Drug Development for **Gene Therapy**

Translational Biomarkers, Bioanalysis, and Companion Diagnostics

<mark>Edited by</mark> Yanmei Lu Boris Gorovits



Drug Development for Gene Therapy

Drug Development for Gene Therapy

Translational Biomarkers, Bioanalysis, and Companion Diagnostics

Edited by

Yanmei Lu

Sangamo Therapeutics Richmond, California USA

Boris Gorovits

Gorovits BioSolutions, LLC Andover, Massachusetts USA

WILEY

Copyright © 2024 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey. Published simultaneously in Canada.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 750-4470, or on the web at www.copyright .com. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008, or online at http://www.wiley.com/go/permission.

Trademarks: Wiley and the Wiley logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. and/or its affiliates in the United States and other countries and may not be used without written permission. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

For general information on our other products and services or for technical support, please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at (800) 762-2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993 or fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic formats. For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at www.wiley.com.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Lu, Yanmei, 1966- editor. | Gorovits, Boris, editor.
Title: Drug development for gene therapy : translational biomarkers, bioanalysis, and companion diagnostics / edited by Yanmei Lu, Boris Gorovits.
Description: Hoboken, New Jersey : John Wiley & Sons, Inc., [2024] | Includes bibliographical references and index.
Identifiers: LCCN 2023049872 (print) | LCCN 2023049873 (ebook) | ISBN 9781119852780 (cloth) | ISBN 9781119852797 (adobe pdf) | ISBN 9781119852803 (epub)
Subjects: MESH: Genetic Therapy-methods | Biomarkers, Pharmacological-analysis | Drug Development-methods
Classification: LCC RB155 (print) | LCC RB155 (ebook) | NLM QU 560 | DDC 616/.042-dc23/eng/20231214
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2023049872
LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2023049873

Cover Design: Wiley Cover Image: © Jonathan Knowles/Getty Images

Contents

List of Contributors xix Preface xxiii

Section I Introduction 1

1 Introduction to AAV-based *in vivo* Gene Therapy *3* Oscar Sequrado

- 1.1 Introduction 3
- 1.1.1 History of Gene Therapy 3
- 1.1.2 AAV-based in vivo Gene Therapy: A Revolution in Medicine 4
- 1.1.3 The AAV Vector Structure 11
- 1.1.4 Cell Entry and Transduction Pathway 12
- 1.2 Advantages and Disadvantages for AAV in vivo 13
- 1.2.1 Effectiveness and Advantages of AAV Vectors for *in vivo* Gene Therapy *13*
- 1.2.2 Challenges of AAV Vectors for *in vivo* Gene Therapy 14
- 1.3 Technology Platforms of AAV-based *in vivo* Gene Therapy 14
- 1.3.1 cDNA Replacement 15
- 1.3.2 Genome Editing 15
- 1.3.2.1 ZFN 16
- 1.3.2.2 TALENs 16
- 1.3.2.3 CRISPR/Cas9 16
- 1.3.3 Base Editing and Prime Editing 17
- 1.3.4 RNAi Gene Silencing 17
- 1.3.5 Gene Addition 18
- 1.4 AAV Serotypes and Tissue Affinity 18
- 1.4.1 The Liver as a Biofactory 19
- 1.4.2 The CNS as a Biofactory 19

v

- vi Contents
 - 1.4.3 The Muscle as a Biofactory 19
 - 1.5 Precision Medicine: Screening and Monitoring Biomarkers, Companion Diagnostics 19
 - 1.5.1 Gene Therapy Clinical Trials: Spotlight on Hemophilia A 20
 - 1.6 Predictions for Scientific and Medical Progress 22
 - 1.6.1 Predictions for Challenges in the Field 22
 - 1.6.2 Addressing Durability 23
 - 1.6.3 Addressing Immunogenicity 24
 - 1.6.4 Addressing Malignancy 24
 - 1.7 Predictions for Market Adoption 24
 - 1.7.1 Patients and Patient Advocacy Groups 25
 - 1.7.2 Physicians, Clinical Guidelines, Regulatory Agencies 25
 - 1.7.3 Payers 26
 - 1.8 Final Thoughts 26
 - 1.8.1 Can We Afford *in vivo* Gene Therapies? 26
 - 1.8.2 Can *in vivo* Gene Editing Replace Gene Therapy? 27 References 28

2 Recent Development in *in vivo* Clinical Gene Therapy Platforms 35

John Murphy and Jane Owens

- 2.1 Introduction 35
- 2.1.1 rAAV-cDNA Replacement Therapies 35
- 2.1.1.1 Introduction: Approved rAAV-cDNA Replacement Therapies 36
- 2.1.1.2 Glybera (alipogene tiparvovec), Marketed by uniQure 36
- 2.1.1.3 Luxturna (voretigene neparvovec-rzyl), Marketed by Spark Therapeutics *38*
- 2.1.1.4 Zolgensma (onasemnogene abeparvovec), Marketed by Novartis 40
- 2.1.2 Introduction: rAAV-cDNA (gene) Therapy Candidates in Clinical Development 46
- 2.1.2.1 AAV-Gene Replacement Clinical Trials for the Eye 47
- 2.1.2.2 Clinical Trials for Heart Disease 47
- 2.1.2.3 Clinical Trials for Hematologic and Metabolic Disease (Targeting the Liver) 48
- 2.1.2.4 Clinical Trials for Skeletal Muscle 48
- 2.1.3 Introduction: rAAV-as a Vehicle for in vivo Gene Editing 48
- 2.1.3.1 Non-nuclease Mediated Methods 48
- 2.1.3.2 Nuclease-mediated Homology Directed Repair 52
- 2.1.4 Nuclease-mediated Gene Disruption following AAV Delivery 54
- 2.1.5 Challenges and Opportunities with AAV as a Delivery Vehicle for Nuclease-Mediated Gene Editing 56 References 56

Section II Translational Biomarkers for Gene Therapy 61

3 Biomarker and Bioanalytical Readouts for the Development of AAV Gene Therapy 63

Yanmei Lu and Wibke Lembke

- 3.1 Introduction 63
- 3.1.1 AAV-Mediated in vivo Gene Therapy 63
- 3.1.2 Biomarker Category and Utility 65
- 3.2 Pharmacokinetic (PK) and Pharmacodynamic (PD) Biomarkers 66
- 3.2.1 Viral Biodistribution and Shedding 66
- 3.2.2 Transgene mRNA Expression 68
- 3.2.3 Transgene and Target Protein Activity and Concentration 68
- 3.2.4 Substrate and Other Distal PD Biomarkers 70
- 3.3 Safety and Monitoring Biomarkers and Readouts 71
- 3.3.1 Assessment of genotoxicity 72
- 3.3.1.1 AAV Integration/Insertional Mutagenesis Risk 72
- 3.3.1.2 AAV Germline Transmission Risk 73
- 3.3.1.3 Off-Target Gene Editing 73
- 3.3.2 Biomarkers for Immune-Mediated Toxicity 74
- 3.3.2.1 Hepatotoxicity 74
- 3.3.2.2 Thrombotic Microangiopathy 76
- 3.3.2.3 Muscle Toxicity 77
- 3.3.2.4 Immunogenicity Assessment for rAAV Gene Therapy 77
- 3.3.3 Safety Biomarkers for Nonimmune Organ-Specific Toxicity 78
- 3.3.3.1 Dorsal Root Ganglia Toxicity 78
- 3.3.3.2 Other Target Organ Toxicity Biomarkers 79
- 3.4 Predictive and Diagnostic Biomarkers for Study Enrollment and Patient Stratification *80*
- 3.4.1 Preexisting Anti-Capsid Antibody 80
- 3.4.1.1 Companion Diagnostic 81
- 3.4.2 Preexisting Anti-Transgene Protein Antibody 81
- 3.5 Summary 82 References 82
- 4 Nonclinical and Clinical Study Considerations for Biodistribution, Shedding, and Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacodynamics 87 Manuela Braun and Kefeng Sun
- 4.1 Biodistribution and Viral Shedding 87
- 4.1.1 Introduction to Biodistribution and Viral Shedding 87
- 4.1.1.1 Definition and Terminology for Biodistribution and Shedding 88

- viii Contents
 - 4.1.1.2 Global Regulatory Guidance on Conducting Biodistribution and Shedding Studies 88
 - 4.1.2 Nonclinical Biodistribution and Shedding Studies for AAV Vectors 89
 - 4.1.2.1 Design, Execution, and Reporting 90
 - 4.1.2.2 Examples 95
 - 4.1.3 Clinical Biodistribution and Shedding Studies for AAV Vectors 96
 - 4.1.3.1 General Considerations in Viral Shedding Studies in the Clinical Setting 97
 - 4.1.3.2 Biodistribution Characterization in Human: Necessity and Concerns 98
 - 4.1.3.3 Examples 98
 - 4.1.4 Gaps and Challenges on Biodistribution and Shedding Characterization *99*
 - 4.2 Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) Modeling and Clinical Dose Selection of Gene Therapy *100*
 - 4.2.1 Overview on PK/PD and Dose Selection Strategies for Gene Therapy 100
 - 4.2.1.1 AAV Dosing Regimen Safety Relationship and Safety-based Clinical Dose Projection 101
 - 4.2.1.2 AAV Dose Pharmacodynamics/Efficacy Relationship and Projection of Pharmacologically-Active Dose (PAD) 102
 - 4.2.2 Dose Scaling Approaches: Allometric and Activity-Based Methods 102
 - 4.2.3 Mechanistic Approaches to Modeling Gene Therapy 105
 - 4.2.3.1 Modeling and Simulation of AAV Biodistribution 106
 - 4.2.3.2 Modeling Transgene Product PK and PD of the Transgene Product 106
 - 4.2.4 Clinical Pharmacology Considerations for Gene Therapy 106
 - 4.2.4.1 Variability in Transgene Product Levels and/or Treatment Response 106
 - 4.2.4.2 Durability of Transgene Expression and/or Treatment Response 107
 - 4.2.5 Gaps and Challenges on PK/PD and Clinical Dose Selection 108
 - 4.2.5.1 Interspecies difference in AAV Transduction and Immunogenicity 108
 - 4.2.5.2 Availability of Clinical Samples and Bioanalytical Assays 109
 - 4.2.5.3 Availability of Long-Term Follow-Up Data 109
 - 4.3 Summary 109 References 110
 - 5 Immunogenicity of AAV Gene Therapy Products 117 Vibha Jawa and Bonnie Wu
 - 5.1 Innate and Adaptive Immunity Induced by AAV-Based Gene Therapies *117*
 - 5.1.1 Innate Immune Response 117

Contents ix

- 5.1.2 Adaptive Immune Response 119
- 5.2 Preclinical Immunogenicity Risk Assessment 119
- 5.2.1 Product-related Risk Factors 120
- 5.2.2 Process and Manufacturing-Related Risk Factors 120
- 5.2.3 Patient-Related Risk Factors 121
- 5.2.4 Nonclinical Assessment of Immunogenicity 121
- 5.2.5 Animal Models for Assessing Innate Immunity 122
- 5.2.6 Animal Models for Assessing Adaptive Immunity 122
- 5.2.7 Impact of Immunogenicity on Animal Selection and Interpretation of Study Results *123*
- 5.3 Clinical Manifestation Associated with Immunogenicity 123
- 5.3.1 Pre-existing Immunity Against AAV Vector May Compromise Therapeutic Efficacy and Patient Safety 124
- 5.3.2 Treatment Induced Anti-AAV Capsid Antibodies may Prevent Re-dosing 124
- 5.3.3 Antibody Specific to Transgene Protein could lead to Toxicity or Unwanted Immunity 125
- 5.3.4 Risk of Immunogenicity Associated with Different Administration Routes 125
- 5.3.4.1 Gene Delivery to the Eye or Central Nervous System 126
- 5.3.4.2 Gene Delivery to Liver 126
- 5.3.4.3 Gene Delivery to Muscle 126
- 5.3.5 Product- and Process-related Impurity Related Immunogenicity 127
- 5.4 Clinical Mitigation Strategy 127 References 129

Section III Bioanalysis for Gene Therapy 135

```
6 Bioanalytical Methods to Detect Preexisting and Post-administration
Humoral Immune Responses Against AAV Capsid Proteins 137
Christian Vettermann and Boris Gorovits
```

- 6.1 Introduction 137
- 6.2 Considerations for AAV Total Antibody Assays 138
- 6.2.1 Nature of AAV TAb Assay Analyte 138
- 6.2.2 Primary Analytical Methodologies applied for AAV TAb Detection 139
- 6.2.3 Tab Assay Critical Reagent Considerations 140
- 6.2.3.1 Positive and Negative Control Selection 140
- 6.2.3.2 Capture and Detection Reagents 141
- 6.2.3.3 Sample Testing Strategy 142
- 6.2.4 Key Assay Qualification/Validation Parameters 142

x Contents

- 6.2.4.1 Assay Sensitivity 142
- 6.2.4.2 Serotype Specificity 142
- 6.2.4.3 Precision 143
- 6.2.4.4 Matrix Interference and Selectivity 143
- 6.2.4.5 Assay Cut-Point 143
- 6.2.5 TAb Assay Data Interpretation 144
- 6.3 Considerations for Cell-based Transduction Inhibition Assays 145
- 6.3.1 Principle and Methodology of Cell-based AAV TI Assays 145
- 6.3.2 AAV TI Assay Development: Designing for Clinical Relevance 146
- 6.3.3 Key Assay Validation Parameters 147
- 6.3.3.1 Screening and Titer Cut-Points 147
- 6.3.3.2 Limit of Detection 148
- 6.3.3.3 Precision 150
- 6.3.3.4 Specificity 150
- 6.3.3.5 Confirmatory Steps to Ensure Specific Detection of Neutralizing AAV Antibodies 150
- 6.3.3.6 Selectivity/Matrix Interference 151
- 6.3.3.7 Stability 151
- 6.3.4 Sample Testing Strategy and Monitoring Assay Performance 152
- 6.3.5 Data Interpretation: Preexisting TI Titer and Clinical Efficacy 152
- 6.3.6 Value and Challenges of Standardizing TAb and TI Assays *156* References *157*
- 7 Bioanalytical Methods to Study Biodistribution and Shedding of AAV-Based Gene Therapy Vectors 163

Christian Vettermann and Russell Soon

- 7.1 Introduction 163
- 7.2 Choice of Platform: qPCR vs. Digital PCR 164
- 7.3 Aspects of Method Development 168
- 7.4 Back-Calculation Formulas and Extraction Efficiency Assessments *172*
- 7.5 Sensitivity Requirements 177
- 7.6 Specificity Requirements 179
- 7.7 Standard Curve Performance, Colinearity, Precision, and Accuracy *180*
- 7.8 Selectivity Assessment and Matrix Interference 181
- 7.9 Sample Stability Considerations 182
- 7.10 Data Reporting Formats, Acceptance Criteria, and Trending 184
- 7.11 Immunocapture qPCR: An Ultra-Sensitive Method to Detect Intact AAV Capsids 187 References 189

8 Transgene mRNA Expression Analysis 193

Venkata Vepachedu and Hsing-Yin Liu

- 8.1 Purpose of Measuring Transgene mRNA 193
- 8.1.1 Transgene Encodes Therapeutic Protein Entity 194
- 8.1.2 Transgene Encodes Other Entities 196
- 8.2 Technologies to Quantify Transgene Expression in Tissues 196
- 8.2.1 RT-qPCR or RT-dPCR 196
- 8.2.1.1 RNA Extraction (Separate vs. DNA/RNA Co-extraction), Quality Testing, and Quantification *197*
- 8.2.1.2 Co-extraction of DNA and RNA from same Sample 199
- 8.2.1.3 Quantification and Quality Testing of total RNA in Purified Extracts 200
- 8.2.1.4 Quantification Using DNA vs. RNA Standards 201
- 8.2.1.5 Assay Qualification/Validation and Report 201
- 8.2.1.6 Reporting 205
- 8.2.2 In Situ Hybridization (ISH) 206
- 8.2.2.1 Values of ISH for Discovery Studies 207
- 8.2.2.2 Semi-quantitative, Tissue Fixation, Probe to Reference Classic Procedure 208
- 8.3 Summary 211 References 211
- 9 Quantification of Transgene Protein Expression and Biochemical Function 215
 - Robert Dodge and Liching Cao
- 9.1 Introduction 215
- 9.2 Transgene Protein Concentration Determination 216
- 9.2.1 Human Transgene in Preclinical Species 216
- 9.2.2 Human Transgene Assessment for Intracellular Proteins 216
- 9.2.3 Human Transgene Protein Assessment for Non-secreted Proteins 218
- 9.2.4 Human Transgene Protein Assessment for Secreted Proteins 220
- 9.2.5 Human Transgene Protein Assessment for Expressed Therapeutics *221*
- 9.2.6 Transgene Protein Assay Format Considerations 221
- 9.2.6.1 Immunoassays 222
- 9.2.6.2 Mass Spectrometry Assays 222
- 9.2.6.3 Semiquantitative Assay Formats 223
- 9.3 Transgene Protein Activity Determination 224
- 9.3.1 Method Development Considerations 224

xii Contents

- 9.3.1.1 Enzyme Kinetics, the Initial Rate of Reaction, and Substrate Concentration 224
- 9.3.1.2 Reference Standard 226
- 9.3.1.3 Sample Processing 228
- 9.3.1.4 Buffers and Incubation Temperature 230
- 9.3.1.5 Assay Dynamic Range, Minimum Required Dilution, Matrix Interference, and Parallelism 230
- 9.3.1.6 Specificity and Selectivity 231
- 9.3.1.7 Quality Controls (QCs) 232
- 9.3.2 Method Validation 234
- 9.4 Summary 234 References 235

10 Substrate and Distal Pharmacodynamic Biomarker Measurements for Gene Therapy 239

Liching Cao, Kai Wang, John Lin, and Venkata Vepachedu

- 10.1 Introduction 239
- 10.2 Technologies to Quantify Substrate and Distal PD Biomarker 241
- 10.2.1 Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) 241
- 10.2.1.1 Method Development Challenges and Resolutions 241
- 10.2.1.2 Method Validation by LC-MS/MS 245
- 10.2.2 Histology 246
- 10.2.3 Functional Activity and Immunoassays 248
- 10.2.3.1 Method Validation of Immunoassay 249
- 10.2.4 mRNA Detection of Downstream Target Expression as a PD Biomarker 253
- 10.2.4.1 RT-qPCR for Relative Gene Expression Analysis 254
- 10.2.4.2 RNA-seq 259
- 10.2.4.3 Nanostring Technology 260
- 10.2.4.4 Regulatory Considerations for RNA Quantitation in GLP Studies 261
- 10.2.5 Single-cell Analysis 263
- 10.3 Summary 265 References 266

11 Detection of Cellular Immunity to Viral Capsids and Transgene Proteins 271

Maurus de la Rosa and Magdalena Tary-Lehmann

- 11.1 Introduction 271
- 11.1.1 Humoral and Cellular Immune Responses to Gene Therapy 271

Contents xiii

- 11.1.2 Selected Clinical Observations Showing the Lack of Understanding About T-Cell-Mediated Immune Responses and the Need for Sensitive T-Cell Analytics 272
- 11.2 Methods for the Detection of Cellular Immune Responses 274
- 11.2.1 Methods to Detect T-Cell Responses in Clinical Trials 274
- 11.2.1.1 Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Spot Assay 274
- 11.2.1.2 Intracellular Cytokine Staining 276
- 11.2.1.3 Tetramer Staining 276
- 11.2.1.4 Proliferation Assays 276
- 11.2.1.5 Cytokine Bead Array 276
- 11.2.1.6 Gene Expression Profiling 277
- 11.2.1.7 Multiplexed Epitope Mapping 277
- 11.2.1.8 Conclusion 277
- 11.2.2 Technical Challenges of Detecting Cellular Immune Responses 277
- 11.3 Validation of Cellular Assays Using PBMC (Example ELISPOT) 278
- 11.3.1 Validation Strategies 278
- 11.3.1.1 Precision 279
- 11.3.1.2 Specificity 279
- 11.3.1.3 Limit of Detection and Range 280
- 11.3.1.4 Common Exceptions for ELISPOT Validation: Accuracy, Linearity, and Reproducibility 281
- 11.3.2 Parameters Affecting ELISPOT Assay Performance 282
- 11.3.2.1 PBMC Sample Handling: Temperature, Resting, and Serum 282
- 11.3.2.2 Antigen Concentration and Number of Replicates 285 References 286
- **12** Detection of Humoral Response to Transgene Protein and Gene Editing Reagents 291
 - George Buchlis and Boris Gorovits
- 12.1 Pre- and Post-dose Humoral Immunity to Transgene-expressed Proteins 291
- 12.1.1 Risk-based Analysis of Response Probability and Impact 291
- 12.1.1.1 Route of Administration 291
- 12.1.1.2 Biodistribution of Vector, Vector Serotype, Dose, and Expression Level 293
- 12.1.1.3 Patient Immune Status: Age, Prior Exposure, No Endogenous Production, Immunosuppression, and Autoimmunity 293
- 12.1.1.4 Response Induction vs. Response Boosting 294
- 12.2 Relevance of Analytical Protocols Applied in Determining Immune Response to Protein Therapeutics to the Detection of Anti-Transgene Protein Responses 294

- **xiv** Contents
 - 12.3 Analysis of Immune Response by Binding and Functional Antibody Assay Protocols 295
 - 12.4 Comparative Analysis of the Immune Response Evaluation for Transgene Proteins that are Expressed Extracellularly vs. Intracellularly 297
 - 12.5 Humoral Immune Response to Gene Editing Reagents 298
 - 12.5.1 Diversity of Gene Editing Systems 298
 - 12.5.2 Immunological Potential of CRISPR-Cas System 299
 - 12.5.3 Detection of Anti-Cas9 Protein Immunity in Animal and Human Matrix *301*
 - 12.5.4 Strategies Proposed to Mitigate Anti-Cas9 Immunity 304 References 304

13 rAAV Integration: Detection and Risk Assessment 317

Jing Yuan, Irene Gil-Farina, Raffaele Fronza, and Laurence O. Whiteley

- 13.1 Introduction 317
- 13.1.1 Biology of AAV Vectors as it Relates to Mechanisms of AAV Integration 318
- 13.1.2 Literature Review of AAV Studies in Relation to Neoplasia Development *318*
- 13.2 Review of Regulatory Guidance and Discussion Points that Are Raised on AAV Carcinogenesis *324*
- 13.2.1 Factors to Consider in the Design of Nonclinical Studies Evaluating AAV Integration 325
- 13.2.2 Methods for rAAV Integration Analysis 326
- 13.2.3 AAV Data Analysis Methods 328
- 13.2.3.1 AAV Primary Analysis 331
- 13.2.3.2 Impurity Analysis 332
- 13.2.3.3 AAV Genome Rearrangements 332
- 13.2.3.4 Integration Site Analysis 332
- 13.2.3.5 Clonality Analysis 333
- 13.2.3.6 Genotoxic Integrations 334
- 13.3 Assessing the Biologic Relevance of AAV Integration Profile 335
- 13.4 Conclusion and Future Direction 337 References 338
- **14** Detection and Quantification of Genome Editing Events in Preclinical and Clinical Studies 347

Marina Falaleeva, Shengdar Tsai, Kathleen Meyer, and Yanmei Lu

- 14.1 Introduction 347
- 14.1.1 Genome Editing Modalities and Molecular Outcomes 348
- 14.1.2 Clinical Trials Using Genome Editing Technologies 350

- 14.2 Regulatory Guidance on Engineered Nuclease On- and Off-target Assessment 352
- 14.3 Strategies and Methodologies to Evaluate On-target and Off-target Activities *353*
- 14.3.1 Strategies to Evaluate Off-target Sites in Preclinical and Clinical Studies *353*
- 14.3.2 Techniques to Identify Genome Wide Off-target Sites 355
- 14.3.3 Targeted Approaches to Measure Short Insertions and Deletions 356
- 14.3.3.1 Droplet Digital[™] PCR 365
- 14.3.3.2 Endonuclease Mismatch Cleavage Assays 366
- 14.3.3.3 Sanger Sequencing Combined with Sequence Trace Decomposition *368*
- 14.3.3.4 Indel Detection by Amplicon Analysis (IDAA) 369
- 14.3.4 Technologies to Measure Large Genomic Rearrangements 369
- 14.3.5 Discussion 374
- 14.4 Concluding Remarks 376 References 376

Section IV Companion Diagnostic Development for Gene Therapy 383

- **15** Introduction to Companion Diagnostics for Gene Therapy 385 Paul Bartel and Jennifer Granger
- 15.1 Introduction to Companion Diagnostics 385
- 15.2 Role in Gene Therapy 386
- 15.3 Overall Strategy 387
- 15.4 Development Process 387
- 15.5 Considerations for Commercialization 390
- 15.6 Conclusion 391 References 391

16 Validation for Gene Therapy Companion Diagnostics 393 Karen I. Richards and Kennon Daniels

- 16.1 Introduction 393
- 16.1.1 Overview of FDA Oversight for the Use of Assays in Gene Therapy Clinical Trials and the Path to Commercialization with Corresponding Level of Validation 393
- 16.1.2 Summary of Validation Requirements for Gene Therapy Companion Diagnostics (GTx CDx) 395
- 16.1.3 Role of CDx in Therapeutic Development and Unique Challenges to Validating GTx CDx 395

xvi Contents

- 16.1.4 Key Considerations for Developing GTx CDx 396
- 16.2 Development of CTAs for Use in GTx Clinical Trials 397
- 16.2.1 Stratification vs. Selection 397
- 16.2.2 Regulatory Risk Determination: Significant or Nonsignificant? 398
- 16.2.3 CTA Design Considerations 400
- 16.2.4 CTA Validation Requirements 401
- 16.3 Best Practices for Sample Banking and Consent of Subjects 401
- 16.3.1 Validation Strategies for CDxs for Commercial Use 401
- 16.4 Design Considerations 402
- 16.4.1 Single-site vs. Distributable Kit 402
- 16.4.2 Validation Requirements 402
- 16.5 Bridging Studies 404
- 16.6 Commensurate Regulatory Review and Approval of GTx CDx 406
- 16.7 Concluding Sections 406
- 16.7.1 Summary of Validation Considerations for CTAs/CDx in GTx Clinical Trials 406
- 16.7.2 Summary of Validation Considerations for CTAs/CDx to Enable GTx Marketing 407 References 407

17 Regulatory Considerations for Gene Therapy Companion Diagnostics 409

Mica Elizalde and Paul Bartel

- 17.1 Introduction 409
- 17.2 US FDA 409
- 17.2.1 Clinical Trials for Investigational Device Exemption 410
- 17.2.2 US FDA Marketing Authorization Pathways 413
- 17.2.2.1 510(k) process 413
- 17.2.2.2 PMA Process 414
- 17.2.2.3 HDE Process 414
- 17.2.2.4 Differences Between 510(k) and PMA 415
- 17.2.3 US FDA Pre-submission Feedback 416
- 17.3 European Union 416
- 17.3.1 European Union Clinical Trials 416
- 17.3.2 European Union Marketing Authorization Pathways 418
- 17.4 Other Regulated Markets 420
- 17.4.1 Global Regulatory Strategy 421
- 17.5 Development Strategy with the Therapeutic 422
- 17.5.1 Considerations for Rare Disease Indications 423
- 17.6 Partner Relationship 424
- 17.6.1 Importance of the Partner Relationship 424

Contents xvii

- 17.7 Commercial and Post-Approval Considerations 425
- 17.7.1 Future Proofing the Companion Diagnostic 425
- 17.7.2 Modifications of the Companion Diagnostic 426
- 17.8 Final Word 426 References 426

Section V Regulatory Perspectives on Gene Therapy 429

18 Current Regulatory Landscape for Gene Therapy Product Development and the Role of Biomarkers 431

Laura I. Salazar-Fontana PhD and Mike Havert PhD

- 18.1 Introduction 431
- 18.2 What is Gene Therapy? 432
- 18.3 Biomarkers Defined 433
- 18.4 Early Gene Therapy Biomarkers 434
- 18.5 Current Expectations for Gene Therapy Biomarkers 437
- 18.6Safety Biomarkers for Gene Therapy Products438
- 18.6.1 Immune Toxicities to *in vivo* gene therapy 438
- 18.6.2 Immune Toxicities to Ex Vivo GT 441
- 18.6.3 Long-Term Risks 442
- 18.7 Concluding Remarks 442

References 443

Index 449

List of Contributors

Editors

Yanmei Lu

Biomarker and BioAnalytical Sciences Sangamo Therapeutics Richmond, California USA

Boris Gorovits

Translational Sciences, Bioanalysis & Biomarkers Gorovits BioSolutions, LLC Andover, Massachusetts USA

Authors

Paul Bartel Companion Diagnostics Myriad Genetics, Inc. Salt Lake City, Utah USA

Manuela Braun

Bayer AG Berlin Germany

George Buchlis

Department of Medicine University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania USA

Liching Cao

Biomarker and Bioanalytical Sciences Sangamo Therapeutics Richmond, California USA

Kennon Daniels

Precision for Medicine Bethesda Metro Center Maryland USA

Maurus de la Rosa

Sangamo Therapeutics Allée de la Nertière Valbonne France

Robert Dodge

Department of BioMedical Research Novartis East Hanover, New Jersey USA

xx List of Contributors

Mica Elizalde

Regulatory Digital Health Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC Rahway, New Jersey USA

Marina Falaleeva

Preclinical Department Sangamo Therapeutics Richmond, California USA

Raffaele Fronza

ProtaGene CGT GmbH Heidelberg Germany

Irene Gil-Farina ProtaGene CGT GmbH Heidelberg Germany

Jennifer Granger

PharmaDx ARUP Laboratories Salt Lake City, Utah USA

Michael Havert Gene Therapy Partners, LLC Arlington, Virginia USA

Vibha Jawa

Clinical Pharmacology, Pharmacometrics, Disposition and Bioanalysis (CPPDB) Bristol Myers Squibb Princeton, New Jersey USA

Wibke Lembke

Celerion Switzerland AG Fehraltorf Switzerland

John Lin

Frontage Laboratories Exton, Pennsylvania USA

Hsing-Yin Liu

Molecular Biology, Johnson and Johnson Innovative Medicine Janssen Pharmaceuticals Spring House, Pennsylvania USA

Kathleen Meyer

Preclinical Department Sangamo Therapeutics Richmond, California USA

John E. Murphy

Arbor Biotechnologies Cambridge, Massachusetts USA

Jane Owens

Rare Disease Research Unit Pfizer Inc. Cambridge, Massachusetts USA

Karen L. Richards

Precision for Medicine Bethesda Metro Center Maryland USA

Laura I. Salazar-Fontana

LAIZ Reg Science Consulting Lausanne Switzerland

Oscar Segurado

ASC Therapeutics Milpitas, California USA **Russell K. Soon Jr.** BioMarin Pharmaceutical, Inc. Novato, California USA

Kefeng Sun

Quantitative Clinical Pharmacology, Data Sciences Institute Takeda Development Center Americas Cambridge, Massachusetts USA

Magdalena Tary-Lehmann

CTL-Contract Laboratory Cellular Technology Limited Shaker Heights, Ohio USA

Shengdar Q. Tsai

Department of Hematology St Jude Children's Research Hospital Memphis, Tennessee USA

Venkata Vepachedu

Molecular Biology, Johnson and Johnson Innovative Medicine Janssen Pharmaceuticals Spring House, Pennsylvania USA

Christian Vettermann

BioMarin Pharmaceutical, Inc. Novato, California USA

Kai Wang

GlaxoSmithKline Collegeville, Pennsylvania USA

Laurence O. Whiteley

Pfizer Inc. Drug Safety Research and Development Cambridge, Massachusetts USA

Bonnie Wu

Biologics Development Sciences, Janssen Research and Development LLC Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson Innovative Medicine Spring House, Pennsylvania USA

Jing Yuan

Department of Toxicology Kymera Therapeutics Watertown, Massachusetts USA

Preface

Having dedicated more than a couple of decades to the development of biomarkers and bioanalysis in the realm of biologics, including monoclonal antibodies and recombinant protein therapies, we embarked on a career change with the anticipation that our wealth of experience could readily translate into the field of gene therapy drug development. However, what we hadn't fully grasped at the outset was the considerable complexity and formidable challenges associated with translational biomarkers, bioanalysis, and companion diagnostics when deploying adeno-associated virus (AAV) as a vector to introduce transgenes, encompassing cDNAs and gene editing tools, into human subjects.

The successful advancement of a gene therapy drug necessitates the meticulous collection of pharmacokinetic and biomarker data to underpin efficacy and safety assessments, as well as the selection of suitable patients. The multifaceted nature of gene therapy, coupled with the vast troves of data involved, encompasses a wide spectrum of methods and technology platforms. This repertoire includes polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based techniques, such as quantitative PCR and digital PCR, for scrutinizing viral biodistribution and shedding patterns, reverse transcription-PCR for analyzing transgene expression, enzyme activity assays, mass spectrometry, immunohistochemistry/in situ hybridization, and immunoassays for evaluating target engagement, substrate interactions, and distal pharmacodynamic biomarkers.

Moreover, ligation-mediated (LM)-PCR and linear amplification-mediated (LAM)-PCR are indispensable for the in-depth analysis of recombinant AAV integration, while next-generation sequencing (NGS) is employed to assess off-target gene editing activity. The assessment of humoral antibody response and cellular immune response to AAV capsid and transgene products requires the application of anti-drug antibody and neutralizing antibody assays, as well as ELISpot technology.

In addition, the evolving landscape of companion diagnostic development, particularly in relation to the anti-AAV antibody screening assay supporting clinical

xxiv Preface

studies and drug approval, presents unique and rapidly evolving challenges. Furthermore, as clinical data continues to emerge from ongoing trials, the regulatory environment governing the evaluation of efficacy and safety in the gene therapy field is in a state of flux.

Over the past decade, the discovery and development of AAV gene therapy medicines have gained remarkable momentum. This surge in growth, marked by a proliferation of preclinical studies and clinical trials, has led to a shortage of qualified researchers in translational sciences. In this dynamic landscape, the adoption of best practices in biomarker and bioanalysis, combined with up-todate knowledge of regulatory guidelines, is of paramount importance. Such information is invaluable for gene therapy developers, whether they are working in academia, industry, or government organizations, as it equips them with the timely insights required to navigate the constantly evolving challenges and opportunities in this dynamic field.

January 2024

Yanmei Lu Sangamo Therapeutics

Boris Gorovits Gorovits BioSolutions, LLC Section I

Introduction

1

Introduction to AAV-based in vivo Gene Therapy

Oscar Segurado

ASC Therapeutics, Milpitas, CA, USA

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 History of Gene Therapy

Watson and Crick first characterized the structure of DNA as a double helix in 1953 [1]. X-ray crystallography of DNA, performed by Franklin, confirmed this finding [2]. Knowing DNA's structure helped elucidate its functions, such as how it holds genetic information, can be copied, and gives rise to various proteins.

Although adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) were discovered in the 1960s [3], they would not be used as genetic vectors until the 1980s. The first attempt at genetic manipulation in humans is believed to be the work of Terheggen et al. in the 1970s. German scientists used the Shope papillomavirus in three children whose bodies were unable to produce arginase. Without arginase, arginine accumulates in the body, causing neurological and muscular defects. The virus, known to produce arginase, was injected intravenously (IV) in hopes that the genetic information from the virus could enter human cells, resulting in arginase production. Unfortunately, IV injections of the virus did not help any of the three sisters that had this rare disorder, and the youngest, who was given a larger dose as an infant, suffered a brief allergic reaction without any positive response to the treatment [4].

In the 1980s, retroviral gene therapy was in development [5–7], and the first recombinant AAV vectors were created [8]. Synthetic insulin was the first genetically engineered drug, reaching the market in 1982 [9]. Zinc fingers were discovered in 1985, later providing a method of targeted gene therapy through zinc

Drug Development for Gene Therapy: Translational Biomarkers, Bioanalysis, and Companion Diagnostics, First Edition. Edited by Yanmei Lu and Boris Gorovits. © 2024 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Published 2024 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. finger nucleases (ZFNs) [10]. The hepatitis B vaccine was the first recombinant vaccine available in 1986 [11], and the discussion of the human genome project began two years later [12]. Also in 1988, the first genetically modified crop was grown in US fields [13].

In 1990, research began in the United States, studying human gene therapy [14]. Dolly, the sheep, was cloned in 1996 [15]. By the year 2000, around 400 gene therapies had been tested in clinical trials [16]. The first gene therapy was approved in China in 2003, using a replication-incompetent adenovirus vector for treating advanced head and neck cancer [17]. Modified lentiviral vectors began emerging in clinical trials around this time as well [18]. In 2007, human-induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) were first isolated, and this method is now quite common, using genetic reprogramming to compare patient-derived cells to isogenic control cells [19]. The first gene therapy was approved in Europe in 2012 using an adenovirus [16]. In 2013, CRISPR/Cas9 was developed, where it was first used as a research tool [20]; it was not until 2018 that the first clinical trial in humans utilizing this technology completed their enrollment. Patients with refractory non-small-cell lung cancer were treated with CRISPR-edited T cells [21]. This timeline can be viewed in Figure 1.1.

In 2020, over 400 gene and genetically modified cell therapies were in development, and today (2022), there are over 1000 in recruitment or active studies (clinicaltrials.gov). Gene therapies may replace inadequate and complex therapies in the near future. For some diseases, it may be able to reduce the amount and, eventually, the cost of treatments a person needs. Thus, it is likely to benefit those with poor quality of life due to an untreatable condition or an intense therapy regimen the most.

1.1.2 AAV-based in vivo Gene Therapy: A Revolution in Medicine

Despite gene therapies being developed and tested in the United States since the 1990s, only 26 cell and gene therapies have been Federal Drug Administration (FDA)-approved until February 2023, seven of which are cord blood treatments (Table 1.1). Of the other 19 therapies, 14 are *ex vivo* cell therapies and five are *in vivo* gene therapy treatments. Genetic diseases, those driven by mutations in the human genome, are ideal targets for treatments using gene therapy modalities. Gene therapy can address diseases driven by well-defined genetic abnormalities where the biological function of the altered or missing gene is well understood. In many cases, these are rare diseases with unmet medical needs, often requiring complex medical regimens with limited options for effective treatments. However, in recent years, gene therapies have been investigated for the treatment of non-monogenic diseases, for example, cancers and degenerative diseases of the visual and nervous systems.