



The Othering Museum

A Case for Non-Selective Curation

Carrie Westwater



palgrave
macmillan

The Othering Museum

Carrie Westwater

The Othering Museum

A Case for Non-Selective Curation

palgrave
macmillan

Carrie Westwater 
School of Journalism, Media and Culture
Cardiff University
Cardiff, UK

ISBN 978-3-031-55431-5 ISBN 978-3-031-55432-2 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55432-2>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Credit line: Klaus Vedfelt/Getty Images

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG.

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Paper in this product is recyclable.

PREFACE: WHAT IS THIS BOOK, WHO IS IT FOR AND WHY?

The idea for this book came from my practice as a maker of professional theatre and an artist with a background in community arts. A little after 2010, I found myself working with Glasgow Museums who had hired me to research and co-create, with colleague Martha Burns Findlay, a learning programme for volunteers coming into the host city ahead of the 2014 Commonwealth Games. The project was called CurioUS (objects and us) and the learning programme I co-developed with the team there was aimed at initiating effective intercultural dialogue through the cross-cultural co-curation of exhibitions and events. CurioUS was celebrated as best practice by the Museums Association's *Museums Change Lives* (Museums Association, 2013) and is central to how the premise of non-selective curation (which I will call NSC) began.

The Glasgow Museums project was innovative and experimented with non-didactic object interpretation and community-led exhibitions using personal stories, related to the object as labels (Strachan & Mackay, 2013). This work was exciting and aimed at true non-hierarchical participation; it was implemented just as Bernadette Lynch's seminal work *Whose Cake Is It Anyway?* (2011) was published and many of the recommendations Lynch made were implemented within the project. The excellent work produced by Bernadette Lynch and Glasgow Museums has ultimately inspired the work for this book and the method of non-selective curation (NSC).

During the development phase of the learning programme attached to the CurioUS project, it soon became apparent that intercultural dialogue

cannot be taught without being experienced. The team decided it would become a day-long programme using a process of *Exploration, Discovery, Reflection* and *Practice* (CurioUs Project, Glasgow Museums, 2012). Mapping this method to participatory action research (Bryman, 2004), we went through a process of thinking about what language does, what having a sense of a place means, what barriers to engagement people experience and how we as individuals communicate our worlds collectively, and as individuals.

Not surprisingly, centuries of societal patriarchy and colonial positioning became a core component and a contested site of deliberation. As a museum we used object-based learning (Addington, 2010) as the focus for discussion; as a theatre practitioner and community artist I used experiential pedagogies, such as the work of Augusto Boal (1973), and active learning techniques to complement the museum's use of objects from the collection. This combination appeared to enable conversations to be conducted above and around the focus of the 'thing', the object as a third space. This allowed participants a place to feel more relaxed, to be able to articulate their own stories and develop narratives around the collections through events, exhibitions and performances. During the CurioUs Project, the objects were open to interpretation as opposed to top-down academic and curatorial authority. This to me was interesting as with any object a memory or story can form, but who has the authority to determine whose memory or whose story is more valuable to be shared? Aileen Strachan and Lyndsey Mackay (Strachan & Mackay, 2013) were the curators on the project with Glasgow Museums and in their article 'Veiled Practice: Reflecting on Collaborative Exhibition Development Tracing the Journey of One Potentially Contentious Object' explains how the collaborative process used in the CurioUs Project reflects directly on Lynch's work (2011).

We will analyse why the kind of conflict which Bernadette Lynch sees as central to democratic practice in the dialogic museum did not occur in this collaborative exhibition development work. The model of museum as democratic space focuses on process, issue-based working, community knowledge and the curator's role as facilitator. (Strachan & Mackay, 2013)

The method of non-selective curation, similarly, focuses on community knowledge and the curator's role as facilitator. However, in non-selective curation the facilitator retains their own specialist power as also being

knowledgeable, as part of a community, and is equal to the process with the community co-curatorial participants. This method comes away (to an extent) from bottom-up processes and instead rests on facilitated democracy utilising, among others, the idea of bell hooks¹ radical openness (hooks, 1989). The process furthermore has one combined overarching theme across *all* issues—that is creating greater spatial and social justice among people.

I came across this formulation of non-selective curation (before its testing and refinement) accidentally. I was curating an exhibition called *Migration Through Children's Eyes* (Strathclyde University, 2013) held at Scotland Street School Museum as part of my work with Glasgow Museums and their project partner Strathclyde University. This exhibition involved children from four primary schools creating art about the topic of 'migration'. A significant but ultimately happy accident occurred; one of the teachers forgot to put the children's names on the artwork, stories and sculptures they had produced. There was absolutely no way as the curator I could recognise whose work was whose or indeed be certain if all the children were represented. Therefore, I made the executive decision to include *all* the pieces for the exhibition, for fear of leaving one child out. The result was an eclectic mix of creations that told the stories of child experiences and perceptions of migration, from multiple voices in multiple ways. I will go on to discuss this exhibition in more detail in Part Two, but for now, this was the beginning of non-selective curation. Due to this work, I was invited to speak at the Victoria and Albert Museum of Childhood on how the exhibition was created. With this interest began a journey of *Exploration, Discovery, Reflection* and *Practice* to properly formulate the method and write this book.

I have since co-curated several exhibitions and devised many theatre performances using this method with children, refugees, asylum seekers, college students, staff from third-sector organisations, NGOs and professional artists. Some of this work are the case studies for this book, which will illustrate how NSC developed after CurioUS and why it is important. It will also tell you, the reader, how you too can be a non-selective curator.

To embed this work within equitable working, diversity and inclusion, the field of participation will be thoroughly discussed as well as several theoretical positions and approaches that can come together to create a truly participatory space of authentic representation and spatial justice.

¹ bell hooks does not capitalise her name as part of her philosophy.

This book will specifically focus on participatory processes when working representationally with people's cultures and stories. It will also discuss the pitfalls in traditional 'selective curation' that is non-participatory or empowerment-lite (Lynch, 2011) and why this should be avoided at all costs. I will deliberate this using my own experiences whilst also considering the works of Stuart Hall (Hall & Du Gay, 1996; Hall, 1997; Gilroy et al., 2000), bell hooks (1989), Michael Foucault (1973, 1994, 2002), Paolo Freire (2017), Augusto Boal (1973), Phipps and Gonzalez (2004) and Pierre Bourdieu (Bourdieu, 1989; Bourdieu & Johnson, 1993; Bourdieu et al., 2003) to firmly underpin this method theoretically and practically.

WHAT IS THIS BOOK, AND WHO IS IT FOR?

This book is a mix of theory (Part One), case study investigations (Part Two) and practical advice on how to do the work of NSC (The Workbook). It is also a treatise on pedagogic philosophies that underpin a method of working with underrepresented groups of people—people who often find themselves voiceless, othered and on the margins of representation. It is, overall, a book for anyone who is working in, or planning to work in, museums, NGOs and the third sector where co-creation, co-curation and participatory bottom-up to equitable processes are key to working with communities.

In The Workbook I will share a draft workshop plan with you and a few activities that will help you to go through a process of experientially witnessing what *you already know and do not know* and what resources you have to share as a specialist. I will guide you through identifying your space and place in the world, your interculturality and own barriers to participation, assumptions and praxis. Becoming a non-selective curator is a journey of self-discovery; a journey into the awareness of how power performs and how, if we are not careful, we could enact symbolic violence (Bourdieu, 1989) on the very people we are trying to help.

Good luck, I look forward to joining you in this!

REFERENCES

- “26062013-Museums-Change-Lives.Pdf.” (n.d.). Accessed January 22, 2024. <https://archive-media.museumsassociation.org/26062013-museums-change-lives.pdf>
- “21.-Glasgow-Museums-Evaluation-of-The-Curious-Project-1.Pdf.” (n.d.). Accessed January 22, 2024. <https://www.culturehive.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/21.-Glasgow-Museums-Evaluation-of-The-Curious-Project-1.pdf>
- Addington, E. (2010). *Out There: The Open Museum: Pushing the Boundaries of Museums’ Potential*. Culture and Sport Glasgow (Glasgow Museums).
- Boal, A. (1973). *Theatre of the Oppressed* (New edition). Pluto Press.
- Bourdieu, P. (1989). *Social Space and Symbolic Power*. Sociological Theory.
- Bourdieu, P., & Johnson, R. (1993). *The Field of Cultural Production: Essays on Art and Literature*. Columbia University Press.
- Bourdieu, P., Thompson, J. B., & Raymond, G.. (2003). *Language and Symbolic Power* (Reprinted). Harvard University Press.
- Foucault, M. (1973). *The Order of Things*. Routledge Classics.
- Foucault, M. (1994). Truth and Judicial Forms. In *Power: The Essential Works of Foucault 1954–1984* (Reprint. Vol. 3). Penguin Books.
- Foucault, M. (2002). *Archaeology of Knowledge* (Routledge Classics). Routledge.
- Freire, P. (2017). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* (N. B. Ramos, Trans., Published in Penguin Classics 2017. Penguin Modern Classics). Penguin Books.
- Gilroy, P., Hall, S., Grossberg, L., McRobbie, A., & Ang, I. (Eds.). (2000). *Without Guarantees: In Honour of Stuart Hall* (1. publ.). Verso.
- Hall, S. (1997). *Representation: Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices* (Culture, Media and Identities, Vol. 2). SAGE.
- Hall, S., & Du Gay, P. (Eds.). (1996). *Questions of Cultural Identity*. SAGE.
- hooks, b. (1989). Choosing the Margin as a Space of Radical Openness. *Framework: The Journal of Cinema and Media*, 36, 15–23.
- Lynch, B. (2011). *Whose Cake Is It Anyway?* Paul Hamlyn Foundation. Accessed January 18, 2024. <https://www.phf.org.uk/reader/whose-cake-anyway/>

Phipps, A. M., & Gonzalez, M. (2004). *Modern Languages: Learning and Teaching in an Intercultural Field* (Teaching & Learning the Humanities in Higher Education). SAGE.

Strachan, A., & Mackay, L. (2013). Veiled Practice: Reflecting on Collaborative Exhibition Development through the Journey of One Potentially Contentious Object. *Museum Management and Curatorship*, 28(1), 73–90. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09647775.2012.754981>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writing and research for this book has been a journey of the self: at times feeling like academic ‘self-torture’, but more so self-development, self-awakening, self-reflection and acute self-discovery. I hope it will be for you too. It is a journey I will remember for the people who have patiently seen me throughout each phase of its articulation.

I thank my children most of all: Quinn and Tégan for their constant encouragement. To my Nipotine Aria Faye and Raven Sky, who shine *all* the light. I truly believe the world is a better place with these four people in it.

A special thank you to all of my Glasgow- to Cardiff created family for seeing me through everything up to this point, thank goodness for life-long friends. This book simply would not have been possible without any of you.

I also wish to thank the learning community at the School of Journalism, Media and Culture at Cardiff University, with special notice to Jenny Kidd and Kerry Moore who journeyed with me on my PhD and therefore, much of this work; each of them showed me huge patience knowledge, encouragement and guidance over the years. I would also like to thank Bernadette Lynch for her inspiration and Karin Whal-Jorgensen for her belief in me. Forever grateful. But, most of all, I extend appreciation to the participants of my case studies and all the people I have worked with in my thirty-year career as a community-focused artist.

I dedicate this book to you for participation, co-curation and social justice.

CONTENTS

Part I	An Introduction and Theoretical Underpinnings	1
1	Introduction: Setting Out the Parameters	3
2	Why Is This Book Called <i>The Othering Museum</i> ?	11
3	Addressing Cognitive Dissonance in Museums	19
4	The Activist Museum, a New ‘Identity’ Through Language?	33
5	Truth, Power, Participation and Discourse	41
6	Power and Identity	51
7	Bordieuan [Dis]positions: Locations of Responsibility	65
8	From Language to Linguaging: From Site of Power to Sites of Openness	73
9	A Profound Edge (hooks, 1989): Theatre as Participatory Activism	89

10	Transitioning Participant Fear: Oppressions and Symbolic Power	95
Part II	Moving from Selective Curation to Non – Selective Curation	103
11	Case Study One, <i>The Volunteer Events Team</i>	105
12	Case Study Two, <i>Bricks and Mortar</i>	127
13	The Frontstage Power of Non-selective Curation	137
14	Case Study Three, <i>Museums Made Dark</i>	155
15	Findings from the Development Phase of NSC: Two ‘ <i>Back Stages</i> ’, How Power Performs on Different Pages by Skirting the Margins	171
16	Conclusion: Transitioning from Selective to Non-selective Implementations for NSC	183
17	The Development of NSC	195
	The Workbook	205
	Index	223

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Carrie Westwater is an artist with an academic agenda. She is a community artist, maker of theatre and academic. Much of her work has been looking at location-responsive storytelling that uses multi-platform methods for polyvocality. Her work predominantly has a human rights participatory focus and uses approaches, listed here, to facilitate spatial and social justice aims within community arts, arts and heritage projects, co-curation and matters around participation, social justice, equality, equity and diversity.

Carrie graduated from drama school in 2001 before completing an MA Honours in Comparative Literature and Slavonic Studies followed by an MLITT in Film Journalism. Both these degrees were from the University of Glasgow and in 2021 she completed her doctorate (PhD) in the practical application of theatre methodologies as effective participatory methods within museums and arts and heritage co-curatorial settings. Her thesis is the basis for this book which aims to encourage greater transparency in this work for socially just co-creation/curation under the name of non-selective curation.

She holds a lectureship at Cardiff University in the School of Journalism, Media and Culture.

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 3.1	Power in language	21
Fig. 5.1	Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943), as illustrated by Calum Beaton for this publication	45
Fig. 7.1	Fields of cultural production in museum participation as illustrated by Carrie Westwater (2021)	68

PART I

An Introduction and Theoretical
Underpinnings



Introduction: Setting Out the Parameters

This book will be divided into two parts, beginning with this introduction which will give a brief discussion on how this book came about and introduce the theoretical beginnings by looking at the power of language in museum participatory practice, through the lens of Bernadette Lynch (2011).

This section will also identify the key issues, again theoretically, around othering, power and what makes working in a participatory manner challenging in a museum and other settings. This will present a historical perspective and critically discuss works of scholarship pertinent to the field followed by what museums have been doing to mitigate these.

Part two will present the challenges found in the practice of participation, co-curation and transition from selective to non-selective curation (NSC). This section will present three case studies to map out the learning that came from each whilst developing the method of non-selective curation.

This section will also present how the method of non-selective curation was discovered, what its method is and how to do it. In the back matters there is a workbook that will help you put into practice some of the ideas presented here. I hope that through these bespoke sections you will follow the same journey I took before truly feeling able to do this work.

As you read the following pages you will come to understand that there is a bigger picture in this work, one that is rooted in spatial and social justice aims—one that involves becoming open to new possibilities and

contributes to greater agency in meaningful participation (HLF, 2010). The key elements in how to do this can be found in the concepts and theoretical underpinnings of *radical openness* (hooks, 1989), *linguaging* (Phipps & Gonzalez, 2004; Freire, 2017), *just space* (Westwater, 2021) and the *spect-actor* (Boal, 1973). We will also discuss why this work is important by extensively presenting the meaning and performances of *othering* and *power* (Hall & Du Gay, 1996; Hall, 1997a).

At the end of this reading process, you will be able to identify the power dynamics in play, especially within the funded organisation (Bourdieu & Johnson, 1993). You will come to recognise how and why this particular space and place can often derail your aims at democratic equity. You will also come to discover how essentialist ideas, passed down from generation to generation, can hinder almost all egalitarian objectives and how as heritage workers and exhibition curators we have a power that must not only be recognised but be offered as a resource for greater inclusion.

Non-selective curation is overall a method of co-curation that acknowledges this power whilst opening possibilities for dynamic cross-cultural authority, autonomy and exchange as a profound pedagogical premise. Phipps and Gonzalez (2004) discuss a process called ‘linguaging’ in their intercultural work, as one of the central tenets of this method. It is when two parties learn to create a new language of understanding between them that communion can take place. Let us embark on the same.

In this same spirit, the following section will summarise some of the key definitions that will frequent the pages of this book and explain how this language will be used.

I WILL ‘LANGUAGE’: KEY DEFINITIONS

Non-selective curation is a practice that is engaged with the subjects involved, or participants who will also be represented in the exhibition. Therefore, *participation* is key to its process. The definition I use is one from participatory development, provided by Guijt (Guijt et al., 1998: 1). Participation ‘is to increase the involvement of socially and economically marginalized people in decision-making over their own lives’. In the context of museums, this decision-making is all about representations of self or cultural identities/heritages. The ‘self’ here is the *participant* who is taking part in co-curation, who is often called the *community participant*. The ideas attached to ‘community’ will be introduced more specifically, but for clarity from the offset let’s define this as everyone who is not the museum or organisation involved.

Community arts expert Popple (1997) explains that community work is a specialised sensitive vocation, be that in community education, community arts or community development. Popple explains that working with communities is a long-term investment, one that is often difficult to achieve:

It is not a profession like any other. It is a profession dedicated to increasing the expertise of non-professionals; to increasing the capacity of people in difficult and disadvantaged situation, getting more control over their collective circumstances. Community workers stimulate and support groups of people working to improve conditions and opportunities in their own neighbourhoods. The immediate aims are often concrete - better amenities, housing, job opportunities; the underlying aim is an increase in confidence, skill and community self-organizing power which will enable the participants to continue to use and spread these abilities long after the community worker has gone. (Popple, 1997: 5)

Schwarzman (Knight & Schwarzman, 2017) further describes community arts as “any form or work of art that emerges from a community and consciously seeks to increase the social, economic, and political power of that community.” When thinking about the museum as an institution with a role to serve the public (Lynch, 2011) they adopt a similar premise and I would argue the methods of community arts, perhaps somewhat unknowingly. These actions I would argue are also aligned with hooks’ ‘radical openness’ (hooks, 1989), a premise to be as honest as possible about subject positioning and allowing for ‘a not knowing’. The *not knowing* and sharing of this position is essential and trumps what it is *you do know*. The language of ‘radical openness’ directs the artist/practitioner to be *open*, to not assume anything and to practice active listening. At the Centre for Creative Arts in St Louis they use a method of training that educates the community artist in ‘negotiation, power and privilege, creativity, theories of learning, critical response, evaluation and assessment’ (Borwick, 2012). It is these underpinnings that anyone wishing to practice non-selective curation should be well versed in.

Don’t fear your own voice, Process! Process! Process! Share what you are learning, share what you already know. (Weiss in Borwick, 2012: 319)

There is much here that practitioners working in the fields of participation could gain from as within community arts practice there is also an

explicit understanding that we must ‘know what [we] don’t know’ (Borwick, 2012). Until individuals have the experience and the opportunity to examine their own assumptions, they will be unprepared to participate effectively in partnerships that cross lines in human difference (Phipps & Gonzalez, 2004). Therefore, by being open and transparent about what you do not know, but in a balanced way (which I will go on to discuss), by expressing what you do know, you encourage the participant to do the same. By sharing what skills, you all have in the co-curatorial process, this starting space, levels out the space of engagement and enables all to participate together.

I will discuss *non-selective curation* as a socially and spatially just method of *co-production*, *co-curation* and *co-creation* and that through its premise of not selecting objects for an exhibition. The curator respects the participants’ ability to make decisions about how they want to be represented. They are in charge of what objects they feel will do this, be that an object from the collection, a film, an audio file or a performance. It is intended through its praxis to be non-hierarchical and is instead egalitarian in terms of decision-making and agency with and by the community participant.

Often in this kind of work the ‘co’ participle denotes: a *shared* production, *shared* creation and *shared* curation (Prentki & Abraham, 2020; Prentki & Preston, 2009). However, as this work has found, these terms refer to a practice that is often collated and interchangeable, and instead of being bogged down with definitions, we will examine how each has power that performs in slightly separate ways.

Again, thinking about language positionings I will also argue that museums are spaces informed by specific discourse formations (Foucault, 1973, 2002) and will throughout the book draw on Bourdieu’s (Bourdieu & Johnson, 1993) ideas on ‘disposition’. He explains how performances of power are actualised by discourse formations (Foucault, 1973) as *[dis]positions* or *[dis]positional* thinking. The brackets I use denote that the positioning of the actor is informed by their dispositions (seemingly fixed ideas coming from discourse) which are difficult to shift. Further to all of this *space* itself is a tricky term to define with connotations of the geographical and psychological and will here refer to the psychological space of engagement, whereas *place* will describe the geographical and physical site.

Ultimately this book will frame performances of power as operating between two opposing poles (Bourdieu, 1993) that illustrate irreconcilable duties being performed in this space and place of museums: with one

pole felt as a responsibility to the museum sponsor, the funder or project initiator (ICOM, 2007) and a second pole felt as a duty to open up processes *to* and *with* the participant as recommended by best practice (Bienkowski, 2014, 2016). Bourdieu (1993) explains that these separate poles occupy different fields of cultural production. These ‘fields’ are described by Bourdieu as either *autonomous* or *heteronomous* (Bourdieu, 1993) and are impossible to bring into harmony. Heteronomy is the state of being that is ruled by a lack of self-determinism motivated by a political currency and/or economic motives of responsibility, whereas autonomy is the right to self-govern outcomes motivated without consideration of political-economic or currency concerns and therefore gives all involved the freedom to make unencumbered decisions. We will see how these poles work against co-curatorial practice in the case studies of Part Two.

The case studies of Part Two investigate the reframing of co-curation whilst non-selective curation was still in development. It will outline some of the transitional experiences you may encounter or indeed recognise. Non-selective curation is somewhat an oxymoronic term; however, it dictates that when using this method, the curation is not determined by the museum selecting objects. The practice involves using all material created unless the participant decides to remove it. The curation is in *how* these materials are displayed not the selection of *what* is displayed. The objectives, which are grounded in theory as developed in case study one, explicitly respect the specialism of the museum with regard to access standards, but also in turn respects the cultural lens of the community participant (Strachan & Mackay, 2013) as an equal and different authority (e.g., to include self-representations that curators might miss during traditional selective-curation processes). This method aims then to represent the participant narratives more accurately and meaningfully.

The case studies examine how power performs when museums engage in co-curation with different groups of people: volunteers, community participants and professional partners.

To ground this practice in section one the book uses the lens of cultural theory (Foucault, 1973; Foucault et al., 2000; Bourdieu, 1992, 1993; Hall & Du Gay, 1996; Hall, 1997b; hooks, 1989) and compares two key variables: how the language related to Lynch’s study is actuated in the practice of participation post *Whose Cake Is It Anyway?* (2011), and how power circulates in co-curatorial decision-making as experienced in practice by the participants and practitioners involved.

We will now embark on this journey by thinking about the theoretical positioning of the curator and the participant as having an *Us and Them* dynamic and how this is archaeologically formed through generations and generations of work. This can however be adjusted, as the following sections will reveal. Until then, enjoy the scholarship of *how* this practice performs.

REFERENCES

- Bienkowski, P. (2014). *Communities and Museums as Active Partners: Emerging Learning from the Our Museum Initiative*. Retrieved from <http://ourmuseum.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/Our-Museum-emerging-learning.pdf>
- Bienkowski, P. (2016, April). *No Longer Us and Them: How to Change into a Participatory Museum and Gallery (Learning from the Our Museum Programme)*. Retrieved from https://www.phf.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Our-Museum-Report_April-2016-double-page.pdf
- Boal, A. (1973). *Theatre of the Oppressed* (New edition). Pluto Press.
- Borwick, D. (2012). *Building Communities, not Audiences: The Future of the Arts in the United States*. ArtsEngaged.
- Bourdieu, P. (1992). *Language and Symbolic Power*. Polity Press.
- Bourdieu, P. (1993). The Field of Cultural Production, or: The Economic World Reversed. *Poetics*, 12(4–5), 311. Elsevier BV.
- Bourdieu, P., & Johnson, R. (1993). *The Field of Cultural Production: Essays on Art and Literature*. Columbia University Press.
- Foucault, M. (1973). *The Order of Things*. Routledge Classics.
- Foucault, M. (2002). *Archaeology of Knowledge* (Routledge Classics). Routledge.
- Foucault, M., Faubion, J. D., & Foucault, M. (2000). *Power* (Essential Works of Foucault 1954–1984/Michel Foucault) (Vol. 3). New Press.
- Freire, P. (2017). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* (M. Bergman Ramos, Trans.). Published in Penguin Classics 2017. Penguin Modern Classics. Penguin Books.
- Guijt, I., Sustainable Agriculture, Rural Livelihoods Programme, and Great Britain Department for International Development. (1998). *Participatory Monitoring and Impact Assessment of Sustainable Agriculture Initiatives: An Introduction to the Key Elements* (SARL Discussion Paper). International Institute for Environment and Development. <https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=dyNDBi4WdDcC>
- Hall, S. (1997a). *Representation: Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices* (Culture, Media and Identities, Vol. 2). SAGE.
- Hall, S. (1997b). *Representation: Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices*. SAGE.
- Hall, S., & Du Gay, P. (Eds.). (1996). *Questions of Cultural Identity*. SAGE.