TRANSFORMING EDUCATION WITH VIRTUAL REALITY

Edited By Reena Malik, Ambuj Sharma, and Prashant Chaudhary



Transforming Education with Virtual Reality

Scrivener Publishing

100 Cummings Center, Suite 541J Beverly, MA 01915-6106

Publishers at Scrivener Martin Scrivener (martin@scrivenerpublishing.com) Phillip Carmical (pcarmical@scrivenerpublishing.com)

Transforming Education with Virtual Reality

Edited by **Reena Malik Ambuj Sharma**

and

Prashant Chaudhary





This edition first published 2024 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA and Scrivener Publishing LLC, 100 Cummings Center, Suite 541J, Beverly, MA 01915, USA © 2024 Scrivener Publishing LLC

For more information about Scrivener publications please visit www.scrivenerpublishing.com.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

Wiley Global Headquarters

111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials, or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

ISBN 978-1-394-19999-0

Cover image: Pixabay.Com Cover design by Russell Richardson

Set in size of 11pt and Minion Pro by Manila Typesetting Company, Makati, Philippines

Printed in the USA

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

| Contents |
|----------|
|----------|

| Pr | eface | Х | vii |
|---------|---------------|--|-----|
| Pa O | art 1 ppoi | : Modern Technology in Education: rtunities, Application and Challenges | 1 |
| 1 | A No in E | ovel Adaptive Framework for Immersive Learning Using VR ducation | 3 |
| | Sudl | harson D., Reena Malik, Rithish Ramamoorthy Sathya, | |
| | Vais | shali V., Balavedhaa S. and Gautham S. | |
| | 1.1 | Introduction | 4 |
| | 1.2 | The Two Perceptive Elements | 6 |
| | | 1.2.1 Interactivity | 6 |
| | | 1.2.2 Immersivity | 7 |
| | 1.3 | Immersive Tools and Technologies | 9 |
| | | 1.3.1 Head-Mounted Displays (HMDs) | 9 |
| | | 1.3.2 Analog Sticks | 10 |
| | | 1.3.3 Haptic Feedback | 10 |
| | | 1.3.4 Gesture Control | 12 |
| | | 1.3.5 Spatial Sound | 12 |
| | 1.4 | The Methodology | 13 |
| | | 1.4.1 Collaborative Interaction in VR | 13 |
| | | 1.4.2 Interaction Through Gesture Technology | 14 |
| | | 1.4.2.1 Spatiotemporal Status | 15 |
| | | 1.4.2.2 Gesture Semantics | 15 |
| | 1.5 | Interaction Through Voice Assistant | 16 |
| | 1.6 | Improved Distraction Tracking | 16 |
| | 1.7 | Discussions | 19 |
| | 1.8 | Market Analysis | 20 |
| | 1.9 | Result | 24 |
| | 1.10 | Conclusion | 24 |
| | | References | 25 |

ONTENTS

| 2 | Whe | en Tecl | hnology Meets Tradition: Rediscovering Tribes | |
|---|------|--------------|--|-----|
| | Thre | ough V | /irtual Reality | 27 |
| | Deb | anjand | ı Nag | |
| | 2.1 | Intro | duction | 28 |
| | 2.2 | Conc | ept of Communication and Virtual Reality as a Tool | |
| | | of Co | mmunication | 29 |
| | 2.3 | The T | ribes and the Concept of 'Modern' Society | 32 |
| | 2.4 | Status | s of Women in Tribal Societies | 33 |
| | 2.5 | Socio | -Political Systems of Tribal Societies | 34 |
| | 2.6 | Cond | litions of Education, Health and Hygiene | 34 |
| | 2.7 | Appli | cation of Virtual Reality as a Learning Pedagogy | |
| | | to Un | iderstand Tribal Aspects | 36 |
| | 2.8 | Probl | ems in the Implementation of VR Among the Tribes | 38 |
| | 2.9 | Utiliz | ation of Virtual Reality to Connect Tribes with the | |
| | | Mains | stream | 40 |
| | 2.10 | Epilo | gue | 42 |
| | | Refer | ences | 44 |
| 3 | Post | -Pand | emic Approaches Through Various Advanced | |
| 5 | Tool | lite fo | or Online Teaching and Learning Confidence | 47 |
| | Kar | thikow | an Kandasamy Drakash Maran Jaganathan | -1/ |
| | and | Shalin | n Kunausumy, Frakash Maran Jegunathan n Suraimani | |
| | 3 1 | Intro | duction | 18 |
| | 3.1 | The I | mpact of Technology on Learning | 10 |
| | 5.2 | 3 2 1 | Environmental Issues | 50 |
| | | 3.2.1 | Economic Issues | 50 |
| | | 3.2.2 | Social Issues | 51 |
| | 33 | 5.2.5 ΜΔΧ | HIB UC BM35 Bluetooth Teleconference | 51 |
| | 5.5 | Speak | zerphone | 53 |
| | 34 | | Itra HD | 55 |
| | 5.1 | 3 4 1 | Immersive Engagement and Effective Learning | 56 |
| | | 3 1 2 | Massive Collaboration Possibilities | 56 |
| | | 3 4 3 | Appearance of UHD in OoF Studies | 57 |
| | 35 | Ben() |) EdTech | 57 |
| | 5.5 | 3 5 1 | Multidimensional Learning Technology Offers | 57 |
| | | 5.5.1 | Great Solutions for Modern Classrooms | 58 |
| | 36 | Conc | lusion | 58 |
| | 2.0 | Refer | ences | 59 |
| | | | | ~ / |

| 4 | Exp | anding | g Teachin | g Possibilities: Applications | |
|---|------|--|------------|---|----|
| | of T | echnol | ogical Pr | oducts in Education | 61 |
| | Jyot | i Verm | a and Ga | gandeep | |
| | 4.1 | Intro | duction | | 61 |
| | | 4.1.1 | Virtual | Reality (VR) Technology in Education | 62 |
| | | 4.1.2 | Artificia | l Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) | 62 |
| | | 4.1.3 | Cloud-H | Based Solutions | 63 |
| | | 4.1.4 | Learnin | g Management Systems (LMS) | 63 |
| | | 4.1.5 | Educati | onal Software | 63 |
| | | 4.1.6 | Mobile | Learning | 63 |
| | | 4.1.7 | Widesp | read Access to the Internet | 63 |
| | | 4.1.8 | Online . | Assessments | 64 |
| | | 4.1.9 | Social N | Iedia in Educational Institutions | 64 |
| | | 4.1.10 | Use of E | Biometrics | 64 |
| | 4.2 | Benef | its of Tec | hnology in the Education Sector | 64 |
| | 4.3 | Appli | cations of | f Technological Products in Education | 65 |
| | 4.4 | PEST | LE Analy | sis of Technological Products | |
| | | in the | Educatio | on Sector | 67 |
| | | 4.4.1 | Political | | 67 |
| | | 4.4.2 | Econom | nic | 67 |
| | | 4.4.3 | Sociocu | ltural | 67 |
| | | 4.4.4 | Technol | ogical | 68 |
| | | 4.4.5 | Legal | | 68 |
| | | 4.4.6 | Environ | mental | 68 |
| | 4.5 | 4.5 Successive Growth of Technological Products in India | | | |
| | 4.6 | Conc | lusion | | 69 |
| | | Refer | ences | | 70 |
| 5 | Evo | lutiona | ary Adva | ntages of Virtual Reality in Education | 73 |
| | Rick | ha Kap | oor Mehr | a | |
| | 5.1 | Intro | duction | | 73 |
| | 5.2 | Diffei | ences Be | tween Real and Virtual Space | 76 |
| | | 5.2.1 | Experie | nce | 78 |
| | | | 5.2.1.1 | Psychological Aspect | 78 |
| | | | 5.2.1.2 | Physical Immersion (Immersion | |
| | | | | of the Senses) | 79 |
| | | 5.2.2 | Empow | er | 79 |
| | | 5.2.3 | Engager | nent | 80 |
| | | 5.2.4 | Empath | У | 81 |
| | | 5.2.5 | Embodi | ment | 82 |

| | 5.3 | Use of Virtual Reality: A Matter of Concern | 82 |
|---|------|---|-----|
| | 5.4 | Conclusion | 84 |
| | | References | 84 |
| 6 | Exp | loring Possibilities and Apprehensions About Application | |
| | of A | rtificial Intelligence in Higher Education | 87 |
| | Sidd | harth Shimpi | |
| | 6.1 | Introduction | 88 |
| | 6.2 | The Current State of AI in Higher Education | 90 |
| | 6.3 | Advantages of AI in Higher Education | 91 |
| | 6.4 | Potential Risks of AI in Higher Education | 91 |
| | 6.5 | Ethical Considerations in AI in Higher Education | 93 |
| | 6.6 | Future of AI in Higher Education | 94 |
| | 6.7 | Case Studies | 95 |
| | 6.8 | Best Practices | 96 |
| | 6.9 | Conclusion | 96 |
| | | References | 98 |
| 7 | Imp | act of Virtual Reality on Immersive Education | 101 |
| | Man | iju Rani | |
| | 7.1 | Introduction | 102 |
| | 7.2 | Techniques Used in Immersive Learning | 103 |
| | 7.3 | Advantages of Immersive Learning | 104 |
| | 7.4 | Disadvantages of Immersive Learning | 105 |
| | 7.5 | Virtual Reality | 105 |
| | | 7.5.1 History of VR | 106 |
| | | 7.5.2 Features of VR | 106 |
| | 7.6 | Processing of VR | 107 |
| | | 7.6.1 Requirement of Equipment for VR | 107 |
| | 7.7 | Types of Virtual Reality | 108 |
| | 7.8 | Developing Content for VR | 109 |
| | 7.9 | Creating a Virtual Reality Environment | 109 |
| | 7.10 | VR in Education | 112 |
| | 7.11 | E-Learning and VR | 113 |
| | 7.12 | The Usefulness of VR Technology in the Field of Education | 114 |
| | | 7.12.1 VR in Science | 114 |
| | | 7.12.2 VR in History | 114 |
| | | 7.12.3 VR in Engineering | 115 |
| | | 7.12.4 VR in Tourism | 115 |
| | | 7.12.5 VR in Geography Teaching | 116 |
| | | 7.12.6 VR in English Teaching | 116 |
| | | 7.12.7 VR in Healthcare | 117 |

| | 7.13 | VR in Teacher Training and Pedagogy | 118 |
|----|----------|--|-----|
| | 7.14 | Creating an Immersive VR Environment with MaxWhere | 118 |
| | 7.15 | Applications or Software for Using VR Technology | |
| | | in the Field of Education | 120 |
| | 7.16 | Growth of VR Technology in Education | 121 |
| | 7.17 | Obstacles in Using VR Technology | 122 |
| | 7.18 | Interpretation of Results | 122 |
| | | References | 123 |
| Pa | art 2 | : Reimaging Education with Metaverse | 127 |
| 8 | The | Metaverse in Education: An Upcoming Future Trend | 129 |
| | Priy | a Jindal and Ansh Jindal | |
| | 8.1 | Introduction | 130 |
| | 8.2 | XR in Metaverse | 130 |
| | 8.3 | Categories of Extended Reality (XR) | 131 |
| | | 8.3.1 Augmented Reality | 131 |
| | | 8.3.2 Mixed Reality | 132 |
| | . | 8.3.3 Virtual Reality | 132 |
| | 8.4 | XR and Learning | 133 |
| | | 8.4.1 Learning in Virtual World | 133 |
| | | 8.4.2 Immersive Classrooms and Student Participation | 134 |
| | | 8.4.3 Virtual Reality Labs | 134 |
| | 0.5 | 8.4.4 Vocational Education | 135 |
| | 8.5 | Approaches of Learning in Metaverse | 135 |
| | 8.0 | Comparison of Classes in Person, virtual Classes, | 127 |
| | 07 | Challenges of Metavaria in Education | 13/ |
| | ð./ | Tranda Sumporting Materiana in Education | 130 |
| | 0.0 | Conclusion | 140 |
| | 0.9 | Deferences | 141 |
| | | References | 142 |
| 9 | Role | of Virtual Reality in Education: Its Scope, Reach | |
| | and | Effect on Student Behavior | 145 |
| | Shw | eta Kapoor and Gitanjali Kalia | |
| | 9.1 | Introduction | 146 |
| | 9.2 | Types of Virtual Reality | 148 |
| | 9.3 | Various Types of Augmented Reality | 149 |
| | 9.4 | Virtual and Augmented Reality | 150 |
| | 9.5 | Application of Virtual Reality | 151 |
| | 9.6 | Implementation of VR in Education Sector | 155 |
| | 9.7 | Effects of Virtual Reality on Student Behavior | 157 |

x Contents

| | 9.8 | Positive Effect of Virtual Reality on Students | 158 |
|----|-------|--|-----|
| | 9.9 | Negative Effect of Virtual Reality on Students | 159 |
| | 9.10 | Challenges Faced when Implementing VR in Education | |
| | | Sector | 160 |
| | 9.11 | Conclusion | 161 |
| | 9.12 | Forming New Perspectives | 162 |
| | | References | 162 |
| 10 | Virtu | al Reality in Education: Benefits, Applications | |
| | and | Challenges | 165 |
| | Rajn | i Bala and Prachi Gupta | |
| | 10.1 | Introduction | 166 |
| | 10.2 | Industry Key Players in VR in Education | 167 |
| | | 10.2.1 Meta Kalvi Program in India | 167 |
| | 10.3 | VR Market Segmentation in the Education Sector | 168 |
| | 10.4 | Application of VR in Education | 168 |
| | | 10.4.1 Increased Student Involvement | 168 |
| | | 10.4.2 Learning Based on Experience | 169 |
| | | 10.4.3 Virtual Field Trips | 169 |
| | | 10.4.4 High-Tech Training | 169 |
| | 10.5 | Distance Learning | 170 |
| | 10.6 | Advantages of VR in the Classroom | 170 |
| | 10.7 | Disadvantages of VR in the Classroom | 172 |
| | 10.8 | How VR Will Improve Education | 173 |
| | 10.9 | Challenges of Implementing VR in Education | 174 |
| | 10.10 | How to Maximize the Benefits of VR in Education | 176 |
| | | References | 177 |
| 11 | Expl | oring the Landscape of Virtual Reality in Education: | |
| | A Bi | bliometric and Thematic Analysis | 181 |
| | Nata | shaa Kaul and Chanakya Kumar | |
| | 11.1 | Introduction | 182 |
| | 11.2 | Literature Review | 183 |
| | 11.3 | Methodology | 185 |
| | 11.4 | Findings and Results | 187 |
| | 11.5 | Methodology and Research Perspectives | 188 |
| | 11.6 | Temporal Analysis Using Word Clouds | 189 |
| | 11.7 | Future Research | 192 |
| | 11.8 | Conclusion | 193 |
| | 11.9 | Implications for Research and Practice | 196 |
| | 11.10 | Limitations of the Study | 197 |
| | | References | 198 |

| 12 | VR in Vocational Educational and Training: | | | | | |
|----|---|---|-----|--|--|--|
| | Conc | Conceptual Framework and Adoption Roadmap | | | | |
| | Kumar Shalender, Babita Singla and Sandhir Sharma | | | | | |
| | 12.1 | Introduction | 201 | | | |
| | 12.2 | Benefits of VR in Training | 203 | | | |
| | | 12.2.1 Experiential Learning | 203 | | | |
| | | 12.2.2 Digital Training | 203 | | | |
| | | 12.2.3 Boosting Learners' Engagement | 204 | | | |
| | | 12.2.4 Virtual Trips | 204 | | | |
| | | 12.2.5 High-Technology Training | 205 | | | |
| | 12.3 | VR Adoption Framework | 206 | | | |
| | 12.4 | Conclusion and Discussion | 207 | | | |
| | | References | 209 | | | |
| 13 | Virtu | al Reality in Education — A Blessing or Curse? | 211 | | | |
| | Priya | Jindal, Ansh Jindal and Radhika Gambhir | | | | |
| | 13.1 | Introduction | 212 | | | |
| | 13.2 | Virtual Reality | 212 | | | |
| | 13.3 | VR in Education | 214 | | | |
| | 13.4 | Conclusion | 224 | | | |
| | | References | 225 | | | |
| 14 | Virtu | al Reality: A Mechanism for Modern Education | 229 | | | |
| | Deepa | ıli Bhatnagar and Adity Boruah | | | | |
| | 14.1 | Introduction | 230 | | | |
| | 14.2 | What Makes Learning Deep Rooted? | 231 | | | |
| | 14.3 | Definition of Virtual Reality | 232 | | | |
| | | 14.3.1 How Virtual Reality Facilitates Deeper Learning? | 232 | | | |
| | 14.4 | Virtual Reality as an Instructive Tool | 234 | | | |
| | 14.5 | Educator and Student Acceptance of VR Use in Education | 236 | | | |
| | 14.6 | Statistics of the Usage of Virtual Reality in the | | | | |
| | | Education Sector | 237 | | | |
| | 14.7 | Enablers for Teaching with Technology | 239 | | | |
| | 14.8 | Virtual Reality in Modern Education: Benefits | 240 | | | |
| | 14.9 | Areas of VR Application in Education | 241 | | | |
| | 14.10 | Constraints and Challenges in the Path of VR Learning | 242 | | | |
| | 14.11 | The Road Ahead | 242 | | | |
| | 14.12 | Conclusion | 244 | | | |
| | | References | 245 | | | |

| xii | Contents |
|-----|----------|
| | |

| 15 | Application of VR Technology in the Educational | | | | |
|----|---|---|-----|--|--|
| | Sector — Opportunities and Challenges | | | | |
| | Sushi | nitha Abhishek Rao, Bonnie Rajesh and Rajesh Raut | | | |
| | 15.1 | An Introduction to Virtual Reality | 250 | | |
| | 15.2 | Literature Review | 250 | | |
| | 15.3 Important Elements of Virtual Reality (VR) | | 251 | | |
| | 15.4 | Features of VR | 252 | | |
| | | 15.4.1 Immersion | 252 | | |
| | | 15.4.2 Interaction | 252 | | |
| | | 15.4.3 Imagination | 253 | | |
| | 15.5 | Opportunities of Virtual Reality in Education | 253 | | |
| | | 15.5.1 Enhancing Student Engagement | 253 | | |
| | | 15.5.2 Boosting Knowledge Retention and Creativity | 254 | | |
| | | 15.5.3 Improving Student Learning Outcomes | 254 | | |
| | | 15.5.4 Developing Collaboration and Social Skills | 254 | | |
| | | 15.5.5 Building Empathy | 254 | | |
| | | 15.5.6 Supporting SEND Learning | 254 | | |
| | | 15.5.7 Experience-Based Learning | 255 | | |
| | | 15.5.8 Virtual Field Trip | 255 | | |
| | | 15.5.9 Group Learning | 255 | | |
| | | 15.5.10 Enhanced Access | 256 | | |
| | | 15.5.11 Encourages Imagination and Curiosity | 256 | | |
| | | 15.5.12 Eliminates Language Barriers | 256 | | |
| | | 15.5.13 Utilizing Virtual Reality Through Education | | | |
| | | and in Training Simulators | 256 | | |
| | | 15.5.14 Virtual College Tours | 257 | | |
| | | 15.5.15 Game-Based Education Learning | 257 | | |
| | 15.6 | Challenges of Virtual Reality in Education | 257 | | |
| | 15.7 | Conclusion | 259 | | |
| | | References | 260 | | |
| 16 | Is Vir | rtual Reality Really the Future of Learning? | 263 | | |
| | Rajne | eesh Ahlawat, Renu Tanwar and Preeti Ahlawat | | | |
| | 16.1 | Introduction | 264 | | |
| | 16.2 | Virtual Reality History | 264 | | |
| | 16.3 | Virtual Reality Learning | 265 | | |
| | 16.4 | Benefits of Using Virtual Reality in Education | 265 | | |
| | 16.5 | Disadvantages of Learning through Virtual Reality | 267 | | |
| | 16.6 | Virtual Reality Applications | 268 | | |
| | 16.7 | Types of Virtual Reality | 270 | | |
| | 16.8 | Virtual Reality: Statistics | 271 | | |

| | 16.9 | Educati | ion with Virtual Reality | 271 |
|----|-------|------------------|---|-----|
| | 16.10 | Virtual | Reality Used in Schools | 272 |
| | 16.11 | Virtual | Reality's Impact on Modern Education | 272 |
| | 16.12 | Virtual | Reality Examples | 273 |
| | 16.13 | Classro | om VR Implementation | 275 |
| | 16.14 | Second | ary Schooling Using VR | 276 |
| | 16.15 | VR for | Students who Struggle with Studying | 277 |
| | 16.16 | Difficul | lties in VR Education | 277 |
| | 16.17 | Future | of VR in Education | 279 |
| | 16.18 | Conclu | sion | 279 |
| | | Referen | aces | 280 |
| 17 | Appli | cation o | of Virtual Reality for Education | 283 |
| | Ramk | rishna 1 | Dikkatwar, Nilesh Kate, Saradhi Kumar Gonela | |
| | and P | rashant | Chaudhary | 204 |
| | 17.1 | Introdu | iction and Background of the Study | 284 |
| | 17.2 | Literati | Ire Keview | 284 |
| | | 17.2.1 | Status of V R in Emerging Countries | 284 |
| | | 17.2.2 | Stradica in Bibliometric Review | 285 |
| | | 17.2.3 | Studies in Bibliometric Review on VR | 285 |
| | 17.2 | 17.2.4 | Research Questions | 285 |
| | 17.3 | 17.2.1 | Literature Saarah Critaria and Identification | 280 |
| | | 17.3.1 | of Sources | 286 |
| | 174 | Litorati | of Sources | 200 |
| | 1/.4 | 17 / 1 | Scanning | 207 |
| | | 17.4.1 17.4.2 | Curating | 200 |
| | 175 | Riblion | curating petric Analysis and Discussion | 200 |
| | 17.5 | 1751 | VR in Education Publications Trends | 290 |
| | | 17.5.1 | Most Cited Authors in VR in Education | 270 |
| | | 17.5.2 | in Emerging Countries | 291 |
| | | 17.5.3 | Collaboration Amongst Top Authors | 292 |
| | | 17.5.4 | Top Countries Contribution | 293 |
| | | 17.5.5 | Country Collaborations for Research | 293 |
| | | 17.5.6 | Top Organizations Contributing | 295 |
| | | 17.5.7 | Keyword Co-Occurrence | 295 |
| | | 17.5.8 | Corresponding Author's Countries | 298 |
| | | 17.5.9 | Most Cited Journals | 299 |
| | | 17.5.10 | Co-Citation Network | 299 |
| | | 17.5.11 | Thematic Map | 302 |
| | | | - | |

xiv Contents

| | 17.6 | Conclusion and Future Scope | 302 |
|----|-------|---|-----|
| | | References | 303 |
| 18 | Meta | verse: A New Avatar-Based Technology for Diverse | |
| | Educ | ational Experiences | 311 |
| | Pooja | a Darda, Shailesh Pandey, Om Jee Gupta, Susheel Yadav | |
| | and I | Reena Malik | |
| | 18.1 | Introduction | 312 |
| | 18.2 | Literature Review | 313 |
| | 18.3 | Research Methodology | 315 |
| | 18.4 | Proposed Framework for Metaverse Applications | |
| | | in Education | 315 |
| | 18.5 | Limitations | 317 |
| | 18.6 | Future Scope | 317 |
| | 18.7 | Implications | 318 |
| | 18.8 | Conclusion | 318 |
| | | References | 319 |
| 19 | Up-S | killing in Fashion Retail | 323 |
| | Prasl | ant Chaudhary, Neelam Raut, Harshali Patil | |
| | and I | Nilesh Kate | |
| | 19.1 | Introduction | 324 |
| | 19.2 | Literature Review | 326 |
| | 19.3 | Findings and Conclusion | 329 |
| | 19.4 | Practical Implications and Discussion | 330 |
| | 19.5 | Scope for Future Studies | 332 |
| | | References | 332 |
| 20 | Meta | verse: Reimagining the Future of Teaching-Learning | 337 |
| | Mihi | r Vaidya and Meenal Pendse | |
| | 20.1 | Introduction | 338 |
| | 20.2 | Using Metaverse and Its Tools in Education | 338 |
| | | 20.2.1 Technological Tools Used in Education | 339 |
| | 20.3 | Advantages, Disadvantages, Challenges and Remedies | |
| | | to Challenges | 342 |
| | | 20.3.1 Advantages | 342 |
| | | 20.3.2 Disadvantages | 343 |
| | | 20.3.3 Challenges | 344 |
| | | 20.3.4 Remedies to Challenges | 346 |
| | 20.4 | Organizations Working on Integrating Technology | |
| | | with Education | 347 |

| | 20.5 | Conclusion | 349 | |
|----|---|--|-----|--|
| | | References | 349 | |
| 21 | Prope | osed Framework to Map Virtual Reality with Ancient | | |
| | Indian Education System to Increase Neuroplasticity | | | |
| | for A | utistic Spectrum Disorder Children | 351 | |
| | Noor- | A-Nabi Khan, Naheeda Tharannum B., | | |
| | Khon | dekar Lutful Hassan and Habiba Hussain | | |
| | 21.1 | Introduction to Autism Spectrum Disorder | 352 | |
| | | 21.1.1 Introduction to Virtual Reality | 352 | |
| | | 21.1.2 Introduction to Ancient Indian Education System | 353 | |
| | | 21.1.3 Introduction to Neuroplasticity | 354 | |
| | 21.2 | Studies on Autism Spectrum Disorder | 354 | |
| | 21.3 | Studies on Virtual Reality | 355 | |
| | 21.4 | Studies on Ancient Indian Education System | 356 | |
| | 21.5 | Studies on Neuroplasticity | 357 | |
| | 21.6 | Brain: Normal and Autistic Children | 357 | |
| | 21.7 | Research Area Explored and Unexplored | 359 | |
| | 21.8 | Proposed Problem Statement | 359 | |
| | 21.9 | Aim and Objectives | 360 | |
| | 21.10 | Ancient Indian Education System: Holistic Development | 360 | |
| | 21.11 | Holistic Development: From Autism to Being Normal | 361 | |
| | 21.12 | Virtual Reality and Autism Spectrum Disorder | 362 | |
| | 21.13 | Implementation of Virtual Reality: Education | 365 | |
| | 21.14 | Mapping Virtual Reality and Ancient Indian Education | | |
| | | System | 366 | |
| | 21.15 | How Virtual Reality Helps Autism | 366 | |
| | 21.16 | Algorithm for Virtual Reality in Autism | 367 | |
| | 21.17 | Pseudocode for Virtual Reality in Autism | 368 | |
| | 21.18 | Proposed Neuroplasticity-Enabled VR Ancient | | |
| | | Indian Education | 369 | |
| | 21.19 | Implementation of Neuroplasticity in VR | 370 | |
| | 21.20 | Computer Programming on VR with Ancient Indian | | |
| | | Education | 372 | |
| | 21.21 | Computer Programming on Neuroplasticity Development | 373 | |
| | 21.22 | Conclusions | 374 | |
| | 21.23 | Future Scope | 374 | |
| | | References | 374 | |

xvi Contents

| 22 Virtual Reality in Education: Analyzing the Literature | | | | |
|---|---|-----|--|--|
| and | l Bibliometric State of Knowledge | 379 | | |
| Ma | Manpreet Arora | | | |
| 22. | Introduction | 380 | | |
| 22. | 2 Research Questions | 382 | | |
| 22. | 3 Methodology | 382 | | |
| 22. | 4 State of Knowledge Published on the Term "Virtual Reality | | | |
| | in Education" in SCOPUS Database | 382 | | |
| 22. | 5 Main Research Themes Covered in the Top Articles | 397 | | |
| 22. | 5 What Literature (available in SCOPUS) States about the | | | |
| | Concept of VR in Education | 399 | | |
| 22. | 7 Conclusion | 399 | | |
| | References | 400 | | |
| Index | | | | |

Preface

Virtual reality (VR) technology has changed the traditional classroom experience into an exciting interactive one. It has brought about a technological revolution offering a 360-degree view of the world. Now, with VR technology, students can actually learn by living it. They can go on real-time virtual tours while sitting in their classrooms, and can even mix dangerous chemicals without being physically harmed.

It can be agreed that the introduction of virtual reality in education has thoroughly transformed it by providing engaging and immersive ways for students to experience their education and offering visual learning, creative development, etc., to enhance their studies. Moreover, with increasing accessibility, both students and educators can utilize it for effective teaching and learning. By embracing this VR-related technology, teachers can really transform traditional classrooms into lively ones. However, with this, the teacher's role has also shifted to being a facilitator. According to Adobe, "Teachers will be focused on creating conditions for exploring, rather than providing ready-made knowledge."

This book aims to highlight the recent applications of virtual reality in various educational fields through the contributions of researchers, educators and students familiar with the potential opportunities in this field. It has been divided into two parts. Part I discusses the opportunities, challenges and application of modern technology, and Part II focuses on reimagining education with the metaverse.

In Part I, Chapter 1 describes a novel framework for immersive learning in education. Chapter 2 discusses rediscovering tribes through virtual reality and the relevance of new technology in transforming education. Chapter 3 discusses modern technology in the post-pandemic era, which resulted in significant challenges to class management. Chapter 4 imparts information concerning the expanding teaching possibilities afforded by the application of technological products in the education sector. Chapter 5 describes the evolutionary advantages of VR-enabled education and its application. Chapter 6 explores the possibilities along with the apprehensions towards the use of artificial intelligence in the education sector and arrives at some wonderful insights on the same. Chapter 7 captures the impact of virtual reality on education while explaining the various tools that can be utilized in immersive education.

In Part II, Chapter 8 beautifully describes the role of metaverse as an upcoming trend in the education sector. Chapter 9 shows the relationship between virtual reality and student behavior and the impact and challenges of virtual reality. Chapter 10 discusses VR-enabled tools and techniques, which are the driving force behind their application in the field of education, for an immersive environment that stakeholders can experience. Chapter 11 captures the soul of virtual reality in education by providing a comprehensive view of modern technology with the help of bibliometric and thematic analysis. Chapter 12 discusses virtual reality in the context of vocational education by developing a conceptual framework and roadmap for its adoption in the near future for the benefit of various stakeholders. Chapter 13 talks about the advantages and disadvantages of virtual reality by undertaking a detailed analysis showing a comparison of the strong and weak points of the modern technologies being used in education. Chapter 14 shows the detailed mechanism of virtual reality, and Chapter 15 showcases the importance of virtual reality in modern education, its opportunities and challenges. Chapter 16 focuses on the future of learning and describes it in the context of virtual reality. Chapter 17 describes the relevance of virtual reality in emerging economies with the help of a bibliometric analysis combining past studies, and discusses its future potential. Chapter 18 focuses on the metaverse as a new education avatar showcasing diverse educational experiences. Chapter 19 explains the relevance of emerging digital technologies in upskilling employees in fashion retail to impart an immersive experience for customers. Chapter 20 discusses the role of the metaverse in reimagining teaching learning in the future of education. Chapter 21 proposes a framework for mapping the use of virtual reality especially for students with autistic spectrum disorder (ASD). Finally, Chapter 22 captures the essence of virtual reality by analyzing the literature and state of knowledge.

As virtual reality rapidly enters the mainstream education industry, stakeholders in education platforms are starting to embrace the technology's numerous learning opportunities. There are a variety of special advantages that the use of VR has to offer. By incorporating VR into contemporary education, it provides a new tool for teachers and a new method of connecting with more pupils. It aims to improve, inspire, and stimulate

students' understanding of certain concepts while also enabling them to engage in practical learning. Moreover, VR offers a chance to increase student engagement, allows for empathy, allows undivided imagination, as well as the capacity to visualize learning from different perspectives.

> **The Editors** October 2023

Part 1

MODERN TECHNOLOGY IN EDUCATION: OPPORTUNITIES, APPLICATION AND CHALLENGES

A Novel Adaptive Framework for Immersive Learning Using VR in Education

Sudharson D.^{1*}, Reena Malik², Rithish Ramamoorthy Sathya¹, Vaishali V.¹, Balavedhaa S.¹ and Gautham S.¹

¹*Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore, India* ²*Chitkara Business School, Chitkara University, Punjab, India*

Abstract

Virtual reality, also known as VR, is a simulated 3D environment that allows users to interact and experience an immersive feeling through the virtual world. The existing virtual reality techniques have many complications and calibration issues that make it unfriendly for educational purposes. This chapter proposes a remedial method for the drawbacks and hinderances of the existing techniques using an adaptive learning framework. This approach aims at creating a virtual reality system with changes in the existing software controls. The methodology enhances student learning methods through a virtual reality kit that includes a lightweight head-mounted display (HMD) and a reprogrammable base system that caters to every learner according to their capability. It is important to make sure that the users, be they students or professors, do not use the VR system for a long time. It is not necessary to have full-time learning through virtual reality as only a few concepts in the syllabus require 3D explanations. It should be kept in such a way that technology increases interest in and engagement towards a subject without affecting the minds and health of users.

Keywords: Virtual reality, adaptive learning, immersive classrooms, voice commands, student progressive report, 3D environment, virtual reality kit, student engagement

^{*}Corresponding author: sudharsondorai.ads@kct.ac.in

Reena Malik, Ambuj Sharma and Prashant Chaudhary (eds.) Transforming Education with Virtual Reality, (3–26) © 2024 Scrivener Publishing LLC

1.1 Introduction

Virtual reality is an artificial immersive technology with three-dimensional environment which can be explored and experienced by users. The computer-generated environment has graphical scenes and objects that appear to be like real-life scenarios. Virtual reality simulations are of three main types—non-immersive, fully immersive and semi-immersive [14]. Non-immersive virtual technology, which relies on input devices and computer consoles, allows users to remain conscious of their physical environment. Fully immersive virtual reality provides users with the most lifelike simulation experience along with vision and sound. Users need proper VR glasses for the best experience. The head-mounted display (HMD) offers high-resolution content while the display produces a stereoscopic 3D effect and integrates with input tracking to develop an immersive sensation. In semi-immersive VR, users can experience a partially virtual environment that enables them to explore the imaginary world while also allowing them to be aware of their local surroundings. This type of VR is usually employed for education or training that partially resembles real-world mechanics. In addition to that, different people from various locations can come into contact within a single virtual environment with a technique called collaborative virtual reality. Here they can interact with each other by means of microphones, headsets and chatting. Lately, people are getting used to virtual meetings and competitions remotely. The future of virtual meetings has been enhanced by collaborative VR.

The use of information and communication technologies in education can be extremely important in providing instructors, students, and the learning processes with new and innovative forms of support for adaptive learning [7]. Compared to other industries, the area of education adjusts to change more slowly, yet it invariably undergoes transformation to accommodate changes. A very promising use case for virtual reality is the education sector [15]. Virtual reality can expand educational opportunities beyond face-to-face learning to new locations and demographics. It gives access to immersive environments, which can help learners overcome the drawbacks of the current remote and online learning practices. Virtual reality can have an impact on education in more ways than just increasing motivation and participation. With immersive VR, students may move around and interact while also having access to a variety of viewpoints and perspectives on things and scenes. It is proven that virtual reality can offer different immersive learning techniques with a significant amount of student-teacher interaction.

The current virtual reality techniques are functional in service, but do not seem to be cost effective. It is not economical to set up a separate room space for everyone with proper calibration and several external sensors along with an omnidirectional treadmill. One of the major drawbacks of using virtual reality systems is that long-term usage might lead to physical issues in the body like eye strain and dizziness. It is crucial to consider the technology's limits when trying to maintain immersion. Visuals on low resolution displays may appear fuzzy and out of focus. Complex visual settings can result in visual distortions that can cause nausea.

Technology has already involved itself in the education sector in many ways. Almost all schools and colleges provide students with projectorbased learning that allows the teacher to use a multimodal form of teaching and to interact with students better [12]. Online learning classes via the internet were a great breakthrough during the COVID-19 global pandemic, which helped to manage and keep up with day-to-day classes. A few institutions over the globe use immersive classrooms that are unique learning spaces where the walls and floors are projected with a 360° scene of the virtual world. This interactive virtual reality experience is free of headsets with multi-sensory effects like touch, smell, and sound. Cave (cave automatic virtual environment) technology, an advanced version of projector-based learning, involves students in a more immersive way. It is a video theater with rear-projection screens where students use 3D glasses to see the graphics created inside.

Virtual reality (VR) technology comes with certain devices like HMDs (head-mounted displays) and haptic sensors, which provide an immersive experience. Visual display of virtual reality technology is done with the help of these head-mounted devices [2]. HMDs have small display optics on one or each eye, integrated into eyeglasses or mounted on a helmet. They are of two types—wired and wireless. To enhance the user experience and provide the sense of touch along with vision, haptic gloves are used, which are wearable gloves that simulate tactile sensations of virtual objects. They are used for kinesthetic communication where the sense of touch is added to the visual interfaces. Some companies are also providing haptic suits along with gloves. There are multi-sensory devices which stimulate other senses and generate tactile feedback. To navigate and control inside the virtual environment, hand-used controllers like touchpads, joysticks or thumbsticks are used.

At the beginning stages of development, VR technology included many external sensors that were connected to a central PC through wires. Additionally, they had to be calibrated each time the VR was activated in

6 TRANSFORMING EDUCATION WITH VIRTUAL REALITY

a different space. They then evolved into a wireless mode with the involvement of artificial intelligence. The help of machine learning algorithms has increased the potential of VR technology to a next level. There are posture tracking systems with a set of sensors and controllers that are used to track hands and legs with respect to the head configuration.

In gaming and other sectors, an omnidirectional treadmill (ODT) is used to make the technology more interactive. It is similar to a regular treadmill, but enables the user to locomote in any direction, allowing a 360° movement. They often come along with a few external sensors which help in locating and detecting the user's body position and movement. An adaptive learning approach using VR was proposed in which sensors are used to detect user's emotions and get sensory feedback based on dialogue patterns, body language, facial expressions, and haptic pressure. Sometimes, the system is integrated with wireless joysticks that allow users to navigate and interact in the virtual world.

1.2 The Two Perceptive Elements

Immersion in virtual reality refers to the insight of being physically present in a non-physical environment and interacting with the world of imagination and exploration. It is a feeling of involvement of the user in a simulated environment. By simulating human senses like vision, hearing and touch, an immersive experience can be given to the user. Immersion is of three main categories—tactical, narrative and strategic. Interaction is the term used to describe how a person and a virtual scene interact naturally. With the help of input devices, it allows users to feel a sense of being in the real environment [5]. Utilizing the multi-dimensional perception data offered by VR scenes, imagination is the process of acquiring feelings that are both similar to and distinct from those found in the real world.

1.2.1 Interactivity

When discussing virtual reality, interactivity refers to the specific connections made between the users and the digital model. It suggests that the user might take part in the information transfer process facilitated by the computer. Therefore, a medium is interactive if it enables the user to modify the form or content of communication. There are various levels of interaction: the lowest allows the user to do nothing more than select information; the intermediate allows the user to add content; and the highest causes the virtual environment to react properly to the user's input. Interactivity necessitates an integration of technology and architecture to be successfully deployed because a user both provides and receives information [1]. When a user makes changes to virtual items or avatars, the virtual environment is said to be interactive. When a virtual reality experience is interactive, the user can interact with the virtual environment by pressing buttons, moving objects, making gestures, or utilizing other modalities to get input from it. It has been identified that embodiment, which includes movement and gesture, leads to successful learning outcomes.

Interactive learning methods are beneficial because they enable direct control over the current learning. Developers should strive to create a stimulating setting that actively promotes student inquiry and critical thinking. By integrating extraneous information into the virtual world, interactive aspects can help speed up the process of learning. When users can quickly access this data to review their memory or employ prior knowledge to the activity, embedded learning is taking place [3]. Within VR, it is possible to represent some ideas that call for a keen awareness of spatial configuration, or how items on a three-dimensional (3D) plane relate to one another. Regardless of prior skill, learners can practice and enhance spatial abilities since VR surroundings are perceived as 3D. Designers can employ a better grasp of spatiality by thinking about how to assist and challenge students with varying degrees of spatial skills in 3D space.

1.2.2 Immersivity

Immersion is the physics of a system; it describes its technological capabilities. The sensory system of a human body uses a variety of modalities, including vision, hearing, touch, smell, taste and force, to collect data about the immediate surroundings. The sensory inputs are reproduced in the brain while perception involves bottom-up interpretation of the sensory data and top-down interpretation of the past knowledge, objectives, and views based on the preexisting conception of the world.

A person typically tends to believe that he "knows" a room after just a short while of entering there. Scanning data through eyes actually reveals that they foveate on a very limited number of important locations in the space, and that the eyes follow recurring patterns between the scanning pathways. The previous model of what a room is helps to determine the essential details. The conceptual system has deduced a complete room model in which the person is situated, despite having "seen" a tiny fraction of what is there to see.

In terms of technology, virtual reality (VR) aims to replace real-world sensory experiences with computer-generated ones that are created from

a statistical database that describes a 3D scene, and its animations and changes are brought on by user input [4]. Only when sensory experiences are effectively substituted, can the brain infer a visual model from the original input of sensory data. The participant's consciousness is altered to perception of the virtual setting rather than the genuine one, despite their certainty that this is not real. The objective is to effectively replace real sensory data.

Vision and hearing are the most common senses, accompanied by touch, smell and force feedback. Taking the conventional VR system into consideration, it is mostly centered on vision and may have additional tactile feedback followed by sound. For most of the applications, vision alone is often effective considering that it is perceptually outscoring for many people. As a result, users of VR commonly find themselves in situations where their visual system sends them through a ride of virtual experience, but all other sense experiences come from the actual physical environment.

Perception involves the entire body. This implies that the body is put to use in a natural way to comprehend. Users turn their heads, move their eyes, lean down, look beneath, gaze over and around while simultaneously reaching out, touching, pushing, and pulling. Due to these constraints, the major technological goal of VR is to reproduce to the greatest extent the feasible perception through such natural sensory dependencies. For instance, while staring very closely at an object in a cave or while wearing an HMD, ultimately, pixels can be seen; or in the majority of current VR systems, if any random virtual object is being touched, it cannot be felt. An immersive VR system is one that enables perception through real-world sensory circumstances. The system's ability to do this completely determines whether one can rotate 360° while viewing a continuous low-latency refresh of the visual field, in line with the gaze direction. With this, systems can be characterized as more immersive or less immersive. Therefore, HMD proves to be more immersive than Cave in this sense because an HMD can depict something that a Cave cannot. In a Cave, users can see their own body when they gaze down at it, whereas while wearing a PHMD with head tracking, they can see a virtual human in place of their own. Movement-induced real-time reset of the sensory perception results in an illusory sense of presence in the artificial environment. One reason why educators think immersive VR will benefit learning is because it has the power to instantly take the user to an enhanced emotional state that can have favorable effects on involvement and attention.

After immersion, feeling of presence is yet another consideration. With immersive VR, the illusion of presence is consistently maintained, giving students the impression that their bodies are actually inside the virtual