Rachel L. Denyer Morgan C. T. Denyer Howell G. M. Edwards



The Pendock Barry Porcelain Service

A Forensic Evaluation



The Pendock Barry Porcelain Service

Rachel L. Denyer · Morgan C. T. Denyer · Howell G. M. Edwards

The Pendock Barry Porcelain Service

A Forensic Evaluation



Rachel L. Denyer Bradford, West Yorkshire, UK Morgan C. T. Denyer Bradford, West Yorkshire, UK

Howell G. M. Edwards D Shipley, West Yorkshire, UK

ISBN 978-3-031-25812-1 ISBN 978-3-031-25813-8 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-25813-8

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

This book provides a case study based on the *Pendock Barry* armorial double dessert service. The service was unknown and undocumented until its appearance at auction in 1894, when it attracted considerable interest. The book considers the service from different perspectives, exploring its commission by Pendock Neale Barry, its attribution as a Derby service and the attribution of William Billingsley as its decorator. The holistic approach adopted in this book brings together the historical documentation regarding the commissioning patron, Pendock Neale Barry, the preliminary scientific analyses that have been carried out on Derby porcelain, and an assessment of the floral decorators associated with the Derby factory at the time of the service's commission and manufacture.

The authors have a shared, deep interest in the history of the production of early ceramics in England and Wales. They have applied their scientific and historical expertise to consider the questions of commission, attribution and decoration of this service. Whilst there have been many scholarly works written on the Old China Works at Derby, none have focused on the attribution of a single armorial dessert service to the factory and the identification of its decorator. A novel aspect of this book is the research and identification of the patron who commissioned the service, and the exploration of the service commission within its historical context. Research into the composition of the paste used to manufacture the service provides insight into the technical processes and composition of Derby porcelain in the Michael Kean period and supports the attribution of a date around 1805 for its manufacture. The book also sheds light on how the porcelain manufactories were run in the early 1800s, with artist enamellers moving between factories, setting up their own businesses, collaborating and cooperating with each other at this time of significant innovation within ceramic manufacture.

Technological advances enabled the start-up of numerous small porcelain manufactories from the 1740s onwards. These factories produced a soft paste porcelain, and a hard paste porcelain was made at Plymouth and Bristol from the late 1760s onwards. The decoration of these early pieces followed the patterns familiar from Chinese export porcelain. Depiction of armorials on porcelain was undertaken for specific commissions from individuals who had the right to bear arms: royalty, the

vi Preface

aristocracy and the landed gentry. Commissions were often made at a significant time in the patron's life, such as a marriage or the receipt of new honours. Identifying the commissioning patron and researching into their life can play a significant role in the date attribution of a service, particularly when factory pattern books and other records are lost or incomplete.

In the case of a service such as the *Pendock Barry* armorial service, the armorials are significant in dating the service, as the patron received the right to bear the Barry surname and armorials by Royal Licence in 1811. This led to a tentative estimate of around 1811 for its commission by earlier ceramic historians as it was in line with this award. New historical research has cast fresh light on when Pendock Neale Barry acquired his family pedigree, which brings the date forward to around 1805. Scientific analysis concurs with an earlier date for the production of this service than 1811, not least because 1811 would place the service into the Bloor period of ownership of the Derby works, and the characteristics of the paste and glaze of the service plus the shape and style of the pieces do not match the Bloor period. This in turn allows a fresh appraisal of the Derby factory flower painters between 1800 and 1810 who were available to paint the *Pendock Barry* service at its estimated time of manufacture, which indicates William Billingsley as the most likely decorating artist.

The service commissioned by Pendock Neale Barry is often called the *Barry Barry* service; however, it should more properly be called the *Pendock Barry* service, after the commissioning patron. The *Barry Barry* nomenclature stems partly from the lack of documentation at the Derby factory regarding the commissioning of the service, but predominantly from the way the service first came to attention. The service was unknown until it appeared at auction in 1894 as part of the estate of Miss Elizabeth Jones, and it was described as having belonged to the late Mr. Pendock Barry Barry of Roclaveston Manor, Tollerton.

The rarity of an unknown, complete, Derby armorial dessert service appearing at auction, plus the price it achieved, resulted in prominent coverage in both the local and national press. Reports repeated the *Barry Barry* name attributed by the auction house, and this nomenclature has remained.

William Bemrose purchased a pair of dessert plates at the service's dispersal auction, and he loaned them to the Derby Corporation Art Gallery where they were put on exhibition in May 1894 (*Derby Mercury*, 30 May 1894 p. 2). His plates were subsequently sold in his estate sale in 1909 which repeated the *Barry Barry* reference. The next significant appearance of pieces from the service was the 1947 sale in New York, which again repeated the *Barry Barry* name as its title and provenance.

The earliest research article dedicated to this service was written in 1983 by Swain. She entitled her work *Pendock Barry and his Derby Dessert Service*, and she made the point that the service should more properly be called the *Pendock Barry* service as it was the father of Barry Barry who had originally commissioned it (Swain, "Pendock Barry and his Derby Dessert Service" *Antique Collectors Guide* Sept 1984 pp. 68–72). Derby authors such as W. D. John followed the original convention and referred to it as the *Barry Barry* service in their works; however, this is the first full-length study on the service; therefore, the convention of naming the service after the person

Preface vii

who commissioned it is followed, and the service will be referred to throughout the text as the *Pendock Barry* service.

The authors would like to record their grateful thanks and appreciation to several friends and colleagues who have supported them in their research: to Prof. Peter Vandenablee (University of Ghent), Dr. Alex Surtees (University of Bradford), Spencer Bailey, Collections Manager at Derby City Museum, and Bryan Bowden Antiques, Harrogate, North Yorkshire.

Finally, the authors most sincerely acknowledge their families and all the support and encouragement they have provided over the years. In particular, Howell would like to acknowledge his daughter Kate and late wife Gill; Morgan and Rachel would like to acknowledge Sarah, Ian, Rachel, Verity, Tris and Gail.

Bradford, UK Bradford, UK Shipley, UK Rachel L. Denyer Morgan C. T. Denyer Howell G. M. Edwards

Contents

1	The (Origins of Heraldic Porcelain	1
	1.1	The Origins of Heraldry and Coats-Of-Arms	1
	1.2	Components of Armorial Bearings	3
	1.3	What Constitutes an Armorial Porcelain Service?	6
	1.4	Earliest Examples of English Porcelain Armorials	8
	Refer	rences	12
2	The Gentry and Heraldic Device Display		
	2.1	Origins of Heraldic Display	15
	2.2	College of Arms and the Visitations	16
	2.3	Heraldic Display for Status and Possessions	16
	2.4	Public Display Within Private Spaces	17
	2.5	Churches	18
	2.6	Luxury Products and Goods in the Eighteenth Century	18
	2.7	Armorials on Ceramics	20
	2.8	Armorials on Services Manufactured in England and Wales	
		1740–1810	21
	2.9	Social Mobility	21
	2.10	Armorials as Decorative Art	22
	2.11	Armorials by Royal Licence	23
	2.12	Conclusion	24
	Refer	rences	25
3	The l	Development of Armorial Porcelains	27
	3.1	Porcelain as Diplomatic Gifts	27
	3.2	Early European Armorial Porcelains	30
		3.2.1 Medici Porcelain, Florence	30
		3.2.2 Rouen Porcelain, France	31
		3.2.3 Early English Manufactories	33
	3.3	Armorial Artefacts at the Georgian Dinner Table	33
	3.4	Difficulties in Production of an Armorial Service	36
	3.5	Named Porcelain Services	39
	Refer	rences	41

x Contents

4	Case	History: The Commissioning of the <i>Pendock Barry</i> Service	43	
	4.1	Biography: Pendock Neale Barry (1757–1833)	43	
	4.2	Family Connection to the Barry Surname and Armorials	45	
	4.3	The Pendock Family	45	
	4.4	The Neale Family	47	
	4.5	Dynastic Ambition	49	
	4.6	Conclusion	52	
	Refe	rences	53	
5	Man	ufacture of the Pendock Barry Dessert Service	57	
	5.1	The Origin of Derby Porcelain	57	
	5.2	Date of Manufacture of the <i>Pendock Barry</i> Dessert Service	59	
	5.3	Composition of the Derby Paste	66	
	5.4	The Raw Materials of Ceramics	70	
	5.5	Mineral Formation Identified at the Kiln Temperatures	78	
	5.6	Chemical Reactions that Occur in Raw Material Mixtures		
		at Elevated Temperatures	7 9	
	5.7	Conclusion	85	
	Refe	rences	86	
6	Pend	lock Barry Service: Attribution of the Decoration	87	
	6.1	The Basis of Uncertainty	88	
	6.2	Candidate Decorators of the <i>Pendock Barry</i> Service	90	
	6.3	Reappraisal of Billingsley as Decorator of the <i>Pendock</i>		
		Barry Service	97	
	6.4	Conclusion	103	
	Refe	rences	103	
7	Cone	clusion	105	
	7.1	Heraldic Armorials on Porcelain	105	
	7.2	Pendock Neale Barry and His Armorials	107	
	7.3	Pendock Barry Service Rediscovered	108	
	7.4	Derby Attribution	109	
	7.5	Dating the Service	109	
	7.6	The Decorator of the <i>Pendock Barry</i> Service	111	
	7.7	Conclusion	113	
Appendix A: The <i>Pendock Barry</i> Dessert Service				
Aj	pend	ix B: The Attendees at <i>Pendock Barry</i> Barry's Masked		
		Ball, Argylle Rooms	119	
Gl	Glossary			
R	References			
In	dex		135	

About the Authors

Rachel L. Denyer M.A., MBCS read History at The University College of North Wales in Bangor, Gwynedd. Since graduating she has worked in IT at a number of blue-chip companies before setting up her own Quality Assurance consultancy. Rachel is a cofounder of Penrose Antiques Ltd., established 2010, which specialises in English and Welsh ceramics, silverware and furniture. Between 2014 and 2018, she was one of four Directors of Rose Antiques Fairs, which organised a specialist annual antiques fair in York. In 2016, she began studying Art History and was awarded a Masters degree in 2018. Her research led to the publication A Century of Art: Clitheroe Artists Elijah and Frederick Cawthorne (18473–1940). She was a contributing author to The Billingsley Collection Including Derby, Pinxton, Worcester, Swansea, Nantgarw and Coalport, published in 2019. She has a strong interest in genealogical research and social history and published A Cocken Family History: The Descendants of William Cocken (born c1695) to 1920, in 2020. A specialist interest in the history and development of food preparation and presentation, dining and social entertaining led to 1900s Store Cupboard Recipes for Cakes and Biscuits published in 2021.

Dr. Morgan C. T. Denyer B.Sc., Ph.D. was born in Yeovil, Somerset and was brought up in Carmarthenshire, South West Wales. He was educated at The University College of North Wales Bangor, Gwynedd, where he gained a B.Sc. in Zoology and Ph.D. in Neuroscience. He then worked as Research Scientist at The University of Glasgow and as Research Fellow at RIKEN (Institute of Physical and Chemical Research), Wako, Saitama, Japan, before becoming a Lecturer at The University of Bradford. During this time, Morgan published over 60 peer-reviewed papers and patents. In 2010, he cofounded Penrose Antiques Ltd. and later cofounded Rose Antiques Fairs. Morgan has published a number of works as author or editor, including: *Charles Horner: Short Guides* (2016); *William Billingsley—The Enigmatic Porcelain Artist, Decorator and Manufacturer* (2016); *Nantgarw Porcelain—The Pursuit of Perfection* (2017); *Swansea Porcelain—The Duck-Egg Translucent Vision of Lewis Dillwyn* (2017); *Derby Porcelain: The Golden Years, 1780–1830* (2018); *A Century of Art: Clitheroe Artists Elijah and Frederick Cawthorne* (1843–1940) (2019). Morgan

xii About the Authors

combines working in the antiques trade with a role as an Assistant Professor at The University of Bradford.

Professor Howell G. M. Edwards M.A., B.Sc., D.Phil., C.Chem., FRSC is Professor Emeritus of Molecular Spectroscopy at the University of Bradford. He read Chemistry at Jesus College in the University of Oxford, and after completing his B.A. and B.Sc. degrees, he studied for his doctorate in Raman spectroscopy at Oxford and then became Research Fellow at Jesus College, University of Cambridge. He joined the University of Bradford as Lecturer in Structural and Inorganic Chemistry, becoming Head of the Department of Chemical and Forensic Sciences, and was awarded a Personal Chair in Molecular Spectroscopy in 1996. He has received several international awards (Sir Harold Thompson Award; Charles Mann Award; Emanuel Boricky Medal; Norman Sheppard Award) in a spectroscopic career which has resulted in the publication of almost 1400 research papers in Raman spectroscopy and the characterisation of materials, along with six books on the application of this analytical technique to art, archaeology and forensic science. He has had a lifelong interest in the porcelains of William Billingsley, especially those from the Derby, Nantgarw and Swansea factories and has authored six books on porcelain: Swansea and Nantgarw Porcelains: A Scientific Reappraisal, Nantgarw and Swansea Porcelains: An Analytical Perspective, Porcelain to Silica Bricks: The Extreme Ceramics of William Weston Young, 1776–1847, 18th and 19th Century Porcelain Analysis: A Forensic Provenancing Assessment, Porcelain Analysis and Its Role in the Forensic Attribution of Ceramic Specimens, and Welsh Armorial Porcelains: Nantgarw and Swansea Crested China, published by Springer Publishing, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. He has also produced several monographs: William Billingsley—The Enigmatic Porcelain Artist, Decorator and Manufacturer, Nantgarw Porcelain—The Pursuit of Perfection, Swansea Porcelain-The Duck-Egg Translucent Vision of Lewis Dillwyn and Derby Porcelain: The Golden Years, 1780–1830. Howell Edwards is Honorary Scientific Adviser to the de Brecy Trust on the scientific evaluation of their artworks and paintings.

Chapter 1 The Origins of Heraldic Porcelain



1

Abstract For the correct decipherment and attribution of a coat-of-arms to be undertaken, it is necessary to appreciate the individual components comprising the escutcheon, lambrequins, motto, coronet or chapeau, helm and supporters: of these, the most important for familial descendancy is the escutcheon. The origins of heraldry and the design of the escutcheon are reviewed and related to the components of other armorial bearings including the importance of the crest and presence or otherwise of a motto. Full armorial bearings are rarely encountered. The first English armorial service was commissioned in Chinese porcelain in 1705, and the earliest examples of English porcelain armorial service artefacts in the 1760s are discussed.

Keywords Origins of heraldry · Escutcheon · Motto · Crest · Coronet · Supporters · Armorial bearings · English armorial porcelain

1.1 The Origins of Heraldry and Coats-Of-Arms

The decipherment of a coat-of-arms demands an understanding of the basis of the heraldic devices used to facilitate the correct assignment or attribution to an individual of an armorial bearing or crest that is depicted on a ceramic artefact. It is generally believed that the origins of British heraldry can be traced to the Norman Conquest and the differentiation of knights and their supporters on the field of battle from the coats-of-arms borne upon their shields (escutcheons) and crests worn on their helms (Edwards, Welsh Armorial Porcelain: Nantgarw and Swansea Crested China, 2022). The early armorial literature contains some very fanciful and often conflicting ideas about the bearing of arms, but the texts of William Wyrley (The True Use of Arms, 1853) and Sir William Dugdale (The Ancient (Antient) Usage in Bearing of Such Ensigns of Honours as are Commonly Call'd Arms with a Catalogue of the Present Nobility of England to Which is Added a Catalogue of the Present Nobility of Scotland and Ireland and C., 1682), both of whom were Rouge Croix Pursuivant Heralds at the College of Arms in London, form a good basis for the understanding of the origin, purpose and growth of heraldry and its social standing in the context of the bearing and the granting of coats-of-arms. Hence, it is important for the researcher to check everything at source as frequently misleading and incorrect statements are made in the earlier literature: for example, Susana Dawson Dobson (*Historical Anecdotes of Heraldry and Chivalry: Tending to Shew the Origin of Many English and Foreign Coats of Arms, Circumstances and Customs,* 1795) alleged controversially that King Richard II (1377–1399) was the first English King to use supporters for his coatof-arms, namely two white harts collared and chained, whereas King Edward III (1327–1377) already had been using variously a lion and a falcon, two lions and two angels as the supporters for his coat-of-arms!

The escutcheon is the major heraldic feature of a coat-of-arms which bears definitive information about the family of the arms-bearer. There are different ways of presenting an escutcheon to display heraldic features and the major ones are as follows: per fess, the shield is divided in two horizontally; per pale, the shield is divided in two vertically; per bend, the shield is divided in two diagonally; per chevron, the shield is divided by a chevron or inverted "vee"; per pile, the shield is divided in two with an inverted triangle, its base merging with the top of the shield; per saltire, displaying a cross quartering the shield diagonally; per quarterly, displaying a cross quartering the shield horizontally and vertically; per gyronny, the shield is divided into eighths radiating from the centre; barry, horizontal bars of two colours (heraldically known as tinctures); paly, vertical bars of two colours (tinctures); bend dexter, a bar extending from the top left to the bottom right on the shield; bend sinister, a bar extending from the top right to the bottom left on the shield; bordure, a coloured edge around the shield; quarter, the top left quarter (to an observer, otherwise known as the dexter quarter) of the shield

The shape of the escutcheon (Norman French, escuchon, a shield) was directly relevant to the type of shield borne by knights in battle. The first type of escutcheon that bore heraldic arms had a rounded top and elongated inverted teardrop shape tapering to a point, which can still be seen on the effigies of tombs of Norman knights in English cathedrals—this is often referred to now as a kite shield. This became more triangular and then squared-off at the top, as was first carried by cavalry and mounted knights in battle, becoming the shorter version that is now more commonly depicted in coats-of-arms and known as a heater shield. Later, a wider variation in the escutcheon shape was developed, and modifications such as the bouche, which represented a small slot in the upper dexter part of the shield to accommodate the lance during jousting tournaments, and an engrailment, comprising two concave portions to the dexter and sinister top halves of the shield and coming to a point in the middle, were made. Between twenty and thirty different types of escutcheons are known internationally including oval shields and rococo versions, which reflect the original variation in shapes of the shield used by the knights of different countries in combat.

In summary, therefore, the concepts of heraldry and the granting of arms probably originated in the eleventh or twelfth century, with the Norman escutcheons first appearing in English heraldry and developing with the parallel growth in variation of the shield and crest designs appearing from later mediaeval times, probably in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, as a consequence of the staging of elaborate jousting tournaments involving knights and men-at-arms, when the heraldic additions such as supporters also then came into being. It will now be appropriate to consider

the heraldic components which together comprise the full coat-of-arms that are borne by an armigerous individual.

1.2 Components of Armorial Bearings

In British heraldry, the coat-of-arms is emblazoned onto an escutcheon (shield) which is associated with two supporters, named sinister (left) and dexter (right) as viewed by the shield bearer and, therefore, seen in mirror image when viewed from the front of the shield. An order or badge of honour can be placed in the lower compartment beneath the escutcheon, where the motto usually sits. Above the escutcheon may sit a crown or a coronet, with perhaps a chapeau (a soft cap), which marks the rank or standing of the bearer of the arms; the coronet indicates the rank in the peerage of the arms bearer through the presence, number and arrangement of small balls on spikes (known as "pearls") and strawberry leaves around the coronet. In the upper compartment of the coat-of-arms is found the helmet (helm) or galero. The helm comprises several types with an open-faced or closed visor, indicating the rank of nobility of the shield bearer—usually a knight or a baronet will have a closed visor on the helm, whereas higher ranks in the peerage will have a barred or an open visor. The helm is always accompanied by strips of cloth mantling (lambrequins), which represented the linen surcoat worn on top of a knight's suit of armour and is surmounted by a twisted silk torse or cloth wreath, usually of two colours, which functions as a supporter of the crest on the helm itself. The torse is usually curved in a convex profile to match the contour of the top of the helm. Above the crest a slogan may also appear which represents an ancient battle cry. In the Roman Catholic Church, clerics entitled to bear arms replace the helm with a galero; for example, a red wide-brimmed cardinal's hat bearing tassels at each side and carrying a suspended gold cross centrally on a chain. Anglican Church clerics who are entitled to bear arms use a galero which is typically a black wide-brimmed hat with tassels in various colours and bishops can alternatively use a mitre in place of a helm (Scott-Giles, Boutell's Heraldry, 1954; Woodcock, The Oxford Guide to Heraldry, 1988).

The number and arrangement of the strawberry leaves and "pearls" alternating on a noble's coronet which may appear above escutcheon give the rank in the peerage of the bearer of the arms displayed. Hence, a Duke's coronet (and that of a Duchess) does not have "pearls" but will have eight strawberry leaves in total, of which five will be seen when viewed from the front, comprising three fully facing the observer and another two which are viewed at each side. Similarly, the coronet of a Marquess (and Marchioness) will have four strawberry leaves and four "pearls", of which three strawberry leaves and two "pearls" will be visible from the front. An Earl (and Countess) will have eight strawberry leaves and eight "pearls" of which four strawberry leaves and five balls will be visible from the front. A Viscount (and Viscountess) will have sixteen "pearls" of which seven will be visible from the front, and a Baron (and Baroness) will have six "pearls" of which four will be visible from the front. Hence, the monogram and crest of the Viscountess Philadelphia Cremorne,