

Stefan Nickel
Winfried Schröder
Ilya Ilyin
Oleg Travnikov

Correlation of Modelled Atmospheric Deposition of Cadmium, Mercury and Lead with the Measured Enrichment of these Elements in Moss

Correlation of Modelled Atmospheric Deposition of Cadmium, Mercury and Lead with the Measured Enrichment of these Elements in Moss

Stefan Nickel · Winfried Schröder · Ilia Ilyin ·
Oleg Travnikov

Correlation of Modelled Atmospheric Deposition of Cadmium, Mercury and Lead with the Measured Enrichment of these Elements in Moss

Stefan Nickel
PlanWerk Nidda
Nidda, Germany

Iliia Ilyin
Meteorological Synthesizing Centre-East
Moscow, Russia

Winfried Schröder
Chair of Landscape Ecology
University of Vechta
Vechta, Germany

Oleg Travnikov
Meteorological Synthesizing Centre-East
Moscow, Russia

ISBN 978-3-031-25635-6 ISBN 978-3-031-25636-3 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-25636-3>

This publication is based on text excerpts translated into English from the final report on the project “Updated Statistical Evaluation of Spatial Patterns of Lead, Cadmium, and Mercury Levels in Mosses in Conjunction with Atmospheric Exposure Data” (project number 146520, Schröder and Nickel 2021) on behalf of the German Environment Agency.

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Contents

1	Background and Aim	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Aim	3
	References	4
2	Material and Methods	7
2.1	Data	7
2.2	Data Preparation	11
2.3	Methods	11
2.3.1	Methods of Descriptive Statistics	11
2.3.2	Correlation Analysis	12
2.3.3	Analysis of the Distances Between Measuring Points of the Technical and Biological Monitoring of Atmospheric Depositions	13
2.3.4	Mapping of Spatial Distributions of Deviations of Modelled Atmospheric Metal Concentration and Deposition (EMEP) and Concentration in Moss (MM2015) from the Respective Nationwide Median	13
	References	14
3	Results	15
3.1	Descriptive Statistics	15
3.1.1	Measurement Data on Heavy Metal Concentrations in Moss	15
3.1.2	Modelled Mean Heavy Metal Concentrations in the Atmosphere	16
3.1.3	Modelled Total Annual Atmospheric Depositions of Heavy Metals	16
3.1.4	Technical Measurement Data on Mean Atmospheric Heavy Metal Concentrations	17
3.1.5	Technical Measurement Data on Atmospheric Heavy Metal Depositions	17

- 3.2 Correlation Analysis 18
 - 3.2.1 Correlations Between Modelled Mean Concentrations of Heavy Metals in the Atmosphere (EMEP) and Concentrations in Moss (MM2015) 18
 - 3.2.2 Correlations Between Modelled Atmospheric Heavy Metal Deposition (EMEP) and Concentrations in Moss (MM2015) 18
 - 3.2.3 Correlations Between Mean Concentration Values from Technical Measurements of Heavy Metals in the Atmosphere and Concentrations in Moss 19
 - 3.2.4 Correlations Between Technical Measurements of Atmospheric Heavy Metal Deposition and Concentrations in Moss 20
 - 3.2.5 Graphical Comparison of the Correlation Coefficients 20
- 3.3 Comparison of the Federal and State Air Quality Monitoring Network with the Moss Monitoring Network 2015 21
- 3.4 Mapping of Spatial Distributions of Deviations of Modelled Atmospheric Metal Concentration and Deposition (EMEP) and Concentration in Moss (MM2015) from the Respective Nationwide Median 22
- 3.5 Summary with Regard to the Objectives and Key Questions of the Study 23
- References 26
- 4 Conclusions 27**
 - References 30
- Appendices: Statistical Data Analyses—Tables, Diagrams, Maps 33**

Abbreviations

As	Arsenic
Cd	Cadmium
CL	Critical Loads
CLC	CORINE Land Cover
CLRTAP	Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution
Cu	Copper
EMEP	Co-operative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (unofficially 'European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme' = EMEP)
GIS	Geographic Information System
Hg	Mercury
ICP	International Cooperative Programmes reporting to the CLRTAP Working Group on Effects. Of the six existing ICPs, this report evaluates data collected or calculated in the <i>ICP Vegetation</i> (ICP on Effects of Air Pollution on Natural Vegetation and Crops) and the <i>ICP Forests</i> (ICP on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests). Reference is also made to the work of the <i>ICP Modelling and Mapping</i> (ICP on Modelling and Mapping of Critical Loads and Levels and Air Pollution Effects, Risks and Trends).
Level II	The ICP Forests monitors forest conditions at two monitoring intensity levels: Level I and Level II. Level II intensive monitoring currently covers 68 sites in selected forest ecosystems in Germany with the aim of clarifying cause–effect relationships.
MM2015	Moss Monitoring 2015
MSC-E	Meteorological Synthesizing Centre East of EMEP
N	Nitrogen
Ni	Nickel
Pb	Lead
V	Vanadium
Zn	Zinc

Min	Minimum
P20	20. Percentile
P50	50. Percentile
P90	90. Percentile
P98	98. Percentile
Max	Maximum
MW	Arithmetic Mean
SD	Standard Deviation
VT	Distribution; VT [1] = normal distribution; VT [2] = lognormal distribution; VT [3] = other distribution

List of Figures

Fig. A.1	Distributions of two modelling and technically measured atmospheric concentrations of Cd, Hg and Pb (left scales) and measured concentrations of Cd, Hg and Pb in moss in Germany (right scales). Graphical comparison of median values and distributions from Tables A.1, A.2, A.3 and A.6. Data basis: data sets 01, 03, 06, 10 in Table 2.1	36
Fig. A.2	Distributions of two modelling and technically measured atmospheric depositions of Cd, Hg and Pb (left scales) and measured concentrations of Cd, Hg and Pb in moss in Germany (right scales). Graphical comparison of median values and distributions from Tables A.1, A.4, A.5 and A.7. Data basis: data sets 02, 04, 07, 10 in Table 2.1	36
Fig. B.1	Correlations (Spearman) between modelled atmospheric concentrations and measured data of MM2015—comparison of the spatial resolutions EMEP 50 km × 50 km and EMEP 0.1° × 0.1°. Graphical comparison of correlation coefficients from Table B.1. Data basis: data sets in 01, 03, 10 Table 2.1	60
Fig. B.2	Correlations (Pearson) between modelled atmospheric concentrations and measured data of MM2015—comparison of the spatial resolutions EMEP 50 km × 50 km and EMEP 0.1° × 0.1°. Graphical comparison of correlation coefficients from Table B.1. Data basis: data sets in 01, 03, 10 Table 2.1	61
Fig. B.3	Correlations (Spearman) between modelled atmospheric concentrations and geostatistical surface estimations of MM2015—comparison of EMEP 50 km × 50 km and EMEP 0.1° × 0.1° spatial resolutions. Graphical comparison of correlation coefficients from Table B.2. Data basis: data sets in 01, 03, 11 Table 2.1	61