



STUDIES IN CHILDHOOD AND YOUTH

Youth on Edge

Facing Global Crises in Multicultural French Society

Vincenzo Cicchelli
Sylvie Octobre

palgrave
macmillan

Studies in Childhood and Youth

Series Editors

Afua Twum-Danso Imoh
University of Bristol
Bristol, UK

Nigel Patrick Thomas
University of Central Lancashire
Preston, UK

Spyros Spyrou
European University Cyprus
Nicosia, Cyprus

Anandini Dar
School of Education Studies
Ambedkar University Delhi
New Delhi, India

This well-established series embraces global and multi-disciplinary scholarship on childhood and youth as social, historical, cultural and material phenomena. With the rapid expansion of childhood and youth studies in recent decades, the series encourages diverse and emerging theoretical and methodological approaches. We welcome proposals which explore the diversities and complexities of children's and young people's lives and which address gaps in the current literature relating to childhoods and youth in space, place and time. We are particularly keen to encourage writing that advances theory or that engages with contemporary global challenges. Studies in Childhood and Youth will be of interest to students and scholars in a range of areas, including Childhood Studies, Youth Studies, Sociology, Anthropology, Geography, Politics, Psychology, Education, Health, Social Work and Social Policy.

Vincenzo Cicchelli • Sylvie Octobre

Youth on Edge

Facing Global Crises in Multicultural
French Society

Translated by Sarah-Louise Raillard

palgrave
macmillan

Vincenzo Cicchelli
Ceped/Grip, Université Paris
Cité/IRD
Paris, France

Sylvie Octobre
Ministère de la Culture/Centre
Max Weber
Paris, France

Translated by
Sarah-Louise Raillard
Madison, WI, USA

ISSN 2731-6467 ISSN 2731-6475 (electronic)
Studies in Childhood and Youth
ISBN 978-3-031-11824-1 ISBN 978-3-031-11825-8 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-11825-8>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG.

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

FOREWORD

As they enter adulthood, young people find themselves constrained by institutions they did not build, problems they did not create, and norms and values they did not consent to. Moreover, they find themselves confronted by groups and individuals who are well-established in contemporary life—people who have the experience, power, and self-interest to use their positions of privilege to maintain and strengthen their places in the world. In addition, young people face emergent problems that inevitably arise in the course of ordinary social, political, and economic life on a planet that is changing as a result of both its own natural processes and the actions humans have taken on it.

In and of itself, this fact is nothing new. Every generation inherits the institutions, cultural systems, and empirical conditions that shaped the lives of its predecessors. Every generation adapts and alters the world it enters while leaving a legacy that its successors will enter, adapt to, and alter in their own way and in their own circumstances.

Yet for all its ordinariness, the fact that there are generational rhythms to life, but ones that play out in contingent circumstances, is a profound matter. The challenges and opportunities facing each generation are always different even as each defines itself in relation to the ones that preceded it and those that will succeed it.

Which brings us to the question that frames *Youth on Edge: Facing Global Crises in Multicultural French Society*: what conditions do French youths today face as they make the transition to adulthood? Even a partial list of recent global-historical events suggests the complexities of the world

young French people are entering. The collapse of the Soviet Union occurred just a few years before they were born. The end of that Soviet empire has seemingly encouraged Russian President Vladimir Putin to pursue the establishment of a new Russian superstate in contemporary Europe. The neoliberal project of social, cultural, and economic globalization that drew new life from the fall of the Soviet Union and the opening of China to global trade once promised not just low-cost consumer goods but also a transformation of global culture and a surge of democratic freedom. After all, it was thought that the need to compete for talent would compel closed nations to open their systems to freedom, creativity, and individual opportunity—if they did not, the thinking went, authoritarian systems would fail. Meanwhile, vast inequalities in wealth distribution both within societies and across them emerged, in no small measure because of the neoliberal globalization unleashed at the end of the Cold War. At the same time—also in part as a reaction to globalization—populist movements arose demanding that governments assert economic sovereignty rather than global integration as the basis of their national economies. Populists also insisted that governments needed to protect native, “authentic” peoples against harmful schemes that social and political elites were allegedly imposing on them. The U.S.-led War on Terror that followed the attacks in the United States on September 11, 2001, spawned global conflicts that led to military interventions around the world, stimulating significant population displacements (and subsequent movement of refugees from conflict zones to, among other places, France) and terror attacks across the globe. In yet another change, work became increasingly de-centered in the internet age. Social media, in turn, transformed the ways people interact—even as it, like all media, focused attention in some directions and not others. All the while, global climate change accelerated, ineluctably altering the world in which life struggles to thrive. And in the midst of it all, the COVID-19 global pandemic upended all of our assumptions about the way life would flow—everywhere, all at once.

Such experiences, and many others not named, share a feature that deserves careful focus: they were experienced virtually all at once, with little time for society and culture to adapt. That is, regardless of where these events began, or how they specifically unfolded, they were experienced quickly, one on top of the other. Thus, whereas once Russia’s invasion of Ukraine might have been treated as big news but only been addressed in newspapers or on television news programs once a day, today, it is an event viewed by people all over the world almost as it happens, in

a continuous feed of tweets and blog posts and twenty-four-hour news channels. Where once people might have experienced aspirational lifestyles intermittently, from movies set in places like New York or Paris or London, for example, today, influencers are ubiquitous and updates on the latest trend or fantasy are a mere screen refresh away. In other words, the notion that something can happen “out there” without having much connection to one’s own life has been largely replaced with the expectation that one is always “on”—everyone is in some way or another aware of and connected to what is going on around them. As a result, we all end up being buffeted by circumstances that are numerous, impervious to direct action, and deeply unsettling.

Which is exactly the moment in which *Youth on Edge: Facing Global Crises in Multicultural French Society* engages its topic. All generations enter adulthood—much in this book is of relevance outside its French context. However, each community has a unique array of concerns, problems, opportunities, and constraints that shape the ways young people transition to adulthood. These, in turn, shape what those young people make of adult life once they move into that phase of their lives. Economic changes, immigration, struggles over French identity, populist movements, and cultural insecurities, among other forces, have shaped life in French society in recent years. Moreover, these issues have significantly affected young French people’s understanding of what exactly being “French” entails even as they have constrained the opportunities French youths sense that they will have in the future. They have, in other words, experienced what has been termed a “Great Unsettling”: the transformation of established paths for meaning and success into something as yet undetermined and perhaps even unimagined (Maraniss and Samuels 2016; Steger and James 2022).

Hence this remarkable book’s project is to go out and ask young people who find themselves unsettled what they think about the way the world is today and where they might fit—or might not fit—into it. Rather than opining from afar, Vincenzo Cicchelli and Sylvie Octobre let French youths speak for themselves. They then identify a broad array of ways those young people make sense of how things came to be the way they are. Vincenzo Cicchelli and Sylvie Octobre also explore how these youths frame their responses to life in contemporary France. As is clear throughout this book, French youths see the sources of the crises of France’s multicultural society differently. They also imagine a diverse array of places for themselves in France in the future and offer notably disparate ideas

about how to address the problems they see and how to build the futures they hope for. What they most decidedly are not is caricatures, exemplars of labels like “Gen Z” that simplify much but explain little.

This book accomplishes its goals with great skill and deep insight. It engages effectively with questions that are central to the future of French culture and civilization precisely because it examines the strengths of French society as well as its challenges. Moreover, it achieves this balance in accessible terms, eschewing jargon in favor of emphasizing the stories its subjects seek to tell. I, for one, came away from reading this book feeling sensitive to the real struggles youths in France face as they move forward in their lives, but also hopeful that the thoughtful and serious ways these young people addressed these concerns suggest that French culture and society will remain vibrant for decades to come.

Listening is the first step toward understanding. Understanding, in turn, is a step in the direction of building, maintaining, and strengthening the kinds of rights-respecting, dignity-empowering communities so many people crave to live in. Listening and understanding will not, of course, ensure that such societies grow and thrive. But the only chance we have of building our lives together is to take the time to hear and recognize what we each can contribute to our shared world.

Normal, IL, USA

Lane Crothers

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Maraniss, David, and Robert Samuels. 2016. The Great Unsettling. *The Washington Post*, March 17. Accessed April 19, 2022. https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/looking-for-america-the-great-unsettling/2016/03/17/e9cb3eaa-e544-11e5-bc08-3e03a5b41910_story.html.
- Steger, Manfred, and Paul James. 2022. Disjunctive Globalization in the Era of the Great Unsettling. *Theory, Culture & Society* 37 (7–8): 187–203.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The survey upon which this book is based on—entitled “Crispations. Jeunesse et vivre ensemble à l’ère de la globalisation—was financed by the Université de Paris (PID “Sociétés Plurielles”/Global Research Institute of Paris, IdEx Université de Paris, anr-18-idex-000) and the CNAF (the *Caisse Nationale des Allocations Familiales*, or National Family Benefits Fund).

A certain number of interviews were conducted in courses on qualitative methods offered at the *Faculté des sciences humaines et sociales* (Faculty of Human and Social Sciences) of the Université Paris Descartes in 2018 and 2019. The interviews were faithfully transcribed; the translation strives to respect the linguistic choices of the interviewees to the greatest extent possible. We are very grateful to the interviewers for their work and to the young people interviewed for taking their time and speaking out in confidence.

The translation from the French manuscript was entrusted to Sarah-Louise Raillard, whom we warmly thank for her accurate and dedicated work.

Praise for *Youth on Edge: Facing Global Crises in Multicultural French Society*

“*Youth on Edge* offers a close analysis of young people’s thoughts about social problems and forms of social cohesion in multicultural French society. By listening to varied voices, a landscape of political potentials ascends. The authors pose an important question: ‘What can still unite young French people and transcend what separates them?’ In spite of political despair, a possibility of change arises if young people’s will to participate in rebuilding solidarity is taken seriously. The book brings hope to the table without turning a blind eye to unevenly distributed structural uncertainties and the simultaneous presence of different suggestions for how to repair fractures in the social fabric—from pressures to assimilate to cosmopolitanism.”

—Anna Lund, Professor of Sociology, *Stockholm University, Sweden*

“This is a great project that can even inspire a new course on the topic. The material studied is diverse and gives a nice sociological dimension to the question explored and in relation with youth and belonging in multicultural and global contexts. It proposes a nuanced account of youth and crisis in multicultural societies.”

—Hervé Tchumkam, Altshuler Distinguished Teaching
Professor and Professor of French and Postcolonial Studies,
Southern Methodist University, USA

CONTENTS

1	Introduction: Does a Globalized Society Produce a Youth on Edge?	1
1	<i>Toward a New Narrative</i>	1
2	<i>Youth at the Intersection of Global Crises</i>	2
3	<i>Open to the World, but Rooted in National Experience</i>	4
4	<i>How to Live Together</i>	5
5	<i>Voicing Tensions</i>	7
6	<i>A Survey Conducted in Two Phases</i>	8
7	<i>Conclusion</i>	10
	<i>Bibliography</i>	10
Part I	Living Together in a Time of Global Crisis	15
2	A Time of Uncertainty	19
1	<i>Terrorism and Demands for Increased Security</i>	20
2	<i>Immigration and Identity</i>	22
3	<i>Economic Ultraliberalism and Widening Inequalities</i>	29
4	<i>Anti-liberal Practices and the Decline of the National Community</i>	32
5	<i>The Crisis of Multilateralism and the Rise of Nationalism and Populism</i>	36
6	<i>Conclusion</i>	40
	<i>Bibliography</i>	40

3	A Time of Fragmentation	45
1	<i>Globalization and the Pitfalls of Academic Meritocracy</i>	46
2	<i>Globalization and the Losers of Integration in the Workplace</i>	48
3	<i>Globalization and Immigration</i>	51
4	<i>Globalization and the Recognition of Multiculturalism</i>	52
5	<i>Globalization and Challenges to the Principle of Solidarity</i>	54
6	<i>Globalization and Setbacks to a Shared Political Vision</i>	56
7	<i>Conclusion</i>	59
	<i>Bibliography</i>	59
4	A Time of Tension	63
1	<i>Wanderlust and National Sentiment</i>	64
2	<i>Inequalities at Work and Demands for Social Protection</i>	66
3	<i>Fluctuations in Openness on Immigration and the Multicultural Society</i>	68
4	<i>Intolerance with Regard to Inequalities and Injustices</i>	70
5	<i>Apathy and Political Engagement</i>	71
6	<i>Conclusion</i>	73
	<i>Bibliography</i>	73
Part II	Living Together in a Changing Society	77
5	Freedom at the Risk of Decadence	81
1	<i>The Progressives: More Civil Rights for All</i>	82
2	<i>Caught in the Middle: Adapting Norms to Reality</i>	91
3	<i>The Conservatives: The Price of Change and the Limits of Individual Autonomy</i>	96
4	<i>Conclusion</i>	103
	<i>Bibliography</i>	103
6	Inequality at the Risk of Ultraliberalism	105
1	<i>An Unequal France</i>	106
2	<i>Greater Demand for State Intervention</i>	114
3	<i>Letting Economic Forces Operate Freely</i>	120
4	<i>Conclusion</i>	126
	<i>Bibliography</i>	126

7	Democracy at the Risk of Populism	129
1	<i>Tired of Being Democrats</i>	130
2	<i>Tired of Democracy</i>	136
3	<i>Reinventing Politics</i>	143
4	<i>Conclusion</i>	148
	<i>Bibliography</i>	148
Part III Living Together in a Plural Society		151
8	<i>Homo nationalis</i> and the Nation's Singularity	157
1	<i>The Spirit of Homo nationalis</i>	157
2	<i>Those Left Behind by Globalization</i>	162
3	<i>Those Worried About Downward Social Mobility</i>	167
4	<i>The Executives or Ideologists of Nationalism</i>	170
5	<i>Converts to the Nation</i>	176
6	<i>Conclusion</i>	180
	<i>Bibliography</i>	181
9	<i>Homo civicus</i> and the Republican Canopy	183
1	<i>The Spirit of Homo civicus</i>	183
2	<i>Between the Republic and the Nation</i>	187
3	<i>The Republic as a Driver of Integration</i>	190
4	<i>The Republic as a Path Toward Integration</i>	195
5	<i>Conclusion</i>	200
	<i>Bibliography</i>	201
10	<i>Homo culturalis</i> and Cultural Diversity	203
1	<i>The Spirit of Homo culturalis</i>	203
2	<i>Multiculturalism in Praise of Multiple Identities</i>	208
3	<i>Multiculturalism Used to Counter the Failures of the French Republic</i>	212
4	<i>Communitarian Multiculturalism</i>	217
5	<i>Conclusion</i>	222
	<i>Bibliography</i>	222

11	<i>Homo pontifex</i> and Universal Humanity	223
1	<i>The Spirit of Homo pontifex</i>	223
2	<i>Indifference to Difference</i>	228
3	<i>Ecumenism and Rootedness</i>	231
4	<i>Cosmopolitanism as Emancipation</i>	235
5	<i>Conclusion</i>	240
	<i>Bibliography</i>	241
	In Guise of Conclusion	243
	Index	247

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Vincenzo Cicchelli is an associate professor at Université Paris Cité, France, and a research fellow at Centre Population et Développement (UMR 196, Université de Paris/Institut de Recherche pour le Développement). He is the former General Secretary of the European Sociological Association (ESA); the former founder of the ESA research network “Global, Transnational and Cosmopolitan Sociology”; and the former director of the multidisciplinary program “Sociétés Plurielles” (Université Paris Sorbonne Paris Cité). He is the Director of International Relations at the Global Research Institute of Paris, Université Paris Cité. At Brill, he is the Editor-in-Chief (with Sylvie Octobre) of the “Global Youth Studies” suite: <http://www2.brill.com/gys>. He is the author of many books and articles, of which the latest are (with Sylvie Octobre) *The Sociology of Hallyu Pop Culture* (Palgrave Macmillan 2021); (with Sylvie Octobre) *Une jeunesse crispée. Le vivre ensemble face aux crises globales* (L’Harmattan 2021); (with Sylvie Mesure, eds.) *Cosmopolitanism in Hard Times* (2020); (with Sylvie Octobre and Viviane Riegel, eds.) *Aesthetic Cosmopolitanism and Global Culture* (2019); (with Sylvie Octobre) *Aesthetico-Cultural Cosmopolitanism and French Youth: The Taste of the World* (Palgrave Macmillan 2018); and *Plural and Shared: The Sociology of a Cosmopolitan World* (hardcover edition 2018; paperback edition 2020).

Sylvie Octobre is a researcher in the Département des études, de la prospective et des statistiques (Head of Team of Research on Socialization and Cultural Participation), French Ministry of Culture, and a research fellow at Centre Max Weber (UMR 5283, Université Lumière Lyon 2 and

ENS de Lyon). At Brill, she is the Editor-in-Chief (with Vincenzo Cicchelli) of the “Global Youth Studies” suite: <http://www2.brill.com/gys>. She is the author of many articles and books, of which the latest are (with Vincenzo Cicchelli) *The Sociology of Hallyu Pop Culture* (Palgrave Macmillan 2021); (with Vincenzo Cicchelli) *Une jeunesse crispée. Le vivre ensemble face aux crises globales* (L’Harmattan 2021); (with Regine Sirota) *Inégalités culturelles: retour en enfance* (Presses de SciencesPo, 2021); *Youth Technoculture: From Aesthetics to Politics* (2020); (with Vincenzo Cicchelli and Viviane Riegel, eds.) *Aesthetic Cosmopolitanism and Global Culture* (2019); *¿Qué tiene a las culturas juveniles? Las culturas juveniles en la era digital* (Oceano Traverso 2019); (with Frédérique Patureau, eds.) *Normes de genre dans les institutions culturelles* (Presses de Sciences Po 2018); (with Vincenzo Cicchelli) *Aesthetico-Cultural Cosmopolitanism and French Youth: The Taste of the World* (Palgrave Macmillan 2018); and *Les techno-cultures juvéniles* (L’Harmattan 2018).



Introduction: Does a Globalized Society Produce a Youth on Edge?

1 TOWARD A NEW NARRATIVE

In the vast corpus of now seminal studies on youth, it is generally accepted that the historical invention of this age of life was linked to the advent of modernity in Western societies. During the twentieth century, youth became one of the ideal vantage points from which to observe the structural factors involved in the modernization of society (Eisenstadt 1956; Coleman 1961; Mead 1970). We should not be surprised, therefore, that even though young people have often been the subject of moral panic over the years, they have also been tasked with furthering the core values of Western society, ostensibly driving it toward ever more freedom, equality, solidarity, modernity, and democracy.

But is this narrative still relevant in a context where the triumphal discourse of modernity has lost much of its potency, where disillusioned, anti-intellectual, and doom-saying attitudes drive public debate (Sternhell 2009; Geiselberger 2017; Bauman 2017), and where the pillars of the republican social pact seem to be not just under attack, but in some cases already toppled (Martin 2018; Fourquet 2019; Perrineau 2019)? How should we tell the story of this youth, which was promised the hopes, certainties, and optimism of a protective society and a State committed to the socialization of risks but received the exact opposite? Can analyzing youth in the twenty-first century help us understand how societies are managing the growing number of global risks (in particular terrorism,

environmental, economic, and public health concerns) that continuously thwart the ability of nation-states to intervene and impose regulations (Beck 2012)? More broadly, to what extent does globalization produce global generations? (Edmunds and Turner 2005; Thorpe and Inglis 2019; Roudometof 2019).

As we attempt to answer these questions, we shall argue that the changing narrative of youth (which is produced equally by young people and those who talk about the latter) is inextricably linked to the lengthy series of crises that this generation has experienced for at least the last twenty years. The recent COVID-19 pandemic is emblematic of these changes: this public health global crisis has bolstered the media coverage of a “sacrificed generation,” an expression which had already been prevalent for years in France to describe the deteriorating conditions facing young people as they transitioned to adulthood (Chauvel 1998). While the downward mobility of young people is not a new topic (Maurin 2009; Peugny 2009), the current context has now definitively convinced even the most skeptical French observers of the vast scope of the crisis that affects youth today and the inevitable dependence of any nation’s youth on a variety of transnational phenomena, given that the latter shape living conditions and jeopardize the future for all. Consequently, although the narrative of youth was traditionally a national one, the story told by today’s youth is necessarily a global one. Today’s young people are navigating by sight alone (Evans and Furlong 1997), and the old maps are not of much use: carried out ever further by the successive waves of global crises, it is of the utmost urgency for them to find a life raft amidst such tumultuous waters.

2 YOUTH AT THE INTERSECTION OF GLOBAL CRISES

To go beyond hackneyed slogans, we must first and foremost try to understand to what extent the dynamics of globalization have become relevant to the socialization of young people, without heeding the siren call of a new determinism (i.e., of the all-powerful global) or of a new cause to champion (e.g., the conservation of the local and traditional) (Cicchelli and Octobre 2019a). By strengthening interdependence between societies—thanks to the intensification of the global flows of capital, ideals, persons, and goods—globalization has had a powerful impact on the internal functioning of societies, which has led them to modify their political systems, their economies, their educational models, their job markets, their

social structures, and even their cultures (Appadurai 1996; Beck 2006; Castells 2009). The scope of this impact has widened the gap between public faith in the ability of nation-states to chart a course for their societies and the reality that their room for maneuver is severely hampered by the rise of inequalities and the rules of competition in the global economy. Changes observed in the various ages of life (and notably among young people) are thus closely linked to the systemic interconnectedness of today's world, rather than depending solely on the endogenous dynamics at play in any one nation (Blossfeld et al. 2005).

At the same time, the extent to which global changes affect conditions for young people is also tied to the internal transformations and historical particularities of each society (Jeffrey and McDowell 2004). Despite the emergence of a globalized world, there are still important differences between countries, including different social systems, trade regulations, labor protections, and national cultures and identities (Meyer et al. 1997; Galland and Lemel 2007). National societies enjoy a high degree of socio-historical inertia, in particular when it comes to religion, sexual mores, nationalism, political engagement, and economic orientation (Norris and Inglehart 2009). In European countries, despite a lengthy process of Europeanization (Esping-Andersen 1990; Therborn 1995) and the development of shared political objectives, national particularities based on the traditions of the welfare state remain quite significant—this translates, for instance, into a number of public policies targeting youth (Walther 2006; Chevalier and Loncle 2021). France is emblematic in this regard, as it occupies an intermediate position when it comes to supporting youth, halfway between the universalist social-democratic models of Northern European nations and the more familialist models adopted in most Southern European countries (Becquet, Loncle, and Van de Velde 2012).

In a world shaped by the proliferation of global risks, understanding youth thus entails not just establishing connections between the local and global levels of analysis, but also examining the historicity of living conditions for young people. Born during the 1990s and at the beginning of the 2000s, young people who are between 18 and 30 years old today have grown up in a state of such near-constant crisis that one can reasonably argue the word no longer stands for an extraordinary or transitional moment between two supposedly stable periods (the world “before” and the world “after” a given event). This period of quick succession of global crises can in fact be considered as a *sui generis* age of crisis, that is, a

permanent crisis, one which produces uncertainty, fragmentation, and tension (Leccardi 2005; Beck and Beck-Gernsheim 2009; Castells 2009; Grusky et al. 2011; Kelly et al. 2018), as well as questions about what kind of society young people will want to build for themselves and others.

3 OPEN TO THE WORLD, BUT ROOTED IN NATIONAL EXPERIENCE

Taking into account the socialization of a generation that has been rocked by one crisis after another in a global world (Cicchelli 2018) will allow us to understand the paradoxes of its condition. On the one hand, the younger generations share a strong norm of openness to the world which aligns with the societal diktats of the elite, be they concerning the European project, the regulation of international economic competition (free trade treaties in particular), or the standardization of credential evaluation for higher education (the Lisbon Recognition Convention). This standard of cultural openness finds its parallel in the growing mobility of young people and the increasing internationalization of their cultural consumption patterns, repertoires, and imaginaries (Cicchelli and Octobre 2018). The cosmopolitan amateur thus thrives in a global market where cultural industries have transformed the marketing of difference into a major driver of interest in alterity, albeit one of a consumerist nature (Emonspool and Woodward 2018). While aesthetico-cultural cosmopolitanism is thus closely tied to the historical form of contemporary capitalism, in the realm of social cohesion,¹ no institutional body (be it post-, inter-, or supranational, like the UN, the European Commission, or the various international criminal courts) has been able to support the development, on an ethico-political level (especially with regard to human rights) of a narrative of the *cosmopolis* that is as popular and compelling as exoticism, and which could thus prevail over the ancient concept of the nation (Cicchelli and Octobre 2019b). French youth may therefore have vast global cultural imaginaries, and they may also adopt forms of ethico-political engagement and action that are truly transnational—as evidenced by youth

¹Translator's note: the French expression used in the original text, *vivre ensemble*, translates literally to "living together." However, I have chosen to translate this concept using various other expressions such as "social cohesion" and "social coexistence" depending on syntax and the aspect of life in a society that is being emphasized at any given point, be it tolerance, community harmony, solidarity, or, on the contrary, mere parallel existence.

mobilizations across the world in the name of shared values such as the freedom of expression, democracy, the fight against discrimination and inequalities, and the protection of the environment (Cuzzocrea et al. 2021). Nonetheless, the immediate experience of the social fabric that French youth have is deeply rooted in an (infra)national institutional and structural reality (with its share of shared reference points, life challenges, and social protections) that shapes how they relate to others and conceive of social cohesion (Mayer et al. 2020).

On the other hand, this standard of openness is perceived differently depending on one's social class. In fact, some individuals are tempted by identitarian closure. While the most educated young people tend to use cultural openness as an opportunity, young members of the working class (a population segment that is often concealed behind the more visible student population) have suffered deteriorating conditions in the job market for the last fifty years (with the advent of public policies to integrate young people into the workforce that became derogations from the right to work, such as temporary employment, fixed-term contracts, social integration and professionalization contracts, and apprenticeships). As a result, many have denounced globalization and cosmopolitan openness as an ideology that completely ignores the working classes and instead just serves the interests of the moneyed cosmopolitan classes (Calhoun 2003).

4 HOW TO LIVE TOGETHER

Western societies, including France, are at a historical turning point: the model that was the result of the economic, political, cultural, ideological, and social equilibrium of the post-war period is on its last leg, as evidenced by the fact that progress—be it toward greater democracy, greater social, racial, gender, and age equality, greater individual freedom in negotiated collective contexts, more peace, more material well-being, or more personal fulfillment—has ground to a halt (Geiselberger 2017).

At the national level, there are many stress lines: the rise in perceived and observed inequalities (embodied by the *Gilets jaunes* [*“Yellow Vests”*]² protests which raged for close to 18 months); virulent debates about racial discrimination and, more broadly, the heuristic ability of existing

²The *Gilets jaunes* protests were a series of populist grassroots protests that occurred on a weekly basis in France starting in November 2018. The protests originally targeted economic justice and later a number of institutional political reforms (Guerra et al. 2019).

categories to account for social inequalities (race vs. class, community vs. nation, identity vs. citizenship, etc.); equally significant questions about the impact of technology on human life, including gestational surrogacy, and more generally on the tensions caused by increasingly liberal mores; recurring challenges to secularism (and especially French *laïcité*), both in terms of how it should be interpreted and of the role it should play in the republican social contract; the simultaneous rise of fake news and alternative facts; and finally, the emergence of forms of political action (both on the internet and in the streets) that eschew representation as the primary goal and thus undermine the pillars of living together inherited from the twentieth century, either by flatly rejecting the former or by illustrating its many failures. The republican concept of citizenship is thus singled out by postcolonial critiques of universalism: the promise of integration is challenged by the continued reproduction of many exclusions, while meritocratic value crumbles in the face of the reproduction of the elites; the liberalism of social mores is faced with new strains of conservatism; and finally, the French choice to champion a civic perspective of the nation that is tied to the exercise of rights seems to be threatened by the rise of a more identitarian conception of belonging, according to which culture (language, customs, religions) and the historical narrative (the existence of shared ancestry or not) play a central role (Martigny 2016).

At the same time, on the international level, the implicit agreement to enhance cooperation on economic and political matters has also encountered a number of obstacles. Faith in the virtues of multilateralism has been sorely tested by the latter's slow rate of success, as well as its inability to regulate global crises (in particular the economic, migrant, and climate crises). Brexit was seen as a warning shot for the European project, while the Trump presidency accelerated the United States' retreat into isolationism. China increasingly looks like an imperial power with little concern for civil liberties, while Turkey questions the value of secularism, and international climate agreements have failed to produce the hoped-for and, given the global environment stakes, highly necessary effects.

Young people are thus asking a lot of questions, including of themselves, given that they have borne the brunt of our societies' most significant changes—they are among the most vulnerable population segments—but also because they operate as a kind of litmus test with regard to the transformation of the ideologies that had anchored Western societies since the second half of the twentieth century. Touched by

successive waves of global crises and the emergence of new fault lines, young people today have a hard time recognizing themselves in our society's values, even as they struggle to find their place in the former.

5 VOICING TENSIONS

As the campaigns for the 2022 French presidential election have shown, it is important to understand how the crisis has affected young people and their perception of living together, including their visions for potential new social pacts. Today's youth is troubled by the evident tears in the social fabric and maintains an ambiguous relationship to globalization: young people clearly desire strong, chosen forms of belonging and appear to be searching, perhaps more than ever, for a narrative that could bring French people together above and beyond their divisions. This volume shall thus explore these various forms of tensions.

Setting aside the plentiful data that describe the objective vulnerability of certain segments of the youth population (in particular those with lower levels of education, who are often marginalized), the voice of young people is rarely heard directly. This is in stark contrast to the (over)abundance of speeches, pamphlets, and documents that purport to talk about young people, or even on their behalf. We have thus chosen to let young people speak about how they view the central question of living together in a multicultural French society. We have tried to mobilize their capacity for reflection, viewing them as informed and even enlightened citizens who want to help shape both the fate of their city and their *politeia* by making critiques, demands, and suggestions. In addition to categorizing the various forms of identitarian closure, we will uncover the sources of tension that put young people on edge: points of irritation and annoyance which can, when violent or frequent enough, transform into bitterness and resentment.

Although French youth distrust politics more than ever before, and have increasingly distanced themselves from traditional channels of political participation, they are nonetheless keenly aware of what is at stake when they praise or criticize various aspects of social cohesion—especially given the national backdrop of a public space saturated with debates on *laïcité* and the role of Islam, terrorism, the migrant crisis, racism, increased domestic insecurity and border control, national identity and attachment to the republican ideal, multiculturalism, and communitarianism. Living in a society with significant flows of information and knowledge, and for

the most part enjoying access to education and social networks, the young people that we interviewed engage in a multiscalar and multidimensional form of reasoning that simultaneously takes into account the impact of transnational phenomena, the repercussions of changes to geopolitical power struggles, diversity, and the severity of national issues in France.

It is evident that the rhetoric analyzed in this volume owes a lot to the *zeitgeist*, and in particular to the ideological templates that inform social debates, to the power relations between political parties and public intellectuals (Roza 2020; Policar 2021), and to the media's ability (thanks to the work of opinion makers, journalists, influencers, comedians, and commentators) to decide both what topics are going to be discussed and when, including by ascribing meaning to a selection of national and international events. And yet, the opinions of young people cannot be reduced to the faithful transcription of the seismic waves that have traveled through French society. Nor do they merely regurgitate the ambient social debates wholesale. While young people do belong to a generation with declining rates of political participation and activism in the strictest sense (i.e., in political parties and trade unions), they are deeply invested in political issues in the broader sense (Muxel 2018). This study thus confirms that young people are in the process of reinventing their forms of participation, trying to craft a new type of citizenship that would allow for a more direct, more just, and more effective democracy (Lardeux and Tiberj 2021).

6 A SURVEY CONDUCTED IN TWO PHASES

To understand how young people conceive of social cohesion in the era of globalization, we used a corpus of 54 semi-structured interviews conducted on young residents of the greater Paris region aged 18 to 30 years old recruited using the “snowball sampling” method during two phases: in December 2018 and May 2019.³

We have attempted to capture as many different voices as possible: different in terms of objective social conditions, but also in terms of

³These interviews were conducted thanks to a funding convention between the Université de Paris (PID “Sociétés Plurielles”/Global Research Institute of Paris, IDEX) and the CNAF (the *Caisse Nationale des Allocations Familiales*, or National Family Benefits Fund). A certain number of interviews were conducted in courses on qualitative methods offered at the *Faculté des sciences humaines et sociales* (Faculty of Human and Social Sciences) of the Sorbonne (Université Paris Descartes) in 2018 and 2019. We thank the students who participated in these surveys profusely.

subjective attitudes toward the zones of tension in French society. The young people interviewed thus exhibit a wide variety of religious and political sentiments, live in different social situations, and have varying immigrant backgrounds. The sample has slightly fewer young women than men and a majority of 19–24-year-olds (65%, compared to 35% of 25–30-year-olds). Fewer than 1 out of every 2 individuals interviewed is employed, while 2 out of 5 are in school and more than 1 out of 10 are in some kind of technical training program while working. About half of the young people interviewed come from an immigrant background (which is a higher percentage than the national population, thus allowing us to better represent the variety of positions held by second- and third-generation immigrants). Our sample contains young people from a variety of social backgrounds as well: 24% are upper class, 30% middle class, and 46% lower or working class. Among the young people who are currently employed, a quarter of them have jobs that place them squarely in the upper class, one-third of them in the middle class, and less than two out of five individuals have lower-class jobs. To round out the portrayal of youth social positions, we added a question concerning their perception of their social trajectory (by comparing their parents' social status to their own now, or what they hope to achieve in the future). This subjective judgment is a confidence indicator and is likely to capture how a given young person envisions the future they desire: half of the interviewees expressed the feeling that they have or will enjoy upwards social mobility, while one-third felt they had a stable trajectory and 15% felt they were experiencing or would experience downward mobility. Finally, we recorded youth attitudes toward globalization: two out of five have a positive vision of globalization, whereas one-fourth were ambivalent and one-third critical.

The variety of youth profiles (in terms of age, sex, education level, social origin and status for those who are employed, immigrant background, political affiliation, and religion), and the fact that we also included more subjective indicators (with regard to social mobility and globalization) allow us to demonstrate that even if one's relationship to the world is partially governed by concrete living conditions—which may vary depending on the degree of social integration, on one's family culture, on the transmission and reinvention of one's immigrant history, on one's immediate urban environment—the dynamics of individual empowerment and of openness and closure powerfully influence how young people view the world. Especially given that today's social transformations encourage a growing disconnect between one's ideological positions and