# Person Centered Medicine

Juan E. Mezzich W. James Appleyard Paul Glare Jon Snaedal C. Ruth Wilson Editors





## Person Centered Medicine

Juan E. Mezzich • W. James Appleyard • Paul Glare • Jon Snaedal • C. Ruth Wilson Editors

# Person Centered Medicine



Editors
Juan E. Mezzich
Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai
New York, NY, USA

Paul Glare Northern Clinical School Faculty of Medicine and Health University of Sydney Sydney, NSW, Australia

C. Ruth Wilson Department of Family Medicine Queen's University Kingston, ON, Canada W. James Appleyard International College of Person Centered Medicine New York, NY, USA

Jon Snaedal Landspitali University Hospital Reykjavík, Iceland

ISBN 978-3-031-17649-4 ISBN 978-3-031-17650-0 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-17650-0

#### © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

## **Contents**

1	Juan E. Mezzich, W. James Appleyard, Paul Glare, Jon Snaedal, and C. Ruth Wilson	1
Par	t I Principles of Person Centered Medicine	
2	Historical Overview of Person Centered Medicine  Harvey White, George N. Christodoulou, John Cox, and W. James Appleyard	29
3	Ontological and Epistemological Bases of Person Centered Medicine Tim Thornton	53
4	<b>Human Rights, Ethics and Values in Person Centered Medicine</b> W. James Appleyard, George N. Christodoulou, and Francisco J. León-Correa	65
5	Holistic Framework in Person Centered Medicine	85
6	Individualized Care in Person Centered Medicine	105
7	<b>Communication and Relationships in Person Centered Medicine</b> Roger Ruiz-Moral and Tesfamicael Ghebrehiwet	123
8	People-Centered Health Services.  Alison N. Huffstetler, Robert L. Phillips Jr, Christine C. Leyns, Joel S. Willis, and Fredy A. Canchihuaman	135
9	Person-Centered Health Education and Research.  Simone Hauck, Luis Salvador-Carulla, Alberto Perales, Javier Saavedra, Carlos Salcedo, and Tamires M. Bastos	151

vi Contents

Par	t II Methods for Person Centered Clinical Care	
10	<b>Establishing Common Ground, Engagement, and Empathy</b> Michel Botbol, Neal Adams, and Juan E. Mezzich	171
11	Person-Centered Interviewing and Diagnosis	181
12	Collaborative Treatment Planning	207
13	Education and Counselling for Person-Centered Care	221
14	Narrative Medicine . Laurence J. Kirmayer, Ana Gómez-Carrillo, Ekaterina Sukhanova, and Eduardo Garrido	235
15	<b>Digital Technology for Person-Centered Care</b>	257
16	Person-Centered Rehabilitation	271
17	Person-Centered Prevention  Salman Rawaf, Celine Tabche, George N. Christodoulou,  David Rawaf, and Harumi Quezada-Yamamoto	289
18	Person-Centered Health Promotion Susan P. Phillips, Margit Schmolke, and Christine C. Leyns	309
Par	t III Clinical/Health Fields for Person-Centered Care	
19	<b>Person-Centered Family Medicine and General Practice</b>	327
20	<b>Person-Centered Internal Medicine</b> José Luis Calderón-Viacava and Herman Vildózola	341
21	Person-centered Women's Health and Maternity Care	355
22	Person-centered Neonatal Health Care	367
23	Person-centered Pediatrics.  W. James Appleyard, Manuel Hernán Izaguirre-Sotomayor, Lucy Gait, and Ian Sinha	389

Contents vii

24	Person-centered Geriatric Medicine  Jon Snaedal and Mariarí Uzcátegui	407
25	Person-centered Neurology Juerg Kesselring and Heena Narotam-Jeena	419
<b>26</b>	<b>Person-Centered Psychiatry and Psychology</b> Michel Botbol, Diogo Telles, Maria Ammon, and Ihsan M. Salloum	435
27	Person-Centered Emergency Medicine	449
28	<b>Person-Centered Infectious Diseases and Pandemics</b> Eduardo Ticona, George Fu Gao, Lei Zhou, and Marcos Burgos	461
29	Person-Centered Genetic Counselling	479
30	Person-Centered Endocrinology (Including Diabetes and Obesity).  Sanjay Kalra and Guy Rutten	487
31	Person-Centered Cardiology	501
32	Person-Centered Pulmonary Medicine	539
33	<b>Person-Centered Intensive Care Medicine</b>	549
34	Person-Centered Oncology.  Rajiv Agarwal, Zoran Rakusic, Ana Misir Krpan, Trinh Le Huy, and Andrew S. Epstein	559
35	Person-Centered Surgery and Anesthesiology	575
36	Person-Centered Pain Medicine Chris Hayes and Hema Rajappa	595
37	Person-Centered Palliative Care	615
38	People-Centered Public Health	637
39	<b>Person-Centered Nursing and Other Health Professions</b>	653

viii Contents

40	<b>Person-Centered Traditional Medicine</b>		
Part	t IV Empowerment Perspectives		
41	Empowerment of Community Members	687	
42	Empowerment of Health Professionals	703	
Inde	X	725	

### **Contributors**

**Mohammed T. Abou-Saleh, MPhil, PhD** St George's, University of London, London, UK

Department of Psychiatry and Associate Dean for Clinical Affairs, Faculty of Medicine, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, United Arab Emirates

Neal Adams, MD, MPH California Institute of Mental Health, Berkeley, CA, USA

Rajiv Agarwal, MD Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Nashville, TN, USA

**Maria Ammon, Dr Phil, Dipl Psych** German Academy for Psychoanalysis (DAP), Berlin, Germany

World Association for Dynamic Psychiatry (WADP), Berlin, Germany

W. James Appleyard, MA, MD, FRCP, FRCPCH Presidency 2013–2017, International College of Person Centered Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Presidency 2003–2004, World Medical Association, Ferney-Voltaire, France

St George's University School of Medicine, Grenada, Grenada

Kent and Canterbury Hospital, Canterbury, UK

**Claire Ashton-James, PhD** Pain Management Research Institute, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia

**Tamires M. Bastos, MD** Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

**Israel Belenkie, MD** Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

Saverio Bellizzi, MD, MSc, PhD World Health Organization, Amman, Jordan

**Gheorghe Borcean, MD** Romanian Medical Association, Victor Babes Medical and Pharmaceutical University, Timisoara, Romania

x Contributors

**Michel Botbol, MB, MSc** Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of Western Brittany, Brest, France

World Association for Dynamic Psychiatry (WADP), Berlin, Germany

International College of Person-Centered Medicine, New York, NY, USA

World Psychiatric Association, Geneva, Switzerland

Marijana Braš, MD, MA, MSc, PhD Center for Palliative Medicine, Medical Ethics and Communication Skills, University of Zagreb School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

**Marcos Burgos, MD** Infectious Diseases, School of Medicine, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA

Infectious Diseases, New Mexico VA Health Care System, Albuquerque, NM, USA

New Mexico Department of Health, Santa Fe, NM, USA

José Luis Calderón-Viacava, MD, DMSc Cayetano Heredia Peruvian University, Lima, Peru

Corpac Medical Center, Lima, Peru

**Fredy A. Canchihuaman, MD, MPH, PhD** Public Health and Postgraduate Schools, Cayetano Heredia Peruvian University, Lima, Peru

Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

**Nathalie Charpak, MD** Kangaroo Foundation and Integral KMC Program, San Ignacio Teaching Hospital, Javeriana University, Bogotá, Colombia

**George N. Christodoulou, MD, PhD** Department of Psychiatry, Society of Preventive Psychiatry, Athens University, Athens, Greece

World Psychiatric Association, Geneva, Switzerland

World Federation for Mental Health, Occoquan, VA, USA

Hellenic Psychiatric Association, Athens, Greece

International College of Psychosomatic Medicine, Florence, Italy

International College of Person Centered Medicine, New York, NY, USA

**Christopher Clifford, MD** Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

**C. Robert Cloninger, MD, PhD** Department of Emergency Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

**Oscar Cluzet, MD** Surgery, Intensive Medicine and Bio Ethics, Latin American Network of Person Centered Medicine, Montevideo, Uruguay

Contributors xi

John Cox, BM BCh, MA, DM (Oxon), FRCPsych World Psychiatric Association, Geneva, Switzerland

International College of Person Centered Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Keele University, Keele, UK

Royal College of Psychiatrists, London, UK

International Marce Society, Brentwood, TN, USA

**Liliana Laranjo da Silva, MD, PhD** Westmead Applied Research Centre, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Australian Institute of Health Innovation, Centre for Health Informatics, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Christopher M. Dennis, MBBS Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Northern Clinical School 2014–2021, University of Sydney and the Royal Australasian College of Physicians, Sydney, NSW, Australia

**Veljko Đorđević, MD, MSc, PhD** Center for Palliative Medicine, Medical Ethics and Communication Skills, University of Zagreb School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

**Austen El-Osta, PhD** Self-Care Academic Research Unit (SCARU), School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

Ted Epperly, MD Full Circle Health, Boise, ID, USA

University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA

**Andrew S. Epstein, MD** Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

**Marianne Farkas, ScD** Rehabilitation Research and Training Center, Center for Psychiatric Rehabilitation, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

Lucy Gait, PhD Alder Hey Children's Hospital, Liverpool, UK

George Fu Gao, MD, PhD China Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China

**Eduardo Garrido, MD** Center for Primary Medicine, Universidad Tecnologica de los Andes, Abancay, Apurimac, Peru

**Tesfamicael Ghebrehiwet, PhD, MPH** International College of Person Centred Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Formerly, Consultant, Nursing and Health Policy, International Council of Nurses, Geneva, Switzerland

xii Contributors

Al O. Giwa, LLB, MD, MBA, MBE, FACEP, FAAEM Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

**Paul Glare, MBBS, MA, MMed** Northern Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center and Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA

**Ana Gómez-Carrillo, MD, DrMed** Division of Social and Transcultural Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

Rachel Halpin-Evans, MBChB Sydney Children's Hospital, Westmead, NSW, Australia

**Simone Hauck, MD, PhD** Department of Psychiatry, Medical School, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

**Chris Hayes, BMed(Hons), MMed** Hunter New England Local Health District, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia

Thomas Heise, MD, PhD Medical University of Hannover, Hannover, Germany

Institute for Holistic Health Counselling, Feuerthalen, Switzerland

**Alison N. Huffstetler, MD** Department of Family Medicine and Population Health, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

**Manuel Hernán Izaguirre-Sotomayor, MD** San Fernando Faculty of Medicine, San Marcos National University, Peruvian Association of Person-Centered Medicine, Lima, Peru

**Heena Narotam-Jeena, MBChB** Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa

**Jon J. Jonsson, MD, PhD** Department of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Landspitali, National University Hospital, Reykjavík, Iceland

Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Iceland, Reykjavík, Iceland

Sanjay Kalra, MBBS, MD, DM Department of Endocrinology, Bharti Hospital, Karnal, India

**Juerg Kesselring, MD, FRCP** Department of Neurology and Neurorehabilitation, Rehabilitation Centre, Valens, Switzerland

Michael Kidd, MD The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia

**Levent Kirisci, PhD** Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

**Laurence J. Kirmayer, MD** Division of Social and Transcultural Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

Contributors xiii

Ana Misir Krpan, MD, PhD University of Zagreb, School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

**Trinh Le Huy, MD, PhD** Department of Oncology, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam

Francisco J. León-Correa, PhD, MBioEth Universidad Central, Santiago de Chile, Chile

**Christine C. Leyns, MD, MFamMed, PhD** Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Fundación Vida Plena, Sacaba, Cochabamba, Bolivia

Faculty of Social Sciences, Universidad Mayor de San Simon, Cochabamba, Bolivia

Physician and Community Educator, Cochabamba, Bolivia

**Ornella Lincetto, MD, DM Pediatric, DM, MsPH** Department of Maternal Newborn Child and Adolescent Health and Ageing, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

**Demis Lipe, MD, MS, FAAEM** Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Texas—MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

**Silke Mader** European Foundation for the Care of Newborn Infants, EFCNI, München, Germany

**Dante E. Manyari, MD** Department of Cardiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Cardiology Department, Surrey Memorial Hospital, Surrey, BC, Canada

**Arti Maria, MD, DM** Department of Neonatology, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences and Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, Delhi, India

**Clifford Marks, MD** Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

**Rebecca Martin, MBBS, FANZCA, FFPM ANZCA** Department of Pain Management, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards, NSW, Australia

Julio Mendigure, MPH Ministry of Health, Lima, Peru

Graduate School of the Universidad San Juan Bautista and Universidad Peruana Unión, Lima, Peru

**Juan E. Mezzich, MD, MA, MSc, PhD** Presidency 2009–2013, International College of Person-Centered Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Presidency 2005–2008, World Psychiatric Association, Geneva, Switzerland

Division of Psychiatric Epidemiology and International Center for Mental Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

xiv Contributors

Hipolito Unanue Professor of Person Centered Medicine, San Fernando School of Medicine, San Marcos National University, Lima, Peru

Professor of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health and Professor of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, PA, USA

Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

**Pringl Miller, MD** General Surgery, Hospice & Palliative Medicine and Clinical Medical Ethics, Chicago, IL, USA

**Roger Ruiz-Moral, MD, PhD** School of Medicine, Universidad Francisco de Vitoria, Madrid, Spain

**José Pacheco, MD, PhD, MSc, FACOG** Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Peru

**Christine Patch, PhD, RN, GCRB** Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

Wellcome Connecting Science, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK

**Alberto Perales, MD, BSc, DScMed, DipEth** Institute of Ethics in Health, School of Medicine, San Marcos National University, Lima, Peru

**Robert L. Phillips Jr, MD, MSPH** The Center for Professionalism & Value in Health Care, American Board of Family Medicine Foundation, Washington, DC, USA

**Susan P. Phillips, MSc, MD** Department of Family Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

**Harumi Quezada-Yamamoto, MD, MPH** WHO Collaborating Centre, Department of Primary Care and Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

**Oscar Guillermo Quiroz, MD** Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Clinica Monterrico, Lima, Peru

**Hema Rajappa, MBBS, MD** Hunter New England Local Health District, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia

**Zoran Rakusic, MD, PhD** University of Zagreb, School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

**David Rawaf, MD** WHO Collaborating Centre, Department of Primary Care and Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

**Salman Rawaf, MD, PhD, MPH** WHO Collaborating Centre, Department of Primary Care and Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

Contributors xv

**Guy Rutten, MD** Diabetology in Primary Care, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

**Javier Saavedra, MD, DMedSc** Psychiatry and Mental Health Section, Academic Department of Clinical Medicine, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru

Office for Research Support and Specialized Teaching, National Institute of Mental Health, Lima, Peru

**Oswaldo Salaverry, MD, PhD** Faculty of Medicine, San Marcos National University, Lima, Peru

Carlos Salcedo, MD General Health Studies, San Marcos National University, Lima, Peru

**Ihsan M. Salloum, MD, MPH, DFAPA** Institute of Neuroscience, Department of Neuroscience, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley School of Medicine, Harlingen, TX, USA

University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

Section of Classification, Diagnostic Assessment and Nomenclature, World Psychiatric Association, Geneva, Switzerland

International College of Person Centered Medicine, New York, NY, USA

**Luis Salvador-Carulla, MD, PhD** Health Research Institute, Faculty of Health, University of Canberra, Canberra, ACT, Australia

**Margit Schmolke, PhD** Munich Training and Research Institute of the German Academy for Psychoanalysis, Munich, Germany

**Ghassan Shahrour, MD** Syrian Medical Syndicate, Palestinian Otolaryngological Society, and the Kuwait Medical Association, Hawalli, Kuwait

**Cailey Simmons, MD** Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

Ian Sinha, MBBS, FRCPCH, PhD Alder Hey Children's Hospital, Liverpool, UK

**Mary D. Slavin, PT, PhD** Health Outcomes Unit, Health Law, Policy and Management, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

Pete Smith, PhD Self-Care Forum, London, UK

**Jon Snaedal, MD** Presidency 2017–2021, International College of Person Centered Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Landspitali University Hospital, Reykjavík, Iceland

Presidency 2007–2008, World Medical Association, Ferney-Voltaire, France

xvi Contributors

Odette Spruijt, MBChB, PhD University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Otto W. Steenfeldt-Foss, MD, MPH University Health Service of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Norwegian Medical Association Human Rights Committee, Oslo, Norway

**Vigdis Stefansdottir, MSc, PhD** Department of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Landspitali, National University Hospital, Reykjavík, Iceland

Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavík, Iceland

**Drozdstoj Stoyanov, MD, PhD, DSc** Division of Translational Neuroscience, Department of Psychiatry and Medical Psychology, Medical University of Plovdiv, Plovdiv, Bulgaria

**Kristina K. Stoyanova, PhD** Research Institute, Medical University of Plovdiv, Plovdiv, Bulgaria

**Kimberly K. Stutzman, MD** Family Medicine Residency of Idaho (FMRI), Boise, ID, USA

**Ekaterina Sukhanova, PhD** University Associate Dean for Academic Affairs, City University of New York, New York, NY, USA

**Celine Tabche, MSc, AfN, PhD** WHO Collaborating Centre, Department of Primary Care and Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

**Diogo Telles, MD, PhD** Faculty of Medicine, Psychiatry and Psychology Department, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

**Petra ten Hoope-Bender, RM, MBA** Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights, UNFPA, Geneva, Switzerland

**Tim Thornton, MA, MPhil, PhD, DLitt** Philosophy and Mental Health, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK

**Eduardo Ticona, MD, MScBT, PhD** "Dos de Mayo" National Hospital, San Marcos University (UNMSM), Lima, Peru

Infectious and Tropical Diseases, San Marcos National University, Lima, Peru

**Mark R. Tonelli, MD** Department of Medicine and Department of Bioethics and Humanities, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Mariarí Uzcátegui, MD, DiplPH El Cedral Clinic, Caracas, Venezuela

**C. Werdie Van Staden, MBChB, MMed (Psych), MD** Faculty of Health Sciences, Centre for Ethics of Philosophy of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

**Herman Vildózola, MD, DMSc** San Fernando Medical School, San Marcos National University, Lima, Peru

Contributors xvii

**Martha Villar-Lopez, MD** Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, San Marcos National University, Lima, Peru

**Melissa Villars, MD, MPH** Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

David Webber, PhD International Self-Care Foundation, London, UK

Harvey White, DM (Oxon), MCh St. Bartholomew's Hospital, London, UK

The Royal Marsden Hospital, London, UK

Medical Society of London, Harveian Society, Osler Club and Hunterian Society, London, UK

Royal Society of Medicine of London and British Association of Surgical Oncology, London, UK

**Joel S. Willis, DO, PA, MPhil, MA** Division of Family Medicine, George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA

**C. Ruth Wilson, CM, MD, CCFP, FCFP, LLD** Department of Family Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

Presidency, North America Region, World Organization of Family Doctors (Wonca), Singapore, Singapore

Michael T.H. Wong, MBBS, MD, MA, MDiv, PhD Department of Psychiatry, LKS Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, China

Neuropsychiatry Program, Queen Mary Hospital, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, China

Section of Philosophy & Humanities in Psychiatry, World Psychiatric Association, Geneva, Switzerland

**Lei Zhou, MD** Branch for Emerging Infectious Disease, Public Health Emergency Center, China Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China

## Chapter 1 Introduction to Person Centered Medicine



1

Juan E. Mezzich, W. James Appleyard, Paul Glare, Jon Snaedal, and C. Ruth Wilson

J. E. Mezzich (⊠)

Presidency 2009–2013, International College of Person-Centered Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Presidency 2005–2008, World Psychiatric Association, Geneva, Switzerland

Division of Psychiatric Epidemiology and International Center for Mental Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

Hipolito Unanue Professor of Person Centered Medicine, San Fernando School of Medicine, San Marcos National University, Lima, Peru

Professor of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health and Professor of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, PA, USA

Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

W. J. Appleyard

Presidency 2013–2017, International College of Person Centered Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Presidency 2003-2004, World Medical Association, Ferney-Voltaire, France

St George's University School of Medicine, Grenada, Grenada

Kent and Canterbury Hospital, Canterbury, UK

P. Glare

Northern Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center and Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA

e-mail: paul.glare@sydney.edu.au

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023 J. E. Mezzich et al. (eds.), *Person Centered Medicine*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-17650-0\_1 J. E. Mezzich et al.

#### J. Snaedal

Presidency 2017–2021, International College of Person Centered Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Landspitali University Hospital, Reykjavík, Iceland

Presidency 2007–2008, World Medical Association, Ferney-Voltaire, France

e-mail: jsn@mmedia.is

C. R. Wilson

Department of Family Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

Presidency, North America Region, World Organization of Family Doctors (Wonca),

Singapore, Singapore

e-mail: ruth.wilson@dfm.queensu.ca

#### 1.1 Introduction

Person Centered Medicine (PCM), as a basic concept, recognizes the whole person as the center of medicine and health and as the objective and protagonist of health actions. This compact notion will be unpacked and explained through complementary delineations, both informal ones and those resulting from systematic conceptualizations studies presented in the course of this introductory chapter.

To understand further PCM as a concept and as a programmatic movement, a number of angles are to be engaged in this chapter. These include historical unfolding, philosophical bases, maturation processes, inter-institutional collaboration, organizational development, and scholarly activities such as research projects, educational programs, and publications.

Then, the present Person Centered Medicine book will be outlined and analyzed in terms of their objectives, authorship, structure and content. Major substantive topics as well as issues for the implementation of person-centered care will be touched on. The chapter will end with concluding words on the book's thrust and horizons.

## 1.2 Historical Development of Person Centered Medicine

An overview of the historical development of medicine in general reveals the special place of the person throughout such development. The pre-historic Neanderthal era strongly suggests the crucial role of social mutual care for the protection and promotion of life and health among our remote ancestors.

It appears that care for illness and injury became widespread and depended on the close social bonds developed within groups and the concern for each other's well-being [1]. This resonates with the contemporary understanding of universal health as both a right and a responsibility.

An appraisal of Early History documents the personalized concept of health throughout both Eastern and Western ancient civilizations. In the Far East, the first significant records of formal medicine were in China, where the sense of complementarity (the *ying* and *yang*) was a fundamental symbol of health [2]. From 1700 BC, the Vedic and

Sanskrit books in India detailed medical practices some of which, such as Ayurveda holistic medicine and massage, may have been established as early as 3000 BC [3].

Highly relevant to Person Centered Medicine and contemporary ecological concerns is the encompassing concept of health in the Andean cosmovision as harmonic equilibrium among the internal, social and natural worlds, which appear to resonate in several other ancient civilizations [4]. Also interesting and relevant are the discernible coincidences on the concept of life well lived, *eudaimonia* in Aristotelian ethics [5, 6] and *allyn kawsay* in the Andean worldview [7]. The intrinsic value of an encounter between persons highlighted in Ubuntu humanism in Africa [8] resonates with the previously mentioned mutuality of social support for health among Neanderthals.

In the Middle Age, the Golden Era of Islamic Medicine revealed the attentive and considerate attention dispensed to ill persons to promote their well-being, as epitomized by the architecture and landscape of some of the world's earliest hospitals built in that era [9, 10].

During the Modern Age, first, the rediscovered interest on the person in the arts, and then the cultivation of the humanities through the *illustration* and *rationalism*, as eponymized by Spinoza [11], demonstrated high concern for the flourishment of human beings.

The first phase of the Contemporary Age, from the late eighteenth century to the end of the nineteenth century, has as highlights, first, the French Revolution and its Declaration of Human Rights, and second, German Philosophy on ethics, particularly through Immanuel Kant's [12] categorical imperative affirming the person as always a goal, not a means.

A second Contemporary phase, covering the twentieth century, exhibits early person-centered formulations, highlighted by Jose Ortega y Gasset's [13] dictum *I am I and my circumstance, and if I do not save it, I do not save myself*, by the books of Tournier [14], starting with *Médecine de la Personne*, and by Rogers [15] and Rogers and Rosemberg [16] person-centered approaches to education and psychotherapy, especially *Becoming a Person* and *The Person as Center*. There were also proposals for a patient- or person-centered medicine connected with highly relevant medical fields such as dementia [17] and family medicine [18] and within specific countries such as Italy, with proposals related to alternative medicine [19] and medical epistemology [20]. All this took place at the same time as the massive development of scientific medicine, with its hyperbolic interest on organs and diseases, led to striking advances in diagnosis and treatment, but also to neglect of the doctor-patient relationship, dehumanization of medicine and commercialization of health care [21].

# 1.3 Collaborative and Institutional Development of Person Centered Medicine

Building on twentieth century person-centered care proposals and responding to its noted challenges, a collaborative, institutional and programmatic movement for person centered medicine emerged in the twenty-first century. It involved two phases. The first one from 2005 to 2008 took place in the form of an Institutional Program

J. E. Mezzich et al.

on Psychiatry for the Person within the World Psychiatric Association (WPA). The second one, since 2008 to date, evolved from the first one by extending its scope from psychiatry to medicine at large and progressing institutionally through collaboration with a large number of top global institutions in medicine and health.

The Institutional Program on Psychiatry for the Person, established by the 2005 General Assembly of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA), involved an organization-wide initiative (engaging its 130 national psychiatric societies and 65 scientific sections) and affirming the whole and contextualized person of the patient as the center and goal of clinical care and health promotion, at both individual and community levels. This was set to involve the articulation of science and humanism to optimize attention to the ill and positive health aspects of the person. As care is basically a partnership experience, the program involved the integration of all relevant health and social services. Furthermore, the program also involved advancing public health policies.

Historians Garrabe and Hoff [22] have noted that the core principles behind psychiatry for the person could be already detected at the very beginning of the WPA in 1950 and appeared to be the critical factors underlying its emergence. As a conceptual and programmatic introduction, two editorials were published in *World Psychiatry*, one on articulating medicine's science and humanism as a basic tenet [23] and another on the dialogic basis of the profession [24]. A monographic set on the conceptual bases of psychiatry for the person was prepared and eventually published [25, 26].

A key area of work was person-centered diagnosis, building on an earlier consultation with a large number of WPA national psychiatric societies and the resulting development of the *International Guidelines for Diagnostic Assessment (IGDA)* combining standardized multiaxial and personalized idiographic formulations [27] as well as on close collaboration with the World Health Organization for the planning of ICD-11 [28, 29]. This eventually led to the construction of the Personcentered Integrative Diagnostic Model [30].

The second phase of the institutional development of Person Centered Medicine started around 2008, through contacts between the leaders of WPA and those of other important global organizations such as the World Medical Association, the World Health Organization, the World Federation of Neurology, the World Organization of Family Doctors (Wonca), the International Council of Nurses, and the international Alliance of Patients' Organizations, among others. These interactions revealed wide interest in a perspective that placed the person at the center of general medicine and health care.

This led to the collaborative organization of the first Geneva Conference on Person Centered Medicine at Geneva University Hospital in April 2008. This started a process of annual Geneva Conferences, from which emerged the International Network, now International College, of Person Centered Medicine [26, 31].

Collaboration has been particularly strong with the World Medical Association (WMA) and the World Health Organization (WHO). Relevant to cooperation with the WMA is its long standing commitment to medical ethics as manifested by its *Geneva Declaration* as an updated *Physician's Oath* [32], and its *Declaration of Helsinki* as Guideline for Ethical Medical Research [33, 34]. In line with this, the WMA, which

is headquartered just outside Geneva, has provided logistic support for the ICPCM's annual Geneva Conferences since its first edition in 2008 to date, and has facilitated the prominent participation of WMA presidents at many of these Conferences.

World Health Organization [35] World Health Report identified *People-centered Health Services* as one of its pivots and made this perspective a fundamental element of its Program of Work, which has been the basis for much of its collaboration with the ICPCM along the years. WHO also provided funding for the ICPCM's seminal study on the Systematic Conceptualization of Person Centered Medicine and the Development and Validation of a Measurement Index [36]. WHO also hosted many of the ICPCM Geneva Conferences at its headquarters in Geneva. Furthermore, WHO's Regional Office for the Americas is collaborating closely with the ICPCM's Latin American Network in the exploration and delineation of innovative persons-centered health strategies.

The Geneva Conferences on Person Centered Medicine were conceived since its first edition in 2008 as the stable matrix for the conceptual and procedural maturation of a perspective that could evolve into a programmatic movement for a whole-person medicine. The selection of Geneva for this purpose was predicated in its being known as the *city of encounters* and its hosting within its boundaries and surrounding area the most important global institutions for medicine and health such as the World Medical Association, the World Health Organization, as well as the World Health Alliance that encompasses the International Council of Nurses, International Pharmaceutical Federation, the World Dental Association, and the Council of International Organizations of Medical Science, among others.

As the chronological list of Geneva Conferences show on Table 1.1, there have been 14 such events between 2008 and 2022, one every year except in 2020 due to the Covid19 pandemic. The Conferences in 2021 and 2022 were held on line only.

No.	Year	Main theme
1	2008	Conceptual explorations on person-centered medicine
2	2009	From concepts to practice
3	2010	Collaboration across disciplines, specialties and programs
4	2011	Articulating person-centered clinical medicine and people-centered public health
5	2012	Chronic diseases: person- and people-centered perspectives
6	2013	Person-centered health research
7	2014	Person- and people-centered care for all
8	2015	Person-centered primary health care
9	2016	Person-centered integrated care through the life course
10	2017	Celebrating 10 years of promoting healthy lives and well-being for all
11	2018	Person centered women's health 40 years after alma ata
12	2019	Promoting well-being and overcoming burn-out
13	2021	Self-care and well-being in the times of Covid-19
14	2022	Optimizing clinical care through person centered medicine

Table 1.1 ICPCM Geneva conferences on person centered medicine

Their main themes, as a group, covered general conceptual and strategic issues, examined core principles of PCM, and dealt with major challenges such as the burnout of health professionals and the Covid19 pandemic. Since the 5th Geneva Conference, their impact was extended through a Declaration that was disseminated widely.

The International Congresses of Person Centered Medicine were organized by the ICPCM and a host institution annually since 2013 as attempts to extend the PCM perspective world-wide and to learn how PCM may be practiced in different latitudes and meridians. The first one in Zagreb recognized the pioneer PCM achievements of our colleagues in Croatia, and honored Andrija Stampar, the founder of the Zagreb University School of Public Health and early person-centered care proponent who chaired the First World Health Assembly and coined the still currently official definition of health focused on the promotion of well-being. As shown in the list of these nine Congresses on Table 1.2, they have been held annually in four different world regions. Their main themes decided jointly by the ICPCM Board and the host organization have covered topics that are of both broad international significance and pointed interest locally. Every International Congress has been generating a Declaration to extend its impact, accompanied by an academic paper to support it.

The Paul Tournier Prize constitutes an annual event to promote Person Centered Medicine by honoring the legacy of Paul Tournier, the Geneva family doctor regarded as one of the fathers of Person Centered Medicine. It is awarded annually since 2017 by the International College of Person Centered Medicine in cooperation with the Paul Tournier Association and the Paul Tournier Family, to scholars who have made highly distinguished international contributions to this programmatic perspective. The Prize winners, who are listed on Table 1.3, have come so far from North America, Latin America and Europe, which are the world regions, along with Oceania, currently most active in the cultivation of Person Centered Medicine.

<b>Table 1.2</b> ICPCM International Congresses of Person Cent	ntered Medicine
--	-----------------

No.	Year	City	Main Theme
1	2013	Zagreb	Whole person in health education and training
2	2014	Buenos Aires	Advancing humanistic and interdisciplinary health care
3	2015	Londres	Celebrating primary care achievements: seeing the person behind the patient and a life course approach
4	2016	Madrid	Person centered medical education and the goals of health care
5	2017	Zagreb	Person-centered cancer care
6	2018	Nueva Delhi	Person-centered care for non-communicable diseases
7	2019	Tokyo	Work-life balance: challenges and solutions
8	2020	Montevideo	Responding to the pandemic with persons-centered comprehensive care, human rights and sustainable development goals
9	2021	Kuwait	Culture and person centered clinical care and public health in the eastern Mediterranean region

Prize year	Winner's name	City and country
2017	Wim Van Lerberghe	Geneva, Switzerland
2018	C Robert Cloninger	St. Louis, USA
2019	Alberto Perales	Lima, Perú
2020	George Christodoulou	Athens, Greece
	John Cox	Cheltenham, United Kingdom
2021	W James Appleyard	Canterbury, United Kingdom
2022	Sandra Van Dulmen	Nijmegen, The Netherlands

**Table 1.3** Winners of the Paul Tournier Prize

## 1.4 Conceptual Development of Person Centered Medicine

In its most basic form, it may be said that Person Centered Medicine (PCM) is a perspective that places the whole person as the center of an encompassing concept of health and as the target and protagonist of health actions. Analyzing this formulation, the term *perspective* could be seen just as a generic concept or, taking into account various important considerations discussed throughout this book, it could be said that it is a historically- and philosophically-grounded collaborative programmatic movement. For a further ontological interpretation, it could be said in the words of the eminent Latin American internists Calderon and Vildózola [37], that this perspective constitutes both a fundamental *principle* and a crucial *strategy* in medicine.

A key term in the definition of PCM is the *person*, which appears more valuable than alternatives such as "individual" or "self" on two important grounds. One is its strong connection to ethics, a concern of the highest order in medicine as evidenced by the commitment of the World Medical Association [34] to its Helsinki Declaration, and by the close relationship between person and ethics, i.e., WHO ethics specialist Bouësseau [38] asserted at a Geneva Conference on Person Centered Medicine that if a research project is ethical it has to be person-centered and if it is person-centered, it would be ethical. Furthermore, Ierodiakonou [6] has argued that Aristotle's ethics work is based on person-centered considerations, and Kant's [12] categorical imperative states that the person is always a goal not a means. Consideration of ethics, ensures respect for the dignity of persons and for their autonomy (which is essential for coupling promotion of human rights with promotion of human responsibilities).

A second ground for preferring the term person to various alternatives is the richness of its descriptive and scientific meaning, as follows, (1) *Person* is quite proteic and comprehensive with biological, psychological, social, ecological and spiritual dimensions, (2) It promotes a concept of whole health, encompassing ill health (diseases, disabilities, health problems) and positive health (functioning, resilience, well-being), (3) It represents an organizing pivot for understanding the increasingly prevalent multimorbidity and the corresponding need for the coordination of health services, (4) It highlights the persons that are behind the roles of patients, health professionals, and family members. The last point also explains why personcentered is preferable to patient-centered, i.e., "patient" is just a role, while "person" stimulates broadness and creativity in care.

Further substantiating and delineating the conceptual value of the *person*, is that this is ontologically a central, basic, irreducible element; and epistemologically, it can be stated that person-level knowledge is both important and possible [39]. Concerning the centrality of the person for understanding health, this has been pointedly argued by Cassell [40] and Cassell and Stoyanov [41] ("the person as center of health") and by Tempier [42] ("what is good for the person, is good for his/her health"). In regard to the view of the person as target and protagonist of health actions, this is widely acknowledged as Cassell [43] does in his *Nature of Healing*.

To complement the presentation and analyses above of a central formulation of PCM, some other helpful formulations are presented next. Well received in the clinical arena is the assertion that PCM involves a medicine of the person (of the totality of the person's health, including its ill and positive aspects), for the person (promoting the fulfillment of the person's life project), by the person (with clinicians extending themselves as full human beings with high ethical aspirations, and with the person (working respectfully, in collaboration and in an empowering manner with the person presenting for care) [31].

Also important, as proposed by then WHO Assistant Director General and now PAHO Director General Carissa Etienne, is the articulation of person-centered clinical medicine and people-centered public health and to involve a wide range of professional and patient organizations to implement and promote such perspectives [44]. As *person* and *people* are seen as the two sides of the same coin, the term *persons* is often used.

Furthermore, as a theory of medicine, PCM is seen as *informed* by evidence, experience, and values, and aimed at restoring and promoting the health and wellbeing of whole persons. Finally, the following two research models on conceptualization and measurement and on a person-centered integrative diagnosis are denotative of the conceptual development of Person Centered Medicine.

# 1.4.1 Systematic Conceptualization and Measurement of Person-centered Medicine and Care

In response to the growing interest and variable understanding of person-centered medicine and care, the need for efforts on their systematic conceptualization and measurement became apparent. With financial support from the World Health Organization, the International College of Person Centered Medicine undertook this task [36]. The objectives included the elucidation of the core concepts of person centered medicine and healthcare, the design of a prototype measuring instrument, and the study of its metric structure, further development, acceptability, reliability and validity. The methods employed were the following: A systematic review of the literature, consultation exercises with broad international panels composed of health professionals and representatives of patient and family organizations, and quantitative and qualitative data analyses.

The following key concepts underlying person centered medicine were elucidated: (1) Ethical Commitment, (2) Cultural Awareness and Responsiveness, (3) Holistic scope, (4) Relational Focus, (5) Individualized Care, (6) Common Ground for Collaborative Diagnosis and Care, (7) People-centered Systems of Care, and (8) Person-centered Health Education and Research. On this basis, a Person-centered Care Index was developed composed of 8 broad items and 33 sub-items, each measured on a 4-point scale. The PCI is displayed in Table 1.4.

**Table 1.4** The person-centered care index (PCI)

No.	Indicators	Never	Occasionally	Frequently	Always
1.	Ethical commitment				
1.1	The dignity of every person involved is honored	1	2	3	4
1.2	The patient's rights are respected	1	2	3	4
1.3	The patient's autonomy is supported	1	2	3	4
1.4	The patient's empowerment is advanced	1	2	3	4
1.5	The patient's personal values and needs are understood and respected	1	2	3	4
1.6	The fulfillment of the patient's life project is enabled and encouraged	1	2	3	4
2.	Cultural sensitivity				
2.1	The patient's ethnic identity and cultural values are recognized	1	2	3	4
2.2	The patient's language and communication preferences are considered	1	2	3	4
2.3	The patient's gender and sexual preferences are respected	1	2	3	4
2.4	The patient's spiritual needs are pointedly considered	1	2	3	4
3.	Holistic scope				
3.1	The biological, psychological, social, cultural and spiritual factors of health inform understanding and care	1	2	3	4
3.2	Both health problems/disabilities and positive health are attended	1	2	3	4
4.	Relational focus				
4.1	Clinicians, patients and families work in partnership	1	2	3	4
4.2	Empathy in clinical communication is emphasized	1	2	3	4
4.3	Inter-personal trust is fostered throughout the care process	1	2	3	4
5.	Individualized care				
5.1	The patient's individuality and unique qualities inform care	1	2	3	4

(continued)

Table 1.4 (continued)

No.	Indicators	Never	Occasionally	Frequently	Always
5.2	The patient's historical and social context are factored in process of care	1	2	3	4
5.3	The patient's personal growth and development are promoted	1	2	3	4
6.	Common ground for diagnosis and care				
6.1	Diagnosis of health status involve patient/ clinician joint understanding	1	2	3	4
6.2	Diagnosis is cooperatively worked out for whole person and whole health	1	2	3	4
6.3	Care plan decisions are made collaboratively	1	2	3	4
7.	People-centered systems of care				
7.1	The health and rights of all people in the community are attended	1	2	3	4
7.2	The community participates in the planning of health services	1	2	3	4
7.3	Collaboration across disciplines and service programs is promoted	1	2	3	4
7.4	Personalized services are aimed at attaining high quality and excellence	1	2	3	4
7.5	Health services are responsive to specific community needs	1	2	3	4
7.6	Health services are integrated and coordinated around patients' needs	1	2	3	4
7.7	Services emphasize people-centered primary care	1	2	3	4
7.8	Services ensure continuity of care	1	2	3	4
7.9	Services are informed by wide person-centered perspectives	1	2	3	4
8.	Person-centered education, training and research				
8.1	The health system promotes person-centered public health education	1	2	3	4
8.2	The health system promotes person-centered health professional training	1	2	3	4
8.3	The health system promotes person-centered health research	1	2	3	4
Glol	pal average score				
A 1.1	itianal analysation assuments				

Additional evaluative comments

Copyright: Mezzich JE, Kirisci L, Salloum IM et al. for the International College of Person Centered Medicine

Please rate the following person-centered care indicators in terms of their level of presence in a given health service. The term "patient" here refers to a person who experiences health problems and/or uses health services. To obtain a global average PCI score, please add the partial scores and divide this by the number of items actually rated.

The study of its metric structure revealed high Cronbach internal consistency (0.95), scale unidimensionality through factor analysis (69% of the variance accounted for by the first factor), and interesting inter-correlations such as the subitem attaining the highest correlation with the global average score being "fulfillment of the person's life project" (0.88). Validation studies in California, London and Lucknow (India) showed quite high levels of inter-rater reliability (above 0.80 intra-class correlations for most items) and substantial content validity.

The elucidated core concepts of person centered medicine appear to be consistent with those of international studies on the bases of person- and people-centeredness in primary care and on research and implementation of person centered care. The concepts are also consistent with the key domains of person-centered diagnostic approaches. Further validation studies with larger samples in diverse settings and cultures seem to be warranted. In conclusion, the emerging core concepts of person centered medicine appear to be robust. The Person-centered Care Index based on such concepts appears to have suitable metrics and promising acceptability, reliability and content validity.

#### 1.4.2 The Person-Centered Integrative Diagnosis Model

Also of substantial conceptual value for Person Centered Medicine is the Person-centered Integrative Diagnostic (PID) model aimed at evaluating the person's whole health through key informational domains, upon establishing a common ground among involved professionals, patient and family, and employing categories, dimensions and narratives as descriptive tools [30]. The specific objectives of the pertinent research program were to review the conceptual bases of person-centered integrative diagnosis as a component and contributor to person-centered psychiatry and medicine and to outline its design and development. To this effect, an analysis was conducted of the historical roots of person-centered psychiatry and medicine and of emerging efforts to reprioritize medicine from disease to patient to person in collaboration with global health professional associations and with the coordinating support of the International Network and the subsequent International College of Person Centered Medicine.

The emerging Person-centered Integrative Diagnosis (PID) model articulates science and humanism to obtain a diagnosis *of the person* (of the totality of the person's health, both ill and positive aspects), *by the person* (with clinicians extending themselves as full human beings), *for the person* (assisting the fulfillment of the person's health aspirations and life project), and *with the person* (in respectful and empowering relationship with the evaluated person). This broader and deeper notion of diagnosis goes beyond the more restricted concepts of nosological and differential diagnoses [45]. More specifically, the proposed Person-centered Integrative

Diagnostic model, is defined by three keys: (a) broad informational domains, covering both ill health and positive health along three levels: health status, experience of health, and contributors to health, (b) pluralistic descriptive procedures (categories, dimensions and narratives), and (c) evaluative partnerships among clinicians, patients and families.

An unfolding research program is focused on the construction of practical guides and their evaluation, followed by efforts to facilitate clinical implementation and training. In summary, Person-centered Integrative Diagnosis is aimed at appraising the total health of the total person through plural descriptions and evaluative partnerships in order to establish the bases for integrative and effective care of the total person.

#### 1.5 Scholarly Development of Person Centered Medicine

Research, educational and publication activities are milestones of PCM scholarly development. They are outlined below.

#### 1.5.1 Research on Person-Centered Diagnosis

The diagnostic instrument investigated involved the practical application of the Person-centered Integrative Diagnosis (PID) model in terms of the (Latin American Guide for Psychiatric Diagnosis) (GLADP-VR) published by the Asociación Psiquiátrica de América Latina, Sección de Diagnóstico y Clasificación [46]. This guide represents an adaptation of the ICD-10 that seeks through a biopsychosocial approach to better reflect the holistic framework and culture of Latin American countries. This revision of the original GLADP included updated Latin American annotations and the new integrated diagnostic model centered on the person.

The aim of this study was to evaluate among Latin American psychiatrists the levels of applicability and usefulness of the GLADP-VR in comparison with major international diagnostic classification systems [47]. The survey evaluation instrument included questions about fundamental characteristics of a useful diagnostic guide and comparative questions about the acceptability and usefulness of the GLADP-VR, the original ICD-10, DSM-IV and DSM-5, and suggestions to improve the guide. The sample included 127 Latin American psychiatrists with an interest on diagnosis and classification and membership in one of the 17 national psychiatric societies affiliated with Latin American Psychiatric Association (APAL). They were sent the evaluation instrument by e-mail. Thirty-seven (29.1%) responses were obtained. There were no indications of demographic bias between respondents and no-respondents. The vast majority of respondents answered the questionnaire completely. Ninety-two percent reported knowing the GLADP-VR before the survey and 65.6% had actually used it before.

Concerning results, the most commonly used diagnostic system was the original ICD-10 (86.5%), followed by the GLADP-VR (56.8%). Regarding applicability, the diagnostic system recognized as the most user-friendly was the ICD-10 followed by the GLADP-VR, with the most difficult being the DSM-5. Concerning diagnostic accuracy, the GLADP-VR was found most useful; and the DSM-5 was least useful. Regarding usefulness for clinical care and professional practice, the ICD-10 was rated highest, followed by the GLADP-VR, and lowest was DSM-5. Regarding usefulness for yielding a complete view of the clinical situation, the GLADP-VR was best (83.3%), and DSM-5 was the lowest. Concerning cultural and psychosocial contextualization, the GLADP-VR was considered most useful, well above the original ICD-10 and the DSMs. Furthermore, the GLADP-VR was considered more useful for teaching and research by about 80% of psychiatrists, superior to the other diagnostic systems.

The findings of this study on the most prevalent use of ICD-10 are consistent with the results of a survey conducted earlier by the World Psychiatric Association across the world [48]. In addition, in the present study less than half of the respondents used regularly the DSMs. The findings of the present study concerning the GLADP-VR were quite consistent with the corresponding findings of an earlier preliminary evaluation of the GLADP-VR. This seems to be related to the GLADP-VR comprehensive personalized diagnostic formulation with various components, including narratives. In conclusion, there were indications that the GLADP-VR is seen in Latin America as having higher diagnostic accuracy, yielding a comprehensive view of the clinical situation and its context, and more suitable for teaching, research, and work in community mental health.

#### 1.5.2 Research on Person-Centered Care

This research line is illustrated by a Comparative Study of Prototype Hospitals in Lima with the Person-centered Care Index Rated by Health Professionals conducted by Perales et al. [49]. The development of generic instruments with substantial metric features to appraise progress towards person-centered care is quite encouraging. The aim of the present study was to initiate a person-centered care research program in Latin America through the comparative evaluation of prototype hospitals in Lima, Peru with the use of the generic Person-centered Care Index rated by health professionals.

The study design involved the comparative appraisal of person-centered care in four prototype hospitals through the engagement of health professionals using the generic Person-centered Care Index (PCI) [36]. A Spanish version of the PCI was prepared for the present study in Lima, Peru. For this, groups of physicians and nurses working in clinical medicine and surgery services were engaged from four prototype hospitals, i.e., a public general hospital, a public specialized hospital, a social security hospital, and a private hospital.