

# Advances in Agricultural Systems Modeling 10

Transdisciplinary Research, Synthesis, and Applications: Series Editor, Lajpat R. Ahuja

## Models, Processes and Their Interactions in Cropping Systems

Challenges for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century



Lajpat R. Ahuja, Kurt C. Kersebaum,  
Ole Wendroth, Editors



**WILEY**

# Table of Contents

[Cover](#)

[Series Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Copyright Page](#)

[Preface](#)

[Overview of Modeling processes and Their Interactions in Cropping Systems](#)

[Process-Level Components of Cropping Systems and Their Interactions](#)

[References](#)

[1 Water and Chemical Transport in the Soil Matrix and Macropores](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Description of the Soil Profile](#)

[Estimation of Soil Hydraulic Properties](#)

[Vertical Infiltration of Water into the Soil Matrix](#)

[Flow into Tile Drains During Infiltration](#)

[Transport of a Chemical Within the Soil Matrix during Infiltration](#)

[Transfer of the Chemical to Overland Flow during Rainfall](#)

[Transport of Overland Water and Chemical in Solution through Macropores](#)

[Redistribution of Water and Chemicals after Infiltration](#)

[Exercises on Evaluation of the Water and Chemical Transport Model](#)

[Areas of Further Research](#)

[References](#)

## [2 Heat Transport, Water Balance, Snowpack and Soil Freezing](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Surface Energy and Water Fluxes](#)

[Snow Processes](#)

[Heat and Water Relations During Soil Freezing and Thawing](#)

[Example Applications](#)

[Future Research and Modeling Needs](#)

[References](#)

## [3 Evapotranspiration, Transpiration, and Evaporation Processes and Modeling in the Soil-Residue-Canopy System](#)

[Descriptions of ET,  \$T\$ , and  \$E\$  Modeling Frameworks](#)

[Applications of ET Models](#)

[Comparative Suitability of ET Models](#)

[Agreement Among the Well-Established ET,  \$E\$ , and  \$T\$  Measurements](#)

[Implications of Failure to Account for Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in PET Formulations](#)

[Modeling of Biological Control on ET: Surface Resistance](#)

[Transitioning from Meteorologically Based to Plant-Based Estimation of Crop Transpiration](#)

[Concluding Remarks and Future Recommendations](#)

[Appendix Notations and Symbols](#)

[References](#)

## 4 Advances and Improvements in Modeling Water Fluxes in Vegetation Canopy and their Relation to Photosynthesis

Introduction

Historical Overview of Water Fluxes in Vegetation Canopy

Main Components of Soil-Plant-Atmosphere Continuum Models

Plant Regulation of Water Use

Photosynthesis-Transpiration Relationship

Plant Response to Water Stress in Extreme Climates

Broad Environmental Feedbacks

Concluding Remarks and Future Recommendations

References

## 5 Soil Organic Matter and Carbon-Nitrogen Transformations

Carbon and Nitrogen Pools

Fundamental Process Rate Equations

Model Testing, Verification, and Calibration

Field Tests of OMNI in the RZWQM

Future Work on C-N Transformations

References

## 6 Equilibrium Soil Chemistry Submodels

Introduction

Chemical Equilibrium Relationships

Soil Chemistry Equations

Model Testing and Validation

References

## 7 Pesticide Dissipation and Fate in Agricultural Settings

[Introduction](#)

[Pesticide Application, Drift, Efficiency, and Retention](#)

[Pesticide Uptake by and Dissipation in and on Plants](#)

[Pesticide Volatilization from Plants and Soil](#)

[Pesticide Wash-Off from Foliage and Crop Residues: The Willis Equation](#)

[Pesticide Sorption by Soil](#)

[Pesticide Degradation in Soils](#)

[Pesticide Losses in Water: Runoff and Leaching](#)

[Selected Other Pesticide Studies and Trends, 2000–2020](#)

[Conclusions and Research Needs](#)

[Personal Notes and Acknowledgments](#)

[References](#)

[8 Coupling Machine Learning with APSIM Model Improves the Evaluation of Climate Extremes Impact on Wheat Yield in Australia](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Materials and Methods](#)

[Results](#)

[Discussion](#)

[Conclusions and Limitations](#)

[References](#)

[9 Simulating Maize Crop Processes in DSSAT](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Simulated Processes](#)

[Model Inputs](#)

[Model Performance](#)

[Future Research Needs](#)

[Conclusion](#)

[References](#)

[10 Simulation of Climate Change Effects on  
Evapotranspiration, Photosynthesis, Crop Growth, and  
Yield Processes in Crop Models](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Model Approaches for Climate Change Impact  
Assessment](#)

[Final Remarks](#)

[References](#)

[11 Quantifying and Modeling Management Effects on  
Soil Properties](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Predicting Effects of Tillage and Reconsolidation](#)

[Effects of Wheel-Track Compaction](#)

[Long-Term No-Till and Crop Residue Effects](#)

[Dynamics of Soil Structure and Water and Mass  
Transport](#)

[Conclusions and Outlook](#)

[References](#)

[12 Integrating Models with Field Experiments to  
Enhance Research](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Modeling Case Studies](#)

[Summary and Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Index](#)

[End User License Agreement](#)

# List of Tables

## Chapter 1

[Table 1.1 Cumulative Amount of Water Entering the Macropores, Other Water B...](#)

## Chapter 2

[Table 2.1 Climate, Vegetation, and Soil Properties for the Four Study Sites...](#)

## Chapter 3

[Table 3.1 Combination-Based Evaporation \(E\)\\_\(or Evapotranspiration \[ET\]\) Mo...](#)

[Table 3.2 Values of Equivalent Surface Resistance for Selected Crops Calcul...](#)

## Chapter 5

[Table 5.1 OMNI State Variables](#)

[Table 5.2 Correction Factors faer and fanaer for Aerobic and Anaerobic Effe...](#)

## Chapter 8

[Table 8.1 A Brief Description of the 29 Study Sites, Including Location, So...](#)

[Table 8.2 List of 34 Global Climate Models \(GCMs\) Under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 F...](#)

[Table 8.3 List of Extreme Climate Events used in this Study](#)

## Chapter 9

[Table 9.1 Development Phases and Parameters Controlling the Duration of eac...](#)

[Table 9.2 Development Phases and Parameters Controlling the Duration of eac...](#)



[Table 9.3 Cultivar Coefficients Required by CERES-Maize Version 4.8](#)

[Table 9.4 Cultivar Coefficients Required by CSM-IXIM Version 4.8](#)

## List of Illustrations

### Chapter 1

[Figure 1.1 The three transport grids used by the Root Zone Water Quality Mod...](#)

[Figure 1.2 An example of the soil hydraulic property functions \(soil water c...](#)

[Figure 1.3 Scaling approach used to estimate the soil water content—suction ...](#)

[Figure 1.4 Movement of water during infiltration. The wetting front moves do...](#)

[Figure 1.5 An illustration of a soil profile with a high water table and til...](#)

[Figure 1.6 Variables used in calculating the effective saturated conductivit...](#)

[Figure 1.7 Water content and chemical concentration distributions in soil af...](#)

[Figure 1.8 Comparison of soil moisture levels and chemical concentrations wi...](#)

[Figure 1.9 Comparison of observed and simulated soil water content distribut...](#)

[Figure 1.10 Comparison of observed and simulated soil Br– concentration dist...](#)

[Figure 1.11 Comparison of observed and simulated soil water content distribu...](#)



[Figure 1.12 Comparison of observed and simulated soil Br– concentration dist...](#)

[Figure 1.13 Comparison of observed and simulated soil water content distribu...](#)

[Figure 1.14 Comparison of observed and simulated soil Br– concentration dist...](#)

## Chapter 2

[Figure 2.1 \(a\) Observed average daily air temperature at the high-elevation ...](#)

[Figure 2.2 Measured and simulated hourly \(a\) snow depth, \(b\) 5-cm soil liqui...](#)

[Figure 2.3 Measured and simulated hourly \(a\) snow depth, \(b\) 5-cm soil liqui...](#)

[Figure 2.4 \(a\) Observed average daily air temperature and hourly simulated a...](#)

[Figure 2.5 Measured and simulated hourly \(a\) snow depth, \(b\) 5-cm soil tempe...](#)

[Figure 2.6 Measured and simulated hourly \(a\) snow depth, \(b\) 5-cm soil tempe...](#)

[Figure 2.7 Hourly simulated and measured \(a\) 5-cm soil temperature on a stee...](#)

## Chapter 3

[Figure 3.1 \(a\) Rate of change of low-intensity tillage fractional area at th...](#)

[Figure 3.2 Side-by-side no-till \(NT\) \(upper picture\) and disk-till \(DT\) \(bot...](#)

[Figure 3.3 \(a\) Location and surface cover conditions \(bare soil and residue ...](#)

[Figure 3.4 Evapotranspiration \(ET\) responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> \(+200 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>...](#)

[Figure 3.5 A simplified representation of the \(bulk\) surface \(rs\) and aerody...](#)

[Figure 3.6 Schematic representation of a one-dimensional description of ener...](#)

[Figure 3.7 A schematic representation of the resistances in the extended Shu...](#)

[Figure 3.8 Boxplots of daily maize evapotranspiration \(ETc\), where the lower...](#)

[Figure 3.9 Daily soybean evapotranspiration rate or ETc \(mm d<sup>-1</sup>\) estimated b...](#)

[Figure 3.10 Comparison between measured and simulated alfalfa-reference maiz...](#)

[Figure 3.11 Comparisons between the Shuttleworth-Wallace-modeled and measure...](#)

[Figure 3.12 Relationship between canopy resistance \(rc\) and primary microcli...](#)

[Figure 3.13 Crop coefficient \(Kc\) curves for \(a\) maize during 2001 and \(b\) s...](#)

[Figure 3.14 Values of the crop coefficient \(crop factor\) for sugarcane durin...](#)

[Figure 3.15 An evaluation of eight independent measurement combinations of e...](#)

[Figure 3.16 Future vs. historical stomatal conductance for the GFDL-ESM2M cl...](#)

[Figure 3.17 Scatterplot of change in non-water-stressed evapotranspiration \(...\)](#)

[Figure 3.18 Physiological prediction of transpiration amounts versus observe...](#)

## Chapter 4

[Figure 4.1 Diurnal pattern of the surface radiation balance components \(see ...](#)

[Figure 4.2 The upper graph shows the surface layer \(between the heights  \$z\_s\$  a...](#)

[Figure 4.3 General shape of the dimensionless ratio,  \$LE/EP\$  as a function of ...](#)

[Figure 4.4 Schematic diagram showing path of water from the soil to the atmo...](#)

[Figure 4.5 Schematic representation of the resistance-capacitance network us...](#)

[Figure 4.6 Schematic of resistances and driving forces for simulating water ...](#)

[Figure 4.7 Water uptake simulated by MAIZSIM using an Ohm's law analog. The ...](#)

[Figure 4.8 Typical temporal change in leaf water potential,  \$\psi\_{leaf}\$ , predicted...](#)

[Figure 4.9 Typical temporal change in leaf water potential,  \$\psi\_c\$ , predicted by...](#)

[Figure 4.10 Relationship between intercepted solar radiation and biomass inc...](#)

[Figure 4.11 Net photosynthesis as a function of Rubisco and  \$CO\_2\$  availability...](#)

[Figure 4.12 Major pathways of water stress mitigation in plants. The descrip...](#)

[Figure 4.13 Tiger bush in Niger with indication of the three zones found in ...](#)

## Chapter 5

[Figure 5.1 Aerobic decay of organic matter \(OM\) in the OMNI sub-model.](#)

[Figure 5.2 Aerobic and anaerobic processes in the OMNI sub-model; BM is micr...](#)

[Figure 5.3 Mineralization of the fast residue pool under aerobic conditions.](#)

[Figure 5.4 Immobilization of mineral N under aerobic conditions.](#)

[Figure 5.5 Denitrification under anaerobic conditions.](#)

[Figure 5.6 Calibrated versus observed decay of wheat residue; OM is organic ...](#)

[Figure 5.7 Calibrated versus observed decay of corn residue; OM is organic m...](#)

[Figure 5.8 Calibrated versus observed decay of soybean residue; OM is organi...](#)

## Chapter 7

[Figure 7.1 Location of applied pesticide deposits, dissipation or degradatio...](#)

[Figure 7.2 Wind tunnel experiment for simulating field volatilization of pes...](#)

[Figure 7.3 Base 10 log-log correlation between vapor pressure \(VP\) and molar...](#)

[Figure 7.4 Equilibrium \(K\) and kinetic \(k\) processes within and between the ...](#)

[Figure 7.5 Ranges of fractions of bound \(unextractable\) residues of pesticid...](#)

[Figure 7.6 Mass balance of  \$^{14}\text{C}\$ -chlorotoluron in nine soil samples adsorbed f...](#)

[Figure 7.7 Percentage of weakly to moderately sorbed pesticides \( \$K\_{oc} < 1,000...\$](#)

[Figure 7.8 Pond food web structure showing representative species in the dif...](#)

[Figure 7.9 Minze Leistra of Wageningen University sampling groundwater in a ...](#)

[Figure 7.10 Annemieke Farenhorst and students at the University of Manitoba:...](#)

## Chapter 8

[Figure 8.1 Locations of the 29 study sites in the New South Wales wheat belt...](#)

[Figure 8.2 Diagram of the input and output per model for the APSIM + RF \(or ...](#)

[Figure 8.3 Comparison of observed and APSIM simulated values of grain yield ...](#)

[Figure 8.4 Comparison of observed, APSIM simulated, APSIM + MLR simulated, a...](#)

[Figure 8.5 Number of extremes climate events occurred from 2008 to 2017. Val...](#)

[Figure 8.6 Partial dependence of wheat yield change on extreme climate event...](#)

[Figure 8.7 Projected changes in simulated wheat yield from the APSIM model a...](#)

## Chapter 9

[Figure 9.1 CERES-Maize and CSM-IXIM simulated leaf area index, shoot biomass...](#)

## Chapter 10

[Figure 10.1 General relations between main climate change variables, water a...](#)

[Figure 10.2 Temperature response of different crop models for biomass produc...](#)

[Figure 10.3 Temperature response \(unitless scaling factor\) of different crop...](#)

[Figure 10.4 Differences of canopy temperature  \$T\_c\$  between ambient and elevate...](#)

[Figure 10.5 CO<sub>2</sub> response functions for \(a\) RUE resp. biomass production and ...](#)

## Chapter 11

[Figure 11.1 Relationship among soil horizons and the primary and secondary t...](#)

[Figure 11.2 Measured and predicted \(Method 2\) soil water retention curves fo...](#)

[Figure 11.3 Log-log linear relationship between saturated hydraulic conducti...](#)

[Figure 11.4 Ratio of predicted compacted to non-compacted initial saturated ...](#)

[Figure 11.5 Soil water retention curves for three Iowa soils for no-track in...](#)

[Figure 11.6 Comparison of experimental data and one-dimensional simulation r...](#)

[Figure 11.7 Precipitation storage efficiency versus \(a\) tillage method based...](#)

## Chapter 12

[Figure 12.1 Water balance components sorted by rainfall amount during \(a\) so...](#)

[Figure 12.2 Simulated annual deep percolation in a rained corn-soybean rotat...](#)

[Figure 12.3 Simulated evaporation \(E\), actual evapotranspiration \(ET\), grain...](#)

[Figure 12.4 Simulated daily average soil water storage in the 1.8-m profile ...](#)

[Figure 12.5 Simulated soil total C to a 1.8-m depth from cornfield with the ...](#)

[Figure 12.6 Measured and simulated soil organic carbon at soil depths of 0-1...](#)

[Figure 12.7 Simulated mean annual percolated nitrogen, nitrogen in runoff, d...](#)

[Figure 12.8 Impact of tillage and poultry litter on soil organic carbon at 0...](#)

[Figure 12.9 Measured corn grain yield in NT and CT systems from 2009 to 2017...](#)

[Figure 12.10 Measured soil \(a\) bulk density \(BD\) on September 23, 2016, \(b\)...](#)

[Figure 12.11 Simulated differences between NT and CT \(NNT-NCT, NNT is N unde...](#)

[Figure 12.12 Measured and simulated soil temperature \(a\) and water differenc...](#)

[Figure 12.13 Measured and simulated corn grain yields between NT and CT syst...](#)

[Figure 12.14 Simulated impacts of climate change \(changes in temperature, ra...](#)

[Figure 12.15 Simulated impacts of climate change \(changes in temperature, ra...](#)

[Figure 12.16 Comparison between the simulated irrigated seed cotton yield un...](#)



[Figure 12.17 Comparison between the simulated rainfed seed cotton yield unde...](#)

[Figure 12.18 Comparison between the simulated rainfed seed cotton yield unde...](#)

[Figure 12.19 Mean simulated irrigated \(a\) and rainfed \(b\) seed cotton yields...](#)

[Figure 12.20 Relationships between mean growing season temperature and simul...](#)

[Figure 12.21 Crop water productivity \(CWP\) of \(a\) corn and \(b\) soybean under...](#)

[Figure 12.22 Average daily simulated soil water storage \(at 0-1.8 m depth of...](#)

[Figure 12.23 Average daily simulated soil water storage \(at 0-1.8 m depth of...](#)

[Figure 12.24 Simulated seed cotton yields of normal \(NP\) and early plantings...](#)

## EDITORS

Lajpat R. Ahuja  
Kurt C. Kersebaum  
Ole Wendroth

## CONTRIBUTORS

**Lajpat R. Ahuja (Retired)**, Supervisory Research Soil Scientist [retired], USDA-ARS, Agricultural Systems Research, Fort Collins, Colorado; **Saseendran S. Anapalli**, Research Soil Scientist, USDA-ARS, Sustainable Water Management Research Unit, Stoneville, Mississippi; **Gary Feng**, Research Soil Scientist, Genetics and Sustainable Agriculture Research Unit, USDA-ARS, Mississippi State, Mississippi; **Puyu Feng**, College of Land Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China; **Gerald N. Flerchinger**, USDA-ARS, Northwest Watershed Research Center, Boise, Idaho; **Timothy R. Green**, USDA-ARS Water Management and Systems Research Unit, Fort Collins, Colorado; **Gerrit Hoogenboom**, Institute for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida; **Kelin Hu**, College of Land Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China; **Suat Irmak, Ph.D.**; Fellow ASABE; Fellow ASCE-EWRI Professor and Department Head Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering The Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania; **Kurt C. Kersebaum**, Senior Scientist at Leibniz-Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research Müncheberg, Germany; and at Global Change Research Institute, CAS, Brno, Czech Republic; Honorary Professor at Georg-August-University Gottingen, Germany; **Meetpal S. Kukal**, Ph.D., Assistant Research Professor The Pennsylvania State University Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, Pennsylvania; **De Li Liu**, New South Wales Department of Primary

Industries, Wagga Wagga Agricultural Institute, Wagga Wagga, New South Wales, Australia and Climate Change Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; **Jon I. Lizaso**, CEIGRAM-Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain; **Alain Perrier**, Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR EcoSys, Thiverval-Grignon, France; **Mark S. Seyfried**, USDA-ARS, Northwest Watershed Research Center, Boise, Idaho; **Marvin J. Shaffer (Retired)**, Supervisory Research Soil Scientist, USDA-ARS, Great Plains Systems Research, Fort Collins, Colorado; **Vakhtang Shelia**, Institute for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida; **Todd H. Skaggs**, USDA-ARS Salinity Laboratory, Agricultural Water Efficiency and Salinity Research Unit, Riverside, California; **Claudio O. Stöckle**, Professor and Chair Biosystems Engineering, Washington State University Pullman, Washington; **Donald L. Suarez**, USDA-ARS Salinity Laboratory, Riverside, California; **Dennis J. Timlin**, USDA-ARS Adaptive Cropping Systems Laboratory Beltsville, Maryland; **Andree J. Tuzet**, Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR EcoSys, Thiverval-Grignon, France; **Bin Wang**, New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Wagga Wagga Agricultural Institute, Wagga Wagga, New South Wales, Australia; **R. Don Wauchope (Retired)**, USDA-ARS, Tifton, Georgia; **Ole Wendroth**, Professor, Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky; **Qiang Yu**, State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau, Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, China AND School of Life Science, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia, AND Collge of Resources and Enviornment, University of Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing China

## **EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE**

American Society of Agronomy  
Crop Science Society of American  
Soil Science Society of America  
5585 Guilford Road, Madison, WI 53711-58011, USA

### **SOCIETY PRESIDENTS**

Marilyn L. Warburton (CSSA)  
Ronald F. Turco (SSSA)  
David E. Clay (ASA)

### **SOCIETY EDITORS IN CHIEF**

Kathleen Yeater (ASA)  
Craig Rasmussen (SSSA)  
Bingru Huang (CSSA)

### **BOOK AND MULTIMEDIA PUBLISHING COMMITTEE**

Girisha Ganjegunte (Chair)  
Fugen Dou  
Shuyu Liu  
Gurpal Toor  
Sangamesh Angadi  
Xuejun Dong  
Limei Liu

### **ACCESS STAFF**

Matt Wascavage, Director of Publications  
Richard Easby, Managing Editor, Books  
Pamm Kasper and Marie Johnson, Copy Editors

# **Advances in Agricultural Systems Modeling**

**Transdisciplinary Research, Synthesis, and Applications**

Volume 10

**Lajpat R. Ahuja, Series Editor**

# **Modeling Processes and Their Interactions in Cropping Systems**

**Challenges for the 21st Century**

**Lajpat R. Ahuja, Kurt C. Kersebaum, and Ole Wendroth, Editors**



**WILEY**

Copyright © **2022** American Society of Agronomy, Inc. / Crop Science Society of America, Inc. / Soil Science Society of America, Inc. All rights reserved.

Copublication by American Society of Agronomy, Inc. / Crop Science Society of America, Inc. / Soil Science Society of America, Inc. and John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to reuse material from this title is available at <http://wiley.com/go/permissions>.

The right of Lajpat R. Ahuja, Kurt C. Kersebaum and Ole Wendroth to be identified as the author(s) of the editorial material in this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

*Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty*

While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties or merchantability of fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The publisher is not providing legal, medical, or other professional services. Any reference herein to any specific commercial products, procedures, or services by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not constitute or imply endorsement, recommendation, or favored status by the ASA, CSSA and SSSA. The views and opinions of the author(s) expressed in this publication do not necessarily state or reflect those of ASA, CSSA and SSSA, and they shall not be used to advertise or endorse any product.

*Editorial Correspondence:*

American Society of Agronomy, Inc.  
Crop Science Society of America, Inc.  
Soil Science Society of America, Inc.

5585 Guilford Road, Madison, WI 53711-58011, USA

[agronomy.org](http://agronomy.org) • [crops.org](http://crops.org) • [soils.org](http://soils.org)

*Registered Offices:*

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products, visit us at [www.wiley.com](http://www.wiley.com).

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data applied for*

ISBN PRINT 0-89118-385-X 978-0-89118-385-3

ISBN Obook 0-89118-386-8 978-0-89118-386-0

ISBN epub 0-89118-387-6 978-0-89118-387-7

ISBN epdf 0-89118-388-4 978-0-89118-388-4  
DOI DOI:10.1002/9780891183853

Cover design: Wiley

Cover image: © NRCS/SWCS photo



## Preface

# Overview of Modeling processes and Their Interactions in Cropping Systems

Recently observed environmental trends regarding water and soil quality, climate change with extended drought and heat waves (Trnka et al., [2013](#), [2019](#)), extreme weather events (Trnka et al., [2014](#)), and irrigation water shortages due to urban use require continual adaptation and optimization of agricultural systems through changes in cropping and management. Moreover, the increasing world population and changing diets will raise global food demand by 100–110% from 2005 to 2050 (Tilman et al. [2011](#)). To double food production by 2050, average annual growth rates in crop production of 2.4% (non-compounding rate) would be required (Ray et al. [2013](#)). Considering the limited land resources and the increasing competition for productive land between food, fodder, and energy production, this increase in crop production must be achieved from the same or less land compared with today (Godfray et al., [2010](#); Zabel et al. [2014](#)). The advancement of science and technology to achieve these changes requires cutting-edge field research, using a quantitative whole-systems approach.

Agricultural systems are composed of physical, biological, and chemical processes of soil, water, plant, climate, their complex interactions, and their management. The whole-system framework is designed for evaluating the impact of management practices and climate on soil water and nutrient dynamics, biomass production, and environmental

quality. In this framework, process-level models integrate interdisciplinary knowledge with field research to evaluate management options and to create well-adapted agricultural management systems. The models help to: (a) integrate field research results in terms of the fundamental theory and concepts that are broadly applicable beyond the site-specific empirical relationships; (b) predict crop and soil process dynamics from knowledge of the fundamental drivers that determine the environment and plant growth under different climates, (c) transfer experimental findings to longer term weather conditions beyond the limited duration of field experiments and to soil types and climates diverging from the experimental plots, and (d) use extended results to develop broad-based precision management decision support tools or simple management guidelines for producers and other users, who may link them to economic and social considerations. The models also promote precision management of spatially variable soils (Kersebaum et al., [2005](#)), help assimilate real-time information about soil and crop conditions obtained with remote or ground-level sensors (Wallor et al. [2019](#)) and allow an in-depth analysis of complex policy or management decisions. As a result, the models are continually improved and serve as an evolving theoretical backbone of complex agricultural system research, decision support, and information transfer.

System modeling has been a vital step in many engineering disciplines. A lot more work is needed to bring agricultural system models to the level of physical and hydraulic system models and to enhance their structure and usability.

However, agricultural system models have matured enough that, with some good data to serve as reference, they can be used for many practical applications in research and management (Ahuja et al., [2002](#); Ewert et al., [2015](#); Jones et al., [2003](#); Matthews & Stephens, [2002](#); Michalczyk et al.,

[2014](#); Stöckle et al., [2014](#)). The effect of management practices regarding tillage or no-till management, different crops and cultivars, crop rotations, organic and mineral fertilizers, water supply, and natural or tile drainage on production and water quality can now be modeled (Anapalli et al., [2005](#), [2015](#); Andales et al., [2003](#); Ma et al., [2000](#); Malone et al., [2017](#); Wendroth et al., [2019](#); Yang et al., [2019](#)). Their integration with field research will expose knowledge and conceptual gaps in the models that will then stimulate new research (Rötter et al., [2018](#)). The International Service for National Agricultural Research ([2004](#)) made a case for applying modeling tools and processes in planning and implementation of natural resources research and making sense of the vast amount of data. The CGIAR Science Council ([2005](#)) noted in their research priorities:

Modeling and the ability to combine data from different sources, promises to revolutionize understanding of processes affecting management of natural resources. Thanks to strategic accumulation of data, tools, and modeling resources in the coming decade, one can expect the development of a more predictive approach to agriculture.

Integration of field research with system models has the potential to significantly enhance the efficiency of agricultural research and raise agricultural science and technology to a higher level, leading to improved production and protection of the environment. Agricultural system models are also used in hydrological, climate, and ecological research and management.

The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine ([2019](#)) have released a new report that identifies the most promising scientific breakthroughs that are possible to be achieved in the next decade to increase the

volatilization, pesticides

effects of formulation, deposit site and foliar penetration,  
[213](#)-214

losses, [209](#), [210](#)

methyl bromide, [210](#)

modeling, [210](#)-212, [233](#)

prediction of, [212](#)-213

recent progress in, [214](#)

vapor pressure vs., [209](#), [210](#), [212](#)-213

wind tunnel experiment for, [211](#)

WATEQ, [180](#)

- water and chemical transport model, [1](#)–3
- bromide tracer, [23](#)–27
- estimation of soil hydraulic properties
  - one-parameter model, [7](#)
  - similar-media scaling, [6](#)–7
- evaluation of, [24](#), [28](#)
- infiltration
  - flow into tile drains during, [9](#)–10
  - rates, calculation of, [8](#)
  - sequential partial-displacement and mixing approach, [10](#)–12
  - time step for, [9](#)
  - vertical, [8](#)–9
- macropore flow, [12](#)–15
- overland flow, [12](#)
- redistribution process, [15](#)–16
- root water uptake, [16](#)–20
- RZWQM, [3](#)–4
  - preferential macropore transport studied with, [20](#)–24
- soil profile, [3](#)–6
- water balance–energy balance, coupling of, [120](#)–126
- water balance models, [308](#)
- water cycle, [119](#)
- water deficit, [308](#)–309
- water excess, [319](#)
- water-filled pore space (WFPS), [163](#), [168](#)–169

water fluxes, [127](#)

    modeling, [48](#)–51

    surface energy and, [35](#)–37

water fluxes in vegetation, [115](#)

    historical overview of, [118](#)–120

    photosynthesis-transpiration relationship, [139](#)–142

    plant regulation of water use

        hydraulic failure, [138](#)–139

        isohydric and anisohydric plants, [137](#)–138

        leaf water potential regulation, [136](#)–137

    plant response to water stress, [142](#)–144

    SPAC model, [116](#), [120](#)

        bare soil evaporation, [123](#)–124

        climatic demand, potential evaporation and ET, [120](#)–123

        hydraulic conductance, [129](#)

        plant hydraulic system, [126](#)–129

        plant structure, [127](#)

        plant transpiration, [124](#)–126

        root water uptake, [132](#)–136

        storage and capacitance, [127](#)–129

water potential gradients, [128](#)

water retention characteristics, of soil, [336](#)–340

water storage compartments, in plants, [127](#)–129

water stress

factor, [76](#)

plant response to, [142](#)–144

water table, fluctuating, [17](#)–18

water use efficiency (WUE), [209](#)

WEPS model, [234](#)

wheat plants, [253](#)–254

wheel-track compaction effects, [341](#)–344

Willis equation, [214](#)–215

wilting point (WP), [132](#)

WOFOST model, [298](#), [304](#), [315](#)

xylem, [117](#)

embolism, [138](#)–139

hydraulic resistance, [137](#)

yield loss/reduction

drought, [310](#)

factors, [254](#)

frost-induced, [254](#), [307](#)

heat stress and, [253](#), [304](#)

high temperatures, [304](#), [306](#)

lodging, [318](#)

New South Wales wheat belt, [261](#), [265](#), [267](#)–269

water deficit, [308](#)–309

for wheat, [318](#)