

11 ADDITIONAL CHAPTERS AVAILABLE ONLINE AT [WWW.WILEY.COM/GO/COLEMAN](http://WWW.WILEY.COM/GO/COLEMAN)



THE HANDBOOK OF

CONFLICT  
RESOLUTION



[THEORY and PRACTICE]

**THIRD**  
**EDITION**

Peter T.  
Coleman

Morton  
Deutsch

Eric C.  
Marcus

**JOSSEY-BASS™**  
A Wiley Brand



## More Praise for *The Handbook of Conflict Resolution*

“Professor Morton Deutsch is one of the greatest contributors of the twenty-first century to the important and crucial field of conflict resolution. His contributions have been in theory and practice, in attracting outstanding people to work with him, in stimulating superb people to carry on in their own paths. The net effect is a truly major contribution to this field, and it is summed up beautifully in this revised and enlarged edition. Highly informative, profoundly insightful, and, indeed, a definitive account of conflict resolution.”

—David A. Hamburg, president emeritus, Carnegie Corporation of New York; DeWitt Wallace Distinguished Scholar; and cochair, Social Medicine and Public Policy Programs, Department of Psychiatry, New York Presbyterian Hospital and Cornell University Medical College

“This volume is an extraordinary resource, a much-needed comprehensive handbook on conflict resolution.”

—Arthur E. Levine, president emeritus, Teachers College, Columbia University; president, Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation

“This Handbook should be on the reading list of every course in peace and conflict studies and especially on the lists used in teacher preparation courses in peace education, a field that seeks to cultivate understanding of constructive ways of confronting violence, alternatives to force and lethal conflict for the pursuit of social purposes.”

—Betty Reardon, founding director emeritus, Peace Education Center, Teachers College, Columbia University

“In the past, I have been saying to all of my students at Kyushu University and the participants in my mediation trainings, ‘If you are serious about mediation, read *The Handbook of Conflict Resolution*.’ Now seeing the updated and enlarged edition, I would say, ‘Read it, for it will help you become a thoughtful and insightful mediator.’”

—Hisako Kobayashi-Levin, associate professor, Faculty of Law, Kyushu University



# The Handbook of Conflict Resolution

*Theory and Practice*

THIRD EDITION

Peter T. Coleman  
Morton Deutsch  
Eric C. Marcus

Editors

**J** JOSSEY-BASS™  
A Wiley Brand

Cover design by Adrian Morgan  
Cover art by Thinkstock

Copyright © 2014 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.  
Published by Jossey-Bass  
A Wiley Brand  
One Montgomery Street, Suite 1200, San Francisco,  
CA 94104-4594—www.josseybass.com

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400, fax 978-646-8600, or on the Web at [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com). Requests to the publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, 201-748-6011, fax 201-748-6008, or online at [www.wiley.com/go/permissions](http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions).

**Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty:** While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages. Readers should be aware that Internet Web sites offered as citations and/or sources for further information may have changed or disappeared between the time this was written and when it is read.

Jossey-Bass books and products are available through most bookstores. To contact Jossey-Bass directly call our Customer Care Department within the U.S. at 800-956-7739, outside the U.S. at 317-572-3986, or fax 317-572-4002.

Wiley publishes in a variety of print and electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some material included with standard print versions of this book may not be included in e-books or in print-on-demand. If this book refers to media such as a CD or DVD that is not included in the version you purchased, you may download this material at <http://booksupport.wiley.com>. For more information about Wiley products, visit [www.wiley.com](http://www.wiley.com).

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data has been applied  
for and is on file with the Library of Congress.**

The handbook of conflict resolution : theory and practice /  
Peter T. Coleman, Morton Deutsch, Eric C. Marcus, editors. — Third edition.

1. online resource.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Description based on print version record and CIP data provided by  
publisher; resource not viewed.

ISBN 978-1-118-81033-0 (pdf) — ISBN 978-1-118-81032-3 (epub) —

ISBN 978-1-118-52686-6 (hardback)

1. Conflict management. I. Coleman, Peter T., 1959– II. Deutsch,  
Morton, 1920– III. Marcus, Eric Colton.

HM1126

303.6'9—dc23

2013050606

Printed in the United States of America  
THIRD EDITION  
HB Printing 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

# CONTENTS

Preface xi

Introduction xvii

*Morton Deutsch*

## PART ONE: INTERPERSONAL AND INTERGROUP PROCESSES 1

1 Cooperation, Competition, and Conflict 3

*Morton Deutsch*

2 Justice and Conflict 29

*Morton Deutsch*

\*3 A Delicate and Deliberate Journey toward Justice: Challenging Privilege:  
Building Structures of Solidarity 56

*Michelle Fine, Alexis Halkovic*

4 Constructive Controversy: The Value of Intellectual Opposition 76

*David W. Johnson, Roger T. Johnson, Dean Tjosvold*

5 Trust, Trust Development, and Trust Repair 104

*Roy J. Lewicki, Edward C. Tomlinson*

6 Power and Conflict 137

*Peter T. Coleman*

**7 Communication and Conflict 168**

*Robert M. Krauss, Ezequiel Morsella*

**8 Language, Peace, and Conflict Resolution 182**

*Francisco Gomes de Matos*

**9 The PSDM Model: Integrating Problem Solving and Decision Making in Conflict Resolution 203**

*Eben A. Weitzman, Patricia Flynn Weitzman*

**10 Intergroup Conflict 230**

*Ronald J. Fisher*

**PART TWO: INTRAPSYCHIC AND INTRAGROUP PROCESSES 253**

**11 Judgmental Biases in Conflict Resolution and How to Overcome Them 255**

*Leigh L. Thompson, Brian J. Lucas*

**12 Emotion and Conflict: Why It Is Important to Understand How Emotions Affect Conflict and How Conflict Affects Emotions 283**

*Evelin G. Lindner*

**13 Self-Regulation in the Service of Conflict Resolution 310**

*Walter Mischel, Aaron L. DeSmet, Ethan Kross*

**\*14 Group Decision Making in Conflict: From Groupthink to Polythink in the War in Iraq 331**

*Alex Mintz, Carly Wayne*

**PART THREE: PERSONAL DIFFERENCES 353**

**\*15 Natural-Born Peacemakers? Gender and the Resolution of Conflict 355**

*Mara Olekalns*

**16 Resolving Intractable Intergroup Conflicts: The Role of Implicit Theories about Groups 384**

*Eran Halperin, James J. Gross, Carol S. Dweck*

**17 Personality and Conflict 400**

*Sandra V. Sandy, Susan K. Boardman, Morton Deutsch*

**18 The Development of Conflict Resolution Skills: Preschool to Adulthood 430**

*Sandra V. Sandy*



**PART FOUR: CREATIVITY AND CHANGE 465**

- 19 **Creativity and Conflict Resolution: The Role of Point of View** 467  
*Howard E. Gruber*
- 20 **Some Guidelines for Developing a Creative Approach to Conflict** 478  
*Peter T. Coleman, Morton Deutsch*
- 21 **Creativity in the Outcomes of Conflict** 490  
*Peter J. Carnevale*
- 22 **Change and Conflict: Motivation, Resistance, and Commitment** 513  
*Eric C. Marcus*
- 23 **Changing Minds: Persuasion in Negotiation and Conflict Resolution** 533  
*Alison Ledgerwood, Shannon P. Callahan, Shelly Chaiken*
- 24 **Learning through Reflection on Experience: An Adult Learning Framework for How to Handle Conflict** 558  
*Victoria J. Marsick, Dorothy E. Weaver, Lyle Yorks*

**PART FIVE: CULTURE AND CONFLICT 579**

- \*25 **The Alchemy of Change: Cultural Fluency in Conflict Resolution** 581  
*Michelle LeBaron*
- \*26 **Indigenous Lessons for Conflict Resolution** 604  
*Geneviève Souillac, Douglas P. Fry*
- \*27 **Multiculturalism and Conflict** 623  
*Mekayla K. Castro, Peter T. Coleman*
- 28 **Cooperative and Competitive Conflict in China** 654  
*Dean Tjosvold, Kwok Leung, David W. Johnson*

**PART SIX: DIFFICULT CONFLICTS 679**

- 29 **Aggression and Violence: Causes and Correctives** 681  
*Wen Liu, Susan Opatow*
- 30 **Intractable Conflict** 708  
*Peter T. Coleman*
- \*31 **The Pragmatics of Peace with Justice: The Challenge of Integrating Mediation and Human Rights** 745  
*Eileen F. Babbitt*
- \*32 **Terrorism: Negotiating at the Edge of the Abyss** 764  
*Guy Olivier Faure*

**PART SEVEN: MODELS OF PRACTICE 793**

- \*33 Negotiation 795**  
*Roy J. Lewicki, Edward C. Tomlinson*
- 34 The Mediation of Conflict: Context, Cognition, and Practice 817**  
*Kenneth Kressel*
- 35 Teaching Conflict Resolution Skills in a Workshop 849**  
*Susan W. Coleman, Yaron Prywes*
- \*36 Creating Constructive Communication through Dialogue 877**  
*Beth Fisher-Yoshida*
- \*37 An Empirically Based Approach to Couples' Conflict 898**  
*John Gottman, Julie S. Gottman, Andy Greendorfer, Mirabai Wahbe*
- 38 Managing Conflict through Large Group Methods 921**  
*Barbara Benedict Bunker, Susan W. Coleman*
- \*39 Group Relations and Conflict Resolution 947**  
*Sarah J. Brazaitis*
- \*40 Reconciliation between Groups: Preventing (New) Violence and Improving Lives 971**  
*Ervin Staub*
- \*41 Social Networks, Social Media, and Conflict Resolution 998**  
*James D. Westaby, Nicholas Redding*
- \*42 Using Research Findings in Practice: From Knowledge Acquisition to Application 1023**  
*Daniel Druckman*
- \*43 Nonviolent Struggle: An Overview 1043**  
*Gene Sharp*

**PART EIGHT: LOOKING TO THE FUTURE 1059**

- 44 A Framework for Thinking about Research on Conflict Resolution Initiatives 1061**  
*Morton Deutsch, Jennifer Goldman-Wetzler, Christine T. Chung*
- 45 Some Research Frontiers in the Study of Conflict and Its Resolution 1087**  
*Dean G. Pruitt, Katharina G. Kugler*
- Concluding Overview 1111**  
*Peter T. Coleman, Eric C. Marcus*

About the Editors	1125
About the Contributors	1129
Name Index	1163
Subject Index	1191

## PART NINE: DOMAIN SPECIFIC



On *the* Web

Chapters 46 through 56 are available exclusively as online downloads. Visit [www.wiley.com/go/coleman](http://www.wiley.com/go/coleman) for more information.

- 46 **Gender Conflict in Marriage**  
*Janice M. Steil, Beth Turetsky*
- \*47 **Conflict Resolution in Schools**  
*David W. Johnson, Roger T. Johnson*
- 48 **Conflict in Organizations**  
*W. Warner Burke*
- \*49 **Labor Relations and Conflict**  
*Christopher Honeyman*
- \*50 **Alternative and Appropriate Dispute Resolution in Context: Formal, Informal, and Semiformal Legal Processes**  
*Carrie Menkel-Meadow*
- \*51 **Police and Conflict Resolution: Some Observations**  
*Maria R. Volpe*
- \*52 **Participatory Action Research, Conflict Resolution, and Communities**  
*Claudia E. Cohen, Rebecca Neshkes, Michelle Pryce-Screen, Elizabeth Hernandez, Micaela Linder, Megan Doherty-Baker*
- 53 **Faith Matters: Religion as a Third Side for Peace**  
*Bridget Moix*
- \*54 **Nongovernmental Organizations as a Vehicle for Collective Action**  
*Andrea Bartoli, Borislava Manojlovic, Mark Magellan*
- \*55 **Managing Environmental Conflict**  
*Joshua Fisher*
- \*56 **International Conflict Resolution: From Practice to Knowledge and Back Again**  
*Anthony Wanis-St. John, Suzanne Ghais*



# PREFACE

**T**he field of conflict resolution continues to develop rapidly. As a consequence, we have updated and revised the second edition of this Handbook. Almost all of the chapters in the second edition have been updated; in some, the revisions have been extensive, and in others, only minor changes seemed necessary. Also, we have added new chapters on topics that were not covered or needed more coverage than they received in the first two editions. Given the scope of growth in the field, we have expanded the book considerably. And in order to make this expansion more cost effective for the readership, we have developed a new online section of the book.

The new chapters for this edition have an asterisk next to them in the Contents. They are important, original contributions to the field of conflict resolution by outstanding scholars and practitioners, as are the updated chapters from the first two editions.

In the Preface to the first edition, we characterized the purpose of the Handbook, its organization, professional value, and orientation. This book is meant for those who wish to deepen their understanding of the processes involved in conflicts and their knowledge of how to manage them constructively. It provides the theoretical underpinnings that shed light on the fundamental social psychological processes involved in understanding and managing conflicts at all levels: interpersonal, intergroup, organizational, and international.

As an area of scholarship and professional practice, conflict resolution is relatively young, having emerged as a discipline after World War II. Practice

and theory have been only loosely linked. This book aims to foster closer connection between the two by demonstrating the relevance of theoretical ideas and empirical research to practice. Although the link between theory and practice is inherently bidirectional, this book primarily emphasizes the path from theory to practice.

The theoretical ideas presented in this book were for the most part not developed specifically in relation to understanding conflict or to facilitate professional practice in this area. They have relevance to any area in which it is important to understand the basic processes in social interactions of all sorts and in various contexts—at work; in politics, schools, families, clinics, courts, and bedrooms; on highways; and elsewhere. For the purposes of this book, the authors have developed their chapters to bring out the relevance of the theories and research being discussed to understanding conflict specifically.

When appropriate, chapters are organized to address three general topics. The first deals with the theoretical ideas in the substantive area being discussed. The second draws out the implications of these ideas for understanding conflict, and the third is concerned with the implications of these ideas for educating or training people to manage their conflicts more constructively.

*The Handbook of Conflict Resolution* is divided into parts somewhat arbitrarily, and inevitably there is overlap among them. The introductory chapter gives examples of real conflicts and indicates the kinds of questions one might pose to understand what is going on in the conflicts—questions that are addressed in many of the chapters. The Introduction also has a brief discussion of the orientations of practitioners on the one hand and researcher-theorists on the other, to offer some insight into the misunderstandings that often occur between these two groups. It also contains an abbreviated history of the study of conflict from a social psychological perspective and indicates the sorts of questions that have been and are being addressed.

Parts 1 through 4 comprise the major portion of the book and present the theoretical ideas that have been developed (mainly in areas of social psychology) that are useful in understanding conflict processes as well as in helping people learn to manage their conflicts constructively. The authors of the chapters in these parts discuss the practical implications of their ideas for conflict, as well as the theoretical foundations underlying the implications they draw.

Even apart from their usefulness for conflict, the theoretical ideas should be of value to anyone interested in understanding the nature of basic social psychological processes and involved in social interactions of any kind. The Contents pages for parts 1 through 4 indicate the broad range of theoretical ideas and their implications for conflict. They are grouped, arbitrarily, into interpersonal and intergroup processes, intrapsychic and intragroup processes, personal differences, and creativity and change. Almost all of the chapters

discuss matters that cross such arbitrary boundaries. New chapters (chapters 3, 14, and 15) respectively deal with privilege and justice, group decision making, and gender, as they relate to conflict.

Part 5 contains four chapters that consider the relation between culture and conflict, each from a somewhat different perspective. Chapters 25 through 27 (all new chapters) examine some of the common sorts of misunderstanding that can arise when people from varying cultural backgrounds interact and what can be done to help them learn to understand one another's cultural background. Then chapter 28 examines an influential theoretical approach to conflict resolution developed in the United States to see how it is (or is not) applicable to conflict in the entirely different context of China.

Part 6 is concerned with difficult conflicts. Two revised chapters (29 and 30) examine aggression and violence and intractable conflict, respectively. Two new chapters have been added: chapter 31 is focused on the connections between human rights and conflicts and chapter 32 on terrorism.

Part 7 is most directly concerned with practice. Its eleven chapters are all authored by leaders in the field and focus on theory and research behind common models of practice such as negotiation (33), mediation (34), the Coleman Raider model for training in constructive conflict resolution (35), dialogue processes (36), and John Gottman's model of conflict management with couples (37). These chapters then go on to strategies for working with larger groups (38), employing group relations theory (39), reconciliation between groups (40), and employing social network theory to conflict analysis and resolution (41). Chapter 42 focuses on using research findings in practice and chapter 43 on nonviolence and conflict.

In part 8, we look to the future. Chapter 44 presents a framework for thinking about research on conflict resolution training. As of this writing, there has been little good and systematic research in this area. If the field is to develop and have a bright future, it needs more research. Chapter 45 presents the authors' views of the future directions that basic research on conflict and its resolution might well take.

The concluding chapter is an overview and commentary on the current state of the field; it considers issues such as what substantive questions need to be addressed that have not received the attention they warrant—that is, the practice as well as theoretical issues.

The final (online) section contains what we have labeled our domain-specific chapters. The expert authors of these chapters were asked to familiarize themselves with the basic processes chapters of this Handbook and then to speak to these models and practices in their chapters, making links to existing chapters explicit. They include chapters in the following domains: gender conflict in marriage (chapter 46), conflict resolution in schools (47), conflict in organizations (48), labor relations and conflict (49), law and dispute resolution (50), police

and conflict resolution (51), participatory action research, conflict resolution, and communities (52), religion as a third side for peace (53), nongovernmental organizations as a vehicle for collective action (54), managing environmental conflict (55), and international conflict resolution (56).

The contributors to this edition of *The Handbook of Conflict Resolution* are an illustrious group of experts in the areas with which their chapters are concerned. We have asked them to write chapters that can be easily understood by readers who are not social scientists but are also credible to other experts in their areas. Furthermore, we suggested to them that they limit considerably the number of technical references in their chapter. Given the opaqueness of much writing in the social sciences, it is surprising how well the contributors have succeeded in writing clear, informative, interesting, useful, and authoritative chapters.

We believe *The Handbook of Conflict Resolution* is accessible and valuable to a wide variety of groups with an interest in constructive conflict management: to undergraduate and graduate students, as well as their professors, in a number of academic fields such as psychology, education, sociology, political science, business, international relations, law, social work, and health care. It is also of value to practitioners such as conflict resolution trainers and consultants, negotiators, mediators, and those who manage or supervise others. In editing this Handbook, we have learned a great deal, so we believe that even those considered experts can find much of value in it.

One final word about the orientation of this Handbook: it is concerned with finding cooperative, win-win solutions to conflict, no matter how difficult. The “black arts” of conflict (such as violence, coercion, intimidation, deceit, blackmail, and seduction) are not discussed except, if at all, in the context of how to respond to or prevent the use of such tactics by oneself or others. In our view, such tactics are used too often, are commonly destructive and self-defeating, and are less productive in the long run than a constructive approach.

We thank our faculty colleagues who participated in an informal seminar on conflict resolution at Teachers College. The inspiration for this book emerged from the lively discussions in the seminar. We also thank Elizabeth Hernandez, Joseph Dillard, Kyong Mazzaro, Nick Redding, Christine Chung, and Regina Kim, who typed, e-mailed, did editorial work, and provided other invaluable services necessary to produce a completed manuscript.

January 2014

Peter T. Coleman, Morton Deutsch, and Eric C. Marcus  
New York, New York



# The Handbook of Conflict Resolution



# INTRODUCTION

Morton Deutsch

**I**n this introduction, I give some examples of conflicts and indicate the kinds of questions one might pose to understand what is going on in the conflicts—questions that are addressed in many of the following chapters. It also includes a brief discussion of the orientations of both practitioners and researcher-theorists to provide some insight into the misunderstandings that often occur between these two groups. It concludes with an abbreviated history of the study of conflict from a social psychological perspective.

## A CONFLICT BETWEEN HUSBAND AND WIFE

Some time ago, I had the opportunity to do therapeutic work with a professional couple involved in bitter conflicts over issues they considered non-negotiable. The destructiveness of their way of dealing with their conflicts was reflected in their tendency to escalate a dispute about almost any specific issue (e.g., a household chore, the child's bedtime) into a power struggle in which each spouse felt that his or her self-esteem or core identity was at stake. The destructive process resulted in (as well as from) justified mutual suspicion; correctly perceived mutual hostility; a win-lose orientation to their conflicts; a tendency to act so as to lead the other to respond in a way that would confirm one's worst suspicion; inability to understand and empathize with the other's needs and vulnerabilities; and reluctance, based on stubborn

pride, nursed grudges, and fear of humiliation, to initiate or respond to a positive, generous action so as to break out of the escalating vicious cycle in which they were trapped.

Many couples in such conflicts do not seek help; they continue to abuse one another, sometimes violently, or they break up. The couple I worked with sought help for several reasons. On the one hand, their conflicts were becoming physically violent. This frightened them, and it also ran counter to their strongly held intellectual values regarding violence. On the other hand, there were strong constraints making it difficult for them to separate. Their child would suffer; they felt they would be considerably worse off economically; and they had mutually congenial intellectual, aesthetic, sexual, and recreational interests that would be difficult to continue engaging in together if they separated. As is often the case in such matters, it was the woman, being less ashamed to admit the need for help, who took the initiative to seek the assistance of a skilled third party.

The wife, who worked (and preferred to do so), wanted the husband to share equally in the household and child care responsibilities; she considered equality of the genders to be a core personal value. The husband wanted a “traditional marriage” with a conventional division of responsibilities in which he would be the primary income-producing worker outside the home, while his wife would principally do the work related to the household and child care. The husband considered household work and child care inconsistent with his deeply rooted image of adult masculinity. The conflict seemed nonnegotiable to the couple. For the wife, it would mean betrayal of her feminist values to accept her husband’s terms; for him, it would violate his sense of male adult identity to become deeply involved in housework and child care.

Yet this nonnegotiable conflict became negotiable when, with the help of the therapist, the husband and wife were able to listen to and really understand the other’s feelings and how their respective life experiences had led them to the views each held. Understanding the other’s position fully, and the feelings and experiences behind them, made each person feel less hurt and humiliated by the other’s position and readier to seek solutions that would accommodate the interests of both. They realized that with their joint incomes, they could afford to pay for household and child care help that would enable the wife to be considerably less burdened by such responsibilities without increasing the husband’s chores in these areas (though doing so, of course, lessened the amount of money they had available for other purposes).

This solution was not perfect for either partner. Each would have preferred that the other share his or her own view of what a marriage should be like. But their deeper understanding of the other’s position made them feel less humiliated and threatened by it and less defensive toward the other. It also

enabled them to negotiate a mutually acceptable agreement that lessened tensions despite the continuing differences in basic perspective. (See Deutsch, 1988, for further discussion of negotiating the nonnegotiable.)

## **AN INTERGROUP CONFLICT AT A SCHOOL**

A conflict has developed between two groups of teachers at a high school in New York City: the Black Teachers Caucus (BTC) and the newly formed Site-Based Management (SBM) Committee. The SBM committee's eighteen members consist of the principal, the union chairperson, a representative from the parents' association, a student, and an elected teacher representative from each academic department. All of the SBM members are European American, with the exception of an African American teacher chosen from the math department.

At the last SBM meeting, the math teacher proposed that an official voting seat be designated for an African American teacher. After much heated discussion, the proposal was voted down. But the problems raised by the proposal did not go away. Much personal bitterness has ensued.

The school has experienced a recent demographic shift from a predominantly white student body to one that is now mainly composed of students of color. This has occurred for two reasons. First, there has been a large influx of students of color from the city-owned housing projects constructed in the district during the past two years. Second, as a result, the number of science-oriented students coming from other parts of the city has dropped.

The student population is now 40 percent African American, 30 percent Latino American, 25 percent European American, and 5 percent Asian American. The faculty is 90 percent European American and 10 percent African American. The parents' association is 100 percent European American.

### **The Position of the BTC**

The BTC believes that the SBM committee needs its input to make the changes needed—specifically, the curriculum is Eurocentric and many school policies are out of touch with the cultural perspective of the current student population. In addition, the caucus is very concerned about an increase in bias-related incidents in the community and wants to initiate antiracism classes at all grade levels.

The members of the BTC believe that although the majority of the management committee members are sincerely interested in bringing about positive school change and are good, dedicated teachers, they lack personal understanding of the impact of racism on the African American experience. Some

even seem to still value the old melting-pot approach to race relations, a position the caucus members believe is naive and dysfunctional when it comes to positive educational change.

The BTC believes that having its representative present as a voting member on the committee will add a needed multicultural and antiracist perspective at this critical time of change. The caucus wants to be part of this change and will not take no for an answer.

### **The Position of the European American SBM Committee Members**

There are many reasons the European Americans voted against an African American seat on the SBM committee, and they deeply resent the implication that they are racists for so doing. First, they believe that if any particular black teacher wants a seat, he or she should go through regular democratic procedures and get elected by the respective department. New elections will be held in May.

Second, it would not be fair to give a special seat to the black teachers without opening up other seats for the Latino, Asian, Jewish, Greek, or “you name it” teachers. SBM is about department representation, the members say, not about representation based on race or ethnicity.

Third, designating a seat for blacks or establishing quotas of any kind based on race would give the appearance of catering to pressure from a special-interest group and be difficult to explain to the rest of the faculty and the parents’ association. They believe that the best direction for the school and society as a whole is a color-blind policy that would assimilate all races and ethnic groups into the great American melting pot. The site management members sincerely believe that they do not discriminate because of race, and they resent the implication that they are incapable of teaching children of color.

The principal of the school, who is strongly committed to both site-based management and multiculturalism, very much wants this conflict to be resolved constructively. After several months of unproductive discussions between the two groups, during which they become progressively hardened in their respective positions, the principal calls in a mediator to help the groups resolve their conflict. By various means over a period of time, she—as well as the principal—encourages a civil problem-solving discussion of the issue. Together the groups brainstorm and come up with twenty-seven ideas for handling the problem. Ultimately they agree on one solution as being the best: each year the principal will appoint seven faculty members to a multicultural task force that reflects the student composition. Two of the task force members will also be members of the SBM committee, one to be elected by the task force members and one selected from the ethnic group most heavily represented in the student population.

The solution, though not perfect, is acceptable to both sides and is implemented to the satisfaction of the teachers. It goes on contributing to the reduction of intergroup tensions as well as to the effectiveness of the SBM committee.

## **THE CONFLICT IN NORTHERN IRELAND**

As Cairns and Darby (1998) point out, “The conflict in Northern Ireland is at its most basic a struggle between those who wish to see Northern Ireland remain part of the United Kingdom and those who wish to see the reunification of the island of Ireland” (p. 754). The roots of the conflict go back centuries to the period when the English colonized the island, occupied 95 percent of the land, and introduced a community of foreigners (mainly Scottish Protestants) in Northern Ireland. They became a majority in this area, in contrast to a Catholic majority in the Republic of Ireland.

Cairns and Darby (1998) also state that “years of oppression by the colonists and rebellion by the native Irish culminated in the Treaty of 1921, which partitioned the island into two sections: the six predominantly Protestant counties of the North, which remained an integral part of the United Kingdom, and the twenty-six mainly Catholic counties of the South, which separated from the United Kingdom” (p. 755) and ultimately became known as the Republic of Ireland. Despite the partition, significant violence has occurred periodically in Northern Ireland.

The use of the terms *Catholic* and *Protestant* to label the conflicting groups is not meant to indicate that the conflict is primarily a religious one, although that is an element. A small sector of the Protestant population is virulently anti-Catholic and fears for its religious freedom if union occurs with the Republic of Ireland, whose population is 98 percent Catholic. The Irish Roman Catholic hierarchy has heavily influenced its laws in such matters as divorce and birth control.

Other elements come into play as well. The Catholics mainly consider themselves to be Irish, while the Protestants prefer to be viewed as British. Economic inequality has been an important factor in fueling the conflict: there has been considerably more unemployment, less education, and poorer housing among the Catholics as compared with the Protestants. The two communities are largely separated psychologically even though they are not always physically separated. Each has developed separate social identities that affect how the members in each community view themselves and the people of the community. The social identities of the two groups have been negatively related until recently: a perceived gain for one side is usually associated with a perceived loss for the other.

Although the costs of the intergroup conflict in Northern Ireland have been relatively small compared to ethnic conflicts in areas such as Rwanda,

Lebanon, Bosnia, Sri Lanka, Kosovo, and Syria, they have not been insignificant. Taking into account population size, the deaths due to violence in Northern Ireland are equivalent to 500,000 deaths in the United States. There are not only the direct costs of violence in terms of death and injury (about 3,000 killed and 30,000 injured between 1969 and 1994) but also the indirect, harder-to-measure economic and mental health costs. Some of these costs were borne by England: the economic, psychological, and political toll from seeing some of its soldiers attacked and killed in an attempt to control the violence.

Over the years, various attempts have been made to reduce the explosiveness of the conflict, including efforts by the Northern Ireland government to improve the economic situation of the Catholics, stimulation of intergroup contact under favorable circumstances, conduct of intergroup workshops for influential leaders in both groups, organization of women's groups that conducted demonstrations against violence, integration of some of the Catholic and Protestant schools, recognition and honoring of the cultural traditions of both groups, and so forth. Many of these efforts were sabotaged by extremist groups on both sides. However, cumulatively they began to create the recognition that peaceful relations might be possible and that continued violence would not lead to victory for either side. Most of the ordinary people on both sides became increasingly alienated from the perpetrators of violence.

The conditions for possible successful negotiation of a solution to the conflict were beginning to develop. The heads of three interested and concerned governments—President Bill Clinton of the United States, Prime Minister Tony Blair of Great Britain, and Prime Minister Bertie Ahern of Ireland—played key roles in getting the leaders of the various factions involved in the conflict to the negotiating table. Appointing former US senator George Mitchell, a highly respected and influential political figure, as a mediator was an important, positive step. He was acceptable to both sides and was a well-practiced, skilled political mediator.

There have been substantial popular votes in Northern Ireland as well as in Ireland in favor of an agreement negotiated among leaders of the main Protestant and Catholic factions in Northern Ireland that was hoped would end their protracted, sometimes violent conflict. The agreement was developed with the aid of a skillful mediator, and with strong pressures from the leaders of the three interested governments in constant telephone contact with the negotiators during the difficult phases of the process. In coming to an agreement, each of the conflicting parties had to modify long-held positions, reduce their aspirations, and act with greater civility toward one another, as well as bring the extremists in their groups under control. This was difficult to do. The level of distrust among the conflicting groups is still very high despite the agreement. Its successful implementation over a period of time requires a high level of vigilance among those committed to



preventing misunderstandings or the actions of extremists from unraveling it. The agreement itself was a creative attempt to respond to the apprehensions as well as interests of the various participants in the conflict. Its achievement was honored in 1998 by the Nobel Peace Prize, awarded to John Hume and David Trimble, the leading negotiators for the Catholics and Protestants, respectively.

Ed Cairns, a social psychologist at the University of Ulster in Northern Ireland, e-mailed me on November 5, 2005, with his views of what has happened since the agreement. He indicated that the agreement led to the setting up of a regional parliament known as the Northern Ireland Assembly. This made a good start and included ministers from all parties, even those initially opposed to the agreement. However, the assembly has had a stop-start existence and has been suspended more often than it has been in action. These suspensions came about largely because of Protestant and Unionist perceptions that the IRA was refusing to decommission its weapons as required by the Good Friday Agreement in 1998. No weapons were decommissioned until 2001, and the final decommissioning was not announced until 2005. In between, however, there were allegations that the IRA had been involved in espionage, training Colombian guerrillas, and a major bank robbery.

Sinn Fein has also pointed out that Loyalist paramilitaries, which tend to be smaller organizations, have not offered to decommission and are now believed to be involved in racketeering and major crime. Furthermore, although there have been major changes to the policing system, Sinn Fein believed that all the reforms promised in the agreement have not yet been implemented.

The IRA's refusal to decommission cost David Trimble, the main Unionist leader at the time of the agreement and in the assembly, dearly. He had entered into the government with Sinn Fein—seen by most as the political wing of the IRA. However, Protestants felt that Catholic/Nationalists had most of their demands met—for example, by the release of “political” prisoners and the disbandment of the Royal Ulster Constabulary, but had given nothing in return. The result was that in the 2003 elections, Trimble lost his seat, and his party was virtually wiped out, being replaced by the more radical, antiagreement Democratic Unionist Party (DUP) led by Ian Paisley. Similarly, Sinn Fein made gains in the 2003 elections replacing the Social Democratic and Labour Party SDLP (founded by John Hume) as the largest Catholic/Nationalist party.

Generally these electoral moves have been reflected in social surveys in which a majority of Protestants report that in 2013 they would be unlikely to vote again for the agreement had they the opportunity to do so. Demographic trends also suggest a worsening of intergroup relations indicating that Northern Ireland is entering a period of “benign apartheid,” with segregation now worse than it was before the troubles began in 1968. Observers are in

agreement, then, that one lesson from Northern Ireland is that a peace agreement does not necessarily lead straight to a postconflict era but instead may be followed by a postagreement phase, which may last a considerable period of time.

Despite mostly gloomy news, the original Good Friday Agreement is still in existence, large-scale violence is unknown, and there is general agreement that no appetite exists among politicians, the people, or indeed the (former) terrorists for a return to out-and-out violence.

To make recommendations for improving the situation in Northern Ireland, Shapiro (2012) in his case study of the conflict in Northern Ireland, indicates that the problems still exist in fully implementing the argument. He employs his relational identity theory (RIT), which emphasizes the importance of achieving social-emotional relationships between the conflicting parties that incorporates two main value affiliations: building positive, cooperative relations with one another at both the personal and collective levels, and autonomy, which means respecting the other, including the other's right to have existential equality to one's own identity, to be independent, and to have freedom. Shapiro's important point that the successful implementation of an agreement depends not only on the quality of the substantive agreement but also on the social-emotional relationship developed between the parties making the agreement.

## SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT CONFLICT

Conflicts such as these three suggest many questions pertinent to conflicts of all sorts—interpersonal, intergroup, and international. These questions relate to fundamental processes that have been studied extensively by social psychologists. The chapters in this book address many of the fundamental social psychological processes involved in conflict and develop the implications of these processes for understanding conflict and for managing conflicts more effectively. Here is an outline of some of the processes affecting conflict that are addressed in one or more chapters.

- *Cooperation-competition.* Each of the conflicts I have described had a destructive phase characterized by a win-lose or competitive orientation to the conflict. What determines whether a conflict takes a destructive, win-lose course or a constructive, cooperative, problem-solving one?
- *Social justice.* All of the parties in the three conflicts had initially differing conceptions of what would be a fair resolution. What are the important sources of perceived injustice?

- *Motivation.* What needs do the parties in conflict have? Are their needs the same as their positions? What motives foster conflict, and which are fostered by conflict and tend to perpetuate it? Which facilitate constructive conflict resolution?
- *Trust.* Distrust is common whenever a conflict takes a destructive course. What processes give rise to trust, and which give rise to distrust?
- *Communication.* Faulty communication engenders misunderstanding, which may lead to conflict, and conflict often leads to a breakdown of communication. What are the characteristics of effective communication in terms of the communicator and the listener? What can be done to develop such communication?
- *Language.* What role does language use play in affecting the course of conflict? Do metaphors, images, and words relating to war (e.g., *battle, struggle, fight, coercion, defeat, enemy, suspicion*) dominate the discourse and competition relating to conflict, or does the language use reflect terms related to cooperation and peace (e.g., *constructive controversy, problem solving, creativity, mutual enlightenment, persuasion, trust*)?
- *Attribution processes.* Our emotional responses toward the actions of another are very much influenced by what intentions we attribute to the other, as well as how much responsibility for the actions we attribute to that person. What are the nature and consequences of common errors in attribution?
- *Emotions.* What emotions make a constructive conflict resolution less or more likely? What gives rise to these emotions? How can one control one's destructive emotions during a conflict?
- *Persuasion.* In most negotiations and conflicts, much of each party's effort is channeled into attempting to persuade the other of the soundness of the former's position. What insights into the conditions resulting in effective persuasion have resulted from systematic research of the processes involved in persuasion?
- *Self-control.* Effective goal-directed actions, particularly those that have to be sustained over a period of time, require effective self-control. During the course of conflict, various distractions, unexpected events, and emotions (such as rage, wounded pride, despair, anxiety) may, when uncontrolled, lead one to lose sight of one's important, enduring needs and goals. Knowing how to keep oneself on course during a conflict is obviously valuable. What help does theory provide?
- *Power.* The distribution of power among parties in conflict and how power is employed strongly influence conflict processes. How do the

bases of each party's power (including economic resources, weapons, information, legitimate authority, effective social organization) determine the type of influence exerted during a conflict?

- *Violence*. When conflict takes a destructive course, it sometimes leads to violence. What factors contribute to violent behavior? What sorts of intervention reduces the likelihood of violence?
- *Judgmental biases*. A host of misunderstandings, misperceptions, and potential biases interfere with the ability to resolve a conflict constructively. What gives rise to misunderstandings and biases, and how can their occurrence be reduced?
- *Personality*. How do unresolved self-conflict and individual personality characteristics affect how conflict is managed? How important is it to know the conflictual styles of various types of people (anxious, obsessive, analytical, and so on)?
- *Development*. What differences typically exist in managing conflict depending on whether it is between children, adolescents, or adults? How does psychological development (such as acquisition of language, increase in physical strength, and decreasing dependence on adults) affect response to conflict?
- *Group problem solving and creativity*. Constructive management of conflict can be viewed as a creative, cooperative problem-solving process in which the conflict is defined as the mutual problem to be solved. What leads to effective group problem solving, and what enables individuals to be creative in their approach to nonroutine problems?
- *Intergroup conflict*. Conflict between groups that differ in ethnicity, race, religion, gender, sexual orientation, and the like appear to have become prevalent and salient in recent years. How do the processes involved in intergroup conflicts differ from those in interpersonal conflicts?
- *Moral conflict*. Conflict over basic values (for example, "pro-choice" versus "pro-life"), which are often experienced as moral conflict, are often difficult to resolve. Why are they so difficult to resolve, and what approaches have been developed to manage such conflicts constructively?
- *Religious conflict*. Despite the fact that the major religions of the world share many values, religious differences have given rise to many destructive conflicts. Why? It is also evident that religious leaders have often been instrumental in preventing deadly conflict. How can leaders of the different religions be encouraged and helped to foster more

cooperative relations among the different religions and more constructive conflict resolution within their own communities?

- *Family and gender conflict.* Some of the most destructive interpersonal conflicts occur within families and between genders, between spouses, and between parents and children. What are the conflicts about, why are they so emotionally intense, and how can the participants learn to manage their conflicts constructively?
- *Organizational conflicts.* Most of us spend a considerable portion of our lives in organizations: as students in schools, as workers in economic organizations, as citizens in community organizations, and so on. We experience interpersonal conflicts with peers, subordinates, or superordinates; intergroup conflicts with other groups within our organizations; and interorganizational conflicts with other organizations. How are such conflicts managed constructively?
- *Culture.* How does the culture in which an individual or group is embedded affect how conflicts develop and are managed? What problems do negotiators from diverse cultural backgrounds face?
- *Intractable conflicts.* Difficult, long-standing, intractable conflicts occur at all levels—interpersonal, intergroup, and international. When are such conflicts “ripe” for intervention? What methods of intervention are likely to be productive? How can reconciliation and forgiveness be encouraged between historically bitter enemies?
- *Mediation.* Third-party intervention, such as mediation, can sometimes help people resolve their conflicts when they are unable to do so by themselves. When is mediation likely to be effective? What are the processes involved in mediation?
- *Managing conflict in large groups.* When the conflict occurs among factions within a large group, are there ways of bringing the total group, or its relevant components, together so that the group as a whole can contribute to resolving the conflict?
- *Constructive controversy.* Conflict can take the form of lively, constructive controversy, which stimulates creativity and richer thought processes; yet differences in belief and opinion often produce quarrels that lead to hardening of positions and breakdown of relations. What leads to lively controversy rather than deadly quarrel?
- *Culture and conflict.* Is conflict theory, largely developed in Western culture, applicable elsewhere? Can it be usefully applied in China, for example? What modifications, if any, are necessary for other cultures?

- *Teaching the knowledge, attitudes, and skills of constructive conflict.* What are the methods employed by some of the most experienced educators (practitioners and trainers to help students acquire the knowledge, attitudes, and skills of constructive conflict resolution)?
- *Research.* The field of conflict resolution is relatively young. There is still much basic research needed to acquire fundamental knowledge about all of the issues mentioned in this list. What are the most important and urgent questions to investigate? Also, there are many practitioners doing training and intervening in relation to many different kinds of conflicts. There is much need for research that helps us to know what kinds of intervention or training, with what kinds of clients, in what sorts of circumstances, produce what types of effects.

These and other questions relevant to all sorts of conflict are addressed in one or more of the chapters of this Handbook—sometimes directly and sometimes indirectly by articulating the fundamental social psychological processes that occur in all sorts of conflict.

## **SOME DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE ORIENTATION OF THEORISTS AND PRACTITIONERS**

Inevitable differences in theory and practice orientations can lead to misunderstanding and alienation if these inherent differences are not understood. In many disciplines of the natural as well as social sciences, the “scientist” and the “practitioner” tend to stereotype each other: the scientist viewing the practitioner as “unscientific” and the practitioner considering the scientist to be “impractical.” In the hope of fostering mutual respect and understanding of each other’s orientation, the following sections contrast several aspects of each orientation.

### **Analytical versus the Synthetic Approach**

The practitioner must synthesize the knowledge from many theories and research studies; she must make a collage or mosaic of many theoretical ideas of the kind presented in this book rather than relying on any single one. In contrast, the theorist-researcher generates knowledge by analysis and isolation of the object of inquiry; the focus is often narrowly defined. Breadth of theoretical knowledge is more important for the practitioner than precision, consistency, or elegance, although the opposite is true for the theoretically