

Technology, Peace and Security |
Technologie, Frieden und Sicherheit

RESEARCH

Franz Kuntke

Resilient Smart Farming

Crisis-Capable Information and
Communication Technologies for
Agriculture

MOREMEDIA



Springer Vieweg

Technology, Peace and Security | Technologie, Frieden und Sicherheit

Series Editor

Christian Reuter, Darmstadt, Germany

Technology plays a major role in many questions of peace and security. This book series addresses questions on the intersection of technology (such as computer science, but also other technical disciplines) as well as peace and security studies. This includes questions of technical peace research, dual use, arms control, disarmament, non-proliferation, ICT for peace, misinformation as well as (resilient) technologies for emergencies, crises and disasters and questions of privacy or cybersecurity.

Technologie spielt in vielen Fragen von Frieden und Sicherheit eine wichtige Rolle. Diese Buchreihe befasst sich mit Fragen an der Schnittstelle von Technik (z. B. Informatik, aber auch andere technische Disziplinen) sowie Friedens- und Sicherheitsforschung. Dazu gehören Fragen der technischen Friedensforschung, Dual Use, Rüstungskontrolle, Abrüstung, Nonproliferation, IKT für den Frieden, Desinformation sowie (resiliente) Technologien für Notfälle, Krisen und Katastrophen und Fragen der Privatsphäre oder der Cyber-Sicherheit.

Franz Kuntke

Resilient Smart Farming

Crisis-Capable Information and
Communication Technologies for
Agriculture

 Springer Vieweg

Franz Kuntke
Darmstadt, Germany

Approved dissertation, Department of Computer Science, Technical University of Darmstadt

First review: Prof. Dr. Dr. Christian Reuter

Second review: Prof. Dr. Jörg Dörr

Disputation on 06.12.2023

ISSN 3004-9318

ISSN 3004-9326 (electronic)

Technology, Peace and Security | Technologie, Frieden und Sicherheit

ISBN 978-3-658-44156-2

ISBN 978-3-658-44157-9 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-44157-9>

Parts of this work were supported by funds of the German Government's Special Purpose Fund held at Landwirtschaftliche Rentenbank in the projects Geobox-I, Geobox-II, AgriRegio, by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) in the project HyServ (01IS17030B), and by the LOEWE initiative (Hesse, Germany) within the emergenCITY centre.

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH, part of Springer Nature 2024

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer Vieweg imprint is published by the registered company Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH, part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Abraham-Lincoln-Str. 46, 65189 Wiesbaden, Germany

Paper in this product is recyclable.

Foreword

For many years, it was assumed that the world market, along with globalization, would enable society to buy and receive all kinds of products, such as food, at any time. The crises of recent years, such as COVID-19 with shortages of hygiene products or certain foods, e.g., rice, or Russia's war against Ukraine with shortages of food, grain, and energy supply, show that the general supply of food to the world's population is by no means guaranteed in the long term and that societies need to become resilient. The failure of digital infrastructures can also have an enormous impact on digitalized agriculture.

This dissertation by Franz Kuntke addresses the tension between digitalization and resilience from the perspective of business continuity in the agricultural domain. In this context, agriculture is regarded as an essential infrastructure for food security, which means that this sector is attributed a high criticality for society. As current research on the intersection of resilience and agriculture focuses mainly on the topics of climate change and social changes, the topic of resilient digitalization has received less attention.

The dissertation uses qualitative and quantitative methods to understand the extent to which the technologies currently used by farmers are at risk of failure. Furthermore, new software is designed and implemented that increases the resilience of these technologies due to failed internet connections. Overall, the dissertation fulfills my expectations. This thesis looks at a highly relevant topic. It is characterized in particular by the innovative combination of human-computer interaction, distributed systems and resilience in the context of digital agriculture with empirical findings, as well as conceptual and technical approaches. As such, this dissertation is pioneering work in this field.

The studies included in this PhD thesis have been published as seven peer-reviewed papers. In addition to working on his dissertation and his 22 scientific publications, Franz was involved in project management of various projects of agricultural IT (e.g., AgriRegio or GeoBox), research-oriented teaching in our program-ming courses and our lecture series Secure Critical Infrastructures as well as in the management of our internal IT infrastructure, thus contributing to the future development of PEASEC.

Franz Kuntke has proven that he is capable of independent scientific work. Thus, in December 2023, his dissertation was accepted by the Department of Computer Science at the Technical University of Darmstadt for the degree of Dr.-Ing.—as the fifth PhD thesis in our research group PEASEC. I would like to see a further focus on topics of such high importance. Franz, thank you for your contribution and for allowing me to accompany you on your way to your PhD. I wish you all the best and every success for the future.

Prof. Dr. Dr. Christian Reuter
Professor for Science and Technology
for Peace and Security (PEASEC)
and Dean of the Department
of Computer Science at Technical
University of Darmstadt
Darmstadt, Germany

Acknowledgements

At the beginning, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this dissertation. Without their support and assistance, this work would not be possible. Firstly, I wish to thank my doctoral supervisor, *Prof. Dr. Dr. Christian Reuter*, for his trust, guidance, and unwavering commitment to address my questions and concerns. Furthermore, I thank my coexaminer *Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jörg Dörr*, as well as *Prof. Dr. Felix Wolfv Prof. Dr.-Ing. Matthias Hollick*, and *Prof. Dr. Zsolt István* who kindly join the doctoral committee.

Additionally, I wish to express my appreciation for the whole team of *Science and Technology for Peace and Security (PEASEC)* at the Department of Computer Science at the *Technical University of Darmstadt*. The exchange of ideas and insights with colleagues has enriched my understanding of various topics and provided valuable perspectives on potential solutions or projects. Here, I would like to thank *Sebastian Linsner* as a particularly important collaborator, especially during the initial two years of my PhD position. Moreover, I wish to thank many other colleagues who have provided insightful conversations, sometimes offering new ideas or perspectives on various topics. Here, I would like to single out *Philipp Kühn*, *Jasmin Haunschild*, *Dr. Thea Riebe*, and *Dr. Marc-André Kaufhold* for many valuable discussions throughout the research process, especially during the final phase of my PhD studies. I would also like to thank the former student assistants who provided invaluable support in software development, research, and even careful proofreading of various publications: *Anel Muhamedagic*, *Franziska Bujara*, *Sabrina Neuhäusel*, *Laura Utz*, *Alexander Praus*, and *Julian Marcel Schindel*.

I would also like to thank all the co-authors of the published papers, in addition to those mentioned above, in particular: *Enno Steinbrink*, *Jonas Franken*, *Daniel Eberz-Eder* and *Dr. Lars Baumgärtner* for their valuable contributions to the conducted research. Parts of this work were also supported by funds of the German Government's Special Purpose Fund held at Landwirtschaftliche Rentenbank in the projects Geobox-I, Geobox-II, AgriRegio, by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) in the project HyServ (01IS17030B), and by the LOEWE initiative (Hesse, Germany) within the emergenCITY centre.

Finally, my deepest thanks go to my family and friends who have supported me throughout this journey. In particular, I would like to thank my girlfriend *Lena Cibulski*, who supported me during these difficult times marked by the pandemic, remote work, and doctoral studies.

どうもありがとうございます

(Domo arigato gozaimasu)

Thank you very much.

Franz Kuntke

Abstract

Like many sectors, agriculture is experiencing a continuous digitalization, i.e. an increase in data-driven technologies used. In contrast to companies of other critical infrastructures—e.g. energy or telecommunication—a typical farm is comparatively small and often run as a family business. Accordingly, the demands on farming technology, its implementation, and regulations are different in many terms. Furthermore, the circumstances that influence crisis risks and crisis management are different in agriculture—and as digitalization introduces new potential risks, this process should be reviewed critically. Currently, the most advanced approaches for agriculture are typically referred to as smart farming and agriculture 4.0, which incorporate more precise cultivation with less manual effort. But such new agriculture technology developments usually lack an assessment about its impact on the sector's resilience and dependencies on other infrastructures. The research domains of crisis informatics and information technology security (IT security) mostly focuses on other topics, apart from agriculture. The resilience research in agriculture itself is currently intensifying, however, this line of research focuses more on problems resulting from the climate crisis and social change. For these reasons it remains unclear, how digitalization impacts the resilience of food production and food safety. Therefore, it is not well researched which technological developments may lead to undesired effects in the future. How modern systems should be designed to allow for both, positive impacts on efficiency, and prevention of negative effects in terms of reduced resilience capacities, is also not answered by current literature. The aim of the present work is to close this research gap at the intersection of agriculture, digitalization, and resilience.

To answer the question to what extent current technologies used by farmers are at risk of failure, the dissertation first presents a snapshot of the resilience state of agricultural companies and the technologies used. This involves interviews with stakeholders, mainly farmers, as well as surveying security issues of the Long Range Wide Area Network (LoRaWAN) protocol, a transmission technology especially useful for agricultural Internet of Things. Which desires of farmers exist regarding software focusing on aspects of business continuity and secured operations, is another open question. This dissertation aims to also answer this question with empirical methods, mainly focus groups and usability tests. Then the rise of Internet of Things in agriculture raises another question, whether such technologies acquired for smart farming could also have benefits for resilience against internet-connection-lost situations. This question is answered by empirical evaluation of LoRaWAN range characteristics in agricultural landscapes, as well as artifact generation for resilient communication channels on top of LoRaWAN transmission devices.

Several findings are derived from the conducted research: There is a lack of understanding of how strong the used tools in agriculture depend on Information and Communications Technology, and many tools require a working internet connection. Moreover, information technology employed by agricultural enterprises presents security concerns similar to those encountered in other domains. Based on these findings, developments, and evaluations of new software approaches are presented: Derived design criteria and own system designs that allow for modern data-driven business operations, including Internet of Things integration based on LoRaWAN. The developed solutions show an increase in resilience capacities by enhancing the communication possibilities in crisis situations. The detected low absorption capacities against communication infrastructure outages shows room for improvement. To improve agricultural information technologies' resilience, software engineers could use the concepts and designs of this dissertation for their product development, like a modular offline- capable farm management storage that allows an exchange of small data in an autarkic manner via commodity LoRaWAN hardware. But also technology advisors and farmers benefit from the technological analyses and suggestions embedded in this work, like using multiple LoRaWAN gateways with an overlapping coverage to mitigate security vulnerabilities.

List Of Own Publications

In sum, 23 publications have been published in the context of the authors work.
The following 7 publications are published as chapters in part II of this thesis:

1. Kuntke, F., Linsner, S., Steinbrink, E., Franken, J., & Reuter, C. (2022). Resilience in Agriculture: Communication and Energy Infrastructure Dependencies of German Farmers. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, 13(2), 214–229. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-022-00404-7>
2. Linsner, S., Kuntke, F., Steinbrink, E., Franken, J., & Reuter, C. (2021). The Role of Privacy in Digitalization—Analysing the German Farmers’ Perspective. *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies (PoPETs)*, 2021(3). <https://doi.org/10.2478/popets-2021-0050>
3. Kuntke, F., Romanenko, V., Linsner, S., Steinbrink, E., & Reuter, C. (2022). LoRaWAN security issues and mitigation options by the example of agricultural IoT scenarios. *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ett.4452>
4. Kuntke, F., Kaufhold, M.-A., Linsner, S., & Reuter, C. (2023). GeoBox: Design and Evaluation of a Tool for Resilient and Decentralized Data Management in Agriculture. *Behaviour & Information Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2023.2185747>
5. Kuntke, F., Bektas, M., Buhleier, L., Pohl, E., Schiller, R., & Reuter, C. (2023). How Would Emergency Communication Based on LoRaWAN Perform? Empirical Findings of Signal Propagation in Rural Areas. *Proceedings of Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management (ISCRAM)*, 1–8. https://idl.iscram.org/files/kuntke/2023/2586_Kuntke_etal2023.pdf

6. Kuntke, F., Sinn, M., & Reuter, C. (2021). Reliable Data Transmission using Low Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN) for Agricultural Applications. *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security (ARES 2021)*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3465481.3469191>
7. Kuntke, F., Baumgärtner, L., & Reuter, C. (2023). Rural Communication in Outage Scenarios: Disruption-Tolerant Networking via LoRaWAN Setups. *Proceedings of Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management (ISCRAM)*, 1–13. https://idl.iscram.org/files/kuntke/2023/2581_Kuntke_etal2023.pdf

The following 16 papers are not included in the thesis, although their findings are supplementary to it:

8. Schmid, D., Kuntke, F., Bauer, M., & Baumgärtner, L. (2023). BPoL: A Disruption-Tolerant LoRa Network for Disaster Communication. *2023 IEEE Global Humanitarian Technology Conference (GHTC)*, 440–447. <https://doi.org/10.1109/GHTC56179.2023.10354717>
9. Höchst, J., Baumgärtner, L., Kuntke, F., Penning, A., Sterz, A., Sommer, M., & Freisleben, B. (2023). Mobile Device-to-Device Communication for Crisis Scenarios Using Low-Cost LoRa Modems. In H. J. Scholl, E. E. Holdeman, & F. K. Boersma (Eds.), *Disaster Management and Information Technology* (pp. 235–268, Vol. 40). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-20939-0_12
10. Kuntke, F., Eberz-Eder, D., Trapp, M., & Reuter, C. (2023). RSF-Lab'23: Konzepte und Anwendungen zur resilienten digitalen Landwirtschaft. *INFORMATIK 2023: 53. Jahrestagung der Gesellschaft für Informatik—Informatik für Gesellschaft (Workshop-Beiträge)*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.18420/inf2023_156
11. Orlov, D., Kuntke, F., & Reuter, C. (2023). Optimierte Messenger-Applikation zur Notfallkommunikation via LoRaWAN-DTN. *INFORMATIK 2023: 53. Jahrestagung der Gesellschaft für Informatik—Informatik für Gesellschaft (Workshop-Beiträge)*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.18420/inf2023_160
12. Guntrum, L., Guldenring, B., Kuntke, F., & Reuter, C. (2022). Using Digitally Mediated Methods in Sensitive Contexts: A Threat Analysis and Critical Reflection on Security, Privacy, and Ethical Concerns in the Case of Afghanistan. *Zeitschrift für Friedens- und Konfliktforschung (ZeFKo)*, 11(2), 95–128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42597-022-00088-2>

13. Eberz-Eder, D., Kuntke, F., Brill, G., Bernardi, A., Reuter, C., Wied, C., Nuderscher, P., & Reuter, C. (2023). Prototypische Entwicklungen zur Umsetzung des Resilient Smart Farming (RSF) mittels Edge Computing. 43. *GIL-Jahrestagung: Informatik in der Land-, Forst- und Ernährungswirtschaft*. <https://dl.gi.de/handle/20.500.12116/40264>
14. Linsner, S., Steinbrink, E., Kuntke, F., Franken, J., & Reuter, C. (2022). Supporting Users in Data Disclosure Scenarios in Agriculture through Transparency. *Behaviour & Information Technology (BIT)*, 41(10), 2137–2159. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2022.2068070>
15. Reuter, C., Kuntke, F., Trapp, M., Wied, C., Brill, G., Müller, G., Steinbrink, E., Franken, J., Eberz-Eder, D., & Schneider, W. (2022). AgriRegio: Infrastruktur zur Förderung von digitaler Resilienz und Klimaresilienz im ländlichen Raum am Beispiel der Pilotregion Nahe-Donnersberg. In D. Demmler, D. Krupka, & H. Federrath (Eds.), *INFORMATIK 2022: 52. Jahrestagung der Gesellschaft für Informatik—Informatik für Gesellschaft (Workshop-Beiträge), Lecture Notes in Informatics (LNI)* (pp. 961–972). Gesellschaft für Informatik e. V. https://doi.org/10.18420/inf2022_81
16. Reuter, C., Eberz-Eder, D., Kuntke, F., & Trapp, M. (2022). RSF-Lab’22: Resilient Smart Farming Laboratory: Für eine widerstandsfähige und intelligente Landwirtschaft. In D. Demmler, D. Krupka, & H. Federrath (Eds.), *INFORMATIK 2022: 52. Jahrestagung der Gesellschaft für Informatik—Informatik für Gesellschaft (Workshop-Beiträge), Lecture Notes in Informatics (LNI)* (pp. 931–934). Gesellschaft für Informatik e. V. https://doi.org/10.18420/inf2022_78
17. Eberz-Eder, D., Kuntke, F., & Reuter, C. (2022). Sensibilität für Resilient Smart Farming (RSF) und seine Bedeutung in Krisenzeiten. 42. *GIL-Jahrestagung: Informatik in der Land-, Forst- und Ernährungswirtschaft*. <https://dl.gi.de/handle/20.500.12116/38375>
18. Kuntke, F., Sinn, M., Linsner, S., & Reuter, C. (2021). Low Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN) für krisentaugliche Datenübertragung in landwirtschaftlichen Betrieben. In A. Meyer-Aurich, M. Gandorfer, C. Hoffmann, C. Weltzien, S. D. Bellingrath-Kimura, & H. Floto (Eds.), 41. *GIL-Jahrestagung: Informatik in der Land-, Forst- und Ernährungswirtschaft* (pp. 193–198). Gesellschaft für Informatik. <https://dl.gi.de/handle/20.500.12116/35671>
19. Eberz-Eder, D., Kuntke, F., Schneider, W., & Reuter, C. (2021). Technologische Umsetzung des Resilient Smart Farming (RSF) durch den Einsatz von Edge-Computing. 41. *GIL-Jahrestagung: Informatik in der Land-, Forst- und Ernährungswirtschaft*, 79–84. <https://dl.gi.de/handle/20.500.12116/35651>

20. Kuntke, F., Reuter, C., Schneider, W., Eberz, D., & Bernardi, A. (2020). Die GeoBox-Vision: Resiliente Interaktion und Kooperation in der Landwirtschaft durch dezentrale Systeme. In C. Hansen, A. Nürnberger, & B. Preim (Eds.), *Mensch und Computer 2020—Workshopband* (pp. 1–6). Gesellschaft für Informatik e.V. <https://doi.org/10.18420/muc2020-ws17-407>
21. Höchst, J., Baumgärtner, L., Kuntke, F., Penning, A., Sterz, A., & Freisleben, B. (2020). LoRa-based Device-to-Device Smartphone Communication for Crisis Scenarios. *Proceedings of Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management (ISCRAM)*, 996–1011. http://idl.iscram.org/files/jonashochst/2020/2291_JonasHochst_etal2020.pdf
22. Linsner, S., Kuntke, F., Schmidbauer-Wolf, G. M., & Reuter, C. (2019). Blockchain in Agriculture 4.0—An Empirical Study on Farmers Expectations towards Distributed Services based on Distributed Ledger Technology. In F. Alt, A. Bulling, & T. Döring (Eds.), *Mensch und Computer 2019* (pp. 103–113). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3340764.3340799>
23. Kalle, T., Kaufhold, M.-A., Kuntke, F., Reuter, C., Rizk, A., & Steinmetz, R. (2019). Resilience in Security and Crises through Adaptions and Transitions. In C. Draude, M. Lange, & B. Sick (Eds.), *INFORMATIK 2019: 50 Jahre Gesellschaft für Informatik—Informatik für Gesellschaft (Workshop-Beiträge), Lecture Notes in Informatics (LNI)* (pp. 571–584). Gesellschaft für Informatik e. V. https://doi.org/10.18420/inf2019_ws60

Contents

Part I Synopsis

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Motivation and Problem Statement	3
1.2	Aim and Research Question	6
1.3	Content and Structure of the Thesis	7
2	Background	15
2.1	Characteristics of Agriculture in Germany	15
2.2	Digitalization in Agriculture and Recent Findings of Resilient Technologies	17
3	Research Design	25
3.1	Research Approach	25
3.2	Research Context	26
3.3	Methods	27
4	Findings	33
4.1	Farms' Digital Dependencies and Vulnerabilities	33
4.2	Towards a Resilient Software Architecture for Farm Management	35
4.3	LoRaWAN-based IoT Developments Towards Resilient Communications	37
5	Discussion	43
5.1	The Risk of Failure of Technologies Used By Farmers	43
5.2	Concepts and Prototypes as Demonstration of Resilient Smart Farming Technologies	45

5.3	Limitations	48
6	Conclusion	51
6.1	Key Findings and Implications	51
6.2	Future Work	53
 Part II Publications		
7	Resilience in Agriculture: Communication and Energy Infrastructure Dependencies of German Farmers	57
7.1	Introduction	57
7.2	State of Research	58
7.3	Qualitative Analysis	62
7.4	Quantitative Analysis	68
7.5	Discussion	75
7.6	Conclusion	79
8	The Role of Privacy in Digitalization—Analyzing Perspectives of German Farmers	81
8.1	Introduction	81
8.2	Background and Related Work	82
8.3	Method	87
8.4	Results of the Empirical Study	92
8.5	Discussion	100
8.6	Conclusion	106
9	LoRaWAN Security Issues and Mitigation Options by the Example of Agricultural IoT Scenarios	109
9.1	Introduction	109
9.2	Background and Research Gap	111
9.3	Specifics of IoT in Wide Area Applications	115
9.4	Literature Selection Method	119
9.5	Vulnerabilities and Mitigations	122
9.6	Discussion	135
9.7	Conclusion	136
10	GeoBox: Design and Evaluation of a Tool for Resilient and Decentralized Data Management in Agriculture	139
10.1	Introduction	139
10.2	Literature Review: Digitalization and Resilience in Agriculture	141

10.3	Empirical Study: Focus Groups to Derive Requirements for Architecture and Interface Design	147
10.4	Empirical Study: Summary of Findings	150
10.5	Concept and Implementation: A Toolbox for Decentralized Data Management and Resilient Regional Networking in Agriculture	152
10.6	Empirical Evaluation: Usability Tests with Agricultural Practitioners	157
10.7	Empirical Evaluation: Presentation of Findings	159
10.8	Discussion	164
10.9	Conclusion	169
11	LoRaWAN Signal Loss in Rural Areas	171
11.1	Introduction	171
11.2	Foundations and Related Work	172
11.3	Methodology	175
11.4	Results	178
11.5	Discussion	181
11.6	Conclusion	182
12	Reliable Data Transmission Using Low Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN) for Agricultural Applications	185
12.1	Introduction, Background and Research Question	185
12.2	Related Work and Comparisons of LPWAN Technologies	187
12.3	Concept: LoRa + AX.25 + IPv4 + TCP	190
12.4	Study Design	193
12.5	Results	197
12.6	Discussion	201
12.7	Conclusion and Future Work	203
13	Rural Communication in Outage Scenarios: Disruption-Tolerant Networking via LoRaWAN	
	Setups	205
13.1	Introduction	205
13.2	Background	207
13.3	Related Work: Adapting LPWAN	209
13.4	Use Case and Scenario: Emergency Communication for Agricultural Areas	211

13.5	Farm-to-Farm Distances	212
13.6	Simulation	215
13.7	Concept and Implementation	219
13.8	Conclusion	223
Bibliography	225

Acronyms

ABP	Activation By Personalization
ADR	adjusting adaptive data rate
AES	Advanced Encryption Standard
AFR	autonomous field robot
AI	artificial intelligence
AS	application server
BP7	Bundle Protocol Version 7
CSCW	Computer-Supported Cooperative Work
DoS	Denial-of-Service
DSR	design science research
DTN	disruption-tolerant networking
ED	end device
FMIS	Farm Management Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
GW	gateway
HCI	Human-Computer Interaction
ICT	Information and Communications Technology
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
IoT	Internet of Things
IT	information technology
ITU	International Telecommunication Union
JS	join server
LoRaWAN	Long Range Wide Area Network
LoS	line of sight
LPWAN	Low Power Wide Area Network
MANET	Mobile Ad Hoc Network

MIC	message integrity code
NAS	network-attached storage
NS	network server
OTAA	Over-The-Air Activation
OSI	Open Systems Interconnection
PWA	Progressive Web App
RQ	research question
RSSI	received signal strength indicator
SLR	Systematic Literature Review
SME	small and medium-sized farms and enterprises
SNR	Signal-to-Noise-Ratio
SUS	System Usability Scale
UAV	unmanned aerial vehicle
UI	User Interface
WSN	Wireless Sensor Network

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	This work’s theme is the intersection of agriculture, digitalization and resilience	6
Figure 2.1	Development of the farm structure of agricultural holdings with 5 hectares or more of land in Germany; data from: Bundesanstalt für Landwirtschaft und Ernährung (2023, p. 24–25)	17
Figure 2.2	Timeline of agricultural revolutions aligned with industrial revolutions. (source: Liu et al. (2021))	18
Figure 2.3	LoRaWAN stars-of-stars architecture with two cryptography keys for integrity protection (NwkSKey) and data protection (AppSKey)	22
Figure 4.1	Attack types of the LoRaWAN vulnerabilities. (Figure from Chapter 9)	34
Figure 4.2	Scheme of the complete system, with the three different classes of devices: global server, local server and client devices. The concept of local (mini) servers is used to have a resilient data storage on the company level. (Figure from Chapter 10)	37
Figure 4.3	The concept of connected <i>farm islands</i> during an infrastructure outage	39

Figure 4.4	Visualization of farm clusters with DBScan and euclidean distance ($\epsilon = 2000m$; $minPts = 5$). Different colors represent different clusters of five or more farms, that could be connected via an wireless transmission channel with 2km range. Light gray dots represent the detected farm buildings. In the eastern German states, there are statistically fewer but larger farm holdings, so that the average distance between farm buildings is greater. Accordingly, small clusters with few farms tend to form. (Figure from Chapter 13)	40
Figure 4.5	Screenshot of messenger UI that works with the LoRaWAN emergency channel for smartphones from Orlov et al. (2023)	41
Figure 7.1	Tabular listing of the recorded risks within the focus groups with possible effects, and the resulting dangers as well as appealed countermeasures, with or without information and communication technology (ICT) to mitigate specific dangers	66
Figure 7.2	Geographical distribution of participants in the online survey by German federal state of the company's main activity	70
Figure 7.3	Heat map for failures within the last 12 months depending on infrastructure type. The numbers represent the percentages of the answering options and add up to 100% per row. The numbers in brackets represent the absolute numbers of answers	71
Figure 7.4	Relative frequency of possession of precautionary measures with bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals. Embedded is information on the percentage of facilities that had to use the precautions in the past	72
Figure 7.5	Relative frequencies for the number of different types of precautions for infrastructure failures per farm, with livestock farms in the upper chart ($n_1 = 71$) and farms without livestock below ($n_2 = 47$)	73
Figure 8.1	Different agricultural subsectors and how they are affected by digitalization, influencing attitudes toward privacy and the likelihood of adopting new technologies	94

Figure 9.1	LoRaWAN Architecture and Key Usage	112
Figure 9.2	Simplified LoRaWAN technology stack in the OSI model	112
Figure 9.3	Class A ED receive-slot timing	113
Figure 9.4	Attack types of the LoRaWAN vulnerabilities	122
Figure 10.1	Scheme of the complete system, with the three different classes of devices: global server, local server and client devices. The concept of local (mini) servers is used to have a resilient data storage on the company level	153
Figure 10.2	Navigation inside the application starts on (a) dashboard, that allows to open the distinct functions; (b) map; (c) form management; and (d) journal	155
Figure 10.3	Responsive home screen (dashboard) on three most used client devices of the targeted end-users: tablet, laptop (desktop computer) and smartphone. The tiles represent distinct functions of the app, like visualization of cultivated fields on map, documentation of done actions, etc	156
Figure 10.4	Results of the SUS questionnaire. The number on the right of each bar indicates the mean score for the question (ranging from 1 to 5)	160
Figure 11.1	Simplified illustration of the LoRaWAN technology stack in the OSI model	175
Figure 11.2	The used hardware setups of our empirical tests. (source: own pictures)	176
Figure 11.3	Comparison of RSSI and SNR as a function of distance with the Adeunis Tests A1–A5	180
Figure 11.4	Test A6 (agricultural used area) with just a few obstacles achieved a range of 3352 m. Smaller black dots on the track mark failed transmission attempts. The gateway is on an elevation of 190 m NN. At the maximum distance, the sender is on an elevation of 175 m NN. This comes to an elevation difference of 15 m	181
Figure 12.1	Concept of LoRa + AX.25 + TCP/IPv4 in the OSI Model	192
Figure 12.2	One RNode with case and the plain circuit board	193
Figure 12.3	Schematic Illustration of Test Setup	194

Figure 12.4	Measured Transfer Rates	200
Figure 12.5	Measured Latency	200
Figure 12.6	Measured Packet Loss	200
Figure 12.7	Calculated LoRa Airtime vs. Spreading Factor	201
Figure 13.1	Clustering results with maximum distance ϵ and at least five elements per cluster ($minPts = 5$). Each element of a cluster is assigned a random color. All (including non-clustered) buildings are displayed as gray dots overlaid. With a point-to-point communication range of 2000 m or more, large parts of south and west of Germany could be covered	215
Figure 13.2	As we investigate on neighborhood communication, we reduced large clusters of our data set by k-Means to get more community-sized clusters for simulation	217
Figure 13.3	Static nodes (farms) overlaid on extracted OpenStreetMap paths, used for simulation of pedestrians	218
Figure 13.4	Message delivery rates of both scenarios. Mean over all 40 runs	219
Figure 13.5	Technical concept: Regular LoRaWAN setup is extended by a LoRaWAN Packet Forwarder AddOn that allows to send and receive arbitrary LoRa(WAN) frames. The concept allows message exchange during network infrastructure outages	222

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Overview of the publications that are part of this dissertation	8
Table 2.1	Comparison of three common LPWAN technologies, information taken from Mekki et al. (2019)	20
Table 3.1	Applied methods at a glance	27
Table 4.1	List of identified requirements for interface design (front-end). Table from Chapter 10	35
Table 4.2	List of identified requirements for system architecture (back-end). Table from Chapter 10	36
Table 7.1	Distribution of participants of the focus group interviews	63
Table 7.2	Branches the participants are working in (multiple answers possible)	64
Table 7.3	Companies size in number of employees	69
Table 7.4	Age distribution of participants	70
Table 7.5	Percentage of answers to the question which (digital) tools would continue to function without an Internet connection. Note: Last column (n_i) is total number of owners of the application. Answer options were <i>Does not work offline (-)</i> , <i>Works offline with limitations (-/+)</i> , <i>Works offline (+)</i>	74