Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering 1034

Yunfei Yin · Lei Liu · Zhijian Hu · Hao Lin · Ligang Wu

Robust Control Strategies for Power Electronics in Smart Grid Applications



Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering

Volume 1034

Series Editors

Leopoldo Angrisani, Department of Electrical and Information Technologies Engineering, University of Napoli Federico II, Napoli, Italy

Marco Arteaga, Departament de Control y Robótica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Coyoacán, Mexico Samarjit Chakraborty, Fakultät für Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik, TU München, München, Germany Jiming Chen, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Shanben Chen, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China Tan Kay Chen, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

Rüdiger Dillmann, University of Karlsruhe (TH) IAIM, Karlsruhe, Baden-Württemberg, Germany Haibin Duan, Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Beijing, China

Gianluigi Ferrari, Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione, Sede Scientifica Università degli Studi di Parma, Parma, Italy

Manuel Ferre, Centre for Automation and Robotics CAR (UPM-CSIC), Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Faryar Jabbari, Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA Limin Jia, State Key Laboratory of Rail Traffic Control and Safety, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, China Janusz Kacprzyk, Intelligent Systems Laboratory, Systems Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

Alaa Khamis, Department of Mechatronics Engineering, German University in Egypt El Tagamoa El Khames, New Cairo City, Egypt

Torsten Kroeger, Intrinsic Innovation, Mountain View, CA, USA

Yong Li, College of Electrical and Information Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan, China

Qilian Liang, Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX, USA

Ferran Martín, Departament d'Enginyeria Electrònica, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

Tan Cher Ming, College of Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore Wolfgang Minker, Institute of Information Technology, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany

Pradeep Misra, Department of Electrical Engineering, Wright State University, Dayton, OH, USA

Subhas Mukhopadhyay, School of Engineering, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Cun-Zheng Ning, Department of Electrical Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

Toyoaki Nishida, Department of Intelligence Science and Technology, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

Luca Oneto, Department of Informatics, Bioengineering, Robotics and Systems Engineering, University of Genova, Genova, Genova, Italy

Bijaya Ketan Panigrahi, Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, Delhi, India

Federica Pascucci, Department di Ingegneria, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, Roma, Italy

Yong Qin, State Key Laboratory of Rail Traffic Control and Safety, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, China Gan Woon Seng, School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

Joachim Speidel, Institute of Telecommunications, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany Germano Veiga, FEUP Campus, INESC Porto, Porto, Portugal

Haitao Wu, Academy of Opto-electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Haidian District Beijing, China Walter Zamboni, Department of Computer Engineering, Electrical Engineering and Applied Mathematics, DIEM—Università degli studi di Salerno, Fisciano, Salerno, Italy

Junjie James Zhang, Charlotte, NC, USA

Kay Chen Tan, Department of Computing, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong

The book series *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering* (LNEE) publishes the latest developments in Electrical Engineering—quickly, informally and in high quality. While original research reported in proceedings and monographs has traditionally formed the core of LNEE, we also encourage authors to submit books devoted to supporting student education and professional training in the various fields and applications areas of electrical engineering. The series cover classical and emerging topics concerning:

- Communication Engineering, Information Theory and Networks
- Electronics Engineering and Microelectronics
- Signal, Image and Speech Processing
- Wireless and Mobile Communication
- Circuits and Systems
- Energy Systems, Power Electronics and Electrical Machines
- Electro-optical Engineering
- Instrumentation Engineering
- Avionics Engineering
- Control Systems
- Internet-of-Things and Cybersecurity
- Biomedical Devices, MEMS and NEMS

For general information about this book series, comments or suggestions, please contact leontina.dicecco@springer.com.

To submit a proposal or request further information, please contact the Publishing Editor in your country:

China

Jasmine Dou, Editor (jasmine.dou@springer.com)

India, Japan, Rest of Asia

Swati Meherishi, Editorial Director (Swati.Meherishi@springer.com)

Southeast Asia, Australia, New Zealand

Ramesh Nath Premnath, Editor (ramesh.premnath@springernature.com)

USA, Canada

Michael Luby, Senior Editor (michael.luby@springer.com)

All other Countries

Leontina Di Cecco, Senior Editor (leontina.dicecco@springer.com)

** This series is indexed by EI Compendex and Scopus databases. **

Yunfei Yin \cdot Lei Liu \cdot Zhijian Hu \cdot Hao Lin \cdot Ligang Wu

Robust Control Strategies for Power Electronics in Smart Grid Applications



Yunfei Yin School of Transportation Science and Engineering Harbin Institute of Technology Harbin, China

Zhijian Hu School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Nanyang Technological University Singapore, Singapore

Ligang Wu School of Astronautics Harbin Institute of Technology Harbin, Heilongjiang, China Lei Liu TUM School of Engineering and Design Technical University of Munich Munich, Germany

Hao Lin Laboratory of Aerospace Servo Actuation and Transmission Institute of Precision Mechatronics and Controls Beijing, China

ISSN 1876-1100 ISSN 1876-1119 (electronic) Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering ISBN 978-3-031-53187-3 ISBN 978-3-031-53188-0 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-53188-0

 ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$ The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

If disposing of this product, please recycle the paper.

Preface

Smart grid, as an emerging power technology, will gradually replace traditional grids and become the development direction of future power systems. With the introduction of diverse renewable energy sources, battery energy storage systems, and other new distributed energy resources, the power supply side of smart grids exhibits characteristics such as diversity, intermittency, and randomness. At the same time, with the emergence of new electric units like electric vehicles, the power consumption side of smart grids displays characteristics such as diversity, randomness, and flexibility. In order to establish friendly and flexible connections among various types of distributed power sources, battery energy storage systems, and new electric units with different attributes, it is necessary to achieve fast and precise energy conversion and control through energy conversion equipment. Power electronic conversion technology, based on power semiconductor devices, circuit topologies, and control theories, is the key and foundation for realizing the aforementioned functionalities. In this context, it is crucial to explore the latest advancements and trends in the field of control technology for power electronics in smart grid applications, in order to better understand how these technologies can be optimized to achieve maximum efficiency and performance.

On the other hand, load frequency control, as an important means to achieve dynamic balance between power generation and consumption in electrical systems, holds significant importance in maintaining system stability and achieving high-quality power output. However, the increasing adoption of plug-in EVs has brought about significant challenges to the load frequency control (LFC) of power grid systems. As the number of plug-in EVs participating in the power grid continues to increase, it becomes increasingly difficult to achieve an economically viable and effective load frequency control while maintaining satisfactory system performance. The intermittent nature of the plug-in EV charging process, the uncertain driving patterns of plug-in EV owners, and the potential impact of large-scale plug-in EV participation on power system stability all pose significant challenges to LFC. In this situation, new control strategies and technologies need to be developed to ensure the efficient and reliable operation of power systems while accommodating the growing

number of EVs. This requires a deep understanding of the interactions between plugin EVs and power systems, as well as the development of innovative solutions that can mitigate the challenges posed by EV participation.

This book aims to provide readers with comprehensive insight into robust control strategies for power electronics used in smart grid applications. The book is organized as follows. Chapter 1 introduces some background knowledge of smart grid, the challenges and opportunities of plug-in EVs for the power system, and the control techniques for smart grid applications. Chapter 2 showcases several control techniques that can be implemented for the three-phase two-level AC/DC power converter. Chapter 3 introduces a high-quality current control approach for the three-level AC/ DC power converter. Chapter 4 focuses on direct power control for the three-level AC/DC power converter. Chapter 5 proposes a fuzzy sliding-mode control strategy for the three-level AC/DC power converter. Chapter 6 provides a robust control approach for the operation of two-level AC/DC power converter when subjected to unbalanced grid conditions. Chapter 7 introduces an adaptive optimal control strategy to efficiently alleviate disturbances and uncertainties for the permanent magnet synchronous motor. Chapter 8 presents a distributed economic model predictive control approach for load frequency control that incorporates large-scale plug-in EV participation. Chapter 9 aims to secure the distributed frequency estimation of a large number of plug-in EVs participating in the distributed frequency regulation.

In conclusion, this book provides the reader with an essential overview of the latest developments in the control strategy for power electronics in smart grid applications. It serves as a valuable resource for researchers, engineers, and professionals in the field, facilitating a deeper understanding of robust control techniques and their practical implementation in shaping the future of smart grids.

Harbin, China June 2023 Yunfei Yin Lei Liu Zhijian Hu Hao Lin Ligang Wu

Acknowledgements

This monograph owes its completion to the invaluable contributions of many individuals, who provided constructive suggestions, insightful comments, and unwavering support throughout the entire process. We would like to express my deep appreciation to Prof. Zejiao Dong of Harbin Institute of Technology, whose guidance and mentorship have been invaluable to me as a researcher. He has shared his vast research experiences with me and encouraged me to develop my own research skills. I also want to extend my heartfelt gratitude to Prof. Jianxing Liu from Harbin Institute of Technology and Profs. Leopoldo G. Franquelo, Sergio Vazquez, José I. Leon, and Dr. Abraham Marquez from Universidad de Sevilla, whose encouragement and support enabled me to publish my work as a book. Without their kind suggestion and guidance, this book would not have been possible. I am grateful for the opportunity they have given me to share my research with a wider audience. Lastly, I want to express my heartfelt gratitude to my family. Their unwavering love, understanding, and support have been the driving force behind my work. Without them, I would not have been able to complete this book. I am grateful for their encouragement and motivation throughout the process.

The writing of this book was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 62303134, 62033005, 62320106001), the China Post-doctoral Science Foundation (No. 2022M710963), the Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province (No. YQ2022F008, ZD2021F001), and the Heilongjiang Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. LBH-Z22160).

Contents

| 1 | Gene | ral Introduction | 1 | |
|---|-------|--|----|--|
| | 1.1 | Background and Definition of Smart Grid | 1 | |
| | 1.2 | The Role of Power Converters in the Smart Grids | 2 | |
| | 1.3 | Integrating Plug-in Electric Vehicles into Power System: | | |
| | | Challenges and Opportunities | 4 | |
| | 1.4 | Control Techniques in Smart Grid Applications | 5 | |
| | | 1.4.1 Classical Linear Control Methods | 5 | |
| | | 1.4.2 Sliding Mode Control | 6 | |
| | | 1.4.3 Adaptive Control | 7 | |
| | | 1.4.4 Disturbance Observer-Based Control | 7 | |
| | | 1.4.5 Model Predictive Control | 8 | |
| | | 1.4.6 Intelligent Control | 9 | |
| | 1.5 | Outline of the Book | 10 | |
| | Refer | rences | 12 | |
| 2 | Casc | Cascade Control for Three Phase Two-Level Power Converters | | |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 15 | |
| | 2.2 | Model of Three Phase Two-Level Power Converters | 17 | |
| | 2.3 | Control of Converter | 19 | |
| | | 2.3.1 Voltage Regulation Loop | 19 | |
| | | 2.3.2 Instantaneous Power Tracking Loop | 25 | |
| | 2.4 | Simulation Results | 27 | |
| | 2.5 | Conclusion | 29 | |
| | Refer | rences | 31 | |
| 3 | Robu | ist High-Quality Current Control for Three-Level NPC | | |
| | Conv | verters | 33 | |
| | 3.1 | Introduction | 33 | |
| | 3.2 | System Description | 36 | |
| | 3.3 | Control Strategy Design | 37 | |
| | | 3.3.1 Current Tracking Loop Design | 38 | |
| | | 3.3.2 Voltage Regulation Loop Design | 42 | |
| | | 3.3.3 Voltage Balancing Loop Design | 44 | |
| | | 5.5.5 Voltage Datalieng Loop Design | | |

| | 3.4 | Experimental Results 43 | 5 |
|---|------|---|---|
| | | 3.4.1 An Overall Evaluation Comparison 40 | 6 |
| | | 3.4.2 Performance Comparisons of Voltage Regulation 40 | 6 |
| | | 3.4.3 Performance Comparison of Current Tracking 4' | 7 |
| | | 3.4.4 Performance Evaluation with Parametric | |
| | | Robustness 49 | 9 |
| | | 3.4.5 Performance Comparison of Grid Frequency | |
| | | Variation | 1 |
| | 3.5 | Conclusions 5. | 3 |
| | Refe | ences 5. | 3 |
| 4 | Dire | t Power Control for Three-Level NPC Converters | 5 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 5 |
| | 4.2 | System Description and Preliminaries 55 | 8 |
| | | 4.2.1 System Description and Modeling | 8 |
| | | 4.2.2 FLS Basic Concept 59 | 9 |
| | 4.3 | Controller Design 6 | 1 |
| | | 4.3.1 Power Tracking Loop 6 | 2 |
| | | 4.3.2 DC-Link Voltage Regulation Loop | 6 |
| | | 4.3.3 DC-Link Voltage Balancing Loop | 7 |
| | | 4.3.4 Tuning Procedure of Control Parameters | 8 |
| | | 4.3.5 Discussion | 9 |
| | 4.4 | Experimental Results | 1 |
| | | 4.4.1 DC-Link Voltage Variation | 1 |
| | | 4.4.2 Active Power Variation | 4 |
| | | 4.4.3 Reactive Power Variation | 6 |
| | | 4.4.4 Computational Complexity Comparison | 7 |
| | 4.5 | Conclusion 7 | 7 |
| | Refe | rences | 8 |
| 5 | Fuzz | v Sliding Mode Control for Three Level NPC Converters 8 | 1 |
| 5 | 5 1 | Introduction 8 | 1 |
| | 5.2 | System Description and Modeling 8 | 3 |
| | 5.3 | Controller Design 8 | 5 |
| | 0.0 | 5.3.1 Power Tracking Loop 8 | 5 |
| | | 5.3.2 DC-Link Voltage Regulation Loop | 0 |
| | | 5.3.3 DC-Link Voltage Balancing Loop | 6 |
| | 5.4 | Experimental Results | 6 |
| | | 5.4.1 DC-Link Voltage Variation | 7 |
| | | 5.4.2 Active Power Variation | 8 |
| | | 5.4.3 Reactive Power Variation | 1 |
| | | 5.4.4 Grid Voltage Variation 102 | 2 |
| | 5.5 | Conclusion | 5 |
| | Refe | rences | 5 |
| | | | |

| 6 | Slidi | ng Mode Control for Power Converters Under | |
|---|-------|---|-----|
| | Unba | alanced Grid Conditions | 109 |
| | 6.1 | Introduction | 109 |
| | 6.2 | Problem Formulation and Preliminaries | 111 |
| | | 6.2.1 Model of the Three-Phase Two-Level | |
| | | Grid-Connected Power Converter Under | |
| | | Unbalanced Grid Voltage Conditions | 111 |
| | | 6.2.2 Control Objectives | 112 |
| | 6.3 | Control Strategies | 113 |
| | | 6.3.1 Positive and Negative Sequences of the Grid | |
| | | Voltage Estimates | 113 |
| | | 6.3.2 Current Tracking Loop | 114 |
| | | 6.3.3 Voltage Regulation Loop | 118 |
| | | 6.3.4 Tuning of the Proposed Controllers | 119 |
| | 6.4 | Experimental Results | 120 |
| | | 6.4.1 The First Experiment Set | 120 |
| | | 6.4.2 The Second Experiment Set | 124 |
| | | 6.4.3 The Third Experiment Set | 125 |
| | 6.5 | Conclusions | 127 |
| | Refe | rences | 128 |
| 7 | Ada | otive Optimal Control for PMSM Servo System | 131 |
| | 7.1 | Introduction | 131 |
| | 7.2 | Problem Formulation and Preliminaries | 133 |
| | 7.3 | Control Strategy Design | 134 |
| | 7.4 | Experimental Results | 144 |
| | | 7.4.1 The First Experiment Set | 144 |
| | | 7.4.2 The Second Experiment Set | 146 |
| | | 7.4.3 The Third Experiment Set | 148 |
| | 7.5 | Conclusions | 149 |
| | Refe | rences | 150 |
| Q | Mod | al Prodictive Control for Load Frequency Doculation | |
| 0 | with | PFV _c | 153 |
| | 8 1 | Introduction | 153 |
| | 8.2 | DEMPC for LFC with PEV Participation | 155 |
| | 0.2 | 8.2.1 Modeling of Multi-area Power Systems with PEVs | 155 |
| | | 8.2.2 DEMPC for LFC | 158 |
| | | 8.2.3 Power Constraints on Aggregator | 160 |
| | 8.3 | Model-Based χ^2 Intrusion Detection and Event-Triggering | 100 |
| | 0.0 | Scheme | 161 |
| | | 8.3.1 Modeling of Cyber Attacks | 161 |
| | | 8.3.2 Model-Based χ^2 Intrusion Detection | 162 |
| | | 8.3.3 Event-Triggering Scheme | 163 |
| | | | |

| | 8.4 | Validations | 165 |
|----|-------|---|-----|
| | | 8.4.1 Structure and Parameters of Multi-area Power | |
| | | System | 165 |
| | | 8.4.2 Fast Response Validation with PEV Participation | |
| | | Under Healthy Networks | 166 |
| | | 8.4.3 Effectiveness Validations on Model-Based χ^2 | |
| | | Intrusion Detection Unit and Event-Triggering | |
| | | Scheme | 167 |
| | | 8.4.4 Discussion on Parameter Selecting | 169 |
| | | 8.4.5 Impact of False Detection on System Performance | 172 |
| | 8.5 | Conclusion | 173 |
| | Refer | ences | 173 |
| 9 | Cred | ibility-Based Distributed Frequency Estimation for PEVs | 177 |
| - | 9.1 | Introduction | 177 |
| | 9.2 | Credibility-Based Resilient Distributed Frequency | |
| | | Estimation Scheme | 179 |
| | | 9.2.1 Credibility Metric Design | 181 |
| | | 9.2.2 Credibility Coefficient Calculation | 182 |
| | | 9.2.3 Adaptive Threshold Based Isolation Decision | 182 |
| | | 9.2.4 Estimate Weight Update | 183 |
| | 9.3 | Convergence Analysis of the Scheme | 184 |
| | 9.4 | Distributed Load Frequency Control with PEVs | 186 |
| | | 9.4.1 Modeling of Interconnected Power Systems | 186 |
| | | 9.4.2 DLFC with Output Feedback | 188 |
| | 9.5 | Simulation Validations | 191 |
| | | 9.5.1 Credibility-Based Resilient Distributed Frequency | |
| | | Estimation Scheme Validation | 191 |
| | | 9.5.2 Performance Comparisons of DLFC With/Without | |
| | | PEVs | 193 |
| | 9.6 | Conclusion | 193 |
| | Refer | ences | 194 |
| 10 | Conc | lusion and Further Work | 197 |
| | 10.1 | Conclusion | 197 |
| | 10.2 | Further Work | 199 |

Notations and Acronyms

| | Is defined as |
|--|--|
| \simeq | Approximately equals to |
| « | Is much less than |
| ≫ | Is much greater than |
| E | Belongs to |
| A | For all |
| \sum | Sum |
| · | Euclidean vector norm |
| ∥ ∙ ∥ | Euclidean matrix norm (spectral norm) |
| $\ \cdot\ _2$ | $\mathcal{L}_2 - \operatorname{norm}: \sqrt{\int_0^\infty \cdot ^2} dt$ (continuous case) |
| | $\ell_2 - \text{norm:} \sqrt{\sum_{0}^{\infty} \cdot ^2}$ (discrete case) |
| $\mathcal{L}_2\{[0,\infty), [0,\infty)\}$ | Space of square summable sequences on $\{[0,\infty), [0,\infty)\}$ |
| | (continuous case) |
| $\ell_2\{[0,\infty),[0,\infty)\}$ | Space of square summable sequences on $\{[0,\infty), [0,\infty)\}$ |
| | (discrete case) |
| $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ or $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f$ | The derivative of the function f with respect to x |
| R | Field of real numbers |
| \mathbf{R}^n | Space of <i>n</i> -dimensional real vectors |
| $\mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$ | Space of $n \times m$ real matrices |
| X^{T} | Transpose of matrix X |
| X^{-1} | Inverse of matrix X |
| X > (<)0 | X is real symmetric positive (negative) definite |
| $X \ge (\le)0$ | X is real symmetric positive (negative) semi-definite |
| * | Symmetric terms in a symmetric matrix |
| 0 | Zero matrix |
| $0_{n \times m}$ | Zero matrix of dimension $n \times m$ |
| Ι | Identity matrix |
| In | $n \times n$ identity matrix |
| $\operatorname{col}\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ | Column vector $[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^T$ with <i>n</i> elements |

| $\det(\cdot)$ | The determinant computed from the elements of a square |
|---|--|
| diag(V = V) | mainx Plack diagonal matrix with blacks V V |
| $\operatorname{unag}\{\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_m\}$ | block diagonal matrix with blocks A_1, \ldots, A_m |
| lill | Limit |
| 11111 1m() | Lillill The network locarithm of a number |
| $In(\cdot)$ | I ne natural logarithm of a number |
| max | Maximum |
| min | |
| rank(·) | Rank of a matrix |
| sign(·) | The signum function of a real number |
| sup | Supremum, the least upper bound |
| $\lambda_{\min}(\cdot)$ | Minimum eigenvalue of a real symmetric matrix |
| $\lambda_{\max}(\cdot)$ | Maximum eigenvalue of a real symmetric matrix |
| 3L - NPC | Three-level diode neutral point clamped |
| AC | Alternating Current |
| AFE | Active Front-End |
| AI | Artificial Intelligence |
| AO | Adaptive Observer |
| AOCS | Adaptive Optimal Control Strategy |
| DC | Direct Current |
| DEMPC | Distributed Economic Model Predictive Control |
| DLFC | Distributed Load Frequency Control |
| DOBC | Disturbance Observer-Based Control |
| DoS | Denial-of-Service |
| DSP | Digital Signal Processor |
| DSRF | Double Synchronous Reference Frame |
| DTC | Direct Torque Control |
| EMS | Energy Management System |
| ESMDO | Extended Sliding Mode Disturbance Observer |
| ESO | Extended State Observer |
| EVs | Electric Vehicles |
| FCR | False Connect Rate |
| FDI | False Data Injection |
| FDIA | False Data Injection Attack |
| FIR | False Isolate Rate |
| FLS | Fuzzy Logic System |
| FOC | Field Oriented Control |
| FSMC | Fuzzy Sliding-Mode Control |
| FSTA | Fuzzy Super-Twisting Algorithm |
| IGBT | Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor |
| LFC | Load Frequency Control |
| 10 | Luenberger Observer |
| MATI | Maximum Allow Time Interval |
| MESs | Micro-Energy Systems |
| MPC | Model Predictive Control |
| | |

| NPC | Neutral Point Clamped |
|-------|--|
| PEVs | Plug-In Electric Vehicles |
| PI | Proportional Integral |
| PID | Proportional-Integral-Derivative Control |
| PLL | Phase Locked Loop |
| PMSM | Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor |
| PMUs | Phase Measurement Units |
| PR | Proportional-Resonance |
| PWM | Pulse-Width Modulation |
| RES | Renewable Energy Sources |
| RMS | Root Mean Square |
| RTUs | Remote Telemetry Units |
| SMC | Sliding Mode Control |
| SOSM | Second-Order Sliding Mode |
| SRF | Synchronous Reference Frame |
| STA | Super-Twisting Algorithm |
| STD | Super-Twisting Differentiator |
| STESO | Super-Twisting Extended State Observer |
| STO | Super-Twisting Observer |
| THD | Total Harmonic Distortion |
| V2G | Vehicle-to-Grid |
| | |

List of Figures

| Fig. 1.1 | Smart microgrid | 3 |
|-----------|---|----|
| Fig. 1.2 | DC charging systems | 4 |
| Fig. 1.3 | Two common AC-DC bidirectional power flow and energy | |
| | power converters topologies | 4 |
| Fig. 2.1 | Power electrical circuit of the converter | 17 |
| Fig. 2.2 | Control structure of PI control | 20 |
| Fig. 2.3 | Control structure of fuzzy PI control | 22 |
| Fig. 2.4 | Control structure of PI control plus extended state observer | 23 |
| Fig. 2.5 | Control structure of adaptive control | 25 |
| Fig. 2.6 | Instantaneous power tracking loop | 26 |
| Fig. 2.7 | Curves of dc-link capacitor voltage a PI control, b fuzzy | |
| | PI control, c ESO-based PI control, d adaptive control | 28 |
| Fig. 2.8 | Curves of instantaneous active power a PI control, b fuzzy | |
| | PI control, c ESO-based PI control, d adaptive control | 29 |
| Fig. 2.9 | Curves of instantaneous reactive power a PI control, | |
| | b fuzzy PI control, c ESO-based PI control, d adaptive | |
| | control | 30 |
| Fig. 2.10 | Curves of input current (i_a) and grid voltage (e_a) a PI | |
| | control, b fuzzy PI control, c ESO-based PI control, | |
| | d adaptive control | 30 |
| Fig. 3.1 | Grid-connected 3L-NPC power converter with L filter | 36 |
| Fig. 3.2 | The lab-constructed 3L-NPC power converter prototype | 45 |
| Fig. 3.3 | An overall evaluation comparison | 47 |
| Fig. 3.4 | Performance comparison of voltage regulation | |
| | when the capacitor voltage reference v_{dc}^* steps from 400 | |
| | to 450 V again back to 400 V | 48 |
| Fig. 3.5 | Performance comparison of voltage regulation | |
| | when the dc-link load steps from 120 to 80 Ω again back | |
| | to 120 Ω | 48 |
| | | |

| Fig. 3.6 | Performance comparison of current tracking i_{α} and i_{β} | |
|-----------------------------------|--|----|
| | when reactive power reference steps from $q^* = 0$ var to $e^* = 2000$ Ver | 40 |
| Eig 27 | $\log q = 2000$ var | 49 |
| Fig. 5.7 | viber the conscitor valtage reference v^* store from 400 | |
| | when the capacitor voltage reference v_{dc} steps from 400 | 50 |
| Eig 29 | Current errors performance comparison when evist | 30 |
| Fig. 5.6 | inductance deviation: (1) STA LO (max current | |
| | inductance deviation. (1) STA-LO (max current error): $\mathbf{a} : \frac{ierr}{i} : \frac{ierr}{i} = 0.28 \text{ A} \mathbf{b} : \frac{ierr}{i} : \frac{ierr}{i} = 0.25 \text{ A}$ | |
| | end). a l_{α} / l_{β} = 0.28 A, b l_{α} / l_{β} = 0.55 A, and a i^{err}_{α} / i^{err}_{β} = 0.40 A; (2) PB (max surrent error); | |
| | and $\mathbf{c} \mathbf{i}_{\alpha} / \mathbf{i}_{\beta} = 0.49 \mathrm{A}, (2) \mathrm{FK}$ (max current error). $\mathbf{d} i^{err} / i^{err} = 0.41 \mathrm{A}, \mathbf{o} i^{err} / i^{err} = 0.48 \mathrm{A}$ and \mathbf{f} work | |
| | u $l_{\alpha} / l_{\beta} = 0.41$ A, e $l_{\alpha} / l_{\beta} = 0.46$ A, and I work | 51 |
| Eig 20 | Derformance comparison of grid frequency variation | 51 |
| Fig. 5.9 | of current error i^{err} and i^{err} with combined ective power | |
| | of current error t_{α} and t_{β} with combined active power and reactive power injection under u^* store from 450 | |
| | to 400 V at 0.1 s, then a^* steps from 0 to 2000 Var at 0.6 s | |
| | $dc \log dR_{2} = 120 \Omega$ | 52 |
| Fig 11 | Membership functions: a Membership functions | 52 |
| 1'ig. 4.1 | of the input fuzzy sets E^{l_0} for a b Membership functions | |
| | of the output fuzzy sets F^{l_0} for μ | 60 |
| Fig 12 | Control structure of the proposed strategy $\frac{1}{2}$ | 61 |
| Fig. 4.2 $\operatorname{Fig} 4.3$ | Control structure of nower tracking loop | 62 |
| Fig. 4.4 | Membership functions of fuzzy sets for power tracking | 02 |
| 1 15. 4.4 | loop: a Membership functions of the input fuzzy sets | |
| | for s _m b Membership functions of the output fuzzy sets | |
| | for u_{-} | 63 |
| Fig. 4.5 | Control structure of dc-link voltage regulation loop | 66 |
| Fig. 4.6 | Membership functions of fuzzy sets for dc-link voltage | 00 |
| 0 | regulation loop: a Membership functions of the input | |
| | fuzzy sets for s_n , b Membership functions of the output | |
| | fuzzy sets for u_{usmc} | 67 |
| Fig. 4.7 | Prototype of NPC converter system | 72 |
| Fig. 4.8 | Experimental results under dc-link voltage variation: a PI. | |
| U | b Observer-based STA. c DOB-SMC. d The proposed | |
| | FLS-based SMC strategy | 74 |
| Fig. 4.9 | Experimental results under active power variation: a , | |
| - | e, i, m DC-link voltage, active power, reactive power | |
| | and dc-link voltage difference of PI. b, f, j, n DC-link | |
| | voltage, active power, reactive power and dc-link voltage | |
| | difference of observer-based STA. c, g, k, o DC-link | |
| | voltage, active power, reactive power and dc-link voltage | |
| | difference of DOB-SMC. d, h, l, p DC-link voltage, active | |
| | power, reactive power and dc-link voltage difference | |
| | of the proposed FLS-based SMC strategy | 75 |

xviii

List of Figures

| Fig. 4.10 | Current harmonic spectrum with $p = 5.31$ kW and $q = 0$ | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| | VAr: a PI. b Observer-based STA. c DOB-SMC. d The | |
| | FLS-based SMC strategy | 75 |
| Fig. 4.11 | Experimental results under reactive power variation: a , | |
| | e, i, m DC-link voltage, active power, reactive power | |
| | and dc-link voltage difference of PI. b , f , j , n , DC-link | |
| | voltage, active power, reactive power and dc-link voltage | |
| | difference of observer-based STA. c, g, k, o DC-link | |
| | voltage, active power, reactive power and dc-link voltage | |
| | difference of DOB-SMC. d, h, l, p DC-link voltage, active | |
| | power, reactive power and dc-link voltage difference | |
| | of the proposed FLS-based SMC strategy | 76 |
| Fig. 4.12 | Current harmonic spectrum with $p = 0$ W and $q = -5$ | |
| U U | kVAr: a PI. b Observer-based STA. c DOB-SMC. d The | |
| | FLS-based SMC strategy | 77 |
| Fig. 5.1 | Topology of the three-phase three-level NPC AFE rectifier | 84 |
| Fig. 5.2 | Control structure of the proposed strategy | 85 |
| Fig. 5.3 | Membership functions for the DC-link voltage regulation | |
| | loop. a Membership functions of fuzzy sets for s_v . | |
| | b Membership functions of fuzzy sets for u_v | 92 |
| Fig. 5.4 | Prototype of the NPC AFE rectifier system | 96 |
| Fig. 5.5 | Experimental results of two strategies under a DC-link | |
| | voltage step at 1 s: a The observer-based STA. b The | |
| | proposed FSMC strategy | 98 |
| Fig. 5.6 | Experimental results of two strategies under a reactive | |
| | power variation at 1 s: a, c, e, g, i DC-link voltage, active | |
| | power, reactive power, phase currents and control signals | |
| | of the observer-based STA. b, d, f, h, j DC-link voltage, | |
| | active power, reactive power, phase currents and control | |
| | signals of the proposed FSMC strategy | 100 |
| Fig. 5.7 | Current harmonic spectrum of two strategies with $q = -5$ | |
| | kVAr: a The observer-based STA. b The proposed FSMC | |
| | strategy | 101 |
| Fig. 5.8 | Grid voltage variation at 1 s. Case 1: $v_{abc,RMS} = 400$ V. | |
| | Case 2: $v_{abc,RMS} = 390 \text{ V}$ | 101 |
| Fig. 5.9 | Experimental results of two strategies under a grid voltage | |
| | variation at 1 s: a, c, e, g, i DC-link voltage, active | |
| | power, reactive power, phase currents and control signals | |
| | of the observer-based STA. b, d, f, h, j DC-link voltage, | |
| | active power, reactive power, phase currents and control | |
| | signals of the proposed FSMC strategy | 102 |

| Fig. 5.10 | Experimental results of two strategies under an active power variation at 1 s: a , c , e , g , i DC-link voltage, active power, reactive power, phase currents and control signals of the observer-based STA. b , d , f , h , j DC-link voltage, active power, reactive power, phase currents and control signals of the proposed FSMC strategy | 103 |
|------------------------|--|-----|
| Fig. 5.11 | Current harmonic spectrum of two strategies with $R_{dc} = 106 \ \Omega$: a The observer-based STA. b The | 105 |
| F: 5.10 | proposed FSMC strategy | 104 |
| Fig. 5.12 Fig. 5.13 | Current harmonic spectrum of two strategies with $u_{1} = 390$ V: a The observer based STA b The | 104 |
| | with $v_{abc,RMS} = 390$ v. a The observer-based STA. b The proposed FSMC strategy | 104 |
| Fig 61 | Electrical circuit of the system under study | 111 |
| Fig. 6.2 | Proposed cascaded control structure: a voltage regulation | 114 |
| Fig 63 | Laboratory prototype of the three phase two level power | 114 |
| 11g. 0.5 | converter | 121 |
| Fig 64 | Unbalanced grid voltage applied during the experiments | 121 |
| Fig. 6.5 | The responses of three-phase currents and active | 122 |
| 1 15. 0.5 | and reactive powers under different load cases | |
| | with $\xi = -1$, $\xi = 0$ and $\xi = 1$, a b : Only active power | |
| | load case $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}$: Only reactive power load case $\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{f}$: Mixture | |
| | of active and reactive powers case | 123 |
| Fig 66 | The responses of grid voltage, three-phase currents | 125 |
| 1 15. 0.0 | and active and reactive powers under different power factors | 124 |
| Fig. 6.7 | Grid current and tracking errors when the reactive power | |
| 0 | changes from 0 to 8 kVAr: a PR controller response. | |
| | b proposed controller response. c tracking error \tilde{i}_{α} . | |
| | d tracking error \tilde{i}_{B} | 125 |
| Fig. 6.8 | Grid current and tracking errors when the grid frequency | |
| 0 | changes form 50 to 49 Hz, and then to 45 Hz; a PR | |
| | controller response. b proposed controller response. | |
| | c tracking errors \tilde{i}_{α} and \tilde{i}_{β} for the PR controller and. | |
| | d tracking error \tilde{i}_{α} and \tilde{i}_{β} for the proposed controller | 126 |
| Fig. 6.9 | DC-link voltage and current waveforms when the load | |
| 0 | changes using the proposed and the PI controller: | |
| | a DC-Link voltage, b grid currents for the conventional | |
| | PI in the voltage regulation loop, c grid current | |
| | for the proposed P+STO controller in the voltage | |
| | regulation loop, d detail of the grid currents | |
| | for the conventional PI solution and e detail of the grid | |
| | currents for the proposed controller | 127 |
| Fig. 7.1 | Block diagram of AOCS | 134 |

List of Figures

| Fig. 7.2 | Control block diagram of speed regulation loop | 136 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Fig. 7.3 | The responses of current i_{dq} and current error using | |
| | the proposed current control strategy | 138 |
| Fig. 7.4 | Control block diagram of current tracking loop | 141 |
| Fig. 7.5 | Case I: Variation of moment of inertia: performance | |
| | comparisons of speed response using a AOCS, b STA | |
| | and c PI control | 142 |
| Fig. 7.6 | Case II: Variation of viscous friction coefficient: | |
| | performance comparisons of speed response using | |
| | a AOCS, b STA and c PI control | 142 |
| Fig. 7.7 | Case III: Variation of rotor flux linkage: performance | |
| | comparisons of speed response using a AOCS, b STA | |
| | and c PI control | 143 |
| Fig. 7.8 | Case IV: Variation of load torque: performance | |
| | comparisons of speed response using a AOCS, b STA | |
| | and c PI control | 143 |
| Fig. 7.9 | Laboratory prototype of PMSM | 144 |
| Fig. 7.10 | The response of speed when motor startup using | |
| | the proposed and classical control strategies | 145 |
| Fig. 7.11 | The response of speed when load torque change using | |
| | the proposed and classical control strategies | 146 |
| Fig. 7.12 | The responses of current i_{dq} when load torque change | |
| | using the proposed and classical control strategies | 146 |
| Fig. 7.13 | The response of speed using four different controllers | |
| | under speed command steps from 1500 rpm to 1200 rpm | 148 |
| Fig. 7.14 | The response of speed using four different controllers | |
| | under speed command steps from 1200 rpm to 1500 rpm | 148 |
| Fig. 7.15 | The response of speed using three different controllers | |
| | under 0 to 12 N m | 149 |
| Fig. 8.1 | DEMPC diagram of area <i>i</i> with PEVs under potential | |
| | cyber attacks | 156 |
| Fig. 8.2 | Model-based χ^2 intrusion detection | 162 |
| Fig. 8.3 | Event-triggering scheme | 164 |
| Fig. 8.4 | Interconnected four-area power system | 165 |
| F1g. 8.5 | Comparisons of frequency deviation dynamics | |
| | without/with PEV participation. Green lines indicate | |
| | the conventional DEMPC with only generators while red | |
| - | lines indicate our proposed DEMPC with PEV participation | 166 |
| F1g. 8.6 | <i>Case</i> 1: Blue lines indicate frequency deviation | |
| | dynamics without model-based χ^2 intrusion detection | |
| | unit while magenta lines indicate frequency deviation | |
| | dynamics with model-based χ^2 intrusion detection unit | 167 |

| Fig. 8.7 | Case 2: Blue lines indicate frequency deviation | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| | dynamics without model-based χ^2 intrusion detection | |
| | unit while magenta lines indicate frequency deviation | |
| | dynamics with model-based χ^2 intrusion detection unit | 168 |
| Fig. 8.8 | Case 1: Event-triggering instants and intervals | 169 |
| Fig. 8.9 | Case 2: Event-triggering instants and intervals | 169 |
| Fig. 8.10 | <i>Circumstance</i> 1: Impacts of δ_2 on Δf_2 under constant | |
| | FDI attacks with $g_2(k) = 0.005$ from $k = 50$ | 170 |
| Fig. 8.11 | <i>Circumstance</i> 2: Impacts of δ_2 on Δf_2 under time-varying | |
| | FDI attacks with $g_2(k) = 0.0001k$ from $k = 50$ | 171 |
| Fig. 8.12 | Impacts of different false detection rates on Δf_2 | |
| | under constant FDI attacks with $g_2(k) = 0.005$ from $k = 50 \dots$ | 172 |
| Fig. 8.13 | Impacts of different false detection rates on Δf_2 | |
| | under time-varying FDI attacks with $g_2(k) = 0.0001k$ | |
| | from $k = 50$ | 173 |
| Fig. 9.1 | Structure of a common distribution system | 180 |
| Fig. 9.2 | Flow diagram of the credibility-based resilient distributed | |
| | frequency estimation scheme | 181 |
| Fig. 9.3 | Diagram of the <i>i</i> -th control area | 186 |
| Fig. 9.4 | a Strongly connected \mathcal{G} . b Non-strongly connected \mathcal{G} | 191 |
| Fig. 9.5 | Case 1: Strongly connected \mathcal{G} . a $G_1(k) = 0.2$ from $k = 100$, | |
| | $G_2(k) = 0.1$ from $k = 300$ without the credibility-based | |
| | resilient distributed frequency estimation scheme. | |
| | b $G_1(k) = 0.2$ from $k = 100$, $G_2(k) = 0.1$ from $k = 300$ | |
| | with the scheme. c $G_1(k) = 0.002k$ from $k = 100$, | |
| | $G_2(k) = 0.001k$ from $k = 300$ without the scheme. | |
| | d $G_1(k) = 0.002k$ from $k = 100, G_2(k) = 0.001k$ | |
| | from $k = 300$ with the scheme | 192 |
| Fig. 9.6 | Case 2: Non-strongly connected \mathcal{G} . a – d are same as those | |
| | in Case 1 | 192 |
| Fig. 9.7 | Dynamics of frequency deviations in four areas. Solid | |
| | lines represent the conventional DLFC with the generator | |
| | while dashed lines represent our proposed DLFC | |
| | with the participation of well-behaving PEVs | 193 |