



# The First 100 Days of Covid-19

Law and Political Economy  
of the Global Policy  
Response

Edited by  
**Aleksandar Stojanović**  
**Luisa Scarcella**  
**Christina R. Mosalagae**

palgrave  
macmillan

# The First 100 Days of Covid-19

Aleksandar Stojanović · Luisa Scarcella ·  
Christina R. Mosalagae  
Editors

# The First 100 Days of Covid-19

Law and Political Economy of the Global Policy  
Response

palgrave  
macmillan

*Editors*

Aleksandar Stojanović  
New York University  
Shanghai, China

Luisa Scarcella  
DigiTax Centre  
University of Antwerp  
Antwerp, Belgium

Christina R. Mosalagae  
University of Turin  
Turin, Italy

ISBN 978-981-19-6324-7      ISBN 978-981-19-6325-4 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6325-4>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.

The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This collection of essays could not have been completed without the dedication and intellectual contributions of many colleagues, mentors, and friends. Firstly, we would like to thank all the country rapporteurs who participated in the first phase of the *Global Responses to Covid-19: A Law and Economics Comparative Project* by completing questionnaires on Covid-19 policy for their jurisdiction. Your selfless efforts during a precarious time (filled with uncertainty) formed the foundation of our research project and culminated in this book. Moreover, we are especially grateful to those country rapporteurs who became contributors to this book by providing analytical chapters for their jurisdictions. Your commitment and dedication is greatly appreciated. Secondly, we are indebted to the mentors who assisted with the drafting of the questionnaires and provided overall guidance of this research project.

Thirdly, thank you to the Young Scholars Initiative (YSI) of the Institute for New Economic Thinking (INET), the Association for Promotion of Political Economy and Law, the International University College of Turin and Bocconi University for bringing together the young and senior scholars to collaborate on this project.

Lastly, our heartfelt gratitude to Hemapriya Eswanth and Jacob Dreyer for their laborious editorial assistance and patience throughout this process. It goes without saying that the people and institutions listed here bear no responsibility for our own errors, omissions, or opinions.

# CONTENTS

<b>Global Covid-19 Policy Response Between Law and Political Economy</b>	1
Aleksandar Stojanović, Luisa Scarcella, and Christina R. Mosalagae	
<b>Law and Political Economy of China’s Early Pandemic Response: Limited Economic Support and Insulation</b>	15
Aleksandar Stojanović, Wanshu Cong, An Zhai, Yao Libo, He Simeng, Guo Dongcheng, Xinyi Xu, and Huang Junyue	
<b>Are Militarized Lockdowns the Great Equalizer? Evidence from the Philippines</b>	55
Vincent Jerald Ramos, Fatemeh Halabisaz, Leonides Frago Jr., and Ryan Martinez	
<b>First 100 Days of COVID-19 Firefighting: Hits and Misses of the Policy in India</b>	87
Apilang Apum, Binit Agrawal, Madhu Sivaraman, and Aneesha Chitgupi	
<b>First 100 Days of Italian COVID-19 Policy: A New Image for Democracy, Security, Education, and the Economy in Italy</b>	121
Elisa Gibellino and Federica Cristani	

<b>First 100 Days of Hungarian COVID-19 Policies</b> Ádám Kerényi and Weichen Wang	145
<b>Purchasing Time: The First 100 Days of German COVID-19 Policy</b> Michael W. Müller and Annalisa Tassi in collaboration with Niklas Döbbeling and Friederike Hildebrandt	173
<b>COVID-19 Crisis in the United Kingdom: The First 100 Days of the Unknown</b> Arletta Gorecka	207
<b>Nigeria’s Political, Economic, and Social Dynamics in a Pandemic Era</b> Osatohanmwun Anastasia Eruaga, Abigail Osiki, and Itoro Ubi-Abai	235
<b>Inequality Dimensions of Kenya’s Responses to COVID-19</b> Muriuki Muriungi and Naomi Musau	273
<b>Reinforcing Inequality: First 100 Days of South African COVID-19 Policy</b> Zita M. Hansungule, Khensani Hlongwane, Christina R. Mosalagae, Kelello Nkadimeng, and Sankari Reddy	295
<b>A Bumpy and Gloomy Road Ahead: An Analysis of Covid-19 Response in Namibia</b> Christopher Pomwene Shafuda	341
<b>The Informal Economy and the First 100 days of the Pandemic Policy in Zimbabwe</b> Zvikomborero Chadambuka	373
<b>First 100 days of Brazilian COVID-19 Policy</b> Tiago Couto Porto, Alexandre San Martim Portes, Mariella Pittari, and João Victor R. Longi	399
<b>The Deepening of an Economic and Political Crisis: The First 100 Days of COVID-19 in Chile</b> Javiera Rojas, Luis Cortés, José Ledesma, and Javiera Toro	429

<b>Reinforcement of Economic Inequality and Extra Economic Power—Law and Political Economy of the US Pandemic Policy Response</b>	<b>463</b>
Aleksandar Stojanović, Lauren Sweger-Hollingsworth, and Dashiell Anderson	
<b>Appendix: Law and Economics of COVID-19 Questionnaire</b>	<b>507</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>525</b>

## NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

**Binit Agrawal** is a Master's candidate pursuing the European Master's in Law and Economics as an Erasmus Scholar. He graduated with a B.A. LL.B. (Hons.) from the National Law School of India University, Bengaluru in 2021. His research interests include Constitutional Law, Law and Economics, and legal analysis of socio-economic policies. He is also a founding-editor of [www.lawschoolpolicyreview.com](http://www.lawschoolpolicyreview.com).

**Dashiell Anderson** is an incoming Ph.D. candidate in Economics at the University of Leeds. After graduating with degrees in Economics and English from the University of Utah in 2019, he completed an M.A. in Economics from the New School for Social Research. He is most recently completing his LL.M. in Comparative Law, Economics, and Finance at the International University College of Turin. Dash is interested in the history and theory of economic spatiality, economic geography, and economic agglomerations. He is writing his Ph.D. dissertation on how to best differentiate between urban and rural worker exploitation, with a particular emphasis on the spatial history of capital relations and class struggle.

**Apilang Apum** is currently teaching Economics in JTGMD College, Roing, India. She received a Ph.D. in Economics from Rajiv Gandhi University Itanagar in September 2021. She is also an Executive Member of North Eastern Economics Association and her research interest

areas include Development Economics, Labour Economics, and Health Economics.

**Zvikomborero Chadambuka** is a Visiting Assistant Professor of Law and Fellow in Law, Business and Economics at the Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law. He is also a Non-resident Research Fellow in Law at Yale Law School's Center for Private law. His areas of interest and expertise include property, human rights, comparative law, and law and economics.

**Aneesha Chitgupi** is a research fellow at the XKDR-Forum-Chennai Mathematical Institute. She received her Ph.D. in 2020 from Institute for Social and Economic Change, Bangalore. Her thesis analysed the economic determinants of India's external stabilization under the balance of payments framework. Her current research interests are public finance, government debt, and liabilities management. She is the coordinator of YSI South Asia Working Group and Alumni member at the Board of Studies for Mount Carmel College, Bangalore.

**Wanshu Cong** is a post-doctoral fellow at Hong Kong University School of Law. She was a Max Weber fellow at European University Institute (2020–2021). She holds a doctoral degree from McGill University Faculty of Law and an LL.M. from Geneva Academy of International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights. Her research interests include human rights, the intersection of law and technology, and critical legal studies. Her current project looks at transitional data governance from Marxist and postcolonial perspectives.

**Luis Cortés** is a lawyer with a Bachelor's in Law and Social Sciences from Universidad de Chile. He is a Master's student in Interdisciplinary Latin American Studies, Freie Universität Berlin. His research interests include Regulatory Law, Natural Resources regulation, and Fisheries.

**Federica Cristani** is a Senior Researcher at the Centre for International Law of the Institute of International Relations in Prague (CZ) and a Visiting Senior Researcher at the Arctic Centre of the University of Lapland (FI). She holds a Ph.D. (with the certificate of Doctor Europaeus) in international law and a degree in law from the University of Verona (IT). She earlier worked as a post-doctoral researcher at the World Trade Institute of the University of Bern (CH), and at the

University of Verona, and has been a visiting scholar in different universities and research centres in Hungary, Slovakia, Germany, Denmark, the United Kingdom, and the United States. She has been adjunct professor in Bologna (IT) and a guest lecturer in Budapest (HU), Bratislava (SK), and Kharkiv (Ukraine). Her main research interests include international economic law, the policies of sub-regional groups in Europe, and international law of cyberspace. She is a Co-Chair of the Coordinating Committee of the Interest Group on International Economic Law of the European Society of International Law (ESIL).

**Guo Dongcheng** is a graduate student at the China University of Political Science and Law. In Law School, his research field and interests mainly include legal philosophy and sociology of law while the comparative analysis of law and policy is one of his recent areas of study. During the pandemic, in the first quarter of 2020, Guo was part of a team that aimed to compile reports about the legal and financial response to COVID-19 in China.

**Osatohanmwon Anastasia Eruaga** is an Associate Professor (Research) with the Nigerian Institute of Advanced Legal Studies. She has been involved in extensive legal research and writing in the areas of public international law especially Maritime, Environmental sustainability, and Human Rights Law. Her research interests are Maritime Security, Africa Economic Integration, Environmental Sustainability, and Irregular migration from a maritime perspective. She is currently involved in a research that explores the privatization of maritime security within the Gulf of Guinea.

**Leonides Frago, Jr.** obtained his B.A. in Political Science from the University of the Philippines-Diliman. He then pursued post-graduate coursework in the M.A. International Relations programme of Beijing Foreign Studies University. His major research interests include political identity and social movements, decentralization and political dynasties, regionalization, and Track II diplomacy.

**Elisa Gibellino** is a juris doctor with a degree in law obtained from the University of Eastern Piedmont (IT). During the University years she was interested in extracurricular activities as Mediation competitions at the Arbitral Chamber of Milan and International civil rights moot Court. After University she started her Law Practice in order to become a lawyer, in the meantime she obtained two Master's. One in Economy, Finance

and Comparative Law at the International University College in Turin and another one in Law of the Internet Technology at Bocconi University with a special interest in Blockchain/Fintech and GDPR. Now she is working in the legal department in a healthcare company in Turin (Piedmont, Italy) as legal and privacy specialist.

**Arletta Gorecka** is currently a Ph.D. Candidate at the University of Strathclyde. Arletta has worked at various law firms in Scotland and actively supported international, law, and doctoral students. She also acts as a freelance interpreter and provided support for the Vis Moot. Arletta also assists in the delivery of undergraduate and postgraduate modules in the University of Stirling, University of Strathclyde, and Glasgow Caledonian University. She is a Policy Analysis at the Inclusive Competition Forum and Communications, Dissemination and Accessibility Officer at Scottish Law and Innovational Network. Arletta's research interest includes privacy protection, digital economy, goals and enforcement of competition law, and Big Data. Arletta's thesis focuses on the impact of privacy on the EU competition law. She is the author of several articles and chapters in scholarly books about the issues related to competition law and privacy.

**Fatemeh Halabisaz** is a Ph.D. candidate at the IE Business School in Madrid. She has experience as an economic researcher for the Philippine government, mainly being involved with handling merger and acquisition cases. She has business and economic education from the Philippines, France, and the United Kingdom.

**Zita M. Hansungule** is a full-time Doctoral candidate working on a thesis that focuses on access to justice for children in South Africa. She worked for the Centre for Child Law, University of Pretoria until 2021 as a project coordinator in its research, monitoring, and evaluation unit. She has a particular interest in child justice, rights of children with disabilities, the care and protection of vulnerable children as well as access to justice for children. She was involved in the Global Study on Children Deprived of Liberty as well as a study on the impact of South Africa's Child Justice Act, commissioned by the Department of Justice and Constitutional Development. She is currently involved in a study on Secure Care Centres in South Africa.

**Khensani Hlongwane** is currently employed as a Law Researcher at the Labour and the Labour Appeal Court, Johannesburg. Her work

includes providing research and editorial assistance on judgements, preparing memorandums and legal opinions concerning labour disputes, and providing updates on recent developments within the law. She holds a Bachelor of Laws degree from the University of Stellenbosch, 2018 and completed her articles of clerkship at Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Inc. in 2020. Her areas of interest include employment rights, dispute resolution, and the intersection between human rights and labour law.

**Huang Junyue** is currently pursuing an LL.M. at Georgetown University Law Center. She has obtained a Bachelor of Laws from Beijing Institute of Technology. During her studies, she tried to explore the theory and application of law in new fields, such as international law in outer space and copyright law under the influence of artificial intelligence. She is also keen to analyse the theories of Weber and Maine on legal rationality and legal formation. She has also studied quantitative history research at Peking University and has a great interest in positive jurisprudence. As a former economics student, she hopes that more economic methods can help prove the practical effect of law and make suggestions for legislation. In this regard, she conducted research on Chinese environmental law with the help of SPSS and AMOS software.

**Ádám Kerényi** is a Junior Research Fellow at the Institute of World Economics of the Centre for Economic and Regional Studies, where he is a member of the Research Group on European Integration. His research interests include financial stability, innovation, political economy, and public policy, and he studies the effects of digital transformation on legislation at the EU level and in the member states as an external visiting fellow in the Centre for Social Sciences POLECON. He is a member of the World Interdisciplinary Network for Institutional Research (WINIR) and the European Association for Evolutionary Political Economy (EAEPE). He is a working group coordinator at the Institute for New Economic Thinking's Young Scholars Initiative (INET YSI). He is an external lecturer at the University of Szeged and Corvinus University of Budapest, where he was a research assistant to professor János Kornai until his death. He has published articles in *Acta Oeconomica*, *Financial and Economic Review*, *Economy and Finance*, and *Public Finance Quarterly*.

**José Ledesma** is a lawyer who holds a Bachelor's in Law and Social Sciences from Universidad de Chile and is a Master's Student in Public

Policy, Universidad de Chile. Research interests: Regulatory Law, Environmental Law, and Mining rent.

**Yao Libo** has a Bachelor's Degree in Law (2018) and a second Bachelor's Degree in Political Science and Administration (2018) from Tsinghua University. She is working on her Master's degree at Tsinghua Law School. The current focus of her research is on fundamental rights such as privacy right in cyber society. She also has a keen interest in comparative law and law in social theory.

**João Victor R. Longi** is a Post-Doctoral fellow at the International Post-doctoral Program in New Technologies and Law at the Mediterranean International Center for Human Rights Research (MICHR—Università "Mediterranea" di Reggio Calabria), Italy. Post-Doctor in Legal Studies from Northern Parana State University, Brazil. Doctors in State Law from University of Sao Paulo, Brazil. Master's in Private Law from Rio de Janeiro State University. Visiting Professor of the Postgraduate Program in Law at Northern Parana State University. Public Defender of the State of Parana.

**Ryan Martinez** is a graduate student at the Department of Sociology, University of the Philippines Diliman. He is currently a senior research associate of the Program on Alternative Development of the University of the Philippines Center for Integrative and Development Studies.

**Christina R. Mosalagae** is currently enrolled as a Ph.D. Candidate in Law and Institutions at the University of Turin. She holds an LL.M. from Cornell University as well as an L.L.M. in Constitutional and Administrative Law from the University of Pretoria; and a Master's in Comparative Law, Economics & Finance from the International University College of Turin (with distinction). Her research interests include: Comparative Law, Constitutional Law, Property Law as well as Law and Political Economy.

**Michael W. Müller** is currently a postdoctoral researcher at the University of Munich (LMU) Munich. His doctoral dissertation on the law of financial stabilization (*summa cum laude*) was published with Mohr Siebeck and awarded the LMU Faculty Prize. Michael read law at LMU and holds both Bavarian State Exams, qualifying him for legal practice. Moreover, he obtained an LL.M. from Cambridge University (First Class, Wolfson College Jennings Prize), an M.A. in Philosophy from LMU, and

a Diploma in the Law of the European Union from the Academy of European Law, Florence.

**Muriuki Muriungi** is Partner at Kosgei, Muriuki and Koome Advocates in Nairobi, Kenya and Lecturer at the School of Law, University of Nairobi. He read for a Master of Science in Law and Finance at St. Peter's College, University of Oxford, UK where he was a Standard Bank Africa Chairman Scholar. He also holds a Master of Law in Public Finance and Financial Services Law (LL.M) and a Bachelor of Laws (LL.B) from the University of Nairobi as well as a post-graduate diploma in legal practice from the Kenya School of Law. He is also a doctoral candidate in law researching into the role of central banking in mobilizing climate finance at the University of Nairobi. Muriuki is a member of the global network of the International Network for Sustainable Financial Policy Insights, Research, and Exchange (INSPIRE) currently inquiring into the role of central banks in sustainable finance. His areas of legal practice and research interests reside in the area of sustainable finance, property rights, and financial regulation. Muriuki is widely published in various areas of law including sustainable finance, electoral law, property theory, environmental law, and judicial reforms.

**Naomi Musau** is an Advocate of the High Court of Kenya and a member of the Law Society of Kenya. She holds a Bachelor of Laws (LL.B.) degree (Hons.) from the University of Nairobi and a Postgraduate Diploma in Law from the Kenya School of Law. She is a member of Young Scholars Initiative (YSI) Finance, Law and Economics Working Group, the Academic Council on United Nations Systems (ACUNS) and Women in Maritime in East and Southern Africa (WOMESA). She has been invited to and participated in various international conferences as a speaker and panellist including the Academic Council on United Nations Systems (ACUNS) Annual Meeting 2019 (Stellenbosch University, South Africa) and the 2020 Afterlives of Development Conference (Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Israel). She has previously researched on Sustainable Development, the Sustainable Blue Economy, and Finance and Law and Economics.

**Kelello Nkadimeng** currently works for ABSA Group Limited (ABSA) a financial services provider in Johannesburg, South Africa. Her current role at ABSA is Consultant for Regulatory Reporting. Her areas of expertise

include banking, regulatory, risk management, compliance, and governance. Kelello holds a BCom Degree, Postgraduate diplomas in Internal Auditing and Insurance & Risk Management. Her current research projects are on: (1) Conduct regulations and the banking industry post COVID-19; and (2) The financial services strategic resilience frameworks. She is affiliated with the Institute of Risk Management of South Africa and the Institute of Internal Auditors South Africa.

**Abigail Osiki** currently lectures in the Mercantile and Labour Law department at the University of the Western Cape (UWC), South Africa. She is also a research associate at the Centre for Transformative Regulation of Work, UWC, Cape Town. Abigail's research background is in working poverty, sustainable development, and policy reform, with a specific interest in the informal economy in Sub-Saharan Africa. She recently completed a post-doctoral fellowship with the Fairwork Project. She holds a Ph.D. in labour law from the University of Cape Town.

**Mariella Pittari** is a Ph.D. candidate in Law and Institutions at the University of Turin. Cornell Law School 2018 LL.M.; Master's in Comparative Law, Economics and Finance at the International College of Turin. Alumni at the Institute for US Law in Washington, DC Visiting Scholar at University of Miami Law School. Public Defender in Brazil. Research topics: (1) Political Science and Legal Theory intertwined; (2) Philosophy of Law; (3) Civil Procedure and access to justice in the Age of Technology; (4) State of Exception in Private Law; and (5) the rise of inequality. Currently researching Political Theology and the archeological concepts of Sovereignty.

**Alexandre San Martim Portes** is a Ph.D. Candidate in Regulation and Global Governance at the Australian National University (School of Regulation and Global Governance—RegNet). He holds a Master's degree in Public Economics, Law, and Politics from Leuphana University (Germany) and a Bachelor's degree in International Relations from the Federal University of Santa Catarina (Brazil). His research interests include trade policy and regulation, intellectual property rights, sustainable development, and international political economy.

**Tiago Couto Porto** is a Ph.D. candidate in Public Administration and Government at Getulio Vargas Foundation of São Paulo (FGV-SP) and Associate Researcher at the Center for Studies on New Developmentalism at the same institution. Master's degree in economics at University Paris

13 Sorbonne Paris Cite, with a period as visiting researcher at the National University of Seoul, South Korea. Major Interests are related to post-Keynesian macroeconomics, technological catch-up strategies, economic development, administration and public sector economics, and political economy.

**Vincent Jerald Ramos** is a Ph.D. candidate at the DYNAMICS of Demography, Democracy, and Public Policy Research Training Group at the Hertie School Berlin and Humboldt University Berlin. His current research revolves around nonstandard employment and family formation outcomes during periods of crisis.

**Sankari Reddy** is currently a practising attorney admitted in both Botswana and South Africa and sole director of Sankari Reddy Attorneys based in South Africa. She holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree, and a Bachelor of Laws degree from the University of Pretoria; as well as a Master's in Comparative Law, Economics and Finance (with distinction) from the International University College of Turin; and is currently completing her board exams at the Chartered Governance Institute of Southern Africa. She has also been an entrepreneur for a number of years consulting across Africa in a variety of sectors, such as medical tourism. Her research focus includes ESG, global food law, and international taxation. She is a member of Young Scholars Initiative (YSI) Finance, Law and Economics working group and the Non-Profit Organisation, SABWiL (South African Black Women in Law).

**Javiera Rojas** holds a Master's in Social Sciences from Universidad de Chile. She is a doctoral researcher at the University of Buenos Aires. Her research interests include agrarian rents, environmental social conflicts, and water disputes in the South American agrarian sector.

**Luisa Scarcella** is a postdoctoral researcher at the DigiTax Centre of the University of Antwerp (Belgium); and she was recently awarded a Postdoctoral fellowship by the Austrian Academy of Sciences. In 2020, Luisa obtained her Ph.D. with distinction from the Karl-Franzens University of Graz (Austria) with a dissertation focusing on the VAT treatment of cryptocurrencies and in 2015, she graduated in Law from the University of Udine (Italy).

**Christopher Pomwene Shafuda** is a Lecturer in the School of Management, Governance and Economics at the University of Namibia. His

area of research includes public policy, public financial management, welfare, and development economics. He is currently working on informal rules and formal constraints and how they affect individual choices and development processes.

**He Simeng** is a post-graduate student at Tsinghua Law School, majoring in comparative law. She has a Bachelor's degree in Law from Sun Yat-Sen University and has been an exchange student at the National Tsinghua University in Taiwan. Her interest in the constitutional right on property led her to do projects with the keywords: Chinese traditional contract rule, land regulation, administrative law, and property right, from historical and comparative perspective.

**Madhu Sivaraman** is a policy research professional and Chevening FCO UK Scholar with 11 years of experience in the domains of industrial policies, urban transport, governance & regulations, and data policies. Madhu is currently working as Policy Manager at LEAD at Krea University handling various evidence-based research initiatives under the MSME vertical. Prior to this, Madhu was a Senior Policy Expert at Ernst & Young LLP advising the State Government of Uttar Pradesh in Khadi and Village Industry Board. Madhu also worked as Director (Research and Projects) at Centre for Public Policy Research (CPPR), a think tank based in Kerala, where he was involved in a multi-disciplinary role engaging in projects and initiatives in urban transport, city governance, livelihood, and education.

**Aleksandar Stojanović** is an assistant professor of practice in Economics at New York University Shanghai. He holds doctoral degrees in economics (Collegio Carlo Alberto) and law (University of Ghent). His research combines law and political economy, monetary economics, and finance. Currently studies China's cross-border payment system and money markets.

**Lauren Sweger-Hollingsworth** is a scholar of political economy, with a special focus on the history of thought and environmental ethics. She received a Bachelor of science from Portland State University, majoring in quantitative economics and minoring in mathematics, and a Master's of art from the New School for Social Research in global political economy and finance. She is currently completing additional graduate studies in comparative law, economics, and finance at the International University College of Turin, while also in the applications process for doctoral

programmes. As an undergraduate, five of Lauren's working papers were published by her university's library and, upon graduation from the New School, Lauren was selected by the Dean to receive the Outstanding Master of Arts Graduate Award.

**Annalisa Tassi** is a Ph.D. student at the FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, Chair of Public Finance. Her main research interests are public economics, labour economics, and European Affairs. She is currently part of a project on VAT.

**Javiera Toro** is a lawyer who holds a Bachelor's in Law and Social Sciences from Universidad de Chile. Her specialization is in administrative and regulatory Law. Her main research area is social rights. In addition, as an activist and politician, she currently (2022) serves as Minister of National Assets from the government of Gabriel Boric.

**Ito Ubi-Abai** obtained his Ph.D. in economics from the Faculty of Social Science, University of Uyo, Nigeria. Ito serves as a Research Assistant to a Professor of Economics, and performs a supervisory role at the University's Consultancy firm. His areas of expertise are Development Economics, Public Sector Economics, Environmental Economics, Health Economics, and Econometrics. His research interests are contemporary issues in development that include, but not limited to, Public Policy Analysis, Community Welfare Analysis, Climate Change Economic Analysis, Infrastructure Development, and Economic Modelling. He is currently undertaking two research projects aside the Comparative COVID-19 study project. One is investigating the municipal water management and access to water services in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. The other is investigating the distribution pattern of food relief materials to households in a Nigerian community since the outbreak of the COVID-19 disease.

**Weichen Wang** is a Ph.D. researcher at the University of Bath. His research interests include growth theory, automation, and Covid pandemic. He participated in the Extended Problem Solving Workshop (EPSW) under the OECD problem: Effectiveness of Covid policy interventions in different countries and lessons for the future. His research interests include growth theory and automation.

**Xinyi Xu** studies at the China University of Political Science and Law. She is major in private international law, especially in international commercial arbitration.

**An Zhai** is currently pursuing an LL.M. at the University College London (specialized in public law). He gained his Bachelor's degree in law from the China University of Political Science and Law. His main academic concerns are public law and political philosophy, with a focus on fundamental rights and judicial review. He is one of the LL.M. editors of the *UCL Journal of Law and Jurisprudence*.

# LIST OF FIGURES

## **Law and Political Economy of China’s Early Pandemic Response: Limited Economic Support and Insulation**

Fig. 1	Government spending ( <i>Source</i> Statista)	27
Fig. 2	People’s bank of China balance sheet ( <i>Source</i> Dan Neilsen, <a href="https://www.soonparted.co">https://www.soonparted.co</a> )	28
Fig. 3	Interbank lending rate ( <i>Source</i> Wind)	33
Fig. 4	China’s gross domestic product ( <i>Source</i> Wind)	39
Fig. 5	Stock market performance ( <i>Source</i> Wind)	39

## **Are Militarized Lockdowns the Great Equalizer? Evidence from the Philippines**

Fig. 1	Organizational chart of the Philippines’ COVID response team ( <i>Source</i> The Philippine National COVID-19 Vaccination Deployment Plan)	67
Fig. 2	Growth and Unemployment Time Series of the Philippines, 1991–2020 ( <i>Source</i> Authors’ plot of the World Development Indicators)	74
Fig. 3	Quarterly unemployment rate ( <i>Source</i> Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas [Central Bank of the Philippines])	75

## **First 100 Days of Hungarian COVID-19 Policies**

Fig. 1	Economic losses and excess mortality, January 2020 to March 2021 (Wang et al. 2022)	148
--------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

**Reinforcement of Economic Inequality and Extra  
Economic Power—Law and Political Economy of the US  
Pandemic Policy Response**

Fig. 1	US business cycle ( <i>Source</i> FRED)	488
Fig. 2	Fiscal policy ( <i>Source</i> FRED)	488
Fig. 3	Monetary policy ( <i>Source</i> FRED)	489
Fig. 4	Fed balance sheet ( <i>Source</i> FRED)	490
Fig. 5	Federal tax receipts ( <i>Source</i> FRED)	490
Fig. 6	Income inequality ( <i>Source</i> CFR)	491
Fig. 7	Racial wealth gap ( <i>Source</i> CFR)	492
Fig. 8	Stock market performance ( <i>Source</i> FRED)	493
Fig. 9	Treasury market performance ( <i>Source</i> FRED)	494
Fig. 10	GDP recovery ( <i>Source</i> FRED)	498
Fig. 11	Expansion of the financial sector ( <i>Source</i> FRED)	498
Fig. 12	Non-banking financial institutions ( <i>Source</i> FRED)	498

# LIST OF TABLES

## **Are Militarized Lockdowns the Great Equalizer? Evidence from the Philippines**

Table 1	Summary of pre-pandemic executive orders	61
Table 2	Summary measures of Philippine health care utilization and financing	63
Table 3	Highlights of the Philippines' pandemic response	65
Table 4	Composition of the IATF-EID	68
Table 5	Summary of Social Amelioration Programs (SAP) in the Philippines for COVID-response	71
Table 6	Employment indicators for the youth	77

## **Nigeria's Political, Economic, and Social Dynamics in a Pandemic Era**

Table 1	Summary of Nigeria's economy highlighting gross domestic product, unemployment rate, and foreign direct investment	250
---------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

## **First 100 days of Brazilian COVID-19 Policy**

Table 1	Agreements between employers and employees divided by sector	418
---------	--------------------------------------------------------------	-----



# Global Covid-19 Policy Response Between Law and Political Economy

*Aleksandar Stojanović, Luisa Scarcella,  
and Christina R. Mosalagae*

It is probable that in the time to come, historians and commentators will consider the Covid-19 pandemic as one of the defining moments—if not *the* defining moment—of the twenty-first century. Its exceptional importance comes both from the tragic human cost and economic damage that it entailed; as well as from the policy responses that governments around the world mounted to overcome the challenge. States of exception led to the establishment of new types of rules and orders that will affect the lives of generations to come. In that sense, the pandemic is a deeply political event. It is also an event that behind an apparent commonality hides significant diversity. At the onset, societies around the world

---

A. Stojanović (✉)  
New York University in Shanghai, Shanghai, China  
e-mail: [as14902@nyu.edu](mailto:as14902@nyu.edu)

L. Scarcella  
University of Antwerp, Antwerpen, Belgium  
e-mail: [luisa.scarcella@uantwerpen.be](mailto:luisa.scarcella@uantwerpen.be)

C. R. Mosalagae  
University of Turin, Turin, Italy  
e-mail: [christinarephilwe.mosalagae@unito.it](mailto:christinarephilwe.mosalagae@unito.it)

were following different albeit interrelated governance models. As the pandemic spread, their institutional environments were affected by significantly different policy choices. As the dangers began to diminish—or the presence of the ever changing virus became the “new normal”—these choices were incorporated into the pre-existing institutional reality in different ways.

This collection of essays is an attempt to account for that diversity to assess the significance that the event of the pandemic might have for societies around the world in the future. In that regard, this book is one among many contributions that have appeared in the recent period. What makes it specific is its synthetic law-and-political-economy approach. Our project started in March 2020, when we gathered a group of friends and colleagues to think about how the legal foundations of policy making will affect and be affected by the specific political economy conditions across different jurisdictions. That conversation led to the creation of a set of questionnaires (we provide here in the Appendix) that addressed the topics we thought were particularly relevant in this regard: (1) state of exception (2) surveillance and compliance (3) legal-financial architecture (4) financial relief for labor (5) financial relief for business and (6) alternative policy proposals made by social movements, activist groups, and civil society. Over one hundred scholars from forty jurisdictions used the framework provided by the questionnaire to evidence the pandemic policy efforts focusing on the interplay between the legal form and that of political-economic conditions existing on the ground during the first 100 days. Their rich and illuminative contributions were published online at <http://globalresponsescovid19.com>. Once the reports were published, we asked our collaborators to consider: how the law-and-political-economy trajectories across these different policy domains interacted with each other; how the emergency rules invoked were used to surveil and enforce the ongoing limitation of rights and imposition of obligations, while providing economic relief across sectors and social classes; to what extent was this process participatory and solidarity-based, or had it faced resistance, and in what ways had different power formations interacted in the process; and finally, what were the outcomes of this process—in particular in reproducing and exasperating existing inequality and distribution of privileges. The fifteen chapters before you are the outcome of that effort. The rest of this introduction will provide a brief survey of existing comparative literature on the pandemic response policy discussing the

specificity of this contribution in that context and a short summary of the chapters.

\* \* \*

Since 2020, the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic and the reactions of governments around the world has become a pervasive topic of research. The policy responses to the pandemic crisis have been as diverse as the areas impacted. This diversity is well represented in literature. Among the studies conducted over the past two years, many approached specific areas of inquiry from a global perspective while others offered an overall analysis focusing on a single jurisdiction or region.

Some of the studies are based on empirical databases. These studies mainly, although not exclusively, focus on economic aspects of the pandemic response policy. This is the case of the Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker which continuously updated information on policy measures adopted starting from 1 January 2020 in more than 180 countries related to closure and containment, health and economic policy (Hale et al., 2020). In the Oxford study, policy responses are recorded on ordinal or continuous scales based on 19 policy areas; and its aim is to allow researchers and policymakers to empirically explore the effects of policy responses on the spread of COVID-19 cases and deaths, as well as on economic and social welfare. This dataset has also been used by other scholars complementary to other studies and datasets. This is the case of Kubinec et al. who by combining the Oxford dataset with the CoronaNet COVID-19 Government Response Event Dataset, using a Bayesian time-varying measurement model, presented six new indices that measure the intensity of government responses to COVID-19 within distinct policy domains: social distancing, schools, businesses, health monitoring, health resources and mask wearing from 1 January 2020 to 14 January 2021, for over 180 countries (Kubinec et al., 2021). Another analysis of the economic responses to the COVID-19 pandemic has been published by Elgin et al. who conducted a comprehensive review of different economic policy measures adopted by 166 countries as a response to the COVID-19 pandemic and created a large database including fiscal, monetary, and exchange rate-related measures (Elgin et al., 2020). At the same time, other publications have surveyed the developing and rapidly growing literature on the economic consequences of COVID-19 and the governmental responses, aiming at synthesizing

their insights and focusing on aspects related to labor, health, gender, discrimination, and the environment (Brodeur et al., 2021). Another empirically based study, published by Petherick et al. (2021) focuses on the so-called “pandemic fatigue” and examines whether there was a gradual reduction in adherence to protective behaviors against COVID-19 from March through December 2020, as hypothesized based on the fatigue expectations. Similarly to the Oxford database, the geographical scope of this study is quite broad.

A significant number of contributions address the policy process in terms of conditions that affect it and the outcomes it produces. Policy responses to the COVID-19 pandemic have also been analyzed through the lenses of recommendations from standard welfare economics looking at the reasons behind their adoption (Boettke and Powell, 2021). Similarly, the reasons behind the adoption of policies have been the focus of public health researchers (Greer et al., 2020). While the drivers to the adoption of such policies are also present in all the chapters of this book, this is just one of the important components of the content of the book. Another set of studies has looked at specific consequences deriving from governmental responses to the COVID-19 crisis. For instance, one study has investigated the relationship between various economic policy responses to the COVID-19 pandemic (liquidity support, prudential policies, borrower support, asset purchase, and policy rate decisions) and the growth of nonperforming loans (NPLs) in 2020 across 47 economies (Gholipour and Arjomandi, 2021). Other studies have looked at the role played by Central Banks (Mosser, 2020) in relation to their independence (Elgin et al., 2021), or at the measures to restrict exports of medical products adopted by some governments such as China, the European Union and the United States (Hoekman et al., 2020); and non-pharmaceutical interventions (Bo et al., 2020). Moreover, beyond the economic aspects, other studies have addressed surveillance issues arising from measures adopted during the COVID-19 pandemic crisis (Greitens, 2020; Newell, 2021). Analysis of selected topics of interest have also been conducted by authors who have assessed the economic and health costs of COVID-19 and policy responses to COVID-19, looking both at developed and developing countries (Kaplan et al., 2021).

Finally, in terms of geographical representation of research concerning policy responses to the COVID-19 crisis, some authors have focused their analysis on single jurisdictions. This is, for instance, the case of an article analyzing the implications of the COVID-19 economic crisis

for macroeconomic policy, and the roles of the State and the Fed and monetary policy in the US economy, in light of the 2008 Financial Crisis (Vernengo and Nabar-Bhaduri, 2020). Attention has also been given to developing countries from a macro-economic policy perspective (Loayza and Pennings, 2020). Furthermore, an edited book titled “COVID-19 in Developing Economies” has also been published in 2020 (Djankov and Panizza, 2020).

\* \* \*

This collection contributes to the existing literature by focusing on the relationship within the set of significant domains of policy response.

A broad law and political economy approach pursued by authors in this volume relates and yet diverges from the existing literature as it combines descriptive statistics with legal analysis across different legal domains and different types of qualitative analysis. In addition it is specific as it considers pandemic-related policy interventions within the existing institutional and policy context, both in developed and developing economies.

The premise of this collection of essays is that prior to the pandemic the mainstream trajectory in policy was defined by either a jurisdiction specific neoliberal trajectory or in some instances a more “statist” approach. When the pandemic occurred states were at varying levels of ill-preparedness to cope with a health crisis of this magnitude and within a specific set of power relations. Consequently, the exceptionality of the pandemic tipped the existing balance in a specific direction. For the purpose of this introduction we can distinguish three themes that run across the different contributions: the deepening of existing inequalities, general economic downturn, and political tensions. It should be noted that all of the contributions display all three of these themes in varying degrees but each contribution emphasizes a specific theme more than the others.

The first theme that was evident from the contributions was that the pandemic deepened existing inequalities, especially in countries that had already been struggling (in varying degrees) with reducing the socio-economic disparities within their societies. The chapters that exemplify this theme most prominently are South Africa and Kenya.

The contribution from South Africa, co-authored by Zita Hansangule, Khensani Hlongwane, Christina R. Mosalagae, Kelello Nkadimeng, and Sankari Reddy, discusses the impact of COVID-19 on existing inequalities

in the South African context. In the chapter, inequality is contextualized firstly as a product of South Africa's history, the effect of three centuries of colonialism followed by over four decades of Apartheid (1948–1994) which was a system of legislated segregation, that privileged certain racial groups over others; and secondly as a result of the adoption of neoliberal policies in the fledgling years of the new constitutional democracy from 1994. Moreover, prior to the pandemic, in addition to displaying high levels of inequality based on the Gini coefficient, South Africa was facing high levels of unemployment, stagnant growth, and political tension. Although the South African government was commendably quick to respond to the crisis, the economic and educational losses during the pandemic, as well as a stretched government budget, have only served to entrench socio-economic inequality deeper into South African society, which needs to be urgently addressed for the future.

Similarly, the Kenyan contribution, co-authored by Muriuki Muriungi and Naomi Ngina, assesses Kenya's COVID-19 policy responses with a view to exploring the inequality dimensions of these responses. The chapter finds that the majority of COVID-19 responses exacerbated inequalities, owing to the fact that policymakers overlooked the potential socio-economic impact of the said responses. The chapter further argues that the policy responses, taken together, have partially challenged the dominant neoliberal framework, at least in so far that they involved the state taking a more proactive role. The chapter then advocates for mainstreaming these responses beyond the post-COVID era.

It should be noted that the chapters from the United States, United Kingdom, and Germany also touch on the issue of inequality, with reference to a general increase of inequality in the United States; socio-economic inequalities in the United Kingdom; and the lack of equal burden sharing as a result of the pandemic in the German instance. Notwithstanding, the United Kingdom and Germany displayed the second theme more prominently, while the United States reflected the third theme more prominently.

The second theme, that is perhaps the most pervasive, is the notable concerns regarding an economic downturn experienced by countries across the jurisdictions. More notably, the countries that raise important issues regarding the future of economic policy in a post-pandemic era are the United Kingdom, Hungary, Germany, Namibia, and Zimbabwe.

The contribution from the United Kingdom, authored by Arletta Gorecka, discusses the implication of the COVID-19 pandemic on the

United Kingdom and its economy. The COVID-19 pandemic occurred when the United Kingdom was in the wake of Brexit. This chapter notes the divergence of the pandemic policy from the previous neoliberal approach; and considers pandemic policy in respect of surveillance, data, and marketization. Moreover, this chapter notes areas of concern in respect of economic growth, health, and education. It concludes by summarizing different aspects in the gradual policy shift from neoliberal consideration into more socially oriented policy considerations.

In contrast, the chapter from Hungary, co-authored by *Ádám Kerényi* and *Weichen Wang*, argues that economically due to the relatively low level of GDP loss during the pandemic, there was little incentive for the Hungarian government to spend heavily in non-health sectors to save the economy. Nonetheless, the Hungarian context is important to pay attention to in respect of its geo-political position and economic policy decisions post-pandemic.

The contribution from Germany, co-authored by *Michael Müller* and *Annalisa Tassi* in collaboration with *Niklas Döbbling* and *Friederike Hildebrandt*, illustrates the tension between the need for swift response and the pressure on public finances. This chapter also illustrated that the economic burden of the pandemic was not equally shared. Therefore, this chapter proposes the development of a new sustainable framework for intervention and burden sharing in a post-pandemic era.

In the same vein, the chapter from Namibia authored by *Christopher Shafuda Pomwene* predicts that the road to economic recovery will be long and bumpy in light of the ad-hoc policy measures adopted during the pandemic. This chapter argues that the consequences of the extensive use of these unprecedented measures on the economy were not favorable. Furthermore, the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic threatened the already trailing government efforts to end poverty, inequality, and unemployment.

The contribution from Zimbabwe, authored by *Zvikomborero Chadambuka*, highlights the impact of pandemic policy on countries with a large informal economy. The implementation of economic restructuring programs promoted by multilateral development agencies during the 1990s created a large informal economy in Zimbabwe. Moreover, the policy measures taken to combat the pandemic had negative effects on businesses, employees, and those working in the informal economy. This chapter focuses on the reality of those in the informal economy and the promulgation of pandemic policy which tended to exclude