector Bosch HDEV5 from two different points of view.....	57
4.8	Spray axial penetration with different chamber pressure conditions for the injector Bosch HDEV5 and the investigated fluids	58
4.9	Analyzed frames comparison for the injector Bosch EV-WI	59
4.10	Spray axial penetration with different chamber pressure conditions for the injector Bosch EV-WI and the investigated fluids.....	59
4.11	PDA measurements results: calculated SMD values for injections with Bosch HDEV5 injector	61
4.12	PDA measurements results: calculated SMD values for injections with Bosch EV-WI injector	62
4.13	Constant volume chamber model and mesh for 3D-CFD injection simulations	64

4.14	Frames comparison at different time instants <i>ASOI</i> from experimental videos and 3D-CFD injection simulations	65
4.15	Comparison of the results obtained from optical spray analysis and 3D-CFD injection simulations	66
4.16	Results of the 3D-CFD injection simulations: spray axial penetration at EOI	68
4.17	Results of the 3D-CFD injection simulations: SMD values evaluated at PDA measurements distance form the injector tip	69
5.1	Thermodynamic properties of E10 and POSYN.....	73
5.2	Calibration of the OP 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP with E10 and no water injection	74
5.3	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: fuel mass balance for E10 and POSYN.....	77
5.4	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: In-Cylinder lambda distribution at 4°C <i>A b.FTDC</i> for E10 and POSYN.....	77
5.5	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: burned mass fraction and mass in self-ignition conditions for E10 and POSYN	79
5.6	Targeting comparison of the investigated injectors for lateral DWI...	80
5.7	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: evaporated water mass balance for E10 and POSYN with DWI	83
5.8	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: In-Cylinder temperature up to FTDC for E10 and POSYN with DWI	84
5.9	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: In-Cylinder lambda distribution at 4°C <i>A b.FTDC</i> for E10 and POSYN with DWI.....	85
5.10	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: burned mass fraction and mass in self-ignition conditions for E10 and POSYN with DWI	86
5.11	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: In-Cylinder turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) up to FTDC for E10 and POSYN with DWI...	88
5.12	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: vaporized water distribution at IP with different water injectors and injection pressure.....	88
5.13	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: burned mass fraction and mass in self-ignition conditions for E10 and POSYN with DWI for the two investigated water injector at different injection pressures....	90
5.14	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: flame propagation and mass in self ignition conditions at <i>MFB50</i> for E10 and POSYN with DWI applied with different injection pressure	91

5.15	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: Summary of the main engine results for E10 and POSYN with DWI	92
5.16	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: evaporated water mass balance for E10 and POSYN with IWI	94
5.17	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: In-Cylinder temperature up to FTDC for E10 and POSYN with IWI.....	95
5.18	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: Summary of the main engine results for E10 and POSYN with IWI and DWI for the target IMEP and with the optimized strategy for knock limit or optimal MFB50.....	96
5.19	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: specific fuel consumption for E10 and POSYN with DWI and IWI as percentual difference to the reference case with E10 and no water injection	99
5.20	Operating Point 4500 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: In-Cylinder temperature distribution for E10 and POSYN before IP with fuel enrichment and water injection	103
5.21	Operating Point 4500 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: In-Cylinder water content for E10 and POSYN before IP with fuel enrichment and water injection.....	104
5.22	Operating Point 4500 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: Summary of the main engine results for E10 and POSYN with fuel enrichment, IWI and DWI for the target IMEP	105
6.1	Liquid properties of Water-in-Fuel emulsions with different water content	110
6.2	Thermodynamic properties of Water-in-Fuel emulsions with different water content	111
6.3	Calibration of the OP 2000 <i>rpm</i> 15 <i>bar</i> IMEP with SP98 and Direct Emulsion Injection with 30 % WFR.....	112
6.4	Calibration of the OP 2000 <i>rpm</i> 15 <i>bar</i> IMEP with different water injection strategies	113
6.5	Operating point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 15 <i>bar</i> IMEP: in-cylinder fuel mass without water injection and with direct emulsion injection	115
6.6	Operating point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 15 <i>bar</i> IMEP: in-cylinder tumble without water injection and with direct emulsion injection	116

6.7	Operating point 2000 rpm 15 bar IMEP: in-cylinder lambda at 4°CAb.FTDC without water injection and with direct emulsion injection.....	117
6.8	Operating point 2000 rpm 15 bar IMEP: liquid mass at liner and piston without water injection and with direct emulsion injection ...	118
6.9	Operating point 2000 rpm 15 bar IMEP: burned mass fraction and mass in self-ignition conditions without water injection and with direct emulsion injection	120
6.10	Operating point 2000 rpm 15 bar IMEP: summary of the main engine results with direct emulsion injection.....	122
6.11	Operating point 2000 rpm 15 bar IMEP: in-cylinder temperature distribution at IP for different water injection concepts.....	125
6.12	Operating point 2000 rpm 15 bar IMEP: in-cylinder lambda at ignition point for different water injection concepts.....	126
6.13	Operating point 2000 rpm 15 bar IMEP: summary of the main engine results with with different water injection strategies	127
6.14	Operating point 2500 rpm 15 bar IMEP: summary of the main engine results with with different water injection strategies	129
7.1	Operating point 2500 rpm 22 bar IMEP: mass flow rate through inlet and exhaust valves.....	133
7.2	Operating point 2500 rpm 22 bar IMEP: fuel mass balance for the reference calibration without water injection.....	134
7.3	Operating point 2500 rpm 22 bar IMEP: in-cylinder lambda at 4°CAb.FTDC for different water injection concepts.....	136
7.4	Operating point 2500 rpm 22 bar IMEP: burned mass fraction and mass in self-ignition conditions with different water injection concepts.....	138
7.5	Operating point 2500 rpm 22 bar IMEP: summary of the main engine results with IWI and DEI in comparison to the base calibration without water injection.....	139
7.6	Operating point 5500 rpm 20 bar IMEP: in-cylinder 3D-CFD temperature field during the exhaust phase of cylinder 1 with different water injection concepts	142
7.7	Operating point 5500 rpm 20 bar IMEP: temperature at the turbine inlet with different water injection concepts	143

7.8 Operating point 5500 rpm 20 bar IMEP: summary of the main engine results with IWI and DEI in comparison to the base calibration without water injection..... 144

Tables

3.1	Overview of QuickSim numerical models	32
3.2	Most representative chemical species in the composition of fuel surrogates.....	34
3.3	Description of the base scalars in QuickSim.....	35
3.4	Single-cylinder engine specifications.....	43
3.5	3-cylinder engine specifications	45
4.1	Characteristics of the investigated injectors.....	53
4.2	Test matrix of the experimental spray analysis.....	55
5.1	Single-Cylinder engine operating points for the investigation of water injection and eFuels.....	71
5.2	POSYN fuel surrogate formulation for 3D-CFD simulations	72
5.3	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: engine results from the simulations with E10 and POSYN, without water injection	78
5.4	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: test matrix with direct water injection for E10 and POSYN.....	81
5.5	Operating Point 2000 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: results for different water injection strategies for E10 and POSYN for fuel consumption reduction.....	98
5.6	Operating Point 4500 <i>rpm</i> 20 <i>bar</i> IMEP: test matrix with water injectino for E10 and POSYN.....	101
6.1	Single-Cylinder engine operating points for the investigation of Water-in-Fuel emulsions.....	107
6.2	Water injection strategies for each engine operating point investigated with DEI.....	108
7.1	3-Cylinder engine operating points for the investigation of water injection.....	132

Abbreviations

CO_2	Carbon dioxide
T_3	Exhaust gas temperature before turbine
ε	Compression ratio
p_3	Exhaust gas pressure before turbine
$p_{2.2}$	Intake pressure before cylinder
0D	Zero-dimensional
1D	One-dimensional
3D	Three-dimensional
a.FTDC	after firing top dead center
ASOI	After Start of Injection
b.FTDC	before firing top dead center
CA	Crank angle
CFD	Computational fluid dynamics
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
CO	Carbon monoxide
CPU	Central processing unit
DEI	Direct water-in-fuel emulsion injection
DI	Direct injection
DNS	Direct numerical simulation
DOI	Duration of injection
DWI	Direct water injection
ECU	Electronic control unit
EGR	Exhaust gas recirculation or residual burned gas
EIVC	Early intake valve closure
EOI	End of injection
EVO	Exhaust Valve Opening

FKFS	Forschungsinstitut für Kraftfahrwesen und Fahrzeugmotoren Stuttgart
FTDC	Firing top dead center
FVV	Forschungsvereinigung Verbrennungskraftmaschinen
GDI	Gasoline Direct Injection
GHG	Green House Gases
HC	Unburned hydrocarbon
HCCI	Homogeneous charge compression ignition
HLB	Hydrophilic-Lipophilic Balance
HOV	Heat of vaporization
ICE	Internal combustion engines
IDT	Ignition Delay Time
IFS	Institut für Fahrzeugtechnik Stuttgart
IMEP	Indicated mean effective pressure
IP	Ignition point
ISFC	Indicated specific fuel consumption
IVC	Intake valve closure
IWI	Indirect water injection
LES	Large eddy simulation
LFS	Laminar Flame Speed
LHV	Lower Heating Value
MFB10-90	Combustion duration corresponding to 10-90% burned mass fraction
MFB50	50% Burned mass fraction
MTBE	Methyl Tertiary-Butyl Ether
MTG	Methanol-to-Gasoline
NOx	Oxides of nitrogen
PDA	Phase Doppler Anemometry
PDPA	Phase Doppler Particle Analyzer
PFI	Port fuel injection