

Entomology Monographs

Hideharu Numata
Kenji Tomioka *Editors*

Insect Chronobiology

 Springer

Entomology Monographs

Series Editor

Hideharu Numata, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

Insects are the most diverse group of organisms, and many biological advances have been derived from this group. Although entomology is considered to be a classic science, recent developments in molecular methods, application of modern theoretical concepts and collaboration with related sciences have opened new directions in entomology. Japanese scientists play a significant role in these fields, and this book series will focus on such developments. The book series *Entomology Monographs* publishes refereed volumes on all aspects of entomology, including ecology, ethology, physiology, taxonomy, systematics, morphology, evolutionary developmental biology, genetics, biochemistry, and molecular biology in insects and related arthropods. Authors are not restricted to Japanese entomologists, and other international experts will also be considered on the basis of their recent contribution to these fields.

Hideharu Numata • Kenji Tomioka
Editors

Insect Chronobiology

 Springer

Editors

Hideharu Numata
Institute for the Future of Human Society
Kyoto University
Kyoto, Japan

Kenji Tomioka
Graduate School of Natural Science and
Technology
Okayama University
Okayama, Japan

ISSN 2522-526X

Entomology Monographs

ISBN 978-981-99-0725-0

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-0726-7>

ISSN 2522-5278 (electronic)

ISBN 978-981-99-0726-7 (eBook)

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.


The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

This book is dedicated to Yoshihiko Chiba (1931–2022), an insect chronobiologist who contributed greatly to the establishment of chronobiology in Japan. Yoshihiko was trained as an ecologist under Prof. Mutsuo Kato of Tohoku University, a pioneer of the study on insect rhythms in Japan. During this time, he started a chronobiological study on mosquito behaviour. After obtaining his PhD, he worked as an assistant professor at the same university for a while before moving to Yamaguchi University, where he established the Chronobiology Laboratory. His life-long research was aimed at elucidating the mechanism and biological significance of the bimodal activity rhythm of mosquitoes, which peaks in the morning and evening. He also conducted research on the link between the physiological state and behavioural rhythms of female mosquitoes. For his scientific contributions through these series of studies, he was awarded the Zoological Society Prize and the Aschoff and Honma Honorary Prize. During his active academic career, he made significant contributions to societies related to

chronobiology, including serving as the first president of the Japan Society for Chronobiology. After retiring from the university, he started a new career as an outstanding painter. He has produced many works that combine art and biology, and he has received many art awards. Yoshihiko became an unforgettable presence in both the scientific and artistic fields.



This photograph was taken at a French restaurant in Yamaguchi, Japan, on 11 March 2003. Left to right: Kenji Tomioka, Hideharu Numata, Yoshihiko Chiba, Shin-ichi Inouye, Katsuhiko Endo. Photo by Shin-ichi Inouye



A partial view of the work "Internal Clock" by Yoshihiko Chiba (2010)

Foreword

Almost seven decades ago, whilst an undergraduate student at King's College, University of London, I first encountered biological rhythms from lectures given by John Cloudsley-Thompson, who was conducting research on locomotor rhythmicity in cockroaches. Apart from a few such pioneers, the prevalent opinion at that time was either that these rhythms were a direct (exogenous) response to environmental conditions or merely trivial—or even regulated by unidentified factors from the cosmos. Since then, however, the true nature and importance of such phenomena have been revealed: the almost universal occurrence as endogenous biological processes in eucaryotes (and in some photosynthetic procaryotes), in which they regulate vital functions at all levels of organisation from molecules to behaviour and ecology. In this, insects have played a major role, not only because they are a dominant group of organisms, but because of their ease of laboratory maintenance, often short life cycles and, in some cases, their importance in agriculture, medicine or as model species in biological research. This last work culminated in the 2017 Nobel Prize awarded to Doctors Hall, Rosbash and Young for their work on circadian rhythmicity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Today, the field of insect chronobiology generates wide research activity and seemingly countless publications! For this reason alone, books such as the present one are essential for today's researchers.

The book is organised in two main sections. After an opening chapter on historical aspects of insect chronobiology by Hideharu Numata, the first section—on the better-known and overt circadian rhythms—proceeds logically from general aspects through entrainment to their molecular and neural mechanisms, and finishes with rhythms in peripheral tissues, circa-bidian rhythms and rhythms in social insects. The second section then deals with rhythmic phenomena that display endogenous periods close to tidal, lunar or annual cycles and with seasonal photoperiodism, which has a circadian basis, but one that is not overtly expressed. Like the first section, the second proceeds, as far as is known, from general features through to neural and molecular aspects of the phenomena involved. Evolution of insect rhythms and accounts of seasonal timing in aphids and navigation are also described.

There is no doubt that the considerable advances in the field of insect chronobiology described in this book will facilitate further progress—for example in the molecular basis of photoperiodic time measurement and non-circadian periodicities to match that for circadian regulation of activity rhythms in *D. melanogaster*.

Edinburgh, UK
December 2022

David Saunders

Preface

Chronobiology of insects has a long history and has had a great influence on various disciplines: The pioneering work of Karl von Frisch's group from the first half of the twentieth century, followed by the comprehensive work of Colin Pittendrigh in the second half of the twentieth century. Junko Nishiitsutsuji-Uwo, a senior of Hideharu Numata, one of the editors of this book, at the Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, was the first to locate the circadian clock in the nervous system of the cockroach with Pittendrigh. More recently, the first clock gene was discovered in the fruit fly. Chronobiology is not restricted to the study of circadian clocks, which are responsible for the daily rhythm of animals. Clocks with different periods have evolved as adaptations to environmental variations such as tidal, (semi)lunar, and annual cycles. Photoperiodism, which has also evolved to cope with seasonal variations, is strongly related to the circadian clock. Time compensation by a circadian clock is essential for celestial navigation as shown by von Frisch in the honey bee. Insects have played important roles in elucidating these broad mechanisms.

Currently, both of the editors are still employed by the universities but officially retired from their professorships at their Graduate School in March 2021 at the age of 65. They have both been involved in insect chronobiology research since 1980, which means that they have been working in this field for more than 40 years. Their dates of birth are also close, and they have always enjoyed talking about insect chronobiology whenever they meet. They hit it off when they decided to plan a monograph on this field together at their first retirement. In addition to the chapters that the editors themselves have contributed to this book, they have also invited their colleagues to write concise summaries of what is currently known about insect chronobiology in their respective fields. The editors hope that this book will be useful not only to researchers and those who aim to become researchers in the field of insect chronobiology but also to non-insect chronobiologists, non-chronobiology entomologists, and graduate and undergraduate students in biology.

During the development of the book, Fumiko Yamaguchi, Springer Nature, Japan, kindly gave us expert advice. The editors thank their wives, Wakaha Numata and Fumiko Tomioka, for tolerating and supporting the many hours not only during

editing this book but also over the long years of their research in this field. This book is inspired by the vision of the pioneers of insect chronobiology, especially Yoshihiko Chiba, to whom we dedicate it.

Kyoto, Japan
Okayama, Japan
December 2022

Hideharu Numata
Kenji Tomioka

Contents

1	Historical Survey of Chronobiology with Reference to Studies in Insects	1
	Hideharu Numata	
Part I Insect Circadian Rhythms		
2	General Feature of Circadian Rhythms	17
	Kenji Tomioka	
3	Neural and Molecular Mechanisms of Entrainment	33
	Kenji Tomioka and Taishi Yoshii	
4	Molecular Mechanism of the Circadian Clock	49
	David Doležel	
5	Neurocircuitry of Circadian Clocks	85
	Taishi Yoshii and Ayumi Fukuda	
6	Peripheral Circadian Clock	115
	Chihiro Ito	
7	Circabidian Rhythm	143
	Sakiko Shiga	
8	Circadian Behavioral Rhythms in Social Insects	163
	Taro Fuchikawa	
9	Environmental Adaptation and Evolution of Circadian Clocks	177
	Chitrang Dani, Nisha N. Kannan, and Vasu Sheeba	
Part II Other Types of Insect Rhythms and Photoperiodism		
10	Lunar and Tidal Rhythms and Clocks	203
	Jule Neumann and Tobias S. Kaiser	

11 Circannual Rhythms 229
Yosuke Miyazaki

12 General Features of Photoperiodism 251
Hideharu Numata

13 Molecular Mechanisms of Photoperiodism 271
Shin G. Goto

14 Neural Mechanism of Photoperiodism 293
Sakiko Shiga

15 Seasonal Timer in Aphids 321
Naoki Matsuda

16 Time-Compensated Celestial Navigation 339
James Frederick Cheeseman

Subject Index 351

Taxonomic Index 355

Chapter 1

Historical Survey of Chronobiology with Reference to Studies in Insects



Hideharu Numata

Abstract Chronobiology is the study of the temporal characteristics of biological phenomena. Humans must have recognized before the establishment of civilization that the activity of organisms has periodicity. Studies in chronobiology have advanced from the folklore to the molecular level by way of natural history and classic experiment levels. During these processes, observations and experiments in insects have made significant contributions. The climax was the discovery of molecular mechanisms of the circadian clock in *Drosophila melanogaster* in the late twentieth century. Currently, chronobiology is expanding further to various aspects, such as circadian clocks in various organisms, molecular and neural mechanisms of photoperiodism, clocks of which the period is different from approximately 24 h, and the ecological aspect of biological clocks.

Keywords Biological clock · Circadian clock · Exogenous timing hypothesis · Photoperiodism · Solar compass · Time memory

1.1 What Is Chronobiology?

Chronobiology is a combination of *chronos*, which means time in Greek, and biology. This word was proposed by Franz Halberg in 1950 and became a common term after his review named “Chronobiology” (Halberg 1969). According to Halberg (1969), chronobiology is defined as the study of the temporal characteristics of biological phenomena. If we understand it as time-related biology, all developmental phenomena should be the objects of chronobiology. However, the discipline of chronobiology actually focuses on the periodic activity of living organisms that repeats over time, rather than phenomena such as development that progresses in one direction along the time axis.

H. Numata (✉)

Institute for the Future of Human Society, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

e-mail: numata.hideharu.8r@kyoto-u.jp

Almost all organisms on the Earth are subject to environmental changes. Whereas some environmental changes occur completely irregularly, others have a fixed period. There are at least five types of environmental changes with constant periods due to geophysical mechanisms. These cycles are the tidal cycle (12.4 h), daily cycle (24 h), semilunar cycle (spring tide and neap tide cycle, 14.8 days), lunar cycle (29.5 days), and annual cycle (365.2 days). All of them are brought by the positional relationship between the Earth, the moon, and the sun. Changes in the environment with such cycles may directly affect the activity of the organism and produce periodicity, but conversely, to respond to the periodic change in the environment, the organism autonomously becomes periodic. Organisms have acquired a physiological mechanism that indicates the above, the biological clock. The main object of chronobiology is the phenomenon related to the biological clock.

It is common knowledge today that “the clock exists in the body of organisms” and at least those who have learned biology do not doubt its existence. However, when we think about it without knowledge in modern biology, the biological clock seems to be a truly mysterious mechanism. The first clock invented by human beings was the ancient Egyptian sundial. However, the sundial did not keep track of time autonomously but used the movement of the sun in the sky. Later, water clocks and hourglasses were invented, but none of them autonomously showed periodicity. They were one-shot mechanisms that ended when water or sand fell down. Most likely the first clock rotating once a day was made by improving the water clock in China during the Tang dynasty. Eventually, mechanical watches using pendulums, springs, and gears developed, and wristwatches appeared approximately 1800. It has not been easy to believe that organisms have such a mechanism because small and accurate clocks were invented only in modern times in the long history of mankind. In fact, there was fierce controversy before the idea that the periodicity of organisms was produced by the biological clock was established (see Sect. 1.4). In this chapter, I summarize the history of chronobiology, focusing on insect clocks.

1.2 From Folklore to Natural History

Humans must have recognized before the establishment of civilization that the activity of organisms has periodicity. Humans are animals that grow and act by eating other organisms. To acquire food resources efficiently, therefore, it is essential to know when and where prey organisms exist. Especially for human ancestors who began to eat the meat of other animals instead of the fruit diet, it must have become even more important to know when and what activities the prey animals perform. Moreover, it is also important to know the activities of animals that are harmful to humans. Therefore, knowledge of chronobiology must have increased the survival value of humans since prehistoric times. That is, we are born chronobiologists.

Let me give you one famous example. The palolo worm *Palola viridis* (Annelida: Polychaeta) reaching 40 cm in length lives in a cave at a depth of 3–5 m on a coral reef of Fiji and Samoa in the southwest Pacific (Fig. 1.1). When a palolo worm



Fig. 1.1 A sexually matured adult female of the Palolo worm *Palola viridis*. The green posterior region of the body (epitoke) contains mature eggs. Photo by Masa Ushioda, Blue Planet Archive LLC

sexually matures, the posterior region of the body swells with developed gonads (the epitoke). Then, twice at the end of October and the beginning of November, when the dawn overlaps with the high tide on neap tide days, the developed posterior region tears off from the head region and swims, reaching the sea surface, and spawns. This phenomenon is called rising. Thereafter, the head region remaining in the cave regenerates the posterior region. William Burrows, a resident commissioner of Fiji, reported that the developed gonads of palolo worms are very tasty, and people living on these islands board a small boat and scoop up a large number of gonads every year at the time of rising (Burrows 1945). In other words, the people of the islands accurately handed down the day and time when palolo worms swim. Schulze (2006) summarized the knowledge in the phylogeny of palolo worms and showed early references of their rising and its use as a food source by native people of Pacific islands.

In the eighteenth century, Jean-Jacques d'Ortous de Mairan observed that the movement of the leaves of the sensitive plant (probably *Mimosa pudica*) to open during the day and hang down at night continued even when the plant was transferred to dark places. In the current knowledge, this is proof of the existence of a biological clock and an excellent experimental result in chronobiology. However, he interpreted the result that the plant is sensitive to external stimuli showing day and night, even without sunlight (Anonymous 1729).

In the 1900s, observations that the honeybee *Apis mellifera* might learn and memorize the time were reported (see Renner 1960). Hugo von Buttel-Reepen noticed that bees came to the buckwheat field exactly between 10 and 11 am when the buckwheat flowers secreted nectar, as if the bees knew the time. He concluded that such behavior was only possible if the bees had a sense of time (Zeitsinn) (von Buttel-Reepen 1900, 1915). In 1906, when Auguste Forel had breakfast on the terrace facing the garden every day between 7:30 and 9:30 am, he always observed that bee workers came to the jam that he intended to spread to pieces of bread. Even on the day when Forel did not supply jam, the bees came to the terrace. This result means that the bees were not attracted to the color or smell of the jam but remembered the time and place in relation to each other (Forel 1910). Based on this observation, Forel (1910) proposed the term time memory (Zeitgedächtnis).

1.3 From Natural History to Experimental Science

It was the group of Karl von Frisch, one of the winners of the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 1973, who experimentally proved the time memory in honeybees suggested by Forel (1910). Ingeborg Beling placed sugar solution on a desk a few meters away from a honeybee nest and trained bee workers to come at a fixed time every day, marking each bee to identify the individual. Then, on the last day, she observed which bees came to the desk all day without sugar solution, and most of the bees came to the desk at the time of training. In other words, the bees actually learned the time. Next, she performed the same experiment in the laboratory where the light, temperature, and humidity were kept constant, but the behavior of the bees did not change, so the change in the position and brightness of the sun, temperature, and humidity was not used as a clue. However, the electrical conductivity of air changed during the day, and it was possible that the air was reacting to the bees as it freely moved in and out of the laboratory. Therefore, radium was used to increase the ions in the air to increase the electrical conductivity throughout the day, but the time that the bees remembered was still correct (Beling 1929). In addition, Oskar Wahl, another student of von Frisch, examined the effects of cosmic rays. Cosmic rays fluctuate daily, passing through the roofs and walls of buildings and reaching the inside of the laboratory. Therefore, he brought a honeybee nest into a salt mine that was too deep for cosmic rays to reach. The same experiment was performed, where the bees showed that they knew the time (Wahl 1932). In this way, even if the external cues were eliminated, the bees remembered the time, so it became accepted that there is an endogenous clock.

Before these experiments, Garner and Allard (1920) reported that the Maryland mammoth variety of the tobacco *Nicotiana tabacum* had flower buds when the light period of a day was artificially shortened. They first revealed that an organism reacts to the photoperiod and named the response photoperiodism. Three years later, Marcovitch (1923) showed the first example of photoperiodism in animals. Under natural conditions, the strawberry aphid, *Aphis forbesi*, switches from

parthenogenesis to bisexual reproduction in November in Tennessee. When transferred to a short day in February, the aphid changed the reproductive mode in May, much earlier than under natural conditions. Furthermore, Kogure (1933) showed that the induction of egg diapause depends on the photoperiod and temperature of the maternal egg period in the silk moth *Bombyx mori*. Thereafter, it was shown in many insects that diapause is regulated by photoperiodism (see Chap. 12).

Erwin Bünning proposed the idea that time measurement in photoperiodism is determined by the relationship between the phase of an endogenous rhythm and light, based on the experimental results on the endogenous rhythm in the runner bean, *Phaseolus coccineus* (Bünning 1936; see Bünning 1960 also). He postulated the existence of distinct scotophil (dark-requiring) and photophil (light-requiring) sections of the rhythm, and a photoperiodic effect is triggered according as to whether light falls in the scotophil. In 1936, when Bünning first proposed the idea, the word circadian clock had not yet been coined for a biological clock with a period of approximately a day (see Sect. 1.4), but this idea in current terms that “the circadian clock is involved in the measurement of daylength in photoperiodism” is later called Bünning’s hypothesis (Pittendrigh 1960). It is now known that the time measurement in photoperiodism is not as simple as the original Bünning’s hypothesis, which cannot explain various responses in photoperiodism. However, it is now believed that the circadian clock is involved in photoperiodism (e.g., Numata et al. 2015; Saunders 2021). Because the involvement of the circadian clock was first presented by Bünning (1936), the monumental value of Bünning’s hypothesis is great. Although Bünning was a plant physiologist, he also published some experimental results on the photoperiodic induction of pupal diapause in the large white butterfly, *Pieris brassicae*, which supported Bünning’s hypothesis (e.g., Bünning and Joerrens 1959; Bünning 1960).

The first insect shown to have diapause controlled by photoperiod was *B. mori*, which can only live in an artificial environment (Kogure 1933). However, Danilevskii (1961) clarified the implications of photoperiodism in the life cycle of wild insects from the 1940s to the 1960s. He examined geographical variation in the critical daylength, the daylength at the boundary that distinguishes between long days and short days in photoperiodism, within a species and revealed that variation in the critical daylength shows the life cycle adaptation to local climates of the insect. In general, the higher the latitude is, the faster the arrival of winter and the larger the fluctuation of the daylength. Therefore, the higher the latitude is, the longer the critical daylength for inducing winter diapause. By comparing populations in vast areas of the Soviet Union, including current Russia, Ukraine, and Georgia, Danilevskii (1961) concluded that a 5-degree higher latitude would increase the critical daylength by approximately 1.5 h. It is notable that he showed that we can discuss seasonal adaptations of insects based on the experimental results obtained in the laboratory.

I mentioned above the studies by two disciples of von Frisch, but here I show a study by himself. In 1919, von Frisch found that when a honeybee worker returned to the nest after finding food in the field, she was dancing to inform nestmate workers that there was food. In 1948, von Frisch published that honeybees are telling the




Fig. 1.2 Solar compass of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*. Workers show the direction of the feeding site by waggle dance on the vertical honeycomb according to the position of the sun. Broken arrows show the direction of gravitational force. Based on von Frisch (1948)

direction and distance of the feeding site with this dance, later known as the waggle dance. The angle between the direction of the feeding site and the direction of the sun is expressed as the angle between the straight line in the middle of the waggle dance and the vertical line (Fig. 1.2; von Frisch 1948). This mechanism of orientation based on the position of the sun is called the solar compass. Even more surprisingly, honeybees can know the position of the sun even if they cannot see the sun itself. If honeybees can see the blue sky, they use its polarization pattern. Unlike the magnetic compass, where the north pole always points north and the South Pole points south, in the case of a solar compass, the direction indicated by the sun changes over time. Therefore, the sun compass is useless unless the direction indicated by the sun is corrected according to the time of day. In fact, honeybees know the change in the position of the sun and correct the direction indicated by the solar compass. Von Frisch (1950) observed that honeybees doing a waggle dance change the straight part of the dance counterclockwise over time. Moreover, when the nest was transferred elsewhere during the night when the bees were resting, honeybees searched for food in the same direction as yesterday in the next morning (even if the position of the sun was opposite). The results showed that the solar compass of the honeybee is time-compensated. Kramer (1950) also reported a time-compensated solar compass in the common starling, *Sturnus vulgaris*. It is called celestial navigation that an animal knows the direction based on the position of a celestial body to move, and its typical

example is a solar compass. Thus, time-compensated celestial navigation was discovered almost at the same time by von Frisch and Kramer.

Approximately a quarter century after Beling (1929) and Wahl (1932), Max Renner, also a student of von Frisch, transported honeybees on a passenger plane over the Atlantic and examined what time they arrived for food. Renner (1955a) placed a honeybee nest in a large box of $7\text{ m} \times 3\text{ m} \times 3\text{ m}$ to allow humans to enter and feed the bees. Renner (1955b) prepared two boxes in Paris and in New York and first flew bees who learned when to feed in Paris to New York. Because a jet plane entered service on the transatlantic route in 1958, it took more than 16 h to be transported by a propeller plane at this time. The bees, trained to take sugar solution at 8 am in Paris, flew to the feeding place at 3 am on the next day in New York. Considering the time difference of 5 h between Paris and New York, it means that they flew to the feeding place 24 h after the time when they fed the day before. Next, Renner (1955b) conducted an experiment in which other bees were trained in New York and then carried to Paris, but again the bees visited the feeding place at the time of the city where they were first trained. In other words, it was clarified that the honeybee does not respond to any stimulus from the outside world after moving, but the time memory is based on the time indicated by the endogenous clock. Today, every time we travel abroad by jet, we suffer from jet lag as an unintentional experimental result, but the significance of conducting such an experiment in an animal for the first time is great.

1.4 Endogenous or Exogenous?

It came to be recognized that there is an endogenous clock in organisms because the endogenous clock was considered essential for the time memory and the correction of the solar compass of honeybees and the photoperiodic time measurement of many plants and animals. This concept is called the biological clock theory. As mentioned above, the role played by the experiments in insects was great in the establishment of the theory.

However, Frank Brown Jr. raised a strong doubt on the biological clock theory and argued against it (Brown 1960, 1970). The most obvious rationale for those who insist on the biological clock theory is that the activity of organisms is repeated at regular intervals when the environmental conditions are kept constant in the laboratory. In chronobiology, the rhythm observed under constant conditions is called the free-running rhythm, and the cycle length is called the free-running period, abbreviated as τ in the Greek letter.

Brown argued that the researchers kept the environmental conditions constant only by keeping some conditions constant within the range that the researchers could control. In many experiments that apparently have shown a free-running rhythm, light and temperature are kept constant, and food and water are continuously supplied. However, what about other factors? In fact, many factors, such as atmospheric pressure, gravity, magnetic field, electric field, electrical conductivity of air,

and cosmic rays, should change periodically in these experiments. There are some cases where the effects of electrical conductivity and cosmic rays are individually denied (Beling 1929; Wahl 1932), but it is extremely difficult to keep all conditions constant. Brown argued that some factors from the outside world that researchers could not keep constant may affect the activity of organisms, resulting in the appearance of periodicity. In other words, what looks like the nature of an organism's clock is the manifestation of the organism's constant response to geophysical and astrophysical actions. This is called the exogenous timing hypothesis (Brown 1983). In many cases, the fact that the cycle of the free-running rhythm deviates from 24 h, which is the cycle of environmental fluctuations, and that there are slight differences among individuals is the argument of the biological clock theory. However, Brown argued that the combination of responses to various external stimuli could be explained without a biological clock. Then, to prove the exogenous timing hypothesis, various experiments were conducted, such as using cesium-137 to periodically apply weak gamma rays to organisms (Brown 1970, 1983).

Despite Brown's struggle, the biological clock theory is generally accepted today. However, the exogenous timing hypothesis was not denied by a single definitive experiment. In principle, the exogenous timing hypothesis is a hypothesis that cannot be logically denied. This is because even if we conduct an experiment with all possible environmental conditions constant and claim that there is an endogenous clock in the organism, there are many other factors that cannot be controlled by the experiment. However, no biologist currently supports the exogenous timing hypothesis. This is because those who believe in the existence of biological clocks have become more confident by accumulating experimental evidence one after another, and at the same time, Brown's explanation by the influence from the outside world was becoming increasingly complicated. Finally, no one accepts it.

There are numerous experiments conducted by those who support the biological clock theory, but here I show a large-scale experiment that clearly aims to counter Brown's theory. In 1960, Karl Hamner and his collaborators in the United States carried the following five organisms to the South Pole: the golden hamster, *Mesocricetus auratus*, the common bean *Phaseolus vulgaris*, the red bread mold *Neurospora crassa*, the fruit fly *Drosophila pseudoobscura*, and the American cockroach *Periplaneta americana*. It was already known that the locomotor activity of *M. auratus* and *P. americana*, the eclosion of *D. pseudoobscura*, the vertical movement of the leaves of *P. vulgaris*, and the mycelium growth of *N. crassa* show a free-running rhythm. Hamner et al. (1962) placed these organisms on an aluminum turntable that rotates counterclockwise once a day in a garage only approximately 800 m from the South Pole. The temperature was kept at 20–22 °C, and the activity of these organisms was recorded in darkness. As a result, the four species of organisms except *P. americana* showed a free-running rhythm, similar to that observed in the United States (Hamner et al. 1962). Under these conditions, at least the stimuli associated with the rotation of the Earth were denied as the cause of the rhythms because the four organisms showed free-running rhythms for different activities even though all geophysical changes of the day were removed. Curiously, *P. americana* did not show periodicity in its activity in Antarctica, but

when the same strain was examined later in the United States, it did not show periodicity. It seems that Hamner et al. (1962) accidentally brought such a strain to Antarctica.

Halberg (1959) proposed the term circadian rhythm by combining the Latin “approximately” *circa* and “day” *dies* for the endogenous rhythm that controls the diurnal activity of organisms. The term quickly became established and is now widely used.

1.5 Localization of the Circadian Clock

Although it became recognized that the clock was in the body of organisms, it was not clear for a long time where the clock actually existed in the body. Janet Harker was the first to be interested in this question. She concluded that the circadian clock is in the subesophageal ganglion and the light received by the ocellus entrains the clock to light-dark cycles based on the results of a series of experiments conducted on the nocturnal locomotor activity rhythm in *P. americana* (Harker 1956).

Colin Pittendrigh had doubts about these experimental results and their interpretation by Harker (1956). Pittendrigh was studying the circadian eclosion rhythm of *D. pseudoobscura* and clarified the properties of the circadian clocks one after another since the 1950s: the temperature compensation of the free-running rhythm, the phase response curve to light stimuli, and the existence of a transient are all shown first by Pittendrigh and his coworkers (Pittendrigh 1954, Pittendrigh and Bruce 1957, Pittendrigh et al. 1958; see Pittendrigh 1993 also). Pittendrigh conducted a replication study of Harker’s experiment with his graduate student Shephard Roberts but could not reproduce the results at all (Roberts 1965, 1966). Therefore, Pittendrigh welcomed Junko Nishiitsutsuji-Uwo (Fig. 1.3), who specializes in surgery of the insect nervous system, to his laboratory and examined the effects of painting and removal of photoreceptors, cutting of nerves and partial removal of the nervous system on the locomotor activity rhythm in the cockroach *Rhyarobia (Leucophaea) maderae*. The result was quite different from Harker’s: the circadian clock that controls the activity rhythm exists in the optic lobe, and the light that entrains this clock to light-dark cycles is received by the compound eye (Nishiitsutsuji-Uwo and Pittendrigh 1968a, 1968b). The optic lobe is located between the compound eye and the central brain and processes the optical information that enters the compound eye. It was pointed out that Harker’s experiments and analyses had some deficiencies, and the results were completely denied. Nishiitsutsuji-Uwo and Pittendrigh (1968a, 1968b) is the first localization of the biological clock before the discovery of the mammalian circadian clock in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (Moore and Eichler 1972; Stephan and Zucker 1972).

Thereafter, in *R. maderae* and the cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus*, it was shown that even if the optic lobe is completely excised from the body and cultured, the electrical activity maintains a periodicity of approximately one day (Collwell and Page 1990; Tomioka and Chiba 1992). Therefore, it was undoubtedly proven that a circadian

Fig. 1.3 Colin Pittendrigh (left) and Junko Nishiitsutsuji-Uwo (right). Pittendrigh visited Kyoto, Japan, for attending the 16th International Congress of Entomology in August 1980. Photo by Hideharu Numata



clock exists in the optic lobe. In addition, from the expression of clock genes and clock proteins, some cell groups in the brain are shown to be the main body of the circadian clock in *D. melanogaster* (Ewer et al. 1992).

1.6 Molecular Mechanism of the Circadian Clock

Because the biological clock is innate, it is naturally expected that genes control it. However, showing the expectation by experiments seemed very difficult even approximately 1970, when the biological clock theory was almost accepted. Konopka and Benzer (1971) obtained three mutants of a single locus on the X chromosome in *D. melanogaster* by treatment with a chemical mutagen. These mutants had abnormal circadian rhythms in eclosion and adult activity, and the gene was named *period*. This is the first study to demonstrate that a gene constitutes a biological clock (Konopka and Benzer 1971).

Beginning with the sequence of *period* of *D. melanogaster*, clock gene structures have been determined one after another in various insects (Bargiello et al. 1984; Reddy et al. 1984; see Tomioka and Matsumoto 2015). Studies on the molecular mechanism of the circadian clock in vertebrates were started to find a homolog of *period* of *D. melanogaster* (Tei et al. 1997; Sun et al. 1997). The Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 2017 was awarded jointly to Jeffrey Hall, Michael Rosbash, and Michael Young for their discoveries of molecular mechanisms controlling the circadian rhythm (<https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/medicine/2017/summary/>).

The most important knowledge for this award is that the negative feedback loop between clock genes and their product proteins produces oscillation of the circadian clock. This was also reported first in *D. melanogaster* (Hardin et al. 1990).

1.7 Future Forecast

Insects show various phenomena related to clocks, and many interesting studies have been conducted. I counted the number of research papers on insect clocks indexed in Web of Science™ over the past 73 years (Fig. 1.4). The number of papers in this field has increased drastically since 1990, and more than 200 papers have been published every year since 2007. This increase started just after the discovery of the negative feedback loop (Hardin et al. 1990), and the proportion of *Drosophila* papers also increased in this period (gray bars, Fig. 1.4). Therefore, the increase is apparently due to the discovery of the molecular mechanism controlling the circadian rhythm, for which the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 2017 was awarded later.




Fig. 1.4 The number of research papers on insect clocks indexed in Web of Science™ over the past 73 years. Historically important events in chronobiology are also shown. Gray and white sections of horizontal bars represent the number of papers in *Drosophila* and that in the other insects, respectively

As shown in this chapter, chronobiology has gone deeper into the elucidation of the molecular mechanism of the circadian clock in *Drosophila*. However, insect chronobiology should not be restricted to the molecular mechanism of the circadian clock nor in *Drosophila*. In the next era, I expect that chronobiology will expand more widely: for example, circadian clocks in various organisms; the molecular and neural mechanisms of photoperiodism, the period of which is different from approximately 24 h; and the ecological aspect of biological clocks will be in the limelight. The fact that the number of chronobiology papers out of *Drosophila* has steadily increased since 2000 is a sign of such expansion (white bars, Fig. 1.4).

References

- Anonymous (1729) Observation botanique. In: Histoire de l'Académie Royale des Sciences. Année 1729, pp 35–36. <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/88101#page/49/mode/1up>
- Bargiello TA, Jackson FR, Young MW (1984) Restoration of circadian behavioural rhythms by gene transfer in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 312:752–754. <https://doi.org/10.1038/312752a0>
- Beling I (1929) Über das Zeitgedächtnis der Bienen. *Z Vgl Physiol* 9:259–338. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00340159>
- Brown FA Jr (1960) Response to pervasive geophysical factors and the biological clock problem. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 25:57–71. <https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1960.025.01.007>
- Brown FA Jr (1970) Hypothesis of environmental timing of the clock. In: Brown FA Jr, Hastings JW, Palmer JD (eds) *The biological clock two views*. Academic Press, New York
- Brown FA Jr (1983) The biological clock phenomenon: exogenous timing hypothesis. *J Interdiscipl Cycle Res* 14:137–162. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09291018309359807>
- Bünning E (1936) Die endogene Tagesrhythmik als Grundlage der Photoperiodischen Reaktion. *Ber Dtsch Bot Ges* 54:590–607. (cited in Bünning, 1960)
- Bünning E (1960) Circadian rhythms and the time measurement in photoperiodism. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 25:249–256. <https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1960.025.01.026>
- Bünning E, Joerrens G (1959) Versuche zur photoperiodischen Diapause-Induktion bei *Pieris brassicae* L. *Naturwissenschaften* 46:518–519. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00703549>
- Burrows W (1945) Periodic spawning of 'Palolo' worms in Pacific waters. *Nature* 155:47. <https://doi.org/10.1038/155047a0>
- Collwell CS, Page TL (1990) A circadian rhythm in neural activity can be recorded from the central nervous system of the cockroach. *J Comp Physiol A* 166:643–649. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00240014>
- Danilevskii AS (1961) Photoperiodism and seasonal development of insects. Leningrad University Press, Leningrad (in Russian). English translation by Johnston J. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh (1965)
- Ewer J, Frisch B, Hamblen-Coyle MJ, Rosbash M, Hall JC (1992) Expression of the period clock gene within different cell types in the brain of *Drosophila* adults and mosaic analysis of these cells' influence on circadian behavioral rhythms. *J Neurosci* 12:3321–3349. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.12-09-03321>
- Forel A (1910) *Das Sinnesleben der Insekten*. Reinhardt, München
- Garner WW, Allard HA (1920) Effect of the relative length of day and night and other factors of the environment on growth and reproduction in plants. *J Agricultural Res* 58:553–606
- Halberg F (1959) Physiologic 24-hour periodicity; general and procedural considerations with reference to the adrenal cycle. *Z Vitamin Hormon Fermentforsch* 10:225–296. (cited in Halberg, 1969)
- Halberg F (1969) Chronobiology. *Annu Rev Physiol* 31:675–726. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ph.31.030169.003331>

- Hammer KC, Flinn JC, Sirohi GS, Hoshizaki T, Carpenter BH (1962) The biological clock at the south pole. *Nature* 195:476–480. <https://doi.org/10.1038/195476a0>
- Hardin PE, Hall JC, Rosbash M (1990) Feedback of the *Drosophila period* gene product on circadian cycling of its messenger RNA levels. *Nature* 343:536–540. <https://doi.org/10.1038/343536a0>
- Harker JE (1956) Factors controlling the diurnal rhythm of activity of *Periplaneta americana* L. *J Exp Biol* 33:224–234. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.33.1.224>
- Kogure M (1933) The influence of light and temperature on certain characters of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. *J Dept Agric Kyushu Imp Univ* 4:1–93. <https://doi.org/10.5109/22568>
- Kramer G (1950) Weitere Analyse der Faktoren, welche die Zugaktivität des gekäfigten Vogels orientieren. *Naturwissenschaften* 37:377–378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00626007>
- Konopka RJ, Benzer S (1971) Clock mutants of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 68:2112–2116. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.68.9.2112>
- Marcovitch S (1923) Plant lice and light exposure. *Science* 58:537–538. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.58.1513.537-a>
- Moore RY, Eichler VB (1972) Loss of circadian adrenal corticosterone rhythm following suprachiasmatic nucleus lesion in the rat. *Brain Res* 42:201–206. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993\(72\)90054-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(72)90054-6)
- Nishiitsutuji-Uwo J, Pittendrigh CS (1968a) Central nervous system control of circadian rhythmicity in the cockroach. II. The pathway of light signals that entrain the rhythm. *Z Vergl Physiol* 58:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00302433>
- Nishiitsutuji-Uwo J, Pittendrigh CS (1968b) Central nervous system control of circadian rhythmicity in the cockroach. III. The optic lobes, locus of the driving oscillation? *Z Vergl Physiol* 58:4–46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00302434>
- Numata H, Miyazaki Y, Ikeno T (2015) Common features in diverse insect clocks. *Zool Lett* 1:10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40851-014-0003-y>
- Pittendrigh CS (1954) On temperature independence in the clock system controlling emergence time in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 40:1018–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.40.10.1018>
- Pittendrigh CS (1960) Circadian rhythms and the circadian organization of living systems. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 25:59–184. <https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1960.025.01.015>
- Pittendrigh CS (1993) Temporal organization: reflections of a Darwinian clock-watcher. *Annu Rev Physiol* 55:17–54. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ph.55.030193.000313>
- Pittendrigh CS, Bruce VG (1957) An oscillator model for biological clocks. In: Rudnick D (ed) *Rhythmic and synthetic processes in growth*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, pp 75–109
- Pittendrigh CS, Bruce VG, Kaus P (1958) On the significance of transients in daily rhythms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 44:965–973. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.44.9.965>
- Reddy P, Zehling WA, Wheeler DA, Pirrotta V, Hadfield C, Hall JC, Rosbash M (1984) Molecular analysis of the *period* locus in *Drosophila melanogaster* and identification of a transcript involved in biological rhythms. *Cell* 38:701–710. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674\(84\)90265-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(84)90265-4)
- Renner M (1955a) Über die Haltung von Bienen in geschlossenen, künstlich beleuchteten Räumen. *Naturwissenschaften* 42:539–540. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00630155>
- Renner M (1955b) Ein Transozeanversuch zum Zeitsinn der Honigbiene. *Naturwissenschaften* 42:540–541. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00630156>
- Renner M (1960) The contribution of the honey bee to the study of time-sense and astronomical orientation. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 25:361–367. <https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1960.025.01.037>
- Roberts SK (1965) Photoreception and entrainment of cockroach activity rhythms. *Science* 148:958–959. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.148.3672.958>
- Roberts SK (1966) Circadian activity rhythms in cockroaches. III. The role of endocrine and neural factors. *J Cell Physiol* 67:473–486. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.1040670312>

- Saunders D (2021) Insect photoperiodism: Bünning's hypothesis, the history and development of an idea. *Eur J Entomol* 118:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.14411/eje.2021.001>
- Schulze A (2006) Phylogeny and genetic diversity of palolo worms (*Palola*, Eunicidae) from the tropical North Pacific and the Caribbean. *Biol Bull* 210:25–37
- Stephan FK, Zucker I (1972) Circadian rhythms in drinking behavior and locomotor activity are eliminated by suprachiasmatic lesions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 54:1521–1527. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.69.6.1583>
- Sun ZS, Albrecht U, Zhuchenko O, Bailey J, Eichele G, Lee CC (1997) RIGUI, a putative mammalian ortholog of the *Drosophila period* gene. *Cell* 90:1003–1011. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)80366-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80366-9)
- Tei H, Okamura H, Shigeyoshi Y, Fukuhara C, Ozawa R, Hirose M, Sakaki Y (1997) Circadian oscillation of a mammalian homologue of the *Drosophila period* gene. *Nature* 389:512–516. <https://doi.org/10.1038/39086>
- Tomioka K, Chiba Y (1992) Characterization of an optic lobe circadian pacemaker by in situ and in vitro recording of neural activity in the cricket, *Gryllus bimaculatus*. *J Comp Physiol A* 171: 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00195955>
- Tomioka K, Matsumoto A (2015) Circadian molecular clockworks in non-model insects. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 7:58–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2014.12.006>
- von Buttel-Reepen H (1900) Sind die Bienen Reflex-maschinen? *Experimental Beiträge zur Biologie der Honigbiene*. *Biol Zentralbl* 20:97–108. (cited in Renner, 1960)
- von Buttel-Reepen H (1915) *Leben und Wesen der Bienen*. Vieweg und Sohn, Braunschweig. (cited in Renner, 1960)
- von Frisch K (1948) Gelöste und ungelöste Rätsel der Bienensprache. *Naturwissenschaften* 35: 12–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00626624>
- von Frisch K (1950) Die Sonne als Kompaß im Leben der Bienen. *Experientia* 6:210–221. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02173654>
- Wahl O (1932) Neue Untersuchungen über das Zeitgedächtnis der Bienen. *Z Vergl Physiol* 16: 529–589. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00338333>

Part I
Insect Circadian Rhythms

Chapter 2

General Feature of Circadian Rhythms



Kenji Tomioka

Abstract The circadian rhythm is an endogenous rhythm with a period of approximately 24 h. Organisms, including insects, possess the rhythm to live with the daily environmental and ecological cycles. This chapter describes and discusses the general characteristics and properties of circadian rhythms. The rhythm is driven by the circadian clock system that often consists of two or more clocks, synchronizing to the environmental cycles to set behavioral and physiological events to occur at an appropriate time of day. Insects use various zeitgebers for synchronization with light, which is the most important and powerful approach. Light not only resets the clock in a phase-dependent manner but also has long-lasting aftereffects that are observed in the free-running period and waveform of the rhythm. Constituent clocks form bilaterally paired or hierarchical structures that are important to adapt to environmental cycles with seasonal or day-to-day changes.

Keywords Aftereffects · Endogenous · Entrainment · Phase response curve · Relative coordination · Temperature compensation

2.1 Introduction: Self-Sustaining Rhythm

After the genesis of our planet, organisms have been exposed to daily cyclic environments. To cope with the cyclic changes in the environment has been the most important challenge for the organisms living on this planet. Today, organisms live in ecosystems that are changing daily in terms of not only geophysical but also biological aspects. Thus, organisms, including insects, possess daily rhythms to adapt to the daily changing environment. The rhythm is called a circadian rhythm because it persists with a period near but not exactly 24 h even after organisms are transferred to constant conditions, i.e., constant darkness (DD) or constant light (LL), and at a constant temperature.

K. Tomioka (✉)

Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
e-mail: tomioka@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp