

82nd Conference on Glass Problems

Edited by
S.K. Sundaram

Ceramic
T*ransactions*
Volume 270



WILEY

Table of Contents

[COVER](#)

[TITLE PAGE](#)

[COPYRIGHT](#)

[FOREWORD](#)

[PREFACE](#)

[ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS](#)

[PLENARY](#)

[THE UNITED NATIONS INTERNATIONAL YEAR
OF GLASS-2022](#)

[INTRODUCTION](#)

[GENESIS AND HISTORY OF THE
INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF GLASS](#)

[RATIONALE FOR 2022](#)

[ENDORSEMENTS](#)

[IYOG2022 AND THE UN SUSTAINABILITY
DEVELOPMENT GOALS](#)

[FROM VISION TO REALITY](#)

[PLANNED EVENTS](#)

[CONCLUDING REMARKS](#)

[ACKNOWLEDGMENTS](#)

[REFERENCES](#)

[RE-BALANCING THE ISSUE-DRIVEN
SUSTAINABILITY DIALOGUE THAT IS HURTING
GLASS. HOW WE GOT HERE AND WHAT WE DO
ABOUT IT](#)

[INTRODUCTION](#)

SUSTAINABILITY, “EXTERNALITIES,” AND
THEIR RELATIONSHIP SUSTAINABILITY AND
BALANCE

SUSTAINABILITY AND “EXTERNALITIES”
OUR HARD-WIRED DECISION-MAKING
OUR MULTIPLE-PERSONALITY DECISION
MAKER

STATUS-QUO, RISK-AVERSE, LOSS-AVOIDER
DECISIONS ARE THE DEFAULT

NUDGED TO THE STATUS QUO?

CONNECTING THE DOTS

PROPOSALS AND THOUGHTS ON JOINING
THE DIALOGUE

CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

COLLABORATION IN COMPETITIVE SPACES

INTRODUCTION

THE THREAT

THE RATE OF CHANGE

THE CHALLENGE IN CHANGE

TAKE OFF YOUR PRODUCTION HAT

NO CHALLENGE TOO BIG

CONCLUSION

THE GREEN STORY OF CARDINAL FG

COMMITMENT

CONCLUSION

REFRACTORIES

MULLITISATION: THE KEY TO REGENERATING
REGENERATORS

MULLITISATION

LOW TEMPERATURE ALKALI SALT INGRESS-A
TRULY GLOBAL ISSUE

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

KNOW WHAT'S IN YOUR FURNACE: ALL BONDED
AZS REFRACTORY BRICK ARE NOT THE SAME

INTRODUCTION

MINERAL-BASED MATERIALS

EXAMPLE BRICK PRODUCTS

EXAMPLE DEFECTS

CONCLUSIONS

REFERENCES

HOW EFFICIENT NON-DESTRUCTIVE CONTROLS
OF FUSED-CAST AZS WILL SUPPORT HIGH
QUALITY GLASS MELTING

INTRODUCTION

IMPACT OF AZS COLOR AND CONTROL
PROTOCOL

CONSEQUENCES OF SHRINKAGE CAVITY
AND FILLING OPTIMIZATION

SURFACE QUALITY - UPCOMING
IMPROVEMENTS

DATA COLLECTION AND NEW PRODUCT
DEVELOPMENT

CONCLUSION

DATA, CHEMISTRY, AND ENERGY

ULTRAFAST GLASS ENGINEERING

INTRODUCTION

ULTRAFAST LASER PROCESSING

GYTRON-BASED PROCESSING

DISCUSSION

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

REFERENCES

OPERATING A HEAT EXCHANGER ON GUARDIAN
GLASS CARLETON, MICHIGAN FLOAT GLASS
PLANT

THE PROJECT

HEAT EXCHANGER DESIGN

OPERATIONAL EXPERIENCE

CONCLUSION

REFERENCE

ENERGY/COMBUSTION

THERMOELECTRIC WASTE HEAT RECOVERY IN
AN OXYFUEL MELTER

INTRODUCTION

THERMOELECTRIC GENERATOR

TECHNOLOGY

WASTE HEAT SOURCES IN AN OXYFUEL
GLASS FURNACE

THERMOELECTRIC WASTE HEAT RECOVERY
MODULE DESIGN

IN-PLANT TEST OF WASTE HEAT RECOVERY
WITH TE TECHNOLOGY

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS AFTER IN-PLANT
TRIAL

CONCLUSIONS

REFERENCES

GLASS MELT QUALITY OPTIMIZATION BY
MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF REDOX AND
BUBBLES IN THE GLASS MELT

INTRODUCTION

REDOX CHEMISTRY OF CONTAINER
GLASSES WITH CHROMIUM

REDOX REACTIONS UNDER REDUCING
CONDITIONS

REDOX REACTIONS IN THE FULL 3D MODEL

BUBBLE PREDICTION IN THE FULL 3D
MODEL

APPLICATION OF THE MODEL IN AN
INDUSTRIAL SITUATION

CONCLUSIONS

REFERENCES

SENSORS/ENERGY

CARBON REDUCTION COMPARISON OF
ELECTRIC OR HYDROGEN POWER

INTRODUCTION

REFERENCES

PREPARING FOR SUSTAINABLE GLASS
PRODUCTION - TECHNICAL AND ECONOMIC
INVESTIGATION OF NEXT GENERATION FUELS
FOR GLASS MELTERS

INTRODUCTION

H₂-BASED COMBUSTION SYSTEMS

EFFECTS OF HIGHER WATER VAPOR IN FLUE
GAS

HYDROGEN PRODUCTION

OTHER LOW CARBON / RENEWABLE FUELS

SUMMARY

REFERENCES

[IN-FURNACE THERMAL IMAGING SURVEY OF A
FLOAT FURNACE FOR COMBUSTION
OPTIMISATION](#)

[INTRODUCTION](#)

[THERMAL SURVEY AT PHOENICIA GLASS
PERFORMED 19 TO 23 MAY 2019](#)

[DETAILED STEPS OF WORK PERFORMED](#)

[OBSERVATIONS STEP 1 - ASSET
PROTECTION FURNACE SUPERSTRUCTURE
AND THERMAL PROFILE](#)

[CONCLUSIONS](#)

[REFERENCES](#)

[END USER LICENSE AGREEMENT](#)

List of Tables

Chapter 5

[Table:1 . EDAX point analysis confirming a
developed mullite matrix with inte...](#)

[Table 2: Creep parameters](#)

[Table 3: Properties of 60 LP compared to mullite
brick and target](#)

Chapter 8

[Table 1 XPS elemental surface concentration of
silicate glasses](#)

List of Illustrations

Chapter 5

[Figure 1: SEM Back scattered image; grey mullite matrix enveloping a bright ...](#)

[Figure 2: Typical creep curve](#)

[Figure 3: Ideal creep exhibited by a 1700degC fired ultra-pure material \(sin...](#)

[Figure 4: Creep characteristics of andalusite-based refractory compositions...](#)

[Figure 5: RUL determinations, inflexion in low mullite 58% alumina; low \$t_{0.5}\$](#)

[Figure 6: Isothermal section of the \$\text{Na}_2\text{O}-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3-\text{SiO}_2\$ ternary phase diagram](#)

[Figure 7: Regenerator crown spalls promoted by prolonged "cold" running](#)

[Figure 8: Characteristic cubing witnessed as resultant of pore filling](#)

[Figure 9: 55% \$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\$ pressed brick top, 60LP below, from L to R, back scatter...](#)

[Figure 10: From L to R; 58% & 55% \$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\$ pressed bricks; cast 60LP](#)

[Figure 11: Top, 55% pressed brick, middle, 58% pressed brick, bottom 60LP ca...](#)

[Figure 12: Mullite Zoning the Key to Optimum Regenerator Regeneration](#)

Chapter 6

[Figure 1: **Bonded AZS Brick "A"** – granular and milled zircon with...](#)

[Figure 2: **Bonded AZS Brick "B"** – milled zircon with tabular alum...](#)

Figure 3: **Bonded AZS Brick "C"** - milled zircon, fused mullite an...

Figure 4: **Bonded AZS Brick "D"** - zirconia, fused cast AZS grain,...

Figure 5: **Bonded AZS Brick "E"** - milled zircon, tabular alumina,...

Figure 6: **Bonded AZS Patch Material "F"** - granular and milled zi...

Figure 7a: (left) Tabular alumina aggregate with tiny ZrO_2 particles around ...

Figure 8a: (left) Very difficult to see but small ZrO_2 particles at upper ed...

Figure 9a: (left) Thin-sectioned view of stone shown in Figure 8a - grape cl...

Figure 10a: (left) Grape clusters of fish egg ZrO_2 with residual tabular alu...

Figure 11a: (left) Grape clusters of fish egg ZrO_2 and nepheline. 11b: (righ...

Chapter 7

Figure 1: Impurities in glassy phase of a reduced (left) and well oxidized (...)

Figure 2 L^*a^*b color measurement scale

Figure 3: Video-recorded blistering furnace

Figure 4: Acquisition during video-recorded blistering trial (1350°C, sodali...

Figure 5: Exudation level according to sample color (top: Bar; bottom: Louis...

Figure 6: Defects related to exudation: vitreous and crystalline defects

[Figure 7: Control protocol of an AZS block: measurement are circled position...](#)

[Figure 8: Steps of radar control on an AZS block : comparison sawn face/rada...](#)

[Figure 9: Corrosion profiles \(standard glass, extraclear glass, extraclear g...](#)

[Figure 10: Typical corrosion profile near boosting \(left\) and in extraclear ...](#)

[Figure 11: Average position of shrinkage cavity for an 1800-mm block AZS RR ...](#)

[Figure 12: Impact of shrinkage cavity size \(grey polygon\) on corrosion profi...](#)

[Figure 13: Skin/Core Microstructure on an AZS \(optical microscope acquisitio...](#)

[Figure 14: Dynamic corrosion resistance \(MGR\) of skin and core of AZS - Perf...](#)

[Figure 15: Aspect of edge tear from macroscopic to microscopic scale](#)

[Figure 16: Example of joint-microstructure acquisition and post-processing](#)

[Figure 17: CIS sensor and image acquisition](#)

[Figure 18: Example of an AZS 37 RS block](#)

[Figure 19: Impact of product choice on corrosion profile, 15 years modeled, ...](#)

Chapter 8

[Figure 1 Laser parameters relevant to glass processing \(Source: Source: http...](#)

[Figure 2 Femtosecond laser pulses-matter interaction and various phenomena a...](#)

[Figure 3 Femtosecond laser pulses-glass interaction: A\) multiphoton ionizati...](#)

[Figure 4 Heating technologies vs. System cost.⁸](#)

[Figure 5 Micro-indentation analysis of silicate glass surface hardness¹⁶](#)

[Figure 6 Comparison of simulated and experimentally determined Na-SLS \(left\)...](#)

[Figure 7 Coordination of Al-Al, Si-Si and Al-Si after exposure to various pu...](#)

[Figure 8 Heat capacity for granite \(70 -77% silica\)¹¹](#)

[Figure 9 Temperature profile along width of wind shield shaped by gyrotron b...](#)

Chapter 9

[Figure 1: Typical Development of Exhaust flow and Temperature at Checker Out...](#)

[Figure 2: Temperature dependency of dry acid gas and NOx removal](#)

[Figure 3: Exhaust flow after conditioning depending on furnace age](#)

[Figure 4a: Mechanical chain cleaning system at time of installation](#)

[Figure 4b: Mechanical chain cleaning system after having been in operation](#)

[Figure 5: Elevation drawing of the heat exchanger with colored highlighting ...](#)

[Figure 6: CFD modeling of gas velocity vector field for original and modifie...](#)

Chapter 10

[Figure 1: Schematic of basic TE device.](#)

[Figure 2: Ideal TE device efficiency as a function of \$T_H - T_C\$, for \$T_C = 400K\$](#)

[Figure 3: Schematic of oxyfuel flat glass melter](#)

[Figure 4: Basic schematic of the in-plant test design, looking down from abo...](#)

[Figure 5: Drawing of in-plant test site. This view is looking downtank \(i.e....](#)

[Figure 6: Photograph of in-plant test site, showing overhead support bar \(bl...](#)

[Figure 7: Electrical power output of TEG versus time during the in-plant tri...](#)

[Figure 8: Behavior of a number of different parameters during the initial fa...](#)

Chapter 11

[Figure 1: Picture of bubbles in a glass melt. There are billions of bubbles ...](#)

[Figure 2: Relative concentrations of Fe and Cr in different valences as a fu...](#)

[Figure 3: Products of sodium sulphate chemical reactions in the glass melt](#)

[Figure 4: speciation of iron and redox in the glass tank.](#)

[Figure 5: Speciation of chromium oxide in the glass tank](#)

[Figure 6: Partial pressures of gasses released by the fining reactions the t...](#)

[Figure 7: Calculation of the number of bubbles per kilo of glass melt on two...](#)

[Figure 8: Industrial problem model including temperature validation](#)

[Figure 9: Bubble count prediction in two forehearths calculated for the base...](#)

Chapter 12

[Figure 1: Norway's electric cars](#)

[Figure 2: Shell](#)

[Figure 3: Carbon costs in the European Union](#)

[Figure 4: Electricity costs versus power generation](#)

[Figure 5: Carbon emissions in Europe](#)

[Figure 6: Electric power generation from fossil fuels](#)

[Figure 7: Projected electricity costs for 2023, 2026, and 2040](#)

[Figure 8: Transmission costs of electricity and hydrogen](#)

[Figure 9: Capital costs breakdown for electricity and hydrogen](#)

[Figure 10: Hydrogen tolerance of gas infrastructure components](#)

[Figure 11: Transport of hydrogen, methane, or ammonia](#)

[Figure 12: 4 kg of H₂ for an automobile traveling 400 km versus a car drivin...](#)

[Figure 13: 230,000 kg of H₂ in 20 m diameter tank for space exploration.](#)

[Figure 14: Hydrogen also can be stored in existing gas caves/fields](#)

[Figure 15: Storage costs of energy.](#)

[Figure 16: Hydrogen production technologies](#)

[Figure 17: Present and projected competitive hydrogen costs](#)

[Figure 18: Closing the cost gap](#)

[Figure 19: Electrical versus hydrogen efficiency.](#)

[Figure 20: Electrification of cars](#)

[Figure 21: GS melting costs comparison #1 - electricity 0.08 €/kWh](#)

[Figure 22: GS melting costs comparison #2 - electricity 0.05 €/kWh](#)

[Figure 23: GS melting costs comparison #3 - CO₂ 100 €/ton](#)

[Figure 24: GS melting costs comparison #4 - hydrogen gas 0.4 €/Nm³](#)

[Figure 25: GS melting costs comparison #5, hydrogen gas, 0.1 €/Nm³](#)

[Figure 26: Hybrid breakeven point as a function of electricity price \(GS\).](#)

[Figure 27: Furnace comparisons \(GS\).](#)

[Figure 28: GS H²EM hybrid horizontal electric melting concept evaluation wit...](#)

[Figure 29: GS H²EM hybrid horizontal electric melting concept evaluation \(im...](#)

[Figure 30: Methane or hydrogen combustion \(GS\).](#)

Chapter 13

[Figure 1: Role of H₂ in reducing CO₂ emissions \(300 t/d container glass furn...](#)

[Figure 2: Linde and Ovako heat steel with H₂, March 2020](#)

[Figure 3: Unterschleißheim Lab set up for H₂ testing](#)

[Figure 4: Performing burner trials for customers](#)

[Figure 5: Water content in glass for air-fuel, oxy-fuel and oxy-H₂ cases](#)

[Figure 6: **Block flow diagram of SMR based H₂ production with CO₂ capture**](#)

[Figure 7: Electrolysis Systems. Left - Stack; Right - Full facility](#)

[Figure 8: Threshold CO₂ price for different scenarios](#)

[Figure 9: Left - Oxy NG \(400 kW\); Right - Oxy Ethanol atomized with O₂ \(400 ...](#)

82nd Conference on Glass Problems

Ceramic Transactions, Volume 270

A Collection of Papers Presented at the 82nd Conference on Glass Problems November 1-4, 2021

Edited by

S. K. Sundaram

This edition first published 2022

© 2022 The American Ceramic Society

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions>.

The right of S. K. Sundaram to be identified as the author of the editorial material in this work have been asserted in accordance with law

Registered Office

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

Editorial Office

111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

In view of ongoing research, equipment modifications, changes in governmental regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to the use of experimental reagents, equipment, and devices, the reader is urged to review and evaluate the information provided in the package insert or instructions for each chemical, piece of equipment, reagent, or device for, among other things, any changes in the instructions or indication of usage and for added warnings and precautions. While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other

commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data applied for:

ISBN: 9781119904540

Foreword

The 82nd Conference on Glass Problems (GPC) was organized by the Kazuo Inamori School of Engineering, The New York State College of Ceramics, Alfred University, Alfred, NY 14802, and The Glass Manufacturing Industry Council (GMIC), Westerville, OH 43082. The Program Director was S. K. Sundaram, Inamori Professor of Materials Science and Engineering, Kazuo Inamori School of Engineering, The New York State College of Ceramics, Alfred University, Alfred, NY 14802. The Conference Director was Bob Lipetz, Executive Director, Glass Manufacturing Industry Council (GMIC), Westerville, OH 43082. The GPC Advisory Board (AB) included the Program Director, the Conference Director, and several industry representatives. The Board assembled the technical program. Donna Banks of the GMIC coordinated the events and provided support. Despite continuing world-wide COVID-19 pandemic, the Conference was held face-to-face. It started with a full-day plenary session followed by technical sessions. The themes and chairs of four technical sessions were as follows:

Refractory

Larry McCloskey, Anchor Acquisition, LLC, Lancaster, OH
Eric Dirlam, Ardagh Glass, Muncie, IN

Data, Chemistry, Energy

Justin Wang, Guardian Industries, Auburn Hills, MI
Chris Tournour, Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY

Energy/Combustion

Glenn Neff, Glass Service USA, Inc., Stuart, FL

Uyi Iyoha, Linde Inc., Peachtree City, GA

Jan Schep - Owens-Illinois, Inc., Perrysburg, OH

Sensors/Energy

Glenn Neff, Glass Service USA, Inc., Stuart, FL

Preface

This volume is a collection of papers presented at the 82nd year of the Glass Problems Conference (GPC) in 2021. The GPC continues the tradition of publishing the papers that goes back to 1934. The manuscripts included in this volume are reproduced as furnished by the presenting authors but were reviewed prior to the presentation and submission by the respective session chairs. These chairs are also the members of the GPC Advisory Board.

As the Program Director of the GPC, I am thankful to all the presenters at the 82nd GPC. This year's meeting was another record-breaking year under the extraordinary situation. We had a total of 357 registered attendees including 6 students from across the country. I appreciate all the support from the members of Advisory Board. Their volunteering spirit, generosity, professionalism, and commitment through an unprecedented world-wide pandemic were critical to the high-quality technical program at this Conference. The Conference Director, Mr. Bob Lipetz, Executive Director of GMIC forged ahead with strong leadership against all odds and worked tirelessly with the Advisory Board in making this Conference a success. I also appreciate continuing excellent support from Ms. Donna Banks of GMIC in organizing the GPC. I look forward to continuing our work with the entire GPC team in the future.

Please note that The American Ceramic Society and I did minor editing and formatting of these papers. Neither Alfred University nor GMIC is responsible for the statements and opinions expressed in this volume.

S. K. Sundaram
Alfred, NY
January 2022

Acknowledgements

It is my great pleasure to acknowledge the dedicated service, advice, and team spirit of the members of the GPC AB in planning this Conference, inviting key speakers, reviewing technical presentations, chairing technical sessions, and reviewing manuscripts for this publication: Kenneth Bratton - *Bucher Emhart Glass, Windsor, CT*

Chris Bloom - *Owens Corning, Granville, OH*

Shrikar Chakravarti - *Linde Inc., Peachtree City, GA*

Weijian Chen - *Libbey Glass, Toledo, OH*

Eric Dirlam - *Ardagh Glass, Muncie, IN*

David Girvan - *Vitro Architectural Glass, Cheswick, PA*

Erik Helin - *Johns Manville, Littleton, CO*

Uyi Iyoha - *Linde Inc., Peachtree City, GA*

Bob Lipetz - *Glass Manufacturing Industry Council, Westerville, OH*

Larry McCloskey - *Anchor Acquisition, LLC, Lancaster, OH*

Glenn Neff - *Glass Service USA, Inc., Stuart, FL*

Adam Polcyn - *Vitro Architectural Glass, Cheswick, PA*

Jan Schep - *Owens-Illinois, Inc., Perrysburg, OH*

Christopher Tournour - *Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY*

Phillip Tucker - *Johns Manville, Littleton, CO*

James Uhlik - *Toledo Engineering Co., Inc., Toledo, OH*

Justin Wang - *Guardian Industries, Auburn Hills, MI*

I appreciate the positive spirit of Bob Lipetz, GMIC with which he has led the GPC to a success this year. I am indebted to Donna Banks, GMIC for her patience, support, and attention to detail in making this conference a big success and this Proceedings possible.

Finally, the whole team has worked tirelessly against all odds of the ongoing world-wide pandemic making this a successful face-to-face conference. The determination and enthusiasm are simply outstanding.

PLENARY

THE UNITED NATIONS INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF GLASS-2022

Manoj K. Choudhary^{*}, L. David Pye^{**}, and Alicia
Duran^{***}

^{*}The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

^{**}Alfred University, Alfred, New York, USA

^{***}Instituto de Cerámica y Vidrio, Madrid, SPAIN

Summary NOx Reduction

<u>Date</u>	<u>L-R</u>	<u>R-L</u>
Apr-16	1943	1698 Testo340
20-May	2188	2060 Lancom 4
21-May	2212	2233 Kimo
22-May	2201	2109 Kimo
22-May	1800	Kimo
23-May	1981	1714 Kimo
	10.5%	23.2% Reduction from 21/5

- 20/5 and 21/5 were baseline with Lancom then Kimo.
- On 22nd am the fuel profile was changed
- On 22nd pm the new nozzle in Port#5 LHS was replaced with the old design.
- On 23rd pm Port#5 RHS was converted.

Conclusions

- The NIR B has identified
 - Potential sources of parasitic cold air.
 - Problems with #4 Regen RHS
 - Demonstrated ability to see where NO_x is being formed.
 - NO_x reduced through optimisation
 - Potential to use for trouble-shooting the “arches”
- The new Global nozzle design suggested by Neil has potential to be modified to lower NO_x

CONFIDENTIAL AMETEK Land & Simpson
Combustion & Energy Ltd

Overall, the NO_x was reduced by 10-23% compared with the first day's operation.

Possible Next Steps to lower NOx

- Investigate repair of superstructure holes
- Install new conical burner in Port#6
- Consider using the STG Lambda system
- Modify the new Global nozzle to increase gas to inner nozzle.

CONFIDENTIAL AMETEK Land & Simpson
Combustion & Energy Ltd

CONCLUSIONS

The float thermal survey achieved all its objectives. The preceding survey report is a summary of the 467 slides presented to the local environmental protection agency. It identified areas and sources of parasitic air. Previously on other float applications, when repaired, can reduce energy by 3-5%. The thermal profile of the furnace had changed slightly ($\frac{1}{2}$ port) from Port 4 to between Port 3 and 4. Since this was the first thermal survey with the NIR-B, it is unclear whether this has really changed, although it could be influenced by the previously unseen regenerator damage on port 4. The view inside the regenerator is dramatic and highlights the area of planned ceramic repair. The selective virtual thermocouples show potential

(Industry 4.0) for thermal cameras to be mounted in the regenerators for both asset protection and improved operational control. Using the reflection method [\[1\]](#), it would be possible to measure the glass surface temperature for a critical period of low iron glass production. The port 5 combustion analysis is unprecedented on a cross-fired furnace and shows the potential to use thermal imaging to optimise burner design and operation in future. NO_x reduction of 10% and 23% was achieved by changing the nozzle on one port only.

REFERENCES

- [1] N.G. Simpson, M. Bennett, S.F. Turner: Use of Continuous Infrared Temperature Image to Optimize Furnace Operations, *Glass Problems* 2017.
- [2] N.G. Simpson, M. Bennett, S.F. Turner: Industry 3.9 Thermal Imaging Using the Near Infrared Borescope (NIR-B) 2018
- [3] N.G. Simpson, M. Bennett, S.F. Turner, J. Naughton: Not Just A Pretty Picture – In-Furnace Thermal Imaging (NIR-B), *Glass Problems* 2019