

Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

Nicolò Foppiani

Testing Explanations of Short Baseline Neutrino Anomalies



Springer

Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

Aims and Scope

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

Theses may be nominated for publication in this series by heads of department at internationally leading universities or institutes and should fulfill all of the following criteria

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics, Earth Sciences, Engineering and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder (a maximum 30% of the thesis should be a verbatim reproduction from the author’s previous publications).
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to new PhD students and scientists not expert in the relevant field.

Indexed by zbMATH.

Nicolò Foppiani

Testing Explanations of Short Baseline Neutrino Anomalies

Doctoral Thesis accepted by Harvard University,
Cambridge, MA, USA

 Springer

Nicolò Foppiani
Proxima Fusion
Munich, Germany

ISSN 2190-5053

ISSN 2190-5061 (electronic)

Springer Theses

ISBN 978-3-031-40832-8

ISBN 978-3-031-40833-5 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-40833-5>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Paper in this product is recyclable.

*To my family,
who shared with me
every emotion
of this journey.*

Supervisor’s Foreword

It is a pleasure to introduce the work of my former student, Nicolò Foppiani, who was co-advised by Professor Roxanne Guenette and myself. This thesis is unique as it runs along two parallel paths, joined by their aim to resolve one of the most significant mysteries in neutrino physics.

The observation of non-zero neutrino masses implies that the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is incomplete. How to include neutrino masses within the SM has a variety of solutions. The “simplest” would be to add a new, sterile particle—known for technical reasons as a right-handed neutrino—to the model and follow the same recipe as the other particles in the SM. Though this solution seems dull, it is, in fact, quite striking: a new particle must exist in nature, and its mass and other properties are unknown and unmeasured. On the other hand, this solution is unsatisfactory to many neutrino physicists as it does not explain why neutrino masses are so small compared to the other particles. The heaviest of neutrinos’ mass is no larger than one in a millionth of the electron mass, leaving a gap of many orders of magnitude in the mass spectrum between the other particles and the neutrinos. This observation strongly suggests that another distinct mechanism is responsible for generating the masses of neutrinos. Paraphrasing Borges from *La Muerte y la Brújula*: Nature has no obligation to be interesting, but hypotheses must be. Dr. Foppiani’s thesis follows this path and explores new models that imply neutrino masses are generated by several additional sterile neutrinos coupled with secret interactions.

The existence of more complex neutrino-mass generating scenarios is not only motivated by theoretical reasons. As Dr. Foppiani reminds us in Part I, a series of anomalies have been observed in experiments searching for flavor conversion of muon-neutrino to electron-neutrino. The most significant of these observations are the LSND and MiniBooNE experiments, which observed an excess of electro-antineutrino and electron-like events, respectively. These excesses are statistically beyond doubt, and when interpreted in a neutrino oscillation model that adds an additional light, sterile neutrino, they have a significance above five sigma (a p-value smaller than three in ten billion). Unfortunately, this interpretation is under severe tension with experiments searching for correlated signatures in muon-neutrino

disappearance and electron-neutrino disappearance. Seeking to resolve this tension has led to the introduction of alternative explanations of the MiniBooNE anomaly that involve heavy sterile neutrinos, which Dr. Foppiani reviews in Part II.

Two results are presented in this thesis. The first one, discussed in Part II, reports new constraints on heavy neutrinos obtained by recasting searches performed in the T2K near detector, ND280. The relevant heavy neutrino models can be organized into two categories, the minimal and non-minimal scenarios. These two categories differ because, in the latter case, an additional “dark” force is added to the heavy neutrino model. The latter model is phenomenologically more interesting and also avoids strong constraints from cosmology. However, it also involves a larger parameter space, with six free parameters. Prior attempts to study this model have used simplified assumptions to obtain constraints or preferred regions by fixing one or more model parameters. This thesis introduces a new method that allows the exploration of the model parameter space in a continuous fashion, as discussed in Sect. 6.4. Both constraints for the minimal and non-minimal scenarios are world-leading, and the technique used to probe the multi-dimensional space has broad applicability.

The second result is a search for electron-neutrino appearance in the MicroBooNE experiment, discussed in detail in Part III. The MicroBooNE experiment operated on the same neutrino beamline as MiniBooNE, allowing for a close check of the MiniBooNE anomaly. One of the main disadvantages of MiniBooNE was that it could not differentiate between electrons and photons. Though the MiniBooNE Collaboration has auxiliary measurements to control their backgrounds, an independent analysis with a different technology is of crucial importance. MicroBooNE was built for this task: its Liquid-Argon Time Projection Chamber can reconstruct and identify the individual particles produced in a neutrino interaction. Importantly for this discussion, MicroBooNE can distinguish between electrons and photons. The analysis reported in this thesis did not find an excess of events analogous to that of MiniBooNE. Still, it did not entirely rule out the anomaly, leaving space for further exploration, which Dr. Foppiani discusses in his conclusions.

In summary, Dr. Foppiani's thesis constitutes a significant piece of work in resolving the MiniBooNE anomaly. The results and techniques introduced here will have a long-lasting impact on our field.

Assistant Professor of Physics
Harvard University
Cambridge, MA, USA

Carlos A. Argüelles-Delgado

Parts of This Thesis Have Been Published in the Following Journal Articles

- C. A. Argüelles, N. Foppiani, and M. Hostert, “Heavy neutral leptons below the kaon mass at hodoscopic neutrino detectors,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 105, no. 9, p. 095006, 2022.
- C. A. Argüelles, N. Foppiani, and M. Hostert, “Efficiently exploring multidimensional parameter spaces beyond the Standard Model,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 107, no. 3, p. 035027, 2023.
- P. Abratenko et al., “Calorimetric classification of track-like signatures in liquid argon TPCs using MicroBooNE data,” *JHEP*, vol. 12, p. 153, 2021.
- P. Abratenko et al., “Search for an Excess of Electron Neutrino Interactions in MicroBooNE Using Multiple Final-State Topologies,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 128, no. 24, p. 241801, 2022.
- P. Abratenko et al., “Search for an anomalous excess of charged-current ν_e interactions without pions in the final state with the MicroBooNE experiment,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 105, no. 11, p. 112004, 2022.

Acknowledgments

This Ph.D. was a turbulent journey, which could not be possible without the support of the many friends, colleagues, and mentors I found along the way. Ph.D. advisors are fundamental pillars during graduate studies.

I would like to thank Roxanne Guenette for welcoming me into her group during my first year and always supporting my exploratory mindset and ideas, often off the beaten path. She made me realize that scientific endeavors can be very complex not simply because of the science but because of the many human interactions behind them. She created a humane environment where students are respected as individuals, acknowledging our needs, spare time, and lives outside of physics.

I would like to acknowledge Carlos Argüelles-Delgado for being first a friend, then a respected colleague and collaborator, and eventually a thesis advisor. He always encouraged me to think broadly, outside the box, and constantly innovate in every aspect of my scientific research. I will genuinely miss our physics chats, always full of enthusiasm, passion, and room for unconventional ideas. At the same time, I also appreciated all our deep conversations about the other aspects of life, always putting our well-being first, recognizing that, without it doing good science is challenging.

I also would like to acknowledge Melissa Franklin and Matt Reece for serving on my committee. Melissa convinced me to join Harvard in the first place, and she has always been a reference point for me. She often challenged my ideas, pushing me to think broadly about options and opportunities, both science- and career-wise. I always appreciated Matt's humility and thoughtfulness in our physics discussions. Since my first year, when I was just a QFT student, he never dismissed my questions, always finding some exciting and nontrivial aspects.

I thank all my collaborators in Guenette's group, especially Wouter, Marco, Roberto, Justo, and Lars. We shared many aspects of the MicroBooNE experience and many trips to Fermilab. Wouter has also been a special friend, sharing many outdoor adventures and trips during these past years. I thank the MicroBooNE collaboration for allowing me to work on the flagship analysis, and the Pandora eLEE team for sharing the endeavor of the electron neutrino searches. I especially thank David and Giuseppe for collaborating, following me closely, and mentoring

me during this time. I thank the *Neutrino Penguins'* group (Argüelles' group) for being a new home after the pandemic. It also broadened my horizons to the edge of the universe, where astrophysical neutrinos originate. Within this group, I would like to especially thank Matheus for the fun projects we developed in the last two years. Although mainly working remotely, our meetings always brought joy, thanks to the friendly and enjoyable atmosphere we created.

If I am here writing this thesis, it is because my journey started some time ago when Gigi Rolandi caught me with his enthusiasm and passion during the first particle physics class at Scuola Normale in Pisa. He supervised me at CERN for both my Bachelor's and Master's theses, where the collaborative environment of the CMS experiment made my interest in particle physics grow further. It is thanks to his mentorship and all the time he dedicated to me that I decided to embark on the journey of a physics Ph.D.

Even if science was the main ingredient of this journey, it was definitely not the only one. I am grateful that I could be part of many *families* during these few years. The Harvard physics department has been a homy environment, where I found friends among the other students, especially within my cohort. I especially would like to thank Sepehr, Qianshu, Cari, Brendon, Nick, Zoe, Grace, Ruihua, Tim, Paloma, and Rashmish for the many fun and celebratory moments we shared in these few years. I would also like to acknowledge Lisa, Jacob, and Carol for always supporting and helping me navigate the troubles of the Ph.D.

Harvard also gave me opportunities to challenge myself in different subjects. The class in Human-Computer Interaction was an exciting experience that allowed me to apply my knowledge of statistics in a different field. The mentorship of Professor Elena Glassman and the great teamwork with Sana, Sophie, and Ziv culminated in a publication that I feel very proud of because it is outside of my primary field. How to Make Almost Anything was an extraordinary class. For a semester, the fabrication lab and the MIT Media Lab have been my second home. I had a chance to dive into digital fabrication and meet fantastic classmates and mentors. I would like to acknowledge Nathan for running the lab and ensuring everyone could complete their final projects, and Ibrahim, Gabby, Rosalie, Alfonso, Ian, and Camron for sharing many nights fighting with CAD and Arduino. The challenges I encountered in my scientific collaborations made me appreciate the importance of human relationships and led me to the Negotiation Workshop at Harvard Law School. Since the class is about human interactions, I could grow significantly thanks to the people I negotiated, debriefed, and argued with. I would like to thank the fantastic "group blue": especially Vi, for helping us students be always ready for the following case, and Professor Michael Chaffers for leading us through all the challenges posed during the class. After all our conversations reconciling my analytical skills with his negotiation experience, I am very grateful that I can consider him a mentor.

Challenges do not appear only in academia: the Harvard Mountaineering Club was a place for embarking on new challenges every week. I learned new mountaineering skills, improved my rock climbing, and even started ice climbing, thanks to the cold New England winters. Still, most importantly, I learned how to tackle mental challenges when finding myself in difficult and uncomfortable situations.

Among my fantastic climbing partners and friends, I would like to acknowledge Enrico, Larissa, Dom, and Walter for the many adventures we shared across multiple continents. I especially would like to thank Carlo for being not just a climbing partner or a friend but also a mentor: our conversations when returning from a long climbing trip always gave me perspective and clarity on my future steps during my Ph.D.

I am genuinely grateful to have had the privilege to join the Harvard Horizons program. The fantastic team at the Bok Center, Pamela, Erika, Marlon, Jordan, and Casey, helped me develop better outreach skills that, I am sure, improved both this manuscript and my thesis defense. My fellow scholars, Vanessa, Harry, Hannah, Karina, Chika, and Juliana, have been an essential element of this journey. All the time we spent together, from the very first meeting to the final day of the Symposium in Sanders Theater, made me not just better at communicating my research but made me also understand it more deeply.

I am also thankful to the Harvard Italian Student Society for reminding me of home whenever I felt nostalgic of Italy, between joyful glasses of Aperol Spritz and pasta competitions. I would like to acknowledge the many friends I met within this family: Alberto, Davide, Greta, Giuseppe, Gabriele, Benedetta, Elena, Eleonora, Gaia, and the many others I cannot name. Our shared experience in Boston is a bond we will keep in the future.

Meeting new friends from entirely different backgrounds is always a great opportunity to learn, and my friends from the Master in Public Administration and International Development (MPA/ID) at the Harvard Kennedy School were definitely not an exception. I found myself being caught in conversations about economics, thinking about introspective leadership, and reflecting on global poverty. This group definitely made me a little more humble. I especially acknowledge Alex, Jossie, Bia, Christian, Beto, Mechi, Nicole, and Nico, and all the other people I met during many trips, events, and parties.

Within this family, I am immensely grateful to Ruth for being my partner and supporting me throughout the last two years. We did not simply share the many pleasant moments of our journeys at Harvard. She truly supported me over all the difficult patches of my Ph.D., always showing empathy, compassion, and care.

You cannot say you moved to a new place until you find a new home, which I found in a cozy and quiet house in Somerville, at 19A Harvard Street. What made it home were my roommates, especially Sepehr, with whom we shared the house from the very beginning, and Sean, Mark, Diego, Ali, Brendon, Barton, and all the other roommates who have spent part of the journey at Harvard St. I will conserve all the lovely memories from the parties and meals we had during these few years. All the challenges we faced living together, from finding compromises between different cultures and habits to sharing the space during the pandemic, forged our friendships and made us grow as people.

Not all the people who supported me were around Cambridge: many of them were actually across the ocean, back in Europe. I am grateful to have been part of *Officine Italia*, a group of friends that gave me hope and energy at the beginning of

the pandemic when we felt there was no way for us to help the world: I am looking forward to many more projects to come.

It always feels special to walk through the streets of Pisa, stop by the University, and meet my former classmates Marco, Federica, Olmo, Tommaso, Francesca, Marianna, and Agnese, either in person during our reunions or for a phone call now that we live far away. I feel thankful that I can always rely on this special group of friends with whom I shared one of the most meaningful experiences of my life.

And, going back to Chiavari, my hometown, always gives me pleasant feelings, evoking many lovely memories from the past. I am always happy to share new moments with my more historical friends, Giacomo, Sara, Luca, Erik, Riccardo, Emanuele, Serena, Gaia, and Francesco. I am thankful Chiavari will always be the place to come back to our roots and share our stories and experiences.

And eventually, my family is what I will always call home, always waiting for me throughout my adventures: my parents, Adriana and Luciano, and my grandmother, Nonna Lidia. Despite not fully grasping what a Ph.D. is about and what living in the USA looks like, they lived this adventure with me, sharing all the joyful moments and all the difficult patches. Although this time has been challenging for them, I am grateful that they have and always will support me.

Contents

1	Prologue	1
1.1	Surprising Neutrinos	1
1.2	A Tale in Three Parts	2
	References	3
Part I Active and Sterile Neutrinos		
2	Neutrinos Within the Standard Model	7
2.1	A Brief History of the Physics of Neutrinos	7
2.2	The Theory of Neutrinos	10
2.2.1	Zooming in the Standard Model	10
2.2.2	The Mystery of Neutrino Masses	13
2.2.3	Neutrino Mixing	15
2.3	The Phenomenology of Neutrinos	16
2.3.1	Neutrino Oscillations	16
2.3.2	Measurements of Neutrino Oscillations	19
	References	21
3	Short Baseline Anomalies	23
3.1	An Introduction to Short Baseline Neutrino Anomalies	23
3.2	LSND and MiniBooNE	24
3.2.1	LSND	24
3.2.2	MiniBooNE	25
3.3	The Radiochemical Experiments	28
3.4	Reactor Antineutrino Anomalies	30
3.5	Null Results	32
3.5.1	Accelerator Neutrinos	32
3.5.2	Atmospheric Neutrinos	33
3.5.3	Solar Neutrinos	34
3.5.4	Neutrino Masses	34
3.5.5	Coherent Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering	34
3.5.6	Cosmology	35
	References	35

- 4 Neutrinos Beyond the Standard Model** 39
 - 4.1 Mixing Between Active and Sterile Neutrinos 39
 - 4.1.1 Seesaw and More 40
 - 4.1.2 Heavy or Light? 42
 - 4.2 Phenomenology of Heavy Sterile Neutrinos 43
 - 4.2.1 Weaker-than-Weak 44
 - 4.2.2 Production and Decay Through Mixing 44
 - 4.2.3 Lifetimes and Branching Ratios 45
 - 4.3 Phenomenology of Light Sterile Neutrinos 46
 - 4.3.1 Fast Oscillations 47
- References 50

Part II Heavy Sterile Neutrino Explanations

- 5 Minimal and Non-minimal Models** 55
 - 5.1 Introduction 55
 - 5.2 From Minimal to Non-minimal 56
 - 5.2.1 Minimal Model 57
 - 5.2.2 Heavy Neutrinos and Big Bang Nucleosynthesis 57
 - 5.2.3 Non-minimal Models 57
 - 5.2.4 Comparing the Different Models 62
 - 5.3 Laboratory-Based Searches for Decays in Flight 65
 - 5.3.1 General Methodology 65
 - 5.3.2 Angular Distributions 67
 - 5.3.3 T2K ND280 69
 - 5.3.4 PS191 70
 - 5.3.5 Heavy Neutrino Production from Pion and Muon Decays 71
 - 5.3.6 MicroBooNE 72
 - 5.3.7 Comparison Between the Different Experiments 73
 - 5.4 Constraining the Interesting Parameter Space 76
 - 5.4.1 Exploring the Parameter Space of the Minimal Model ... 76
 - 5.4.2 Constraining the Parameter Space of the TMM and Four-Fermion Interaction Models 77
 - 5.4.3 Constraining the Parameter Space of the Light Leptophilic ALP Model 79
 - 5.4.4 Outlook 80
 - 5.5 Cross-checks 80
 - 5.5.1 Reproducing the T2K Official Limits 80
 - 5.5.2 Comparison in the Light Scalar Case 81
 - 5.5.3 Existing Limits from PS191 82
- References 83
- 6 Dark Neutrinos** 87
 - 6.1 Introduction 87
 - 6.2 When Heavy Sterile Neutrinos Meet a Dark Sector 88
 - 6.2.1 Upscattering and Decay 90

- 6.2.2 Analytical Approximation for Upscattering Cross Section 92
- 6.2.3 Explaining the MiniBooNE Anomaly 92
- 6.2.4 UV Completions 95
- 6.3 ND280 Analyses 95
 - 6.3.1 The ND280 Detector 97
 - 6.3.2 Detector Description 98
 - 6.3.3 Analysis-I—Heavy Neutrino Searches in the GAr TPC 98
 - 6.3.4 Analysis-II—Photons in the FGD 102
- 6.4 Exploring the Parameter Space 105
 - 6.4.1 General Idea 105
 - 6.4.2 Application to Dark Neutrino Sectors 107
 - 6.4.3 Monte Carlo Event Generator 108
 - 6.4.4 Multidimensional Re-weighting Scheme 109
 - 6.4.5 Likelihood Evaluation 110
- 6.5 Dark-New Constraints 111
 - 6.5.1 Limits in the Relevant Parameter Space 111
 - 6.5.2 Complementarity of the ND280 Sub-detectors 113
 - 6.5.3 Model-Independent Constraints 114
 - 6.5.4 Outlook 116
- References 117

Part III Light Sterile Neutrino Explanations

- 7 The Micro Booster Neutrino Beam Experiment 123**
 - 7.1 From Mini to Micro 123
 - 7.2 Turning Protons Into Neutrinos 124
 - 7.3 From Invisible to Visible 126
 - 7.4 From 3D to 2D, and from 2D to 3D 128
 - 7.4.1 Projecting Neutrino Interactions in 2D 128
 - 7.4.2 3D Reconstruction 130
 - References 131
- 8 Identifying Neutrinos: Tracks and Showers 133**
 - 8.1 Introduction 133
 - 8.2 Calorimetric Reconstruction and Angular Effects 135
 - 8.2.1 Coordinate System, Pitch, dQ/dx and dE/dx 135
 - 8.2.2 ACPT Selection 137
 - 8.2.3 Angular Effects in Calorimetric Reconstruction 138
 - 8.3 Re-calibration 141
 - 8.3.1 Comparing the Data with the Simulation 142
 - 8.3.2 Fit for Scaling Factors 143
 - 8.3.3 Re-calibration Summary Tables 144
 - 8.4 Identifying Tracks—A New Method 146
 - 8.4.1 A Primer to Calorimetry-Based Classification 146
 - 8.4.2 The dE/dx Probability Density Function 147

8.4.3	The Likelihood Ratio Test Statistic as PID Score	148
8.4.4	Implementation and Lookup Tables	151
8.4.5	Performance of the Particle Identification	153
8.5	Identifying Tracks—Physics Applications	155
8.5.1	Proton-Muon Separation for Tracks Recorded on Data ..	156
8.5.2	Large Collection-Plane-Local Pitch Tracks Identified with the Two Induction Planes	157
8.5.3	Exclusive ν_μ Selection	158
8.5.4	Re-interacting Protons	160
8.6	Distinguishing Showers	161
8.6.1	Shower Objects	161
8.6.2	dE/dx at the Beginning of the Shower	162
8.6.3	Vertex—Start Point Distance	166
8.6.4	A Likelihood-Based Method to Identify Showers	166
	References	169
9	The Quest for Electron Neutrinos	171
9.1	Interaction Processes and Final States	171
9.2	Signal Model	173
9.3	Event Selection	174
9.3.1	Preselection	175
9.3.2	Energy Reconstruction	177
9.3.3	$1eNp0\pi$ Selection	177
9.3.4	$1e0p0\pi$ Selection	184
9.3.5	$\nu_\mu CC$ Selection	184
9.4	Systematics Uncertainties	185
9.4.1	The Covariance Matrix Formalism	186
9.4.2	Flux, Cross-Section, Hadronic Re-Interaction Systematic Uncertainties	187
9.4.3	Detector Systematic Uncertainties	189
9.5	Constraint of the Systematic Uncertainties	191
	References	193
10	Interpreting the Results	195
10.1	Unblinding the Data	195
10.1.1	Underlying $1eNp0\pi$ Distributions in the Different Sidebands	196
10.1.2	2+ Showers Sideband	198
10.1.3	Far Sidebands at High Energy	199
10.1.4	Far Sideband at Low Event ID	200
10.1.5	Near Sideband	201
10.1.6	Signal Region	202
10.2	Statistical Test of New Physics	204
10.2.1	Modeling of Electron Neutrinos	204
10.2.2	Simple Hypothesis Test of the eLEE Model	205
10.2.3	Signal Strength Measurement	206
10.2.4	Comparison with the Other Analyses	207

- 10.3 Interpretation and Implications 208
- References 209
- 11 Epilogue 211**
 - 11.1 Status of the Experimental Landscape 211
 - 11.2 Status of the Phenomenological Landscape 212
 - 11.3 The Experimental Future 213
 - 11.4 The Phenomenological Future 214
 - 11.5 The Very End 215
 - References 216

About the Author

Nicolò Foppiani is currently working as a fusion researcher at Proxima Fusion, where he applies numerical optimization techniques to the design of the first stellarator fusion power plant, aiming to produce abundant, clean, and safe energy, shaping the post-fossil-fuels world.

Before transitioning to fusion R&D, he worked on particle physics research on neutrino experiments and hadron colliders.

He graduated with a physics PhD at Harvard in 2022. His thesis work focused on neutrino physics and the search for sterile neutrinos.

While a Ph.D. student, he was awarded the prestigious Goldhaber Prize by the Harvard Physics Department, recognizing outstanding and promising graduate students.

While at Harvard, he cultivated his passion for public speaking as a Harvard Horizons Scholar, delivering a presentation about his thesis work in front of a multidisciplinary audience and winning the graduate student SLAM in 2020, a competition among graduate students to give the best three-minute presentation at the APS April Meeting.

He also cultivated a strong interest in building community. He served as the graduate student liaison in the Harvard Mountaineering Club between 2019 and 2021 and vice-president for the Harvard Italian Student Society in 2019.

He holds a Bachelor's and a Master's in physics from the University of Pisa and a Diploma in Physics from the prestigious Scuola Normale Superiore, where he complemented and deepened his physics education.

His interest in particle physics started in 2015 when we worked on simulations for the Future Circular Collider for his Bachelor's thesis. He was selected as a Summer Student at CERN in 2016. He continued his research with his Master's thesis on the "Measurement of the mass of the W boson with the CMS experiment," which was later awarded the Tito Maiani prize in 2018.

Parallel to science and research, he also worked for the NGO Officine Italia, which he co-founded in 2020 at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, to increase youth participation in public policy and agenda-setting, with a strong focus on Italy.

Chapter 1

Prologue



Abstract It is astonishing that all the complex structures we observe in nature, from stars and galaxies to living organisms and molecules, emerge from the same underlying elementary pieces: the quarks up and down and the electron. It is through their fundamental interactions that these three particles can combine together, giving rise to an exponentially large amount of combinations and possibilities. This is what particle physics is about: understanding nature at its fundamental level. Particle physics is often referred to as high-energy physics because large energies correspond to small scales, which, in turn, require experiments at high energy to be investigated. Among the elementary particles, there is one more type: the elusive neutrino. Since neutrinos undergo only weak interaction, neutrinos cannot bind with other particles, and their probability of interacting with matter is very small for the typical energies of neutrinos around the Earth. In turn, this fact made neutrinos challenging to discover and makes them both hard to study and unknown to most people.

1.1 Surprising Neutrinos

However, neutrinos have brought many surprises over the past few decades. For example, we know they come in three distinct types, or *flavors*, and their interaction violates symmetries under parity and charge conjugations, which are instead respected by other forces. More importantly, neutrinos were first theorized as massless particles because of the lack of experimental evidence. We now know neutrinos are massive because the three flavors mix with each other. This discovery represents a crack into the standard model of particle physics, which requires the existence of new physics and other particles to be explained. Moreover, in a moment where most measurements performed in the lab agree with the theoretical predictions, several neutrino experiments report disagreements. These discrepant experimental results are often referred to as *short baseline anomalies* and form a genuinely unclear puzzle, which might hide new physics discoveries.

Such motivations pushed me to pursue research in neutrino physics as a Ph.D. student. This thesis represents the summary and the completion of my work between 2017 and 2022. All the original work in this thesis has been published in peer-reviewed journals. The work is not organized in chronological order but rather in a logical order, hoping that the reader would agree with the rationale behind this scheme.

1.2 A Tale in Three Parts

Part **I** sets the background for the thesis work, introducing the current understanding of neutrinos and the theoretical and experimental motivations that justify the search for new particles. While it does not contain any original work, I explained the physics in my preferred way and included all the insights I find effective in understanding neutrinos. Although I started working on short baseline anomalies five years ago, I described the theoretical and experimental status at the time of writing the thesis. I want to acknowledge, however, that several things have changed, and new insights were brought in by both the experimental and theoretical communities.

Part **II** contains some more *phenomenological* work I performed, considering possible solutions to the short baseline anomalies in terms of heavy sterile neutrino models and looking for datasets and experiments that could test them. Chapter **5** is adapted from [1], which was published in Physical Review D. Chapter **6** is adapted from [2], also published in Physical Review D. Both works have been performed with Matheus Hostert and Carlos Argüelles-Delgado. Together with testing physical models, we also developed a new statistical approach to test models in ample parameter spaces. Although it started a year before the first paper, the second was made public only recently.

Part **III** summarizes most of my contribution in the MicroBooNE collaboration. I joined MicroBooNE in 2018 and have been an active member until the electron neutrino search was finalized and published. Chapter **7** introduces the MicroBooNE experiment, describing the most important features relevant to this thesis. Chapter **8** describes how particles are measured and identified in MicroBooNE. A large chunk of the chapter is adapted from [3], published in the Journal of High Energy Physics, which describes a new method to improve the identification of track-like particles in MicroBooNE substantially. This new methodology was not only essential for the electron neutrino searches I was directly involved in, but it is also the basis of many detailed cross-section measurements published by MicroBooNE. The rest of the chapter complements the paper and describes other unpublished work. Chapters **9** and **10** are adapted from and expand the electron neutrino search published in [4, 5], in Physical Review Letters and Physical Review D, respectively.

References

1. C. A. Argüelles, N. Foppiani, and M. Hostert, “Heavy neutral leptons below the kaon mass at hodoscopic neutrino detectors,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 105, no. 9, p. 095006, 2022.
2. C. A. Argüelles, N. Foppiani, and M. Hostert, “Efficiently exploring multidimensional parameter spaces beyond the Standard Model,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 107, no. 3, p. 035027, 2023.
3. P. Abratenko *et al.*, “Calorimetric classification of track-like signatures in liquid argon TPCs using MicroBooNE data,” *JHEP*, vol. 12, p. 153, 2021.
4. P. Abratenko *et al.*, “Search for an Excess of Electron Neutrino Interactions in MicroBooNE Using Multiple Final-State Topologies,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 128, no. 24, p. 241801, 2022.
5. P. Abratenko *et al.*, “Search for an anomalous excess of charged-current ν_e interactions without pions in the final state with the MicroBooNE experiment,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 105, no. 11, p. 112004, 2022.