

Tongwen Xu and Yaoming Wang

Ion Exchange **Membranes**

Design, Preparation, and Applications

Ion Exchange Membranes

Ion Exchange Membranes

Design, Preparation, and Applications

Tongwen Xu Yaoming Wang

WILEY-VCH

Authors

Prof. Tongwen Xu

University of Science & Technology of China Lab of Functional Membranes Hefei China

Prof. Yaoming Wang

University of Science & Technology of China Lab of Functional Membranes Hefei China

Cover Image: © Kateryna Kon/Shutterstock

All books published by **WILEY-VCH** are carefully produced. Nevertheless, authors, editors, and publisher do not warrant the information contained in these books, including this book, to be free of errors. Readers are advised to keep in mind that statements, data, illustrations, procedural details or other items may inadvertently be inaccurate.

Library of Congress Card No.: applied for

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at *<*<http://dnb.d-nb.de>*>*.

© 2024 WILEY-VCH GmbH, Boschstr. 12, 69469 Weinheim, Germany

All rights reserved (including those of translation into other languages). No part of this book may be reproduced in any form – by photoprinting, microfilm, or any other means – nor transmitted or translated into a machine language without written permission from the publishers. Registered names, trademarks, etc. used in this book, even when not specifically marked as such, are not to be considered unprotected by law.

Print ISBN: 978-3-527-35194-7 **ePDF ISBN:** 978-3-527-84142-4 **ePub ISBN:** 978-3-527-84143-1 **oBook ISBN:** 978-3-527-84144-8

Typesetting Straive, Chennai, India

Contents

Preface *xi*

1 Overview of Ion Exchange Membranes *1*

v

- 1.1 Definition and Classifications *1*
- 1.2 Profile of IEMs *1*
- 1.3 Preparation of IEMs *7*
- 1.4 Applications *10*
- 1.5 Potentials *11*
	- References *13*

2 Fundamentals and Characterizations *21*

- 2.1 Donnan Equilibrium *21*
- 2.2 Membrane Potential *22*
- 2.3 Transference Number *24*
- 2.4 Diffusion Coefficient and Ion Permeability *25*
- 2.5 Ion Flux and Permselectivity *26*
- 2.6 Area Resistance *27*
- 2.7 Concentration Polarization *29*
- 2.8 Limiting Current Density and Current–Voltage Curves *29*
- 2.9 Water Transport *32*
- 2.10 Membrane Scaling and Fouling *34*
- 2.11 Zeta Potential *35*
- 2.12 Other Conventional Characterization *38*
- 2.12.1 Conductivity *38*
- 2.12.2 Ion Exchange Capacity *39*
- 2.12.3 Water Uptake and Swelling Ratio *40*
- 2.12.4 Mechanical Strength *40* References *41*
- **[3 Side-chain Engineering for Ion Exchange Membrane](#page--1-0) [Preparation](#page--1-0)** *45*
- 3.1 Principles of Side-chain Engineering *45*
- 3.1.1 Inspiration of Nafion *45*
- **vi** *Contents*
	- 3.1.2 Microphase Separation of Grafted Polymers *47*
	- 3.2 Construction of Side-chain Architecture *47*
	- 3.2.1 Design of Side-chain CEMs *47*
	- 3.2.1.1 Design of Side-chain CEMs with Similar Nafion Structures *47*
	- 3.2.1.2 Design of Side-chain CEMs with Grafted Structures *61*
	- 3.2.2 Design of Side-chain AEMs *64*
	- 3.2.2.1 Design of Side-chain AEMs with Similar Nafion Structures *64*
	- 3.2.2.2 Design of Side-chain AEMs with Grafted Structures *75*
	- 3.2.2.3 Design of Functional Groups for Side-chain AEMs *76*
	- 3.3 Construction of the Cross-linking Side Chain *81*
	- 3.4 Construction of Hyperbranched Networks *83*
	- 3.5 Construction of Dynamic Transfer Regions *84*
	- 3.6 Construction of Cation–Dipole Interactions *88* References *92*

4 Polyacylation for Ion Exchange Membrane Preparation *105*

- 4.1 Principle of Polyacylation *108*
- 4.2 Types of Acylation Reactions *109*
- 4.2.1 Acylation of Alcohols *111*
- 4.2.2 Acylation of Amines *112*
- 4.2.3 Acylation of Enols *114*
- 4.2.4 Acylation of Carboxylic Acids *116*
- 4.2.5 Acylation of Ketones *116*
- 4.2.6 Acylation of Amides *118*
- 4.2.7 Acylation of Sulfonamides *119*
- 4.2.8 Polyacylation of Polymers *121*
- [4.2.9 Advantages and Limitations of Polyacylation as a Synthetic](#page--1-0) [Approach](#page--1-0) *123*
- 4.2.10 Polyacylation and Polymers *124*
- 4.3 Perylene-based Polyimides *125*
- 4.3.1 Traditional Route *125*
- 4.3.2 Polyacylation Route *127*
- [4.3.3 Synthesis of Perylene-based Polyimide-based Ion Exchange](#page--1-0) [Membranes](#page--1-0) *131*
- 4.3.4 Perylene and Polyimide-based CEMs *135*
- 4.3.5 Perylene and Polyimide-based AEMs *138*
- 4.4 Polyacylation of SPEK-based IEMs *140*
- 4.4.1 Polyacylation of SPEK-based CEMs *140*
- 4.4.2 Polyacylation of SPEK-based AEMs *144*
- 4.5 Polyacylation/Polyacylated Crown Ether IEMs *146*
- 4.5.1 Acylation of Crown Ether *146*
- 4.5.2 Poly-Crown Ether-based AEM *147*
- [4.5.3 Poly-crown Ether-based Noncharged Selective Membrane](#page--1-0) [\(PCENS-M\)](#page--1-0) *153*
- 4.6 Conclusion *156*
- 4.6.1 Challenges/Opportunities for Further Development *156*
- 4.6.2 Outlook for the Future of Polyacylation in Membrane Research *157* References *157*

5 Superacid–Catalyst Polymerization for IEMs Preparation *169*

- 5.1 Definition and Types of Superacid *169*
- 5.2 Principle of Superacid Catalyst *172*
- 5.3 Superacid-catalyzed Reaction for Polymer Synthesis *175*
- 5.4 Superacid-catalyst Polymerization for IEM Preparation *179*
- 5.5 Others *213* References *215*

6 Microporous Polymers for IEM Preparation *219*

- 6.1 Ion Transport Behavior in Nanospace-confined Membranes *219*
- 6.2 Principle of Microporous Polymers *220*
- 6.3 IEMs Derived from Microporous Polymers *225*
- 6.3.1 Positively Charged Microporous Polymers *225*
- 6.3.2 Negatively Charged Microporous Polymers *232*
- 6.3.2.1 Hydrolysis of Dibenzodioxin-based Microporous Polymers *233*
- 6.3.2.2 Amidoxime of Dibenzodioxin-based PIMs *233*
- 6.3.2.3 Post-sulfonation of PIMs or Bottom-up Approach *234*
- 6.4 Conclusion and Outlook *239* References *240*

7 In Situ Polymerization for IEM Preparation *245*

- 7.1 Conventional Methods for IEM Preparation *245*
- 7.2 Semi-interpenetrating Polymer Network *246*
- 7.3 Pore Filling *250*
- 7.4 Solvent-free Strategy *253*
- 7.5 In Situ Polymerization *255* References *256*

8 Special IEMs Preparation *261*

- 8.1 Metal–Organic Framework Membranes *261*
- 8.1.1 Introduction *261*
- 8.1.2 Structural Properties of MOFs *261*
- 8.1.2.1 Structural Diversity *261*
- 8.1.2.2 Structural Tunability *262*
- 8.1.2.3 High Stability *264*
- 8.1.3 Preparation of MOF Membranes *265*
- 8.1.3.1 UiO-66-NH₂ Membrane 266
8.1.3.2 UiO-66-SO₂H Membrane 26
- UiO-66-SO₃H Membrane 268
- 8.1.3.3 UiO-66(Zr/Ti)-NH2/Polyamide Mixed Matrix Membrane *268*
- 8.1.3.4 PolyMOF Membrane *271*
- 8.2 Porous Organic Cage Membranes *272*

- 9.5.5 CO₂ Capture 355
9.6 Electrodialysis with
- 9.6 Electrodialysis with Bipolar Membranes (BMED) *356*
- 9.6.1 The Basic Theory of Bipolar Membranes *358*
- 9.6.2 Application of the BMED Process *360*
- 9.6.2.1 The Production of Alkali *360*
- 9.6.2.2 The Production of Acid *362*
- 9.6.2.3 Production of CO₂ Conversion 364
9.6.3 The Limitations of BMED 365
- 9.6.3 The Limitations of BMED *365*
- 9.7 Electrodialysis Metathesis (EDM) *367*
- 9.7.1 Application of the EDM Process *367*
- 9.7.1.1 The Production of Ionic Liquid *367*
- 9.7.1.2 High-Salinity Wastewater Conversion *369*
- 9.7.1.3 The Production of Potassium Fertilizers *370*
- 9.8 Ion-Distillation Technology *372*
- 9.9 Fuel Cells *378*
- 9.10 Water Electrolysis *384*
- 9.11 Industrial Applications *386*
- 9.11.1 Acid Recovery Using Diffusion Dialysis *386*
- 9.11.2 Resource Recovery Using Electrodialysis *389*
- 9.11.3 Clean Production Using Bipolar Membrane Electrodialysis *395* References *396*

[Index](#page--1-0) *413*

Preface

Ion exchange membranes (IEMs) are membranous ion exchange resins typically made up of a hydrophobic polymer matrix and charged ionic groups. IEMs are one of the earliest types of membranes studied for water treatment and have a long research history. In recent years, IEMs have been widely valued by academia and industry due to the requirements of renewable energy and the upgrading of conventional manufacturing procedures. Notably, progress in advanced IEM has stimulated a multitude of applications of electro-membrane separation processes, such as water desalination, salt concentration, clean separation and production, power generation, and sensitive electrode preparation based on conventional membrane processes, including diffusion dialysis, electrodialysis, bipolar membrane electrodialysis, membrane capacitive deionization, reverse electrodialysis, and fuel cells. In addition, several emerging electro-membrane processes, including alkaline membrane water electrolysis, electrocatalysis, solar-driven water splitting, and acid–base flow batteries, are progressing rapidly and have the potential to reform conventional manufacturing procedures completely. Industrial-scale applications of IEMs will be exemplified from the aspect of process economy and their impact on the environment compared with conventional treatment methods.

Based on the evolution of advanced membrane fabrication technologies and the optimization of rational material design, a desired IEM should be environmentally fabricated with low electrical resistance, high chemical and thermal stability, excellent mechanical properties, and high ion selectivity. Moreover, the progress in advanced IEMs has stimulated a multitude of new applications in electro-membrane separation processes, which have the potential to completely upgrade conventional manufacturing procedures and have been widely employed to address the major challenges related to the environment and energy. Obviously, it is foreseeable that more advanced IEMs will be fabricated toward future demands, especially to fulfill the beautiful vision of "carbon peak and carbon neutral." Of course, it will also continue to transform existing technologies of IEMs, which makes chemical processes energy-efficient and plays an increasingly significant role in sustainable development.

This book attempts to summarize the advanced design and fabrication technologies for IEMs as well as to describe the recent applications of electro-membrane separation processes. The structure of the book consists of an introductory overview followed by eight chapters. The introductory overview is designed to provide a context for relating the history and recent progress on the IEM and the related electro-membrane processes. Next, Chapter 2 provides the fundamental background on the IEM and the basic characterizations of the IEM from the basic physiochemical properties measurement to the electrochemical characterizations. Chapters 3 through 7 feature the latest fabrication technologies for IEM preparation. Specifically, Chapter 3 introduces the manipulation of the architecture topology of side chains for IEM preparation, which are very promising materials used for fuel cells. Chapter 4 describes the polyacylation technique for IEM fabrication. This novel polymerization method provides mild reaction conditions for membrane fabrication with high polymerization activity. Notably, the membrane properties can be precisely tuned by the addition ratio of the ionic monomers. Chapter 5 discusses superacid catalyst polymerization for IEM preparation. Ether-free polymers synthesized by this method were first applied in the field of alkaline membrane fuel cells to solve the alkaline stability problem, which has puzzled this field for many years. Chapter 6 focuses on the modification of intrinsic microporosity polymers for IEM preparation, which is very appropriate for energy storage and conversion processes, such as aqueous organic flow batteries and $H₂/O₂$ fuel cells. In addition, Chapter 7 describes in situ polymerization for IEM preparation. The in situ polymerization allows the one-step formation of the IEM with little consumption of organic solvent, which provides an effective strategy for the green fabrication of IEMs. Chapter 8 focuses on the fabrication of special IEMs, including metal–organic framework membranes, porous organic cage membranes, covalent organic framework membranes, and electro-nanofiltration membranes. They exhibit excellent properties and broaden the applications of selective ion separations, breaking the trade-off effect of conventional membranes between flux and selectivity. Finally, Chapter 9 discusses the recent applications of IEMs with respect to practical industrial demands, including diffusion dialysis, electrodialysis, bipolar membrane electrodialysis, reverse electrodialysis, metathesis electrodialysis, selective electrodialysis, ion-distillation, and fuel cell, among others.

While previous books have focused on the basics of separation membrane materials and their routine applications, this book focuses on the state-of-the-art development of IEM fabrications and applications. These membrane fabrication methods were recently developed in the past 10 years and are not included in previous related books. The book provides a more comprehensive viewpoint of the recent developments in IEMs that are difficult to obtain solely by reading individual journal papers. It can be seen that this book is suitable as a resource that has a strong guiding role and reference value whether it is for technical research and development, production management practitioners in related fields, or teachers and students in colleges and universities. It can also provide new ideas for further expansion of preparation methods and new applications of IEMs. We hope and expect that this book will sincerely enlighten and benefit a wide range of readers.

In the process of compiling this book, a large number of research results and application examples have emerged in recent years from my laboratory group, which is written with an emphasis on reflecting the most advanced design and preparation methods and application values of IEMs. I strive to combine theory with practice closely, pay attention to connecting academia and industry, and highlight the characteristics of novelty and application. It attempts to show the artistic charm of chemistry in material preparation and the charm of materials in process industry applications, attracting more people to participate in academia or become industrial experts for IEM research. In writing this book, I am deeply grateful to Liang Wu, Yaoming Wang, Zhengjin Yang, Jin Ran, Liang Ge, Xiaolin Ge, Chenxiao Jiang, Xingya Li, Peipei Zuo, and Rongqiang Fu (my group members and former students from the Laboratory of Functional Membranes, University of Science and Technology of China) for their cooperation and contribution. I sincerely thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 22038013, U20A20127, 21720102003, 91534203, and 21490581) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Nos. 2020YFB1505601, 2018YFB1502301, and 2012CB932802) for funding support over the past 10 years. Additionally, this book also refers to the literature of domestic and foreign counterparts. Heartfelt thanks to the authors for their original contribution. It must be noted that there are many excellent investigations that are not cited. The references are cited on the author's personal preference. Finally, I am grateful to my wife and our lovely son for their patience and strong support in my research life.

University of Science and Technology of China *Tongwen Xu*

Yaoming Wang

1.1 Definition and Classifications

Ion exchange membranes (IEMs) are typically made up of a hydrophobic polymer matrix and ionic groups and can be classified into anion exchange membranes (AEMs) and cation exchange membranes (CEMs) according to the type of ionic groups grafted onto the membrane matrix. CEMs that are fixed with negatively charged groups (–SO₃[–], –COO[–], etc.) conduct cations but repel anions, while AEMs containing positively charged groups $(-NH_3^+, -NRH_2^+, -NR_2H^+, -NR_3^+, PR_3^+,$ $-SR_2^+$, etc.), permit the permeation of anions but retard cations [1, 2]. The typical polymer architectures of IEMs are shown in Figure 1.1a, while the typical groups are shown in Figure 1.1b [3].

According to the connection of ionic groups to the polymer matrix, IEMs can also be categorized as homogeneous and heterogeneous membranes. In homogeneous membranes, the charged groups are chemically bonded to the membrane matrix, and in heterogeneous membranes, they are physically mixed with the membrane matrix [4]. There are many other classification methods, and as a summary, we provide Table 1.1, listing the main categories of IEMs [5].

1.2 Profile of IEMs

Research on IEMs has a long history, dating back to 1890 when Ostwald investigated the properties of semipermeable membranes [6]. It was found that none of the electrolytes could permeate through membranes if these membranes were impermeable to either anions or cations. To explain this phenomenon, the author postulated the "membrane potential" at the boundary between a membrane and its surrounding solution, which was derived from the difference in concentration. The existence of such a boundary was confirmed by Donnan in 1911 [7]. The concentration equilibrium that led to the so-called "Donnan exclusion potential" was described with a mathematical equation. In 1925, Michaelis and Fujita used homogeneous, weak acid collodion membranes to initiate practical basic studies relevant to IEMs [8]. In the 1930s, Sollner demonstrated the idea of a mosaic or amphoteric membrane that had both positively and negatively charged moieties that revealed

1

Figure 1.1 Schematic showing the structure of ion exchange membranes (IEMs). (a) Typical polymer architectures, (b) representative positively charged groups of anion exchange membranes (AEMs), while anionic groups, including sulfonate groups, carboxylate groups, and amidoxime groups, are usually introduced for cation exchange membranes (CEMs).

distinctive ion transport phenomena [9]. The increasing interest in IEMs that were used in industrial processes stimulated the development of synthetic IEMs based on phenol–formaldehyde polycondensation around 1940 [10]. Meyer and Strauss proposed an electrodialysis process that was performed in a configured cell [11]. This cell consisted of two compartments formed by an AEM and a CEM placed between two electrodes. In most practical electrodialysis processes, a stack into which multiple electrodialysis cells were arranged was used.

However, at that time, the industrial implementation of electrodialysis was still impeded by the absence of IEMs with good properties, especially low membrane resistance. This situation improved in the 1950s. Juda et al. from Ionics Incorporated [12] and Winger et al. from Rohm and Haas Company [13] promoted the development of IEMs that had improved performance in terms of chemical stability, selectivity, and electric resistance. Electrodialysis on the basis of these membranes

Table 1.1 The main categories of ion exchange membranes.

(Continued)

is recommended as an industrial process that demineralizes and concentrates electrolyte solutions. Since then, IEM-based electrodialysis has witnessed wide applications in many fields. Some important examples are listed as follows: (i) In the 1960s, Asahi Co. performed the first salt production from seawater with monovalent ion permselective membranes [14]; (ii) In 1969, electrodialysis reversal (EDR) was invented that could run stably without any precipitation and deposition on both electrodes and membranes [15]; (iii) In the 1970s, DuPont developed a series of CEMs (widely known as Nafion®) made up of sulfonated polytetrafluorethylene [16]. These CEMs are chemically stable and highly conductive, and thus have still been widely used, such as in energy storage (e.g. flow batteries) or conversion systems (e.g. fuel cells); (iv) In 1976, Chlanda et al. presented a new concept of a bipolar membrane that consisted of an AEM layer and a CEM [17], and this concept is still widely studied [18, 19].

Nafion® series combining both excellent electrochemical and physical properties is widely used but hampered by high manufacturing costs. Therefore, alternatives to low-cost hydrocarbon-based polymers have received much attention since around 2000, such as poly(phenylene oxide) (PPO), polyimide (PI), poly(ether sulfone) (PES), poly(ether ketone) (PEK), and polystyrene (PS) [20]. During the membrane-formation process, the charged moieties can self-assemble into a hydrophilic phase within the hydrophobic matrix, and thus, these membranes are called microphase-separated IEMs. This microstructure is crucial to IEM performance in terms of ion conductivity, ion selectivity, mechanical stability, etc. [20–25]. Molecular engineering strategies to enhance polymer self-assembly into highly ordered microstructures are required for high-performance IEMs. Over the past two decades of development, optimal self-assembly has been realized mainly via the

following strategies [3]: (i) Densely grafting charged groups to increase the local size of the hydrophilic region (i.e. densely charged or block-type IEMs), (ii) enhancing the mobility of charged segments by introducing flexible spacers between charged groups and the backbone (i.e. side-chain-type IEMs), (iii) introducing secondary interactions such as hydrogen bonding, cation–dipole interactions, and π – π stacking as supplemental driving forces, (iv) introducing the fluorine element with both superhydrophobicity for enhanced contrast of hydrophobic and hydrophilic phases and a strong electron-withdrawing effect for improved dissociation degree of sulfonate moieties, and (v) crystallizing the polymer backbone as robust hydrophobic phase and arranging the charged groups into the continuous ion channels.

Studies on microphase-separated IEMs focus on optimizing the micromorphology from the perspective of enhancing the self-assembly of ionic groups [24]; however, there are very few examples that can precisely form the microstructure at the nanoscale [26]. In addition to the hydrophilic phase acting as ion-conducting channels, micropores are also employed for ion conduction. Constructing micropores within membranes can be achieved via covalent organic frameworks (COFs). For example, in 2018, Kong et al. fabricated positively charged COF membranes through molecular precursor engineering and observed a remarkable hydroxide ion conductivity of over 200 mS/cm [27]. We employed an interfacial growth strategy to successfully construct COF membranes that contained ordered channels with a size of approximately 1.4 nm in size and a large number of hydrogen bonding sites [28]. These membranes enabled very high selectivity of monovalent ions over divalent ions, for example, a selectivity of approximately 217 for Li^{+}/Mg^{2+} . However, most crystalline COFs suffer from poor processability and low mechanical flexibility [29], which may hinder their widespread use in making large-scale IEMs.

Polymer materials do not have this problem, and the construction of polymers of intrinsic microporosity (PIMs) for microporous membranes has been of great interest. In contrast to polymers for microphase-separated IEMs, PIMs (first developed by Neil B. McKeown et al. in 2004 [30]) usually consist of polymer backbones that are much more rigid and contorted, and the inefficient packing of these backbones can create sufficient intermolecular voids (i.e. micropores) [31–34]. In 2016, our group first observed the fast hydroxide ion transport within membranes from quaternized Tröger's base (TB) polymers. This result stimulated many other studies related to positively charged PIMs, including cross-linked quaternized TB polymers, trimethyl benzyl ammonium-grafted ladder polymers [35], and copolymers of poly(spirobiindane-aryl ether sulfone) with quaternized side chains [36]. Negatively charged PIMs are also employed to construct IEMs. For example, Tan et al. reported a series of amidoxime-based PIMs synthesized from the post-modification of dibenzodioxin-based ladder polymers [37]. Membranes of these polymers are effective in selective ion conduction in alkaline aqueous solutions. However, they may become much less conductive in neutral media, as amidoxime groups are ionizable only in aqueous solutions with pH values exceeding 13. Sulfonated PIMs can avoid this problem, and the current materials developed are synthesized through the post-sulfonation of polyxanthene [38] and

ladder polymers based on spirobifluorene [39]. As ion transfer in PIM-based IEMs occurs in micropores, control over pore chemistry and pore architecture is at the center of related research.

Apart from organic polymeric materials, inorganic materials, including zeolites, bentonite, and phosphate salts, can also be exploited to construct IEMs [40–42]. These materials can withstand higher temperatures but are subjected to high costs, relatively poor electrochemical properties, and poor mechanical flexibility [43]. To combine the advantages of both inorganic and organic materials, hybrid IEMs were developed in the late 1990s. They were fabricated by a sol–gel approach that could incorporate inorganic components (e.g. silica) into polymer membranes. These developed membranes were usually applied in severe conditions, such as higher temperatures and strongly oxidizing circumstances [43–45].

Until now, various IEMs, including inorganic–organic (hybrid) IEMs, amphoteric IEMs, mosaic IEMs, and bipolar membranes, have been available, and their position in the IEM development history is schematically shown in Figure 1.2a. With the improved properties of membrane materials in terms of selectivity, membrane resistance, thermal and chemical stability, and mechanical properties, IEM-based processes have also evolved and continuously infiltrated extensive applications (Figure 1.2b). These processes have received increasing interest in the process industries related to food, drug, biotechnology, and wastewater treatment [46–50] and in emerging technologies for coping with environmental and energy issues, such as $CO₂$ or water electrolyzers [51–53], aqueous organic flow batteries [54, 55], and fuel cells [56].

Figure 1.2 (a) The development history of IEMs and (b) IEM-based processes.

1.3 Preparation of IEMs

The synthetic approach of materials and their fabrication process for IEMs are crucial for large-scale preparation of IEMs. The synthetic approaches include the construction of a hydrophobic polymer backbone and introduction of ionic groups and are classified into three categories based on the starting materials [2, 57]: (i) post-modification approach (grafting charged groups onto polymers), (ii) bottom-up approach (direct copolymerization between monomers containing functional groups and nonfunctionalized monomers), and (iii) blend method (blending hydrophobic polymers with hydrophilic polymers with charged groups).

Regarding the post-modification approach, a typical example is the IEM made from polystyrene and its derivatives. These polymers are synthesized starting with styrene and divinylbenzene, combining the advantages of low cost, excellent mechanical properties, and easy modification. They can be made into CEMs from post-sulfonation or AEMs from chloromethylation and subsequent quaternization, as shown in Scheme 1.1 [58–60].

Scheme 1.1 IEMs are synthesized starting from styrene and divinylbenzene.

The direct postmodification of existing polymers seems to be a very simple synthetic approach for IEMs. Other common polymers, such as poly(phenyl ether) (PPO) and PES, have also been used for postfunctionalization. Most of the resulting charged polymers are soluble in polar organic solvents, and thus can be processed into self-supporting films via a solution-casting method [61–69]. To improve the anti-swelling property, crosslinking is sometimes required [70–80]. For example, we triggered the crosslinking of sulfonated PPO membranes through heat treatment and observed that the resulting membranes were insoluble and maintained a high tensile strength of 52.8 MPa [74].

It is noteworthy that, in comparison to the synthesis of CEMs (usually post-sulfonation is used), the preparation of AEMs seems to be more complicated and costly. The process for AEM usually requires chloromethyl methyl ether

Figure 1.3 A novel synthetic route for anion exchange membrane and water uptake (WU) and evaporated ion exchange capacity (IEC) manipulating strategies based on BPPO and quaternary amination.

during the chloromethylation process, a carcinogen reagent that may cause great harm to human health [81]. Considering the economy and practice, as well as the diversity in membrane formation, direct halogenation of methyl groups that are linked to the benzene ring, instead of direct chloromethylation of the benzene ring, is a better choice due to the cancelation of the use of chloromethyl methyl ether. For example, a simple and practical method for the preparation of AEMs is shown in Figure 1.3. This preparation included the bromination of PPO and subsequent quaternization. Control over the reaction position (benzyl substitution or aryl substitution) and content of bromination, as well as the amination-crosslinking processes, are key to the properties of the resulting membranes [82, 83]. Based on this approach, a series of IEMs have been commercially manufactured and have been widely used in diffusional dialysis to recover inorganic acid under different conditions [84–86], electrodialysis [87], nanofiltration, ultrafiltration [88, 89], fuel cells [90], and in the separation of different anions [91, 92].

Another widely used approach that can avoid the chloromethylation process is to synthesize polymers starting from monomers containing halogenated hydrocarbons (e.g. vinylbenzyl chloride [93–95]). These polymers can be made into AEMs via a simple amination reaction (Scheme 1.2a). Terephthaloyl chloride could be formed into poly(p -phenylene dichloroethene) with a π -conjugated system and benzyl chloride groups via the McMurry coupling reaction, and the resulting polymers could be converted into positively charged polymers through quaternization (Scheme 1.2b) [96]. Notably, piperidone and its derivatives as raw materials have recently gained great attention in making poly(arylene piperidinium) AEMs due to their outstanding alkaline stability [97, 98]. These membranes are synthesized from the super acid-catalyzed polycondensation followed by quaternization (Scheme 1.2c),

Scheme 1.2 Typical examples of the synthesis of AEMs starting from uncharged functional monomers.

and are now mainly used for AEM fuel cells. Their use in wide applications is highly expected.

For bottom-up synthesis, the copolymerization of commercially available monomers with charged groups and hydrophobic monomers seems to be a simple method. In 2004, Xing et al. reported a series of sulfonated PEK copolymers that were synthesized via aromatic nucleophilic polycondensation of 5,5'-carbonylbis (2-fluorobenzenesulfonate) (a charged monomer) with hexafluoroisopropylidene diphenol and 4,4′ -difluorobenzophenone [99]. The major advantage of this approach over post-modification is the precise control over ion exchange capacity by tuning the molar ratio of reactant monomers. The resulting polymers could be processed into robust membranes from a solution-casting approach. To diversify the IEMs from bottom-up synthesis, many other polymerization processes have been employed, such as cyclo-polycondensations of dipiperidines and tetrakis(bromomethyl)benzene for N-spirocyclic quaternary ammonium ionomers [100], and acid-catalyzed polyacylation of pre-quaternized diarene monomers and dibenzoic acid monomers for PEK containing charged side chains [101, 102].

In the above solvent-aided polymerization, solvents were required to obtain the polymer solution, but may bring toxicity risks to the environment. To address this issue, a solvent-free approach via in situ polymerization has been developed [70, 103–105]. The strategy replaced the organic solvents with liquid monomers that were fully incorporated into the resulting membranes. As illustrated in Figure 1.4, brominated PPO was first dissolved in a liquid monomer mixture of vinylbenzyl chloride and styrene, instead of common organic solvents, to perform both crosslinking and quaternization, thus yielding imidazolium-based AEMs [106].

Pore soaking or filling is another approach to introduce charged segments into the pores of polymer films, producing IEMs. Porous films are usually commercially available, cost-effective, and insoluble in common solvents, such as

Figure 1.4 Schematic illustrations of (a) conventional, (b) upgraded in situ polymerization strategies for the preparation of IEMs.

films of hydrocarbon or fluorocarbon polymers (polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE); fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP), perfluoroalkoxy alkanes (PFA), ethylene tetrafluoroethylene (ETFE), poly (vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF)). Membranes made from this method exhibit excellent mechanical properties and show an advantage in manufacturing cost. For example, Young Moo Lee et al. successfully filled the pores of porous polyethylene (PE) substrates with poly(aryl-*co*-aryl piperidinium), and the reinforced membranes could be applied in alkaline membrane fuel cells [107, 108].

Although various synthetic approaches to IMEs have been developed, not all of the preparations have led to commercialization. There are many obstacles along the way from laboratory synthesis to large-scale production. As a summary of this section, Table 1.2 lists the main commercial IEMs and their main properties.

1.4 Applications

Based on advanced membrane fabrication technologies and rational material design, IEMs with a good combination of low electrical resistance, high chemical and thermal stability, excellent mechanical properties, and high ion selectivity have been developed. The rapid development of materials for IEMs promotes the evolution of traditional IEM-related processes, such as diffusion dialysis, reverser electrodialysis, membrane capacitive deionization, and bipolar membrane electrodialysis. These processes can dispose of wastewater in a wide range of new industrial

Company	Name	Type	Thickness (mm)	IEC ^a (mmol/q)	R_{m} ^{b)} $(\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$	Preparation
FuMA-Tech GmbH, Germany	fumasep [®] FKB		$CEMc$ 0.10-0.13	$1.2 - 1.3$	$4 - 6$	Polymer dissolution and casting
	fumasep [®] FAB		AEM^{d} 0.10-0.13	$1.0 - 1.1$	$4 - 7$	
ASTOM Co., Japan	NEOSEPTA® CMX	CEM	0.17		3.0	Monomer polymerization
	NEOSEPTA® AMX	AEM	0.14		2.4	
Asahi Glass Co., Ltd., Japan	Selemion [®] CMV	CEM	$0.13 - 0.15$		$2.0 - 3.5$	Desalination
	Selemion [®] ASV	AEM	$0.11 - 0.15$		$2.3 - 3.5$	Desalination
Chemjoy Co., Ltd., China	Chemjoy® CIMCED	CEM	$0.14 - 0.16$	$0.9 - 1.5$	\leq 2	In situ polymerization
	Chemjoy® CIMAED	AEM	$0.14 - 0.16$	$0.9 - 1.2$	≤ 4	Desalination
Tianwei Co Ltd., China	TWEDC	CEM	$0.10 - 0.13$		≤ 4	Pore filling
	TWEDA	AEM	$0.13 - 0.16$		\leq 4	Pore filling
	TWDD	BPM	$0.18 - 0.23$			BPPO matrix
Tingrun Co., Ltd., China	JCM-II	CEM	$0.16 - 0.23$	$1.8 - 2.9$	$1 - 7$	Pore soaking
	JAM-II	AEM	$0.16 - 0.23$	$1.6 - 2.2$	$4 - 10$	Pore soaking

Table 1.2 The properties of commercial IEMs from famous membrane companies.

a) IEC: ion exchange capacity.

b) *^R*m: membrane area resistance (0.5 mol/l NaCl, 25 [∘]C).

c) CEM: cation exchange membrane.

d) AEM: anion exchange membrane.

applications, including salt concentration, cleaner bioproduct production, and salt resource valorization. Notably, many emerging IEM-based technologies have been widely employed to address the issues related to the environment and energy, including fuel cells that convert chemical energy to electric energy, flow batteries that store energy on a large scale, and solar-driven water splitting that produces H_2 and O_2 . The detailed applications can be found in the subsequent chapters.

1.5 Potentials

IEMs have broad application prospects in the treatment of high-salinity wastewater to meet the environmental requirement of zero discharge. For example, the

transformation processes of coal, such as gasification, liquefaction, and synthesis gas preparation, produce large amounts of high-salinity wastewater that contain toxic and harmful substances, such as phenols, cyanides, oils, and ammonia nitrogen. Wastewater treatment has largely hindered the development of the coal chemical industry. Currently, complex treatment processes, including pretreatment, biochemical treatment, ultrafiltration, reverse osmosis, salt concentration, and evaporation crystallization, still face great challenges of concentrated brine discharge, high investment in evaporation equipment, high operating costs, and low utilization of regenerated salt resources. In contrast, IEM-based electrodialysis technology can concentrate saltwater more economically and effectively, with a high concentration of the resulting NaCl solution that increases to 200,000 mg/l. Therefore, this technology meets the concentration requirements for salt production and offers a new solution for the treatment of coal chemical wastewater, as well as the comprehensive utilization of salt resources. With the increasing improvement of national environmental emission standards and the recognition of national environmental value (i.e. lucid waters and lush mountains are invaluable assets), IEM-based technologies will find their increasingly important role in the reduction and resource recovery of high-salinity wastewater and will transform the industrial production modes of the coal chemical industry, metallurgy, printing and dyeing, and paper-making in terms of reducing both the cost and emissions of carbon dioxide and solid waste.

The future development of IEMs should closely surround the vision of "carbon peaking and carbon neutrality." High-performing IEMs in terms of high ion conductivity, excellent chemical and mechanical stability, low manufacturing cost, and easy production on a large scale are required to promote the evolution of IEM-based technologies that cope with carbon emission issues and steadily improve the conversion efficiency of mass transfer and energy conversion in the chemical industry. These technologies include bipolar membrane electrodialysis for acid/alkali production, CO₂ or water electrolyzers that produce resources, H_2/O_2 or ammonia/O₂ fuel cells that convert chemical energy into electric energy, organic flow batteries that store energy on a large scale, and osmotic energy-conversion devices that are based on the salinity gradient. IEM-based technologies are also receiving attention in ion separation, such as mono-/divalent ion separation, Li⁶/Li⁷ separation, and F[−]/Cl[−] separation. Notably, successful membranes may differ greatly in the emphasis placed on certain aspects of their applications. For example, chemical stability is extremely important for AEMs used in alkaline fuel cells, while ion selectivity should be considered first for preparing monovalent anion permselective membranes.

It can be forecasted that an increasing number of advanced membrane materials will be developed and will constantly transform existing membrane-based technologies. The formulation of their industrial standards should be considered urgently. Along with the development of IEM materials, researchers also need to consider IEM application processes and achieve a tailored fit for IEMs. Chemical engineering issues occurring in the related processes should also be given much attention. The following aspects are suggested to be considered for the IEM community:

(1) With the assistance of computer simulation, developing structurally biomimetic membrane stack gaskets helps to optimize the distribution of feed fluids in the membrane stack and achieve the uniform distribution of fluid streamlines in the chamber, thus effectively reducing fluid flow resistance, pressure drop, and pump loss.

- (2) Advanced manufacturing technologies, such as 3D printing, photocuring molding, plasma etching, and micronano processing, are employed to manufacture "framework-style" mesh grids that contribute to regulating fluid disturbances and reducing the thickness of the membrane–solution interface layer. In some specific application scenarios, such mesh grids may also help to reduce mass transfer resistance and improve the limited current density.
- (3) Based on micro/nano processing platforms, microfluidic membrane chip technology that combines a chip, membrane materials, and catalytic materials, is developed to realize synergistic coupling between mass conversion and energy conversion.
- (4) On the basis of quantum chemical calculations and machine learning techniques, develop advanced intelligent membrane devices that integrate intelligent synthesis, in situ characterization, intelligent acquisition, and intelligent response. Building an artificial intelligence management and control system and interconnecting an intelligent synthetic cloud database will help membrane devices to match well with both the front-end feeding units and the back-end processing units, and thus realize chemical processes that are intelligent, intensive, and of low carbonization.

In short, it is important to understand and solve scientific problems, technical issues, and engineering matters occurring during the development, production, and application of IEMs. The future development of IEMs should closely surround both the industrial demand and the vision of "carbon peaking and carbon neutrality" and promote them into ones having better performance in terms of low resistance, high chemical and mechanical stability, and high ion selectivity. These membranes will transform IEM-based technologies, which can make many chemical processes more energy-efficient and environmentally friendly.

References

- **1** Hideo, K., Tsuzura, K., and Shimizu, H. (1991). Ion exchangers. In: *Ion Exchange Membranes* (ed. K. Dorfner). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- **2** Strathmann, H. (1995). Electrodialysis and related processes. In: *Membrane Separation Technology—Principles and Applications* (ed. R.D. Stern and R.D. Noble), 214–278. Elsevier Science BV.
- **3** Zuo, P., Xu, Z., Zhu, Q. et al. (2022). Ion exchange membranes: constructing and tuning ion transport channels. *Advanced Functional Materials* 32: 2207366.
- **4** Risen, J.W. (1996). Applications of ionomers. In: *Ionomers—Characterization, Theory and Applications* (ed. S. Schuck). New Jersey: CRC Press.
- **5** Xu, T.W. and Huang, C.H. (2008). *Preparation and Application Technology of Ion Exchange Membrane*. Beijing: Chemical Industry Press.
- **14** *1 Overview of Ion Exchange Membranes*
	- **6** Ostwald, W. (1890). Elektrische Eigenschaften halbdurchlässiger Scheidewände. *Zeitschrift für Physikalische Chemie* 6: 71.
	- **7** Donnan, F.G. (1911). The theory of membrane equilibrium in presence of a non-dialyzable electrolyte. *Zeitscrift für Elektrochemie* 17: 572–581.
	- **8** Michaelis, L. and Fujita, A. (1911). The electric phenomen and ion permeability of membranes. II. Permeability of apple peel. *Biochemische Zeitschrift* 158: 28–37.
	- **9** Söllner, K. (1932). Uber mosaikmembranen. *Biochemische Zeitschrift* 244: 390.
	- **10** Wassenegger H. and Jaeger K. (1940). Effecting cation-exchange in removing calcium from hard waters.
	- **11** Meyer, K.H. and Straus, W. (1940). La perméabilité des membranes VI. Sur le passage du courant électrique à travers des membranes sélectives. *Helvetica Chimica Acta* 23: 795–800.
	- **12** Juda, W. and McRae, W.A. (1950). Coherent ion-exchange gels and membranes. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 72: 1044.
	- **13** Winger, A.G., Bodamer, G.W., and Kunin, R. (1953). Some electrochemical properties of new synthetic ion exchange membranes. *Journal of the Electrochemical Society* 100: 178–184.
	- **14** Nishiwaki, T. (1972). Concentration of electrolytes prior to evaporation with an electromembrane process. In: *Industrial Process with Membranes* (ed. R. Lacey and S. Loeb), 83–106. New York: Wiley-Interscience.
	- **15** Mihara, K. and Kato, M. (1969) Polarity reversing electrode units and electrical switching means therefore.
	- **16** Grot, W.G. (1973). Laminates of support material and fluorinated polymer containing pendant side chains containing sulfonyl groups. U.S.
	- **17** Chlanda, F.P., Lee, L.T.C., and Liu, K.J. (1976). Bipolar membranes and method of making same. U.S.
	- **18** Sebastian, Z., Oener, M.J.F., and Boettcher, S.W. (2020). Accelerating water dissociation in bipolar membranes and for electrocatalysis. *Science* 369: 1099–1103.
	- **19** Shehzad, M.A., Yasmin, A., Ge, X. et al. (2021). Shielded goethite catalyst that enables fast water dissociation in bipolar membranes. *Nature Communications* 12: 9.
	- **20** Hickner, M.A., Ghassemi, H., Kim, Y.S. et al. (2004). Alternative polymer systems for proton exchange membranes (PEMs). *Chemical Reviews* 104: 4587–4612.
	- **21** Li, N. and Guiver, M.D. (2014). Ion transport by nanochannels in ion-containing aromatic copolymers. *Macromolecules* 47: 2175–2198.
	- **22** Ge, X., Zhang, F., Wu, L. et al. (2022). Current challenges and perspectives of polymer electrolyte membranes. *Macromolecules* 55: 3773–3787.
	- **23** Peckham, T.J. and Holdcroft, S. (2010). Structure-morphology-property relationships of non-perfluorinated proton-conducting membranes. *Advanced Materials* 22: 4667–4690.
	- **24** Shin, D.W., Guiver, M.D., and Lee, Y.M. (2017). Hydrocarbon-based polymer electrolyte membranes: importance of morphology on ion transport and membrane stability. *Chemical Reviews* 117: 4759–4805.