

Andreas Liening

# Complexity and Entrepreneurship

Complexity Research and Its Implications  
on Entrepreneurship Processes

 Springer



# Complexity and Entrepreneurship

---

Andreas Liening

# Complexity and Entrepreneurship

Complexity Research and Its Implications  
on Entrepreneurship Processes

Andreas Liening  
Fakultät Wirtschaftswissenschaften, Lehrstuhl  
Entrepreneurship und Ökonomische Bildung  
Technische Universität Dortmund  
Dortmund, Germany

ISBN 978-3-658-44031-2      ISBN 978-3-658-44032-9 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-44032-9>

This book is a translation of the original German edition “Komplexität und Entrepreneurship” 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, by Andreas Liening, published by Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH in 2023. The translation was done with the help of an artificial intelligence machine translation tool. A subsequent human revision was done primarily in terms of content, so that the book will read stylistically differently from a conventional translation. Springer Nature works continuously to further the development of tools for the production of books and on the related technologies to support the authors.

Translation from the German language edition: “Komplexität und Entrepreneurship” by Andreas Liening, © Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH, ein Teil von Springer Nature 2023. Published by Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden. All Rights Reserved.

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH, part of Springer Nature 2024

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH, part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Abraham-Lincoln-Str. 46, 65189 Wiesbaden, Germany

Paper in this product is recyclable.

---

## Preface to the Second Edition

*Yes, just make a plan!  
Just be a great light!  
And then make a second plan  
Neither of them will work. (Brecht, 1928)*

The world in which we live is perceived as increasingly complex. We often feel as if we are in a fog. Complexity is more than just a “feeling”, it is an empirical fact. And yet, we are constantly surprised by developments that we have not even remotely anticipated. This applies to events on the world stage, where financial crises, climate crises, state crises, and other escalations up to and including military conflicts catch us unprepared. Nevertheless, major events that begin as perceived crises can also be positive, as the “fall of the Berlin Wall” shows, which no one had anticipated.

However, complex, unpredictable events exist not only on a large scale, but also on a small scale, as in the context of one’s own individual experiences:

Everyone, for example, writes a “CV”, a Curriculum Vitae, which, when viewed as a reader, reveals a “common thread”. In retrospect, much can be interpreted sensibly and you can assign a meaning to the developments of life. However, if you are at the beginning of those developments that later appear so sensible and logical, you may well have the impression that this supposed “common thread” does not exist at all.

Often, it is rather the small coincidences, but of course also a lot of hard work and perseverance, close friends who have supported and accompanied you, decisions that you made in a certain situation, but certainly not a long-term plan, which could be assumed from the example of the curriculum vitae, that characterises one’s professional career.

Quite the contrary: Particularly significant, major events in one’s own life often happen unplanned and seemingly randomly, and are rarely the result of a sophisticated plan. And this applies not only to your profession: For example, you may have met your life partner because you happened to “end up” in a certain club with a few friends; you contemplate at a fork in the road whether to turn left or right, spontaneously decide for one direction and against the other, and encounter an interesting person, and your life is steered in a completely new direction, etc.

Often, we can only make sense of developments in retrospect, and then we speak of a “red thread”. Ex post, everything can be explained, but ex ante, it is often much more difficult to find an explanation.

If, on the one hand, we experience a negative event, for example, we often speak of “bad luck” or “fate” to indicate that it was beyond our control.

We often overlook the fact that much of what “happens” to us was brought about by ourselves, and even when we admit our own mistakes as such, we often overlook the fact that it is precisely these mistakes that make us stronger and more confident in mastering life.

On the other hand, if the experiences are positive, we tend to attribute the success much more to ourselves alone, rather than remembering who and what, but also which unforeseen circumstances, helped us to achieve this success. Only sometimes are the experiences of such great importance to us that we elevate them and speak of “providence”, “fate” or “destiny”.

In English, the idea that positive experiences sometimes have to be attributed to things that are beyond our control and may not even have been intended finds expression in the beautiful word: *Serendipity*—Fortunate coincidence.

Both belong together, the negative as well as the positive, only in the interplay of the two poles is balance achieved: Hard and soft—*Go* and *Ju*—*Goju*, as karateka of a certain style would describe their way of life, the Karate-Do, where the path (Do) itself, which one bravely takes step by step, is the actual goal.

But it’s not just in our personal lives and on a small scale; many things can’t be planned, especially in public and on a larger scale. Let’s take the German national economy as an example and the enormous task of coordinating supply and demand, which affects millions of individuals in Germany every day. Those who thought they could plan this centrally for decades were not only mistaken, their whole (political and economy) system ultimately failed. How can a central authority possibly know *which* goods or services should be provided individually, *when* and *where*, in which *quantity* and in which *quality* should be provided to each individual?

However, despite the limitations that plans obviously have, we cannot do without plans.

For example, macroeconomic coordination and other related issues can only be solved with the help of the decentralised knowledge of hundreds of thousands of entrepreneurs, managers, and merchants “on the spot”. This requires meticulous planning at the decentralised level, which ultimately represent tools for solving a factual problem. But plans also have important functions beyond that as they

- ... are *communicative*: They make the intentions of the planner and his approach clear to other people.
- ... enable *coordination*: Thus, the activities of several people can be coordinated with each other using a plan against the backdrop of a common goal.
- ... *commit*: By trusting that we will adhere to our plans, we allow others to rely on the outcomes of our planned projects. Thus, plans create certainty of action.

- ... ensure *transparency*: They make goals and procedures clear and discussable, they enable an improvement of action frameworks, possibly even a change of goals.
- ... *relieve*: Once a plan is in place, you don't have to constantly recall all the details or rethink them over and over again (Strohschneider, von der Weth et al., 2001, p. 12 ff.).

Predictability from the perspective of startups can, for example, include knowledge of the supply and demand situation in existing markets. In this context of an existing market in which new start-ups are emerging, we speak of a *Red Ocean*. The ocean turns red because suddenly new fish appear in the sea that eat the others. But plans have their limits. This is always the case when situations, processes, etc. no longer move "in calm waters", when past data no longer helps us to develop solutions for the future, but when we get into complex situations, when turbulence, dynamic leaps, and chaos occur.

Of all entrepreneurial fields of action, entrepreneurship is particularly affected by these boundaries. Especially in startups, the limits of plans become apparent very quickly. Especially in uncertain times like these, where also the resurgence and advancement of artificial intelligence (AI), coupled with an explosive increase in its usage, enable entirely new business models, the limitations of traditional planning become more than apparent. No wonder that the founder of Amazon, Jeff *Bezos*, says that a business plan will not survive its first encounter with reality. It will always be different. Reality will never match the plan, according to *Bezos* (Mochere, 2022). After all, startups have little chance of foresight, especially since they are often the cause of unpredictable changes and disruption themselves.

Newly emerging markets cannot possess a past. Before the invention of significant innovations—from steam power to smartphone technology—no one could have obtained detailed information about demand or supply—they simply did not exist. The tearing down of old markets, the creation of new markets, in short—as *Schumpeter* once said—*creative destruction*, is indeed a hallmark of a startup.

The chaos that we encounter in the environment of a startup is therefore rather positive for the startup itself: As chaos and complexity are signs of great uncertainty and only enable the development of the startup, enable creative destruction, while order only generates habit and relies on what has been proven so far, which is swept away by the startup. Here we speak of the *Blue Ocean*, the blue ocean, which still wants to be explored. Creating new markets is like sailing over the blue ocean to an undiscovered land that is not marked on any map. In this process, a classic business administration, which is oriented towards existing companies, often fails because it needs past data to predict the future. However, startups that have just been founded do not have any past values.

Furthermore: Even if numerous (past) data are available, they do not help us if we venture into complex waters. The *Laplacian Demon*, who can predict everything because he knows all initial conditions and has all necessary information, and who also

permeates our thinking, must fail in complex phases, as is explained and justified in great detail in this book. One could say: In the realm of complexity, the future lies between chance and order like in a fog.

Yes, even more so, complexity itself *is*, figuratively speaking, like fog: Short-term forecasts are indeed possible, but then the so-called butterfly effect sets a clear limit to predictability.

Complex phenomena are often popularly described with this '*butterfly effect*', which states that the flap of a butterfly's wings in Brazil could, after some time, trigger a tornado in Texas.

And with that, we do not see the turbulence coming, we cannot predict it. The classic methods are blind to complexity: And even if we could capture all the smallest snippets of information, the collection, analysis, and evaluation would still take more time than it would take to get the results in time, so that the events would roll over us one way or another. Certainly, one can analyse the fog with classic methods. But, to stay with this image, even after the most thorough analysis, the fog is still there.

But there is hope—There are indeed scientific answers to the question of how we can walk safely step by step through the fog:

For several decades, there have been established quantitative, respectively mathematical-empirical methods that can be successfully applied to questions of complexity between order and randomness. And it becomes clear: Chaos is not a coincidence on the one hand, and on the other hand, chaos and order belong together, like two sides of a coin, just as *Ying* and *Yang* are inconceivable without each other and condition each other.

While the first edition of this book focussed on theory, the second edition places particular emphasis on the integration of empirical approaches, whether to better understand behavioural anomalies in simple, complicated, and also complex systems through case studies, or to make the different levels of the "Synergetic Entrepreneurship Model (SEM)" developed in this book, which considers both the individual, organisational, and macroeconomic phenomena of entrepreneurship, accessible for empirical investigation through complexity science analyses of concrete time series.

For example, abrupt changes in system behaviour, which are described as phase transitions, can be diagnosed and investigated in complex processes by including the calculation of *Lyapunov* exponents, (fractal) dimensions, permutation entropies, *Recurrence* plots, and other methods, whereby these methods are previously described and analysed in detail theoretically. This makes it possible to investigate complex processes of structure genesis, as can be observed in the development of business models up to entire entrepreneurial ecosystems.

Often, entrepreneurs stand as if in front of a fog wall, where they need to test or realign their thinking. There is probably no "red thread" that they can cling to, no 'Business Plan' that could last five years. For a long time, people oriented themselves towards a mechanistic model, whose mathematics successfully shaped economics for many years, but reaches its limits in complex phases. Some economists therefore advocate a departure from mathematics in economics. However, this is not necessary,



because “Where there is danger, the saving power also grows,” writes the poet (Hölderlin, 2015). And so the established quantitative, empirical-mathematical methods of complexity sciences, which are increasingly also making their way into economics, help us find a path through the complex tangle and safely shape our startup: The fog is lifting.

Dortmund  
January 2024

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Andreas Liening

---

## Reference

- Brecht, B. (1928). *Ballad of the insufficiency of human planning*. Threepenny Opera.
- Hölderlin, F. (2015). *Poems*. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.
- Mochere, V. (2022). *Best quotes from Jeff Besoz*. Retrieved March 10, 2022.
- Strohschneider, S., et al. (2001). Ja, mach nur einen Plan! - Pannen und Fehlschläge - Ursachen, Beispiele, Lösungen. Hogrefe AG.

---

## Preface

For a long time, economics had a perhaps silent, but certainly large role model: Physics with its Newtonian mechanics. It allows us to calculate the trajectory of a rocket to the moon, or even to land instruments precisely on a distant tiny asteroid. The basis is the famous Newtonian law: Force = Mass times Acceleration. The force is the *cause* for a very specific *effect*: The acceleration, i.e., the force determines the latter. Even more: A double force produces a twice as large acceleration, i.e., a *linear* relationship applies. It is more than evident how tempting it is to transfer this reaction to economic events. For example, predictability means complete planning, which found its expression in Marxist economic theory and large-scale practice with its devastating consequences.

Now, this “worldview” of physics, just presented, has experienced two severe shocks in the last century:

1. Quantum physics, without which the entire information technology we use daily would not exist, but whose fundamental processes are not at all deterministic.
2. The second, probably more in the spotlight and brought to a wider audience, is the theory of chaos. However, the sensational term “chaos” used by scientists is not accurate: it refers to aesthetically highly appealing and *highly ordered* structures generated on computers. But this also obscures a fundamental aspect of chaos research: Although their equations are strictly deterministic, the smallest inaccuracy grows enormously in the course of the calculation process, so that predictability is fundamentally not possible. The failure of weather forecasting beyond several days is the glaring example almost inevitably cited in this context.

Around the same time as the emergence of chaos theory, I founded synergetics—the study of interaction. How do the individual parts of a complex system manage to interact in such a way that ordered structures or functions are created? Even with a system from physics, the then novel light source laser, it turned out that entirely new concepts were needed to represent the self-organisation of laser light that occurs here. Determinism is replaced by a strange combination of certainty and chance, linearity is replaced by non-linearity, causality is replaced by “circular causality”, and finally: instead of direct

---

control, indirect influence. I do not want to anticipate the author's explanations, Prof. Andreas Liening. However, it is clear that new perspectives on economics emerge from here, with only an economist being able to draw and present the appropriate conclusions. These are vital for the entrepreneur in an increasingly complex world. I am pleased that Prof. Liening mentions the significant economists Robert Solow and Friedrich August von Hayek in his conclusion. I have met both scientists several times. As I learned from Solow, he dealt with synergetics. Hayek advocated the concept of market self-organisation with its collective intelligence, which could not be predicted. So, with both scientists, Prof. Liening is "in good company". I am sure that the comprehensive work of Prof. Andreas Liening will not only be groundbreaking for entrepreneurs, but will also have a significant influence on the further development of economics.

Stuttgart, Germany  
February 2016

Univ.-Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Dr. h.c. mult. Hermann Haken

---

## Greetings

None other than *Seneca* once said:

“Reading is, I believe, absolutely necessary for me:

Firstly, so that I do not have to be content with myself alone, secondly, to become acquainted with the knowledge of others, thirdly, so that I can form an opinion about what they have discovered and think about the questions that still need to be answered.” (Seneca, approx. 4 BC–65 AD)

In this regard, I thank the research team at my chair for the tremendous support. Special thanks go to Hermann *Haken* for his foreword and the inspiration through his life’s work.

I wish the readers much joy in reading, interesting insights, and hopefully many ideas and questions about the complexity between order and randomness and their significance for entrepreneurship research!

Your



Prof. Dr. Andreas Liening

---

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	About the Presumption and Limits of Knowledge. . . . .	2
1.2	Economy and its Subsectors as Complex Systems . . . . .	5
1.3	Scientific Approaches—Complexonomics . . . . .	6
1.4	Complexity Science Substantiates Entrepreneurship as an Independent Business Discipline . . . . .	8
1.5	Objectives and Content—‘Run Through’ . . . . .	14
1.5.1	The Fourfold Objective . . . . .	14
1.5.2	On the Structure and Contents . . . . .	15
1.6	About the Approach: On Mathematics, Simulations, and the <i>Papillon</i> Spiral . . . . .	17
1.6.1	About Mathematics . . . . .	17
1.6.2	About Visualisations and Simulations . . . . .	18
1.6.3	The <i>Papillon</i> Spiral. . . . .	18
	References. . . . .	19

## Part I Background and History

<b>2</b>	<b>How do we Respond To Complexity?—A (not only) Behavioural Economic Consideration for Entrepreneurs</b> . . . . .	23
2.1	Crises, Chaos, Opportunities—Demands on our Thinking . . . . .	24
2.1.1	‘Time of Crisis’—‘Time of Opportunity’—The World is Becoming More Complex. . . . .	24
2.1.2	How Rational is Man? . . . . .	32
2.2	Behaviour in Simple Situations—Behavioural Anomalies are Observable . . . . .	34
2.2.1	Anomalies in the Information Process . . . . .	34
2.2.2	Information Perception. . . . .	35
2.2.2.1	‘Selective Perception’—Selective Perception of Information . . . . .	35

2.2.2.2	‘Availability’—Availability of Information . . . . .	36
2.2.2.3	‘Framing’—Presentation of Information . . . . .	38
2.2.3	Information Processing . . . . .	40
2.2.3.1	‘Mental Accounting’—Mental Account Management in Information Processing . . . . .	40
2.2.3.2	‘Anchoring’—Anchoring of Information Processing . . . . .	40
2.2.3.3	‘Representativeness’—Representativeness in Information Processing . . . . .	42
2.2.3.4	‘Information-Sources-Effect’—Information Source Effect in Information Processing . . . . .	43
2.2.4	Information Evaluation . . . . .	43
2.2.4.1	‘Reference-Point’—Reference Point in the Context of Information Evaluation . . . . .	43
2.2.4.2	‘Loss Aversion’—Loss Aversion in Information Evaluation . . . . .	44
2.2.4.3	‘Weighting of Probabilities’—Probabilities in Information Evaluation . . . . .	45
2.2.4.4	‘Survivorship Bias’—Bias in Favour of the Survivors . . . . .	48
2.2.5	Control Behaviour . . . . .	49
2.2.5.1	Anomalies due to the Need for Control . . . . .	49
2.2.5.2	‘Overconfidence’—Overestimating One’s Own Abilities . . . . .	50
2.2.5.3	‘Regret Aversion’ . . . . .	51
2.2.5.4	‘Illusion of Control’ . . . . .	51
2.2.5.5	‘Home Bias’—Preferring the Familiar . . . . .	53
2.2.5.6	‘Herding’—The J-Pattern . . . . .	53
2.3	Behaviour in Complex Situations . . . . .	55
2.3.1	Four Observable Strategies . . . . .	55
2.3.1.1	‘Trial and Error’—Experimentation . . . . .	55
2.3.1.2	‘Fading Out’ . . . . .	57
2.3.1.3	‘Rational Behaviour’—Rational Approach . . . . .	59
2.3.1.4	‘Simplicity’—Reduction to Simple Factors . . . . .	60
2.3.2	The Oil Spot Theory—“Recursive Consequences Spiral Out of Control” . . . . .	61
2.3.3	Intuition as a Successful Strategy for Coping with Complex Challenges? . . . . .	63
	References . . . . .	65

<b>3</b>	<b>Canvas—From the Mechanistic to the Complex View of the World . . . . .</b>	<b>69</b>
3.1	A Brief Look into History—About Newtonian Physics and Analogies in Economics . . . . .	71
3.1.1	A Brief Look into the History of Economics and the Worldview at the Beginning of the Modern Era . . . . .	71
3.1.1.1	Physiocracy and the Mechanical Man . . . . .	71
3.1.1.2	The Classical National Economy . . . . .	76
3.1.1.3	The Neoclassicism and its Image of Man . . . . .	77
3.1.1.4	The Historical Human Image of Economics: Controversial Receptions of the Entrepreneur . . . . .	80
3.1.2	About Classical Mechanics and Newtonian Physics—‘natura non facit saltus’ . . . . .	82
3.1.2.1	From the Principle of Mimesis to the Modern Understanding of Science (Liening, 1996) . . . . .	83
3.1.2.2	The “Laplacian Demon” as a Symbol of Determinism . . . . .	86
3.1.2.3	“Everything is reversible”—Reversibility . . . . .	87
3.1.2.4	“From a follows b”—(strong) Causality . . . . .	88
3.1.2.5	Systems are Decomposable—Summativity . . . . .	88
3.1.3	Analogy between Classical Mechanics and Economics . . . . .	89
3.1.3.1	The Influence of Classical Mechanics on Economics . . . . .	89
3.1.3.2	An Illustrative Linear, Static Supply-Demand Model: Multicopter Engineers Wanted for a Startup . . . . .	91
3.1.3.3	The Cobweb Model—Dynamisation of a Linear Supply-Demand Model: “Multicopter engineers wanted for a startup” . . . . .	95
3.1.3.4	Background Information: Mathematical Considerations on a Linear Cobweb Model . . . . .	100
3.1.3.5	Philosophical Consideration of the Analogy Formation between Classical Mechanics and Economics—The Danger of Knowledge in the Essential Spell of Technology . . . . .	103
3.2	The Collapse of the Laplacian Worldview . . . . .	107
3.2.1	First Doubts . . . . .	107
3.2.2	The Weather Forecast and the Butterfly Effect . . . . .	110
3.3	A Paradigm Shift is Announced—Characteristics of the New Approach . . . . .	113
3.3.1	Paradigm Shift in Economics . . . . .	113

3.3.2	Describing the World with Systems and Models—What is a System, What is a Model? . . . . .	115
3.3.2.1	Historical. . . . .	115
3.3.2.2	The Concept of System. . . . .	119
3.3.2.3	Basic Characteristics of Systems . . . . .	122
3.3.2.4	What are Models? . . . . .	140
3.4	Modeling and Simulation of Complex Systems . . . . .	141
3.4.1	Systems Dynamics—The ‘new’ Language . . . . .	141
3.4.2	Simulations with Counterintuitive System Behaviour. . . . .	143
3.4.2.1	Positive Feedback Loops . . . . .	144
3.4.2.2	Negative Feedback Loops . . . . .	148
3.4.2.3	Double Feedback Loops . . . . .	154
3.4.3	System Archetypes—Dealing with Complexity . . . . .	156
3.4.3.1	Equilibrium Process with Delay . . . . .	157
3.4.3.2	Limits of Growth. . . . .	158
3.4.3.3	Problem Shifting. . . . .	159
3.4.3.4	Eroding Goals . . . . .	162
3.4.3.5	Escalation . . . . .	164
3.4.3.6	Success to the Successful . . . . .	166
3.4.3.7	Tragedy of the Commons . . . . .	167
3.4.3.8	Error Corrections . . . . .	169
3.4.3.9	Growth and Underinvestment. . . . .	171
3.4.4	Why are Simulations so Important? . . . . .	174
3.4.5	Simulation of a ‘Complex System’ Using the Example of a Supply-demand Model—“Multicopter Engineers Wanted for a StartUp” . . . . .	177
3.4.6	First Steps to Understanding Complexity . . . . .	182
3.4.6.1	On the Irreversibility of Time and Catallaxy . . . . .	182
3.4.6.2	What does Complexity Mean?—The End of Reductionism? . . . . .	187
3.4.7	Consequences for the Example of the Supply-Demand Model . . . . .	194
3.5	First Conclusion—Summary of Important Aspects and a Brief Plea for a (Free) Market Economy and the Consideration of Entrepreneurship as a Complex Phenomenon . . . . .	197
3.5.1	Complexity and Behavioural Economics. . . . .	197
3.5.2	Complexity, the Laplacian Demon, and Economics . . . . .	197
3.5.3	Complexity and (Free) Market Economy. . . . .	198
3.5.4	Complexity and Entrepreneurship . . . . .	199
3.5.5	Outlook. . . . .	200
	References. . . . .	200



## Part II Theory and Empiricism—Recent Developments in Complexity Research

<b>4</b>	<b>Theory—Theoretical Approaches to Complexity</b>	211
4.1	(Strange) Attractors and Fractals	213
4.1.1	Attractors of All Kinds	213
4.1.1.1	What is a Phase Space?	213
4.1.1.2	What is Meant by an Attractor?	215
4.1.1.3	‘Benign’ Attractors	216
4.1.1.4	Background Information: The Ratio and the Recurrence—Rational Numbers	224
4.1.1.5	Background Information: Excursion to “Square Root of 2” (Liening, 2005, p. 40 ff.)	225
4.1.1.6	‘Strange’ or ‘Chaotic’ Attractors	229
4.1.2	Fractals for Describing Deterministic Chaos	231
4.1.2.1	Self-similarity or Scale Invariance as a Characteristic of a Fractal	231
4.1.2.2	The Tree as an Analogy to the Fractal	231
4.1.2.3	The ‘Mandelbrot Set’ or: About the Emergence of Self-Similar Structures	231
4.1.2.4	About the Length of a Fractal Edge—‘How long is the Coast of Britain’?—Koch Curves and Similar Considerations	235
4.1.2.5	Background Information: Calculation of the Area of the Koch Island	237
4.1.2.6	The Oddity of Fractional Dimensions	240
4.1.2.7	Background Information: (Box-)Dimensions	242
4.2	Bifurcations	248
4.2.1	Bifurcations in Dynamic Systems	248
4.2.1.1	What are Bifurcations?	248
4.2.1.2	The Discovery of Bifurcations	249
4.2.1.3	Bifurcation Scenario Using the Example of the Logistic Function	249
4.2.1.4	Intermittency and Bifurcations	256
4.2.2	Evidence of Erratic Behaviour	257
4.2.2.1	The <i>Li/Yorke</i> Theorem	257
4.2.2.2	<i>Lyapunov</i> Exponents	259
4.2.2.3	Background Information: Basic Calculation of the <i>Lyapunov</i> Exponent	260
4.3	Catastrophe Theory	263
4.3.1	René <i>Thom</i> ’s Theory	263
4.3.1.1	‘Catastrophes’ from a Scientific Perspective	263

4.3.1.2	About Singularities, Manifolds and Catastrophe Sets . . . . .	263
4.3.2	Hermann <i>Haken's</i> Criticism. . . . .	266
4.4	Synergetics—The Theory of Self-Organisation. . . . .	266
4.4.1	The Basic Idea of Synergetics . . . . .	266
4.4.1.1	Explaining Self-Organisation Phenomena . . . . .	266
4.4.1.2	About the Laser. . . . .	267
4.4.1.3	Swarm Intelligence—The Migration of Birds (Liening & Mittelstädt, 2008, pp. 41–43). . . . .	270
4.4.2	Interpreting Companies and Innovations Synergetically . . . . .	270
4.4.2.1	Partial and Total Systems in Synergetics . . . . .	270
4.4.2.2	The Mathematical Trick: The ‘Slaving Principle’. . . . .	271
4.4.3	Critical Remark . . . . .	272
4.5	Order and Chaos only in the Computer? About Definitions, Bolzano-Weierstraß and ‘Controlling Complexity’ . . . . .	273
4.5.1	‘Working definitions’ . . . . .	273
4.5.1.1	The Genesis of the Term ‘Chaos’—Sharpening of the Complexity Concept. . . . .	273
4.5.1.2	Preliminary Interpretation of the Concept of Chaos. . . . .	277
4.5.1.3	Definitions of Chaos and Complexity. . . . .	277
4.5.1.4	‘Order’ as a Counter-concept to ‘Chaos’?. . . . .	281
4.5.2	Does Chaos Only Exist in the Computer? . . . . .	282
4.5.2.1	Ignis Fatuus?—Does the Computer Generate Chaos?. . . . .	282
4.5.2.2	The First Argument: Increased Computational Accuracy in Complex Systems Does Not Lead to More Accurate Results . . . . .	283
4.5.2.3	The Second Argument: The ‘Shadow Lemma’ . . . . .	285
4.5.2.4	Third Argument: The ‘L-Density Argument’ . . . . .	287
4.5.2.5	Background Information: The Proof of the Purely Algebraic “Butterfly Effect” in the Logistic Equation: The L-Density Argument . . . . .	288
4.5.3	‘Controlling Complexity’—Can Chaos be Controlled?. . . . .	292
4.5.3.1	Chaotic Systems and Control—A Contradiction? . . . . .	292
4.5.3.2	A Little Hope—Influence Possibilities in Chaotic Systems . . . . .	293
4.5.3.3	An Alternative (synergetic) Approach: Complexity as an Opportunity . . . . .	295
4.5.3.4	‘Nudges’ and the Emergence of <i>Desired</i> Order Parameters. . . . .	300

4.5.3.5	Measuring Complexity to Determine the Placement of ‘Nudges’ . . . . .	302
4.5.3.6	There is Even a Predictable Path in Chaos—At Least Theoretically (Theorem of <i>Bolzano-Weierstraß</i> ) . . . . .	303
4.5.3.7	Background Information: There must be a Predictable Path in Chaos!—The Theorem of <i>Bolzano-Weierstraß</i> . . . . .	304
4.6	Second Conclusion—Summary of Important Aspects and the (Heuristic) Value of a Science of Complex Systems for Economics in General and the Topic of Entrepreneurship in Particular. . . . .	307
4.6.1	Brief Summary of Important Aspects . . . . .	307
4.6.2	What can a Science of Complex Systems do for Economics? . . . . .	308
4.6.2.1	Complex versus Mechanistic Viewpoint. . . . .	308
4.6.2.2	Methods of the Science of Complex Systems as Heuristic Analysis Tools . . . . .	309
4.6.2.3	Economic Significance from a Macroeconomic Perspective . . . . .	309
4.6.2.4	Economic Significance from an Entrepreneurship Perspective . . . . .	310
4.6.3	Outlook: Predictions—Even at the Company Level—Are not Trivial in Complex Situations, Processes or Structures, Empirical Studies are Nevertheless Useful and Necessary . . . . .	310
	References. . . . .	311
<b>5</b>	<b>Empiricism—Empirical Methods of Complexity Measurement . . . . .</b>	<b>317</b>
5.1	Complexity Measures in Discourse—Are <i>Lyapunov</i> Exponents and Fractal Dimensions Suitable? . . . . .	319
5.1.1	On the Relationship Between Theory and Practice . . . . .	319
5.1.1.1	The Inductive Perspective . . . . .	319
5.1.1.2	The Deductive Perspective . . . . .	320
5.1.1.3	Science as a Social Process. . . . .	321
5.1.1.4	Sophisticated Falsification . . . . .	322
5.1.1.5	Allowing Contradictory Theories . . . . .	323
5.1.1.6	The Importance of Methods . . . . .	324
5.1.2	Time Series in Empirical Analysis. . . . .	325
5.1.2.1	Time Series as a Result of Non-linear Dynamic Processes. . . . .	325
5.1.2.2	Preparation of Time Series for Complexity Science Investigations. . . . .	329

5.1.3	Fractal Dimension, <i>Lyapunov</i> Exponents and other ‘classic’ Methods . . . . .	335
5.1.3.1	An Initial Overview of Determining Complexity in Time Series . . . . .	335
5.1.3.2	Reconstruction of a System’s Phase Space. . . . .	339
5.1.3.3	Special Features in the Reconstruction of Phase Spaces—The Determination of Time-Lag . . . . .	347
5.1.3.4	Background Information: Correlation Coefficient—Autocorrelation . . . . .	350
5.1.3.5	Background Information: Expected Value of a Random Variable & ‘Mutual Information’ . . . . .	352
5.1.3.6	Special Features in the Reconstruction of Phase Spaces—The Determination of the Attractor Dimension: $D_2$ . . . . .	356
5.1.3.7	Special Features in the Reconstruction of Phase Spaces—Non-Overlap and Orthogonality . . . . .	358
5.1.3.8	Special Features in the Reconstruction of Phase Spaces—Surrogate Test . . . . .	360
5.1.3.9	About the Use of <i>Lyapunov</i> Exponents for Empirical Research: $D_2$ , $PD_2$ , <i>Wolf</i> -, <i>Briggs</i> -, <i>Kantz</i> - and other Algorithms. . . . .	361
5.1.3.10	Background Information: Complexity Measurement. . . . .	364
5.1.4	Examples of the Application of ‘Classical’ Methods in the Context of Empirical Studies . . . . .	372
5.1.4.1	Macroeconomic Time Series, Such as GDP Time Series. . . . .	372
5.1.4.2	Price Developments . . . . .	373
5.1.4.3	Exchange Rate Fluctuations . . . . .	373
5.1.4.4	Stock Price Fluctuations . . . . .	373
5.1.5	Critical Examination of the ‘Classical’ Methods. . . . .	376
5.1.5.1	Reconstruction of the Phase Space . . . . .	376
5.1.5.2	Fractals and Reality. . . . .	377
5.1.5.3	<i>Lyapunov</i> Exponents Under Criticism . . . . .	378
5.2	Algorithmic Encodings as Alternative Complexity Measures?—Entropy Encodings and Other Measures . . . . .	379
5.2.1	Resignation or Opportunity? . . . . .	379
5.2.1.1	Sorting Algorithms: From ‘Bubble’ to ‘Quick’ Sort . . . . .	379
5.2.1.2	Compression Algorithms—Much Better . . . . .	381

5.2.2	Entropy as a Measure of Complexity . . . . .	384
5.2.3	Entropy in Information Theory—‘Information Entropy’ . . . . .	386
5.2.3.1	Introduction to <i>Shannon</i> Entropy . . . . .	386
5.2.3.2	‘Variable Length Coding’ (VLC) and the <i>Shannon</i> Entropy. . . . .	389
5.2.3.3	Huffman Encoding for Generating Optimal Variable Length Codes . . . . .	392
5.2.3.4	Entropy Encoding and Complexity. . . . .	399
5.2.4	‘Permutation entropy’ Versus ‘Information Entropy’ . . . . .	400
5.2.5	‘Grammar Complexity’ as an Inverse Alternative to ‘Permutation Entropy’ . . . . .	403
5.2.6	‘Recurrence Plots’—Return Diagrams . . . . .	404
5.3	JPEG as a Solution?—Transformation Encodings . . . . .	416
5.3.1	<i>LZW</i> -Compression Algorithm (Lempel, <i>Ziv</i> , <i>Welch</i> ) . . . . .	416
5.3.2	Transformation Encodings. . . . .	417
5.3.2.1	(Discrete) <i>Fourier</i> Transformation (FT and DFT) . . . . .	417
5.3.2.2	Discrete Cosine Transformation (DCT) . . . . .	421
5.3.2.3	The JPEG Compression Algorithm. . . . .	422
5.3.2.4	Audio Compression . . . . .	423
5.3.2.5	Background Information: Transformation Encoding. . . . .	425
5.3.3	Complexity Studies . . . . .	438
5.3.3.1	Application of Image Compression Methods. . . . .	438
5.3.3.2	Application of Audio Compression Methods . . . . .	438
5.3.3.3	Application of Text Document Compression Methods. . . . .	439
5.4	Third Conclusion—Numerous Methods for Determining Complexity. . . . .	439
5.5	Outlook . . . . .	441
	References. . . . .	441

### Part III Multiperspective—Entrepreneurship and Complexity

<b>6</b>	<b>Entrepreneurship and Complexity . . . . .</b>	<b>449</b>
6.1	What is Entrepreneurship?—Trends and Controversies . . . . .	450
6.1.1	What is Entrepreneurship? . . . . .	450
6.1.1.1	On the Origin of the Term. . . . .	450
6.1.1.2	Entrepreneur versus Manager . . . . .	455
6.1.1.3	Entrepreneur—“Born or Made”? . . . . .	459
6.1.1.4	Different Entrepreneurship Classifications . . . . .	459

6.1.2	Current Trends and Controversies . . . . .	461
6.1.2.1	The Deterministic Belief in Feasibility and the <i>Laplacian Demon</i> . . . . .	461
6.1.2.2	Entrepreneurs between a Simple and Complex World. . . . .	463
6.1.2.3	'Discovery-' versus 'Creation-Theory'. . . . .	465
6.1.2.4	The <i>Effectuation</i> Approach . . . . .	469
6.1.2.5	The <i>Bricolage</i> Approach . . . . .	471
6.2	Development of a 'Synergetic Entrepreneurship Model' (SEM). . . . .	474
6.2.1	Basics: Lineality versus Non-Lineality . . . . .	474
6.2.2	Synergetics as the Basis for the Complexity Science Discussion of Entrepreneurship . . . . .	476
6.2.3	The Extended Synergetic Model . . . . .	479
6.2.4	Basic Explanation of the Model. . . . .	482
6.2.4.1	The Embedding of the Analysis of Entrepreneurial Founding Processes in a Multi-Level Structure . . . . .	482
6.2.4.2	Closer Examination of the Three Levels of an Entrepreneurial Founding Process . . . . .	485
6.2.4.3	The Economic Perspective—Three Perspectives on Entrepreneurial Founding Processes . . . . .	487
6.2.4.4	Three Submodels, the Multi-Level Model, and Synergetics . . . . .	488
	References. . . . .	490
<b>7</b>	<b>The 'Synergetic Entrepreneurship Model' (SEM)</b> . . . . .	<b>497</b>
7.1	The Individual Level: Focus on Entrepreneurship Education ( <i>SEMI</i> ) . . . . .	498
7.1.1	Rough Sketch of the 'Synergetic Entrepreneurship Model 1': The Individual Level from an Economic Didactic Perspective ( <i>SEMI</i> ) . . . . .	498
7.1.2	The Elements of the System. . . . .	503
7.1.2.1	Control Parameters . . . . .	503
7.1.2.2	The Microscopic Level . . . . .	515
7.1.2.3	The Macroscopic Level—Order Parameters. . . . .	516
7.1.2.4	Limitations . . . . .	516
7.1.2.5	Environment . . . . .	517
7.1.3	The Circular Causal Process . . . . .	518
7.1.3.1	General Explanation of the Circular Causal Process . . . . .	518
7.1.3.2	Order Parameters Arise through Self- Organisation and Synchronisation—The Importance of Phase Transitions. . . . .	520

7.1.3.3	'Nudges' Can Support the Emergence of Appropriate Order Parameters that Favour a Justified Entrepreneurial Attitude . . . . .	524
7.1.3.4	Measuring Complexity for Targeted Initiation of 'Nudges' . . . . .	526
7.2	The Organisational Level: Focus on Entrepreneurial Founding Processes ( <i>SEM2</i> ). . . . .	527
7.2.1	Rough Sketch of the 'Synergetic Entrepreneurship Model 2': The Organisational Level from a Business- Entrepreneurial Perspective ( <i>SEM2</i> ) . . . . .	527
7.2.2	The Elements of the System. . . . .	528
7.2.2.1	Control Parameters . . . . .	528
7.2.2.2	The Microscopic Level . . . . .	529
7.2.2.3	The Macroscopic Level—Order Parameters. . . . .	530
7.2.2.4	Limitations . . . . .	541
7.2.2.5	Environment . . . . .	542
7.2.3	The Circular Causal Process . . . . .	542
7.3	The Macroeconomic Level: Focussing on Market, Business Cycle, and Growth ( <i>SEM3</i> ). . . . .	543
7.3.1	Rough Sketch of the 'Synergetic Entrepreneurship Model 3': The Macroeconomic Level from a Predominantly Economic Perspective ( <i>SEM3</i> ). . . . .	543
7.3.2	The Elements of the System. . . . .	550
7.3.2.1	Control Parameters . . . . .	550
7.3.2.2	The Microscopic Level . . . . .	550
7.3.2.3	The Macroscopic Level—Order Parameters. . . . .	551
7.3.2.4	Limitations . . . . .	552
7.3.2.5	Environment . . . . .	552
7.3.3	The Circular Causal Process . . . . .	553
7.3.3.1	Business Cycle . . . . .	553
7.3.3.2	Dynamic Growth. . . . .	554
7.3.3.3	A Growth Model with Endogenised Human Capital Formation—Transmission Effects through Entrepreneurial Attitude . . . . .	561
7.4	Fourth Conclusion: Synergetic View of Entrepreneurship from Multiple Perspectives. . . . .	566
7.4.1	Brief Summary of the <i>SEM</i> Model and the Theories and Views Behind It . . . . .	566
7.4.2	<i>SEM1</i> —The Individual Level: Entrepreneurship Education as a Self-Organising, Complex Process . . . . .	570

7.4.3	<i>SEM2</i> —The Organisational Level: StartUp Foundations as a Synergetic Process Involving Specific, Ordering Business-Economic-Entrepreneurial Methods. . . . .	571
7.4.4	<i>SEM3</i> —The Macroeconomic Level: StartUps Support the Macroeconomic Growth Process through an Entrepreneurial Transmission Effect . . . . .	571
	References. . . . .	572
<b>8</b>	<b>Empirical Studies—The “Synergetic Entrepreneurship Model” in Practice . . . . .</b>	<b>579</b>
8.1	Studies Using Complexity Science Methods within the Framework of the “Synergetic Entrepreneurship Model” . . . . .	580
8.2	Studies on Phase Transitions and Complexity Measurements in the Context of <i>SEM1</i> . . . . .	582
8.2.1	The ‘Entrepreneurship Academy’ as a Practical Implementation of <i>SEM1</i> . . . . .	582
8.2.2	The ‘Synergetic Entrepreneurship Model’ ( <i>SEM</i> ) and the Problem of Phase Transitions. . . . .	585
8.2.3	‘ <i>Business Gaming</i> ’ as a Practical Control Parameter of the ‘Entrepreneurship Academy’ . . . . .	586
8.2.4	Complexity Measurement for Determining Phase Transitions and Their Practical Consequences. . . . .	589
8.2.4.1	Learning Processes, Crises, and Complexity Measurements . . . . .	589
8.2.4.2	A Study from the Field of Business Gaming: “Learning from Crises—Complexity Measurements” . . . . .	589
8.2.4.3	Critical Examination of the Study Results . . . . .	598
8.2.5	Another Study from the Field of <i>Business Gaming</i> (Complex Stock Market Simulation)—in the Context of <i>SEM1</i> . . . . .	599
8.3	Complex Dynamics of StartUps within the Framework of <i>SEM2</i> . . . . .	603
8.3.1	The Business Game as an Environment for Observing Learning Processes at the Organisational Level. . . . .	603
8.3.2	An Example of Observing a Real Startup in the Founding Process . . . . .	604
8.4	Complexity Investigations within the Framework of <i>SEM3</i> . . . . .	605
8.4.1	Complexity Science Investigations of Entrepreneurial Ecosystems. . . . .	605
8.4.1.1	Entrepreneurial Ecosystems in Research . . . . .	605
8.4.1.2	Objectives of the Study. . . . .	606
8.4.1.3	Approach to Complexity Science Data Analysis . . . . .	606



8.4.1.4	Results of the Complexity Science Analysis . . . . .	608
8.4.1.5	Conclusions from the Results of the Complexity Science Analysis . . . . .	609
8.4.2	Development of Business Start-ups in Germany . . . . .	612
8.4.2.1	Company Founding in Germany . . . . .	612
8.4.2.2	On the Empirical Methodology and Procedure . . . . .	613
8.4.2.3	Results: Federal . . . . .	613
8.4.2.4	Results: Federal States . . . . .	614
8.4.2.5	Plausible External Events . . . . .	619
8.5	Fifth Conclusion—Limitations in the Explanation of the Study Results and Perspectives . . . . .	622
	References . . . . .	624

**Part IV Final Considerations**

<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusion</b> . . . . .	629
9.1	About the First Part of the Book . . . . .	630
9.2	About the Second Part of the Book . . . . .	631
9.3	About the Third Part of the Book . . . . .	632
9.4	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	635
	References . . . . .	636
<b>10</b>	<b>Outro—Excerpt from a Travel Report</b> . . . . .	637
	References . . . . .	640

---

## List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	About the tasks of an entrepreneur, a leader, a manager, an employee in a complex world . . . . .	4
Fig. 1.2	Important representatives of the fundamentals of a complexity science in different disciplines. <i>Source</i> Bandte (2007, p. 50) . . . . .	8
Fig. 1.3	The Papillon Spiral . . . . .	18
Fig. 2.1	The information process. (Based on: Mazanek, 2006, p. 71) . . . . .	35
Fig. 2.2	Need for Control . . . . .	50
Fig. 2.3	Example of a tilt image. (The illustration was designed by W. E. Hill and first published in Puck Magazine (1915) (Attneave, 1971, p. 66)). . . . .	58
Fig. 3.1	Economic Schools of Thought. (It should be noted that the diagram with its links between the listed economic schools of thought represents only a <i>rough</i> simplification. It includes significant model-theoretical schools of thought that are essentially in an ‘institutional vacuum’. This means that, for example, directions of thought of the New Political Economy, the New Institutional Economics etc. are not listed. Since this does not further hinder the argumentation, this simplification is justified.) . . . . .	72
Fig. 3.2	The Writer by Pierre Jaques-Droz (1773) . . . . .	73
Fig. 3.3	The ‘Chess Automaton’ by Kempelen, copper engraving by Windisch. (Note: The images shown here are in the public domain, as their copyright protection period has expired.) . . . . .	74
Fig. 3.4	Tableau Economique. <i>Source</i> Schmidt (1994, p. 53). . . . .	75
Fig. 3.5	Linear supply curve . . . . .	92
Fig. 3.6	Linear demand curve . . . . .	94
Fig. 3.7	Linear supply and demand curve. . . . .	95
Fig. 3.8	Converging Cobweb Model. <i>Source</i> Liening (2012). . . . .	96
Fig. 3.9	Convergent price model. <i>Source</i> Liening (2012). . . . .	98
Fig. 3.10	Cyclic price model. <i>Source</i> Liening (2012). . . . .	99
Fig. 3.11	Divergent price model. <i>Source</i> Liening (2012) . . . . .	99

Fig. 3.12	Lineal cause-effect chain . . . . .	105
Fig. 3.13	The Lorenz Attractor . . . . .	112
Fig. 3.14	Representation of a system . . . . .	121
Fig. 3.15	Stability Act: Interactions of individual goals . . . . .	135
Fig. 3.16	Complementary relationships. (Based on: Gharajedaghi, 2005, p. 40) . . . . .	136
Fig. 3.17	Leadership typologies. (Adapted from: Cope, 2003, p. 354). . . . .	137
Fig. 3.18	Questioning technique for model building. <i>Source</i> Figure provided by Consideo. <a href="http://www.consideo.de">www.consideo.de</a> . . . . .	143
Fig. 3.19	Lineal relationship . . . . .	144
Fig. 3.20	Positive Feedback . . . . .	145
Fig. 3.21	Linear Growth . . . . .	145
Fig. 3.22	Exponential Growth . . . . .	146
Fig. 3.23	Positive feedback with target-actual comparison or control variable (discrepancy) . . . . .	148
Fig. 3.24	Positive feedback with delay . . . . .	149
Fig. 3.25	Negative Feedback . . . . .	150
Fig. 3.26	Negative Feedback . . . . .	150
Fig. 3.27	Negative feedback with target-actual comparison or control variable (discrepancy) . . . . .	151
Fig. 3.28	Negative feedback with delay . . . . .	152
Fig. 3.29	Negative feedback using the example of a heating system (thermostat) . . . . .	153
Fig. 3.30	Simulation of room temperature—negative feedback . . . . .	153
Fig. 3.31	Double feedback loop . . . . .	154
Fig. 3.32	Decreasing marginal returns: “Feedback” . . . . .	155
Fig. 3.33	Increasing marginal returns: “Feedback”. . . . .	155
Fig. 3.34	Archetype 1—Model—Equilibrium process with delay . . . . .	157
Fig. 3.35	Archetype 1—Simulation—Equilibrium process with delay: “Surplus demand” . . . . .	157
Fig. 3.36	Archetype 2—Model—Limits of Growth . . . . .	159
Fig. 3.37	Archetype 2—Simulation—Limits of Growth: “Product volume produced and sold” . . . . .	160
Fig. 3.38	Archetype 3—Model—Problem Shifting . . . . .	161
Fig. 3.39	Archetype 3—Simulation—Problem Shifting: “Stress Development” . . . . .	161
Fig. 3.40	Archetype 4—Model—Eroding Goals . . . . .	162
Fig. 3.41	Archetype 4—Simulation—Eroding Goals: “Target/actual deviation” . . . . .	163
Fig. 3.42	Archetype 5—Model—Escalation. . . . .	164
Fig. 3.43	Archetype 5—Simulation—Escalation: “Price reduction escalates” . . . . .	165

Fig. 3.44	Archetype 6—Model—Success to the Successful. . . . .	166
Fig. 3.45	Archetype 6—Simulation—Success of Product A – Failure of Product B – Success to the Successful . . . . .	167
Fig. 3.46	Archetype 7—Model—Tragedy of the Commons. . . . .	168
Fig. 3.47	Archetype 7—Simulation—Tragedy of the Commons: “Fish stock” – Long-term decline in catch quotas despite increasing efforts . . . . .	169
Fig. 3.48	Archetype 8—Model—Error Corrections . . . . .	170
Fig. 3.49	Archetype 8—Simulation—Error Corrections: “Liquidity shortfalls” . . . . .	171
Fig. 3.50	Archetype 9—Model—Growth and Underinvestment . . . . .	172
Fig. 3.51	Archetype 9—Simulation a)—Effect of an investment without delay . . .	173
Fig. 3.52	Archetype 9—Simulation b)—Effect of an investment with delay . . . . .	173
Fig. 3.53	Double-loop-learning. (Based on: Sterman, 2000) . . . . .	174
Fig. 3.54	Idealised learning process involving virtual worlds. (Based on: Sterman, 2000). . . . .	176
Fig. 3.55	Systemic Model—Logistic Equation. . . . .	178
Fig. 3.56	Fixed point attractor in the price system. <i>Source</i> Liening (2012) . . . . .	179
Fig. 3.57	Fixed point attractor in the price system—Simulation – (starting price, lambda, price development). . . . .	180
Fig. 3.58	Two-cycle attractor in the price system. <i>Source</i> Liening (2012) . . . . .	181
Fig. 3.59	Two-cycle in the price system—Simulation – (starting price, lambda, price development). . . . .	181
Fig. 3.60	Chaos in the price system. <i>Source</i> Liening (2012) . . . . .	182
Fig. 3.61	Chaos in the price system—Simulation - (starting price, lambda, price development). . . . .	183
Fig. 3.62	Maximum and minimum prices. . . . .	195
Fig. 4.1	Roller coaster ride . . . . .	214
Fig. 4.2	Pendulum movements . . . . .	216
Fig. 4.3	Pendulum motion—sine curve. . . . .	217
Fig. 4.4	Pendulum motion—location and momentum curve . . . . .	217
Fig. 4.5	Two-dimensional phase space, part 1 . . . . .	217
Fig. 4.6	Two-dimensional phase space, part 2 . . . . .	218
Fig. 4.7	Two-dimensional phase space, part 3 . . . . .	218
Fig. 4.8	Two-dimensional phase space, part 4 . . . . .	219
Fig. 4.9	Two-dimensional phase space with point attractor . . . . .	220
Fig. 4.10	Two-dimensional phase space with limit cycle attractor . . . . .	221
Fig. 4.11	Torus. . . . .	222
Fig. 4.12	Number line . . . . .	226
Fig. 4.13	Tree—Fractal . . . . .	232
Fig. 4.14	Tree crown—Fractal . . . . .	232
Fig. 4.15	Branches—Fractal . . . . .	233

Fig. 4.16	The <i>Mandelbrot</i> set ('apple man') . . . . .	234
Fig. 4.17	The <i>Mandelbrot</i> set—enlargement of a section ('apple man') . . . . .	235
Fig. 4.18	Coastline length of Great Britain. <i>Source</i> Peitgen et al. (1994, p. 233). . . . .	236
Fig. 4.19	Development of the <i>Koch</i> -curve of order 1-5 . . . . .	238
Fig. 4.20	<i>Koch</i> -Island . . . . .	239
Fig. 4.21	<i>Hilbert</i> curves. . . . .	240
Fig. 4.22	Point attractor. . . . .	250
Fig. 4.23	Point attractor. . . . .	250
Fig. 4.24	Limit cycle attractor on the time axis . . . . .	251
Fig. 4.25	Limit cycle attractor. . . . .	251
Fig. 4.26	Four-cycle on the time axis . . . . .	252
Fig. 4.27	Four-cycle . . . . .	252
Fig. 4.28	Chaotic or strange attractor on the time axis . . . . .	253
Fig. 4.29	Chaotic or strange attractor . . . . .	254
Fig. 4.30	Bifurcation diagram . . . . .	254
Fig. 4.31	Bifurcation diagram . . . . .	256
Fig. 4.32	<i>Lyapunov</i> Diagram. . . . .	260
Fig. 4.33	<i>Lyapunov</i> and Bifurcation Diagram . . . . .	261
Fig. 4.34	Bifurcation . . . . .	264
Fig. 4.35	Fold catastrophe . . . . .	265
Fig. 4.36	The Synergetic Concept. (Based on: Strunk and Schiepek 1994, p. 27, see also: Strunk and Schiepek 2006, p. 81) . . . . .	269
Fig. 4.37	Dynamics and complexity. <i>Source</i> Strunk and Schiepek (2014, p. 79). . . . .	274
Fig. 4.38	Higher computational accuracy does not yield better results (17 decimal places) . . . . .	284
Fig. 4.39	Higher computational accuracy does not yield better results (100 decimal places) . . . . .	285
Fig. 4.40	Higher accuracy provides more accurate results for root of 2. . . . .	286
Fig. 4.41	The Shadow Lemma . . . . .	287
Fig. 4.42	Logistic function after different iteration stages . . . . .	289
Fig. 4.43	Stable situation—'Ball in the valley' . . . . .	296
Fig. 4.44	Change—'Pushing the ball out of the valley' . . . . .	297
Fig. 4.45	'Rolling back the ball'—Hysteresis effects in the stable attractor. . . . .	297
Fig. 4.46	Tilt image—'Frog—Horse' . . . . .	298
Fig. 4.47	Phase transition . . . . .	299
Fig. 4.48	Phase transition—'Nudges'—Butterfly effect. . . . .	301
Fig. 5.1	(problematic) Induction . . . . .	320
Fig. 5.2	(problematic) Deduction . . . . .	321
Fig. 5.3	Number sequence A . . . . .	326
Fig. 5.4	Number sequence B . . . . .	326

Fig. 5.5	Number sequence C . . . . .	326
Fig. 5.6	Logistic Function . . . . .	328
Fig. 5.7	Attractor based on the logistic function . . . . .	329
Fig. 5.8	Raw data . . . . .	330
Fig. 5.9	Return . . . . .	331
Fig. 5.10	Relative Return . . . . .	331
Fig. 5.11	Natural logarithm . . . . .	334
Fig. 5.12	Logarithmic Return—LogReturn . . . . .	335
Fig. 5.13	Characterisation of various attractor types in three-dimensional phase space. <i>Source:</i> Argyris et al., 2010, p. 307 . . . . .	340
Fig. 5.14	Pendulum movements . . . . .	341
Fig. 5.15	Pendulum—(discrete) impulse curve. (The points A, B, C and D have already been explained in the context of the pendulum.) . . . . .	342
Fig. 5.16	Pendulum: ‘time-delay’ coordinates with $\tau = 1$ . . . . .	343
Fig. 5.17	Pendulum: ‘time-delay’ coordinates with $\tau = \pi/2$ . . . . .	344
Fig. 5.18	Sine and cosine in the unit circle . . . . .	345
Fig. 5.19	Points in the coordinate system. <i>Source:</i> Fahrmeir et al., 2007, p. 137 . . . . .	352
Fig. 5.20	The <i>Wolf</i> algorithm for determining <i>Lyapunov</i> exponents . . . . .	366
Fig. 5.21	<i>Kantz</i> algorithm for determining the <i>Lyapunov</i> exponent . . . . .	370
Fig. 5.22	<i>Rosenstein</i> algorithm for determining the <i>Lyapunov</i> exponent . . . . .	370
Fig. 5.23	<i>Wolf</i> -, <i>Rosenstein</i> - and <i>Kantz</i> -algorithm comparison . . . . .	371
Fig. 5.24	Relative frequencies of the occurrence of characters in a string . . . . .	393
Fig. 5.25	<i>Huffman</i> -Tree 1st level . . . . .	393
Fig. 5.26	<i>Huffman</i> -Tree 2nd level . . . . .	394
Fig. 5.27	<i>Huffman</i> Tree 3rd level . . . . .	394
Fig. 5.28	Encoding of the character a . . . . .	395
Fig. 5.29	Encoding of the character d . . . . .	395
Fig. 5.30	Encoding of the character c . . . . .	396
Fig. 5.31	Encoding of the character b . . . . .	396
Fig. 5.32	Equally distributed, but random . . . . .	397
Fig. 5.33	Unequally distributed and random . . . . .	398
Fig. 5.34	Pendulum cycle sine curve . . . . .	407
Fig. 5.35	Recurrence plot for the pendulum cycle—sine curve . . . . .	408
Fig. 5.36	Randomness—White Noise . . . . .	409
Fig. 5.37	Recurrence Plot for Randomness—White Noise . . . . .	410
Fig. 5.38	Two Coupled Oscillations . . . . .	411
Fig. 5.39	Recurrence Plot: Coupled Oscillation . . . . .	412
Fig. 5.40	Trend—Logistic Function . . . . .	412
Fig. 5.41	Recurrence Plot for the Trend—Logistic Function . . . . .	413
Fig. 5.42	<i>Lorenz</i> System—Data Series . . . . .	413
Fig. 5.43	<i>Lorenz</i> System—Recurrence Plot . . . . .	414