

Daniel Kowalski

The Integration of Driverless Vehicles in Commercial Carsharing Schemes in Germany

A Prefeasibility Study



Anchor Academic Publishing

disseminate knowledge

Kowalski, Daniel: The Integration of Driverless Vehicles in Commercial Carsharing Schemes in Germany: A Prefeasibility Study. Hamburg, Anchor Academic Publishing 2014

Buch-ISBN: 978-3-95489-129-0

PDF-eBook-ISBN: 978-3-95489-629-5

Druck/Herstellung: Anchor Academic Publishing, Hamburg, 2014

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek:

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Bibliographical Information of the German National Library:

The German National Library lists this publication in the German National Bibliography. Detailed bibliographic data can be found at: <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

All rights reserved. This publication may not be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Dies gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und die Einspeicherung und Bearbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

Die Wiedergabe von Gebrauchsnamen, Handelsnamen, Warenbezeichnungen usw. in diesem Werk berechtigt auch ohne besondere Kennzeichnung nicht zu der Annahme, dass solche Namen im Sinne der Warenzeichen- und Markenschutz-Gesetzgebung als frei zu betrachten wären und daher von jedermann benutzt werden dürften.

Die Informationen in diesem Werk wurden mit Sorgfalt erarbeitet. Dennoch können Fehler nicht vollständig ausgeschlossen werden und die Diplomica Verlag GmbH, die Autoren oder Übersetzer übernehmen keine juristische Verantwortung oder irgendeine Haftung für evtl. verbliebene fehlerhafte Angaben und deren Folgen.

Alle Rechte vorbehalten

© Anchor Academic Publishing, Imprint der Diplomica Verlag GmbH
Hermannstal 119k, 22119 Hamburg
<http://www.diplomica-verlag.de>, Hamburg 2014
Printed in Germany

Abstract

The prefeasibility study at hand proposes to integrate driverless vehicles in commercial carsharing schemes in Germany. It finds indications that carsharing has the potential to become a significant mode of transport because it responds to social and business change. On the other hand, the study shows that it is the human component in traffic causing the majority of accidents, which is why driverless vehicles are expected to reduce traffic accidents and improve road safety. By making use of the business management tools SWOT analysis and an ad hoc STEPLED analysis, the study conducts a micro-environmental and macro-environmental analysis of the German carmakers BMW and Daimler in regard to the proposed concept. The main finding is that the technology is generally expected to be marketable within the next two decades, if not much earlier. It can be expected that customers will accept driverless vehicle technologies and that many new target groups could be reached by the realisation of the concept. Carmakers should benefit from this potential and might be able to offset possible losses in their core business. The analysis does also show, however, that the current legislation poses several obstacles and might, if unchanged, delay the introduction of driverless vehicle technologies. It is recommended for carmakers to become active and start a public debate similar to the one in the United States. Moreover, it is recommended to collaborate closely with other mobility providers in order to avoid lobbying against the idea.

Acknowledgements

The last five months have been a challenging time and I want to thank all those that supported me. William Sheward, my supervisor, who always took the time to discuss my ideas, not only for this study, but also for other assignments and on several other occasions. His feedback was consistently valuable and the directions he gave me helped to focus on the essential while not neglecting the complexity at the same time. I also want to thank Paul Sheeran, course leader and tutor of my MA programme Managing Contemporary Global Issues, for uncountable inspiring discussions and also for always emphasising the sheer complexity of our world. I want to thank Natalia Yakovleva, another tutor of my programme, whose interesting and challenging lectures were packed with many different study methods – one a little game which eventually led to the idea for this study. Thanks also to my fellow students and friends, Adeniyi Asifat and Robert Finegold, with who I developed the idea in the lecture.

I also want to thank Nachiket Pusalkar, a true friend, who was always there for me, always listened to my ideas and supported me throughout the year; Jean Paul Pollock and Dan Wilkinson, for lively debates and feedback on my topic; my parents Ramona and Jürgen, who did their best to support me in every way; as well as my brother Marco, who distracted me every now and then which was equally important.

The biggest thank-you goes to my amazing girlfriend Echo Yu, soon to be my wife Echo Kowalski. She is always there, listening to me crazy ideas, challenging my concepts and predictions, and supports me in just about every possible way. Love you honey.

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
0.1 Overview	1
0.2 Definitions	2
0.3 Structure of the Study	4
0.4 Rationale	5
0.5 Case Study: Germany	6
0.6 Aim and Objectives	6
0.7 Methodology	7
0.8 Limitations	8
Part 1: Carsharing	10
1.1 Introduction	10
1.2 Background	11
1.3 Social and Business Change regarding Mobility	16
1.3.1 From “Generation Golf” to “Generation Hire Car”	16
1.3.2 From Carmaker to Mobility Provider	18
1.3.3 Sustainability Concerns	20
1.4 Carsharing as a Response to Social Change	21
1.4.1 Generation Hire Car	21
1.4.2 Carmaker or Mobility Provider	23
1.4.3 Sustainability Concerns	24
1.5 Limitations of Carsharing	27
1.5.1 Parking	27
1.5.2 Availability	28
Part 2: Driverless Vehicles	30
2.2 Background	31
2.3 Social Issue Traffic Accidents	33
2.3.1 Background	33
2.3.2 The Role of the Driver in Car-related Traffic Accidents	34

2.3.3 Traffic Accidents in Germany	41
2.3.4 Economic Costs of Traffic Accidents	46
2.4 Automation in Passenger Vehicles as a Response to Traffic Accidents	47
2.4.1 Driver Assistance Systems	47
2.4.2 Advanced Driver Assistance Systems	47
2.4.3 Car Drivers, Customers, and Advanced Driver Assistant Systems	48
2.5 Fully-Automated Vehicles	49
Part 3: Prefeasibility Study	53
3.1 Introduction	53
3.2 The Concept.....	54
3.3 Micro-Environmental Analysis: SWOT	54
3.3.1 Strengths.....	55
3.3.2 Weaknesses.....	56
3.3.3 Opportunities.....	57
3.3.4 Threats	60
3.4 Macro-Environmental Analysis: STEPLED.....	62
3.4.1 Society.....	62
3.4.2 Technology	64
3.4.3 Environment.....	68
3.4.4 Politics.....	69
3.4.5 Legislation.....	70
3.4.6 Ethics.....	73
3.4.7 Demographics.....	74
Conclusion	76
Recommendations	79
Bibliography	82

List of Tables

Table 1. Comparison of traditional and commercial carsharing schemes.....	12
Table 2. Proposed definitions for different stages of the automation of the driving task	34
Table 3. Activities car drivers said they would engage in while driving	36
Table 4. Risk Rankings	37
Table 5. How many car drivers answer and make phone calls while driving.....	38
Table 6. How many car drivers set up and take a reading from their satellite navigation while driving	39
Table 7. Expected demographic change in Germany by 2050.....	75

List of Figures

Figure 1. How often cars caused accidents with other road users and how many people died comparatively.....	43
Figure 2. Share of seriously-injured car drivers in total population	43
Figure 3. Accidents per 1,000 population and erratic behaviour causing them.....	44
Figure 4. Distribution of accidents in which people were injured (within city limits) over a week.....	45

Abbreviations

ABS	Anti-lock Brake System
ACC	Adaptive Cruise Control
ACE	Auto Club Europa (Automobile Club Europe)
ADAS	Advanced Driver Assistance Systems
ADAC	Allgemeiner Deutscher Automobil-Club (General German Automobile Club)
AEB	Autonomous Emergency Braking assistant
AHS	Automated Highway System
AltfahrzeugV	Altfahrzeug-Verordnung (End-of-Life Vehicle Regulations)
BAC	Blood Alcohol Concentration
BAST	Bundesanstalt für Straßenwesen (Federal Road Research Institute)
BGB	Bundesgesetzbuch (Civil Code)
BMVBS	Bundesministerium für Verkehr, Bau und Stadtentwicklung (Federal Ministry for Transport, Construction and Urban Development)
BZP	Bundes-Zentralverband Personenverkehr – Taxi und Mietwagen (federal association personal transport – taxi and hire car)
DARPA	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
DAS	Driver Assistance Systems
DAT	Deutsche Automobil Treuhand (German Automobile Trust)
DB	Deutsche Bahn (German Railways)
DLR	Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (German Aerospace Center)
DVR	Deutscher Verkehrssicherheitsrat (German Traffic Safety Association)
DRUID	Driving under the Influence of Drugs, Alcohol and Medicines

ESP	Electronic Stability Programme
EV	Electric Vehicle
HAVEit	Highly Automated Vehicles for Intelligent Transport
KBA	Kraftfahrt-Bundesamt (Federal Office for Motor Traffic)
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NHTSA	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
ProdHaftG	Produkthaftungsgesetz (Product Liability Act)
StBA	Statistisches Bundesamt (
STEEPLED	Society, Technology, Economics, Environment, Politics, Legislation, Ethics, Demographics
STEPLED	Society, Technology, Environment, Politics, Legislation, Ethics, Demographics
StGB	Strafgesetzbuch (criminal code)
StVG	Straßenverkehrsgesetz (Road Traffic Act)
StVO	Straßenverkehrsordnung (Highway Code)
StVZO	Straßenverkehrs-Zulassungs-Ordnung (National Vehicle Safety Standard)
SWOT	Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats analysis
TAP	Temporary Auto Pilot
UNECE	United Nations Economic Commission for Europe
WP 29	United Nations Working Party 29, the World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations
WZB	Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (Social Sciences Berlin)

Introduction

0.1 Overview

The world population recently reached 7 billion people and is expected to rise to 10 billion by 2050 (UN, 2012a [online]). At the same time the share of people living in urban areas has been steadily increasing, from 29% in 1950 to nearly 52% in 2010 (UN, 2012b [online]). Because people move to cities in search of work and a better life (Firnkorn & Müller, 2012 [online]), this number is expected to rise further as well, to more than 67% by 2050 (UN, 2012b [online]). Growing cities are the consequent result of both trends (Firnkorn & Müller, 2012 [online]) and have led to various problems for respective local communities, ranging from poverty and the creation of slums, to water scarcity, health issues or pressure on the local and surrounding environment.

One problem that is shared by urban agglomerations all over the globe is the increasing traffic density. In general, road transportation provides benefits to individuals and societies as a whole, such as enabling economic markets (WHO, 2009 [online]). However, the aforementioned trends concerning population growth and increasing urban populations are accompanied by the process of the motorisation of the individual. In the political East and South this process has, from a historical point of view, just started, while demand for individual motorised mobility in Western and Northern societies has not yet reached full saturation levels (Firnkorn & Müller, 2012 [online]; Shell Deutschland Oil GmbH, 2009 [online]). The consequences of all these trends, however, are negative for the individual and the community. Traffic accidents and the resulting congestion in combination with the increasing traffic density cause mental stress, physical pain and economic inefficiencies (IMechE, 2012 [online]; OECD & ITF, 2008 [online]; Shankar & Singh, 2012 [online]; Straube, 2011 [online]); United Nations (n.d. [online]): people die or get injured in traffic accidents (UNECE, 2012 [online]), properties are damaged (Statistisches Bundesamt, 2012a [online]), noise and exhaust emissions pose a danger to the health of locals (Senatsverwaltung für Stadtentwicklung, 2006 [online]), time of individuals is wasted (Bratzel, 2011 [online]), and the environment is damaged (Union Investment, n.d. [online]). This result, depending on regions, countries and cities admittedly in vastly varying degrees, is expected to worsen further with a continuation of the abovementioned trends. The study at hand suggests a new mobility concept which would make use of carsharing and driverless vehicle technologies,

and has the potential to tackle the abovementioned disadvantages of individualised transport while offering the same and even additional benefits.

0.2 Definitions

Different administrative approaches have been undertaken to tackle traffic-related issues, such as banning specific road users from certain areas (Firnkorner & Müller, 2012 [online]), strengthening public transport (Reidenbach et al, 2008 [online]) or encouraging residents to walk or make use of bicycles (UNECE, 2012 [online]). Private people, associations and companies, on the other hand, may also become a key in contributing to the solution.

One of these grassroots approaches is called carsharing, which is based on the idea that several people can share one car while at the same time enjoying fewer disadvantages compared to possessing an own car. The concept, which started within small groups of private persons, offers a new transport mode with the potential to reduce car ownership and consequently traffic density (Martin, Shaheen & Lidicker, 2010 [online]). These environmental reasons have strongly contributed to the early attractiveness of carsharing (BFE, 2006 [online]) and led to the first schemes in the 1980s, which were organised by associations and clubs (Autotipps.net, n.d. [online]; Schlesiger, 2011 [online]). In these usually small traditional carsharing schemes, customers can find available cars at specific stations in a city and rent them spontaneously or after reservation (Lawinczak & Heinrichs, 2008 [online]). Customers are charged with a monthly basic fee and a price based on rental time or distance, which includes all costs such as petrol, taxes, insurance, maintenance and repairs (Lawinczak & Heinrichs, 2008 [online]).

Increasing numbers of participant and schemes started to indicate a strong market potential (Zhao, 2010 [online]) and eventually attracted corporations who commercialised the idea (Daimler, 2008 [online]; DriveNow, 2011 [online]). In these commercial carsharing schemes, companies increased the flexibility for customers because cars can be parked on all public parking spaces as well as on specific stations (Lawinczak & Heinrichs, 2008 [online]), while customers can find the next available car by using smartphone or internet applications (Firnkorner & Müller, 2012 [online]). Moreover, commercial carsharing schemes distinguish themselves from traditional ones because of their minute-based charging and the absence of monthly fees (Firnkorner & Müller, 2012 [online]) and environmental commitments (Kramper, 2012a [online]).

These current commercial carsharing programmes, the study at hand proposes, should be combined with driverless vehicles, also called autonomous vehicles (Kalra, Anderson & Wachs, 2009 [online]) or robot cars (Davis, 2006 [online]). The technology is not yet sold to the public but recent developments indicate that the technology is likely to be released eventually. Two different approaches can be observed in the development of driverless vehicles.

The first approach is characterised by the development of so-called driver or advanced driver assistance systems (DAS or ADAS) by companies from the automotive industry. These systems like the anti-lock brake system (ABS), the electronic stability programme (ESP) or some more advanced ones like the adaptive cruise control (ACC) and the autonomous emergency braking assistant (AEB), address specific deficits of drivers and seek to make driving safer (Kubitzki, J., personal communication [email], 17/7/2012). With the continuous improvement of existing and the constant development of new systems, it is believed that technologies will gradually improve until fully autonomous driving is eventually achieved (Bohr, 2011 [online]).

The internet search provider Google, on the other hand, aims directly at fully-autonomous driving. The company does not manufacture the cars itself but attaches various sensors and cameras to cars and hopes to be able to improve algorithms, which make sense out of the data which is gathered by sensors and operate the car, until no more human intervention is needed for the driving task (Vanderbilt, 2012d [online]). That this approach could also deliver a marketable product indicates Google's fleet of several driverless vehicles which had travelled almost 500,000 km in August 2012 (Urmson, 2012 [online]). Impressed by this success, United States' Nevada was the first administration in the world to allow the official testing of autonomous vehicles on public roads, whereas Google was the first company to receive a licence under these new regulations (Nevada DMV, 2012a [online]).

While Google has not yet released any official statements on when its technology may be ready to go on sale, the automotive industry widely believes that its approach will lead to marketable solutions at latest within the next 20 years (Bohr, 2011 [online]). Either way, the successful realisation of such technologies is expected to improve the safety of car drivers and other road users such as pedestrians and bicyclists immediately (Urmson, 2012 [online]) and, with more cars making use of this technology, improve the traffic flow and fuel efficiency of the overall traffic in the long term (Kalra, Anderson & Wachs, 2009 [online]).