

EVOLVING SOFTWARE PROCESSES

Trends and Future Directions

Edited by
Arif Ali Khan
Dac-Nhuong Le

Table of Contents

[Cover](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Copyright](#)

[List of Figures](#)

[List of Tables](#)

[Foreword](#)

[Preface](#)

[Acknowledgments](#)

[Acronyms](#)

[1 ReMo: A Recommendation Development Model for Software Process Improvement Based on Correlation Analysis](#)

[1.1 Introduction](#)

[1.2 Motivation](#)

[1.3 Related Work](#)

[1.4 Recommendation Development Model: ReMo](#)

[1.5 Case Studies](#)

[1.6 Evaluation](#)

[1.7 Discussion](#)

[1.8 Conclusion](#)

[Acknowledgments](#)

[References](#)

[Appendix. Example Recommendations in Case Studies](#)

[2 A Framework for a Sustainable Software Security Program](#)

[2.1 Introduction](#)

[2.2 Software Security Best Practices](#)

[2.3 Software Security in Visma](#)

[2.4 Top-Down and Bottom-Up Approach of a Sustainable Program](#)

[2.5 Explorability of a Sustainable Software Security Program](#)

[2.6 Exploiting Existing Services](#)

[2.7 Pitfalls of a Sustainable Software Security Program](#)

[2.8 Further Reading](#)

[2.9 Conclusion](#)

[Acknowledgments](#)

[References](#)

[3 Linking Software Processes to IT Professionalism Frameworks](#)

[3.1 Introduction](#)

[3.2 Process Standards](#)

[3.3 IT Professionalism Standards](#)

[3.4 Linking Software Processes and IT Professionalism Frameworks](#)

[3.5 Analysis of Recommended Skills in Processes According to Participating Professional Roles](#)

[3.6 Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[4 Monitoring and Controlling Software Project Scope Using Agile EVM](#)

[4.1 Introduction](#)

[4.2 Related Work](#)

[4.3 EVM Applications and Calculation](#)

[4.4 Research Methodology](#)

[4.5 Quantification of A-SPSRI Elements and Running Simulation](#)

[4.6 Experimental Evaluation of Simulated Results](#)

[4.7 Conclusion](#)

[References](#)

[5 Modeling Multi-Release Open Source Software Reliability Growth Process with Generalized Modified Weibull Distribution](#)

[5.1 Introduction](#)

[5.2 Background](#)

[5.3 Proposed Models](#)

[5.4 Performance Evaluation with Data Analysis](#)

[5.5 Conclusion](#)

[References](#)

[6 Developing a Reference Model for Open Data Capability Maturity Assessment](#)

[6.1 Introduction](#)

[6.2 Literature Review](#)

[6.3 Model Development](#)

[6.4 Open Data Capability Maturity Model](#)

[6.5 Conclusion](#)

[References](#)

[Appendix A. Existing maturity models in the open data domain](#)

[Appendix B. An example process definition of ODM2 open data discovery process](#)

[7 AHP-Based Prioritization Framework for Software Outsourcing Human Resource Success Factors in Global Software Development](#)

[7.1 Introduction](#)
[7.2 Literature Review](#)
[7.3 Research Methodology](#)
[7.4 Proposed Methodology](#)
[7.5 Limitations](#)
[7.6 Implications of the Study](#)
[7.7 Conclusions and Future Work](#)
[References](#)

[8 A Process Framework for the Classification of Security Bug Reports](#)

[8.1 Introduction](#)
[8.2 Related Work](#)
[8.3 Proposed Methodology](#)
[8.4 Experimental Setup](#)
[8.5 Results and Discussion](#)
[8.6 Conclusion](#)
[References](#)

[9 A Systematic Literature Review of Challenges Factors for Implementing DevOps Practices in Software Development Organizations: A Development and Operation Teams Perspective](#)

[9.1 Introduction](#)
[9.2 Research Methodology](#)
[9.3 Results](#)
[9.4 Discussion and Summary](#)
[9.5 Threats to Validity](#)
[9.6 Conclusions and Future Study](#)
[References](#)

[Appendix A. Selected Primary Studies](#)

[Appendix B. DevOps Practitioners](#)

[10 DevOps' Culture Challenges Model \(DC2M\): A Systematic Literature Review Protocol](#)

[10.1 Introduction](#)

[10.2 Background](#)

[10.3 Systematic Literature Review Protocol](#)

[10.4 Creating the Search String](#)

[10.5 Search Strategies](#)

[10.6 Final Search String Construction](#)

[10.7 Selection Criteria and Search Process](#)

[10.8 Assessment of Publication Quality](#)

[10.9 Data Extraction Stage](#)

[10.10 Data Synthesis](#)

[10.11 Discussion](#)

[10.12 Validation of Review Protocol](#)

[10.13 Limitation](#)

[References](#)

[11 Critical Challenges of Designing Software Architecture for Internet of Things \(IoT\) Software System](#)

[11.1 Introduction](#)

[11.2 Background](#)

[11.3 Research Questions](#)

[11.4 Research Methodology](#)

[11.5 Continent-Wise Comparison of the Challenges Found](#)

[11.6 Limitations](#)

[11.7 Conclusion and Future Work](#)

[References](#)

12 Challenges to Project Management in Distributed Software Development: A Systematic Literature Review

12.1 Introduction

12.2 Related Work

12.3 Methodology

12.4 Results and Discussion

12.5 Conclusion and Future Work

References

13 Cyber Security Challenges Model: SLR-Based Protocol and Initial Findings

13.1 Introduction

13.2 Related Work

13.3 Systematic Literature Review (SLR) Protocol

13.4 Research Questions

13.5 Search Term Construction

13.6 Strategies for Searching

13.7 Process of Search String

13.8 Selection of Publication

13.9 Assessment of Publication Quality

13.10 Data Extraction Phase

13.11 Literature Search and Selection

13.12 Results

13.13 Discussion

13.14 Limitations

13.15 Conclusion and Future Work

References

14 A Process Assessment Model for Human Resource Skill Development Enabling Digital Transformation

14.1 Introduction

[14.2 Literature Review](#)

[14.3 Process Assessment Model for Human Resource Skill Development](#)

[14.4 Application of the Process Assessment Model for DX-HRSD](#)

[14.5 Findings and Discussions](#)

[14.6 Conclusion](#)

[References](#)

[End User License Agreement](#)

List of Illustrations

Chapter 1

[Figure 1.1: Process of improvement process.](#)

[Figure 1.2: Summary of recommendation review results.](#)

[Figure 1.3: ReMo.](#)

[Figure 1.4: Correlation analysis.](#)

[Figure 1.5: Correlated tasks via work products.](#)

[Figure 1.6: Identifying finding correlations.](#)

[Figure 1.7: Finding correlations.](#)

[Figure 1.8: Identifying improvement packages.](#)

[Figure 1.9: Improvement package description.](#)

[Figure 1.10: Refining improvement packages.](#)

[Figure 1.11: Findings-to-organization matrix.](#)

[Figure 1.12: Findings from organizational view.](#)

[Figure 1.13: Finding-to-life cycle matrix.](#)

[Figure 1.14: Findings from software life cycle view.](#)

[Figure 1.15: Capability-based process model guidance.](#)

[Figure 1.16: Finding from assessment model view.](#)

[Figure 1.17: Finding-to-business value matrix.](#)

[Figure 1.18: Findings from business value view.](#)

[Figure 1.19: Building recommendations.](#)

[Figure 1.20: Abstract recommendation.](#)

[Figure 1.21: Identifying recommendation seeds.](#)

[Figure 1.22: Concrete recommendation.](#)

[Figure 1.23: Evolution of ReMo.](#)

[Figure 1.24: Concreteness comparison —Process element coverage.](#)

[Figure 1.25: Concreteness comparison per project.](#)

[Figure 1.26: Concreteness comparison of recommendation examples.](#)

[Figure 1.27: Comprehensiveness comparison — Process area coverage.](#)

[Figure 1.28: Comprehensiveness comparison — Recommendation example.](#)

Chapter 2

[Figure 2.1: The ambidextrous security program.](#)

[Figure 2.2: Percentage of people in each adopter category.](#)

Chapter 3

[Figure 3.1: Non-cognitive skills framework represented as clusters.](#)

Chapter 4

[Figure 4.1: Research methodology.](#)

[Figure 4.2: Evaluation results.](#)

[Figure 4.3: Projections of percentiles for score and cost \(F. Acebes \[62\]\).](#)

[Figure 4.4: AON network diagram.](#)

[Figure 4.5: Sample burn-up chart showing schedule variance.](#)

[Figure 4.6: Burn-down chart reflecting scope change and schedule variance.](#)

[Figure 4.7: Projection of \$j^{th}\$ iteration into different percentiles.](#)

[Figure 4.8: Burn-up chart incorporating cost.](#)

[Figure 4.9: Projection into score and cost.](#)

[Figure 4.10: Projection into score and cost.](#)

[Figure 4.11: Multiple releases.](#)

[Figure 4.12: Features at single release.](#)

[Figure 4.13: Projection into score and cost.](#)

Chapter 5

[Figure 5.1: Workflow diagram.](#)

[Figure 5.2: \(a-i\) Fitted shapes and residuals of GO, G-GO, and GMWD models on Be...](#)

Chapter 6

[Figure 6.1: The capability dimension of the OD-CMM \(adapted from \[49\]\).](#)

Chapter 7

[Figure 7.1: Division of complex problem into a hierarchical structure.](#)

[Figure 7.2: Schematic diagram of proposed research design of the analytic hierar...](#)

[Figure 7.3: Hierarchical structure of the present study for the analytic hierarc...](#)

Chapter 8

[Figure 8.1: Proposed system model.](#)

[Figure 8.2: Performance evaluation of datasets using precision, recall and F-mea...](#)

[Figure 8.3: Change in recall.](#)

Chapter 9

[Figure 9.1: Reporting the review process.](#)

Chapter 11

[Figure 11.1: Layered architecture.](#)

[Figure 11.2: Graphical representation of microservices architecture pattern.](#)

[Figure 11.3: Event-driven architecture pattern.](#)

[Figure 11.4: Graphical representation of blackboard software architecture.](#)

[Figure 11.5: Graphical representation of the identified challenges.](#)

Chapter 13

[Figure 13.1: Searching and selection process of research articles.](#)

Chapter 14

[Figure 14.1: The measurement framework \(adapted from ISO/IEC 330xx\).](#)

List of Tables

Chapter 1

[Table 1.1: Recommendation examples in current practice.](#)

[Table 1.2: Reviewed projects.](#)

[Table 1.3: Example findings of assessment.](#)

[Table 1.4: Recommendation description templates.](#)

[Table 1.5: Companies that participated in case studies.](#)

[Table 1.6: Assessment data used in case studies.](#)

[Table 1.7: Participants in case studies.](#)

[Table 1.8: Case study summary.](#)

[Table 1.9: Measurement items for PU and PEOU.](#)

[Table 1.10: Survey results on PU.](#)

[Table 1.11: Survey results on PEOU.](#)

[Table 1.12: Mann-Whitney U Test results on PU and PEOU.](#)

[Table 1.13: Example recommendations produced by an ad-hoc method in current prac...](#)

[Table 1.14: Process element coverage.](#)

[Table 1.15: Process area coverage per project.](#)

[Table 1.16: A comparison of ReMo with existing studies.](#)

[Table 1.17: Example results in case studies.](#)

[Table 1.18: Example results in case studies \(continued\).](#)

[Table 1.19: Example results in case studies \(continued\).](#)

Chapter 3

[Table 3.1: Profile equivalence in e-CF, ESCO and Colomo's study.](#)

[Table 3.2: Profiles involved in ISO 12207 processes.](#)

[Table 3.3: Skills relevance in ISO 12207 processes.](#)

[Table 3.4: Link from NCSF to processes in ISO 12207.](#)

[Table 3.5: Link from processes to e-CF competences through deliverables.](#)

Chapter 4

[Table 4.1: Combination of different approaches used as monitoring and controllin...](#)

[Table 4.2: Tools/techniques used for traditional project scope definition.](#)

[Table 4.3: Tools/techniques used for agile project scope definition.](#)

[Table 4.4: Extracted factors from the literature.](#)

[Table 4.5: Mapping of factors elements.](#)

[Table 4.6: Descriptive statistics.](#)

[Table 4.7: Description of notations.](#)

[Table 4.8: A-SPSRI elements.](#)

[Table 4.9: Top contributing A-SPSRI elements.](#)

[Table 4.10: Top 8 contributing A-SPSRI elements.](#)

[Table 4.11: Assign definition level.](#)

[Table 4.12: Weight, *dl* and score of elements in Case Study 2.](#)

[Table 4.13: Assign cost to A-SPSRI elements.](#)

Chapter 5

[Table 5.1: Acronyms and notations.](#)

[Table 5.2: Special cases of GMWD function with different values of parameters.](#)

[Table 5.3: Dataset: Beam 2.0.0, Beam 2.1.0, and Beam 2.2.0.](#)

[Table 5.4: Competing and proposed models with MVF.](#)

[Table 5.5: Competing and proposed models with MVF.](#)

Chapter 6

[Table 6.1: Quality assessment criteria.](#)

[Table 6.2: Existing MMs assessment results.](#)

[Table 6.3: The process dimension of the OD-CMM.](#)

[Table 6.4: Capability levels.](#)

[Table 6.5: Existing maturity models in the open data domain.](#)

[Table 6.6: An example process definition of ODM2 open data discovery process.](#)

Chapter 7

[Table 7.1: Search outcomes of different resources/libraries and databases.](#)

[Table 7.2: Details of extracted data.](#)

[Table 7.3: Synthesis of details of success factors.](#)

[Table 7.4: Success factors for software outsourcing human resource.](#)

[Table 7.5: Description of 9-point scale for intensity of importance.](#)

Table 7.6: Relationship between size of matrix and random consistency index.

Table 7.7: Success factors identified in the questionnaire study.

Table 7.8: Pairwise comparison matrix between the success factors of the “procur...”

Table 7.9: Synthesized or normalized matrix of the “procurement” category.

Table 7.10: Pairwise comparison matrix between the success factors of the “organ...”

Table 7.11: Synthesized or normalized matrix of the “organization” category.

Table 7.12: Pairwise comparison matrix between the success factors of the “relia...”

Table 7.13: Synthesized or normalized matrix of the “reliance” category.

Table 7.14: Pairwise comparison matrix between the success factors of the “relia...”

Table 7.15: Synthesized or normalized matrix of the “quality” category.

Table 7.16: Pairwise comparison matrix between the categories of success factors...

Table 7.17: Synthesized or normalized matrixes of the categories of success fact...

Table 7.18: Summary of local and global weights issues and their rankings.

Table 7.19: Prioritizing the success factors.

Chapter 9

Table 9.1: Search strings and digital libraries.

[Table 9.2: Inclusion and exclusion criteria](#)

[Table 9.3: Quality evaluation criteria.](#)

[Table 9.4: Search process.](#)

[Table 9.5: Identified challenges.](#)

[Table 9.6: Categorization of the challenges based on development and operations ...](#)

[Table 9.7: Selected primary studies.](#)

[Table 9.8: DevOps practitioners.](#)

Chapter 10

[Table 10.1: Search string construction.](#)

[Table 10.2: RQ1 search terms construction.](#)

[Table 10.3: RQ2 search terms construction.](#)

[Table 10.4: RQ3 search terms construction.](#)

[Table 10.5: Detail of search results of different databases.](#)

[Table 10.6: Detail of primary selected papers.](#)

[Table 10.7: Data extraction format.](#)

[Table 10.8: List of critical challenges.](#)

Chapter 11

[Table 11.1: Search term construction composition.](#)

[Table 11.2: RQ1 search terms construction.](#)

[Table 11.3: RQ2 search terms construction.](#)

[Table 11.4: RQ3 search terms construction](#)

[Table 11.5: Final search results.](#)

[Table 11.6: Snowballing technique results.](#)

[Table 11.7: Data demo table.](#)

[Table 11.8: Frequency-wise list of challenges.](#)

[Table 11.9: Results of data from different continents.](#)

[Table 11.10: Continent-wise frequency.](#)

Chapter 12

[Table 12.1: SLR process.](#)

[Table 12.2: Quality assessment.](#)

[Table 12.3: Selection of studies using tollgate approach.](#)

[Table 12.4: Project management challenges identified from selected primary studi...](#)

[Table 12.5: Project management knowledge areas, GSD challenges and PM implicatio...](#)

Chapter 13

[Table 13.1: General format of the construction of a search term.](#)

[Table 13.2: RQ1 search term construction.](#)

[Table 13.3: RQ2 search term construction.](#)

[Table 13.4: Search results of different resources.](#)

[Table 13.5: Primary and final selected papers results.](#)

[Table 13.6: Data extraction presentation.](#)

[Table 13.7: List of challenges in CSCM identified through SLR.](#)

[Table 13.8: Database/digital library-wise list of challenges.](#)

[Table 13.9: Methodology-wise list of challenges.](#)

Chapter 14

[Table 14.1: The process definition of DX-HRSD.](#)

[Table 14.2: Scale definitions.](#)

[Table 14.3: Process capability level ratings
\(adapted from ISO/IEC 33002\).](#)

[Table 14.4: Capability Level 1 assessment of DX-
HRSD process.](#)

[Table 14.5: Capability level assessment of DX-HRSD
process.](#)

Scrivener Publishing

100 Cummings Center, Suite 541J

Beverly, MA 01915-6106

Publishers at Scrivener

Martin Scrivener (martin@scrivenerpublishing.com)

Phillip Carmical (pcarmical@scrivenerpublishing.com)

Evolving Software Processes

Trends and Future Directions

Edited by

Arif Ali Khan

University of Jyväskylä, Finland

Dac-Nhuong Le

Haiphong University, Haiphong, Vietnam



WILEY

This edition first published 2022 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA and Scrivener Publishing LLC, 100 Cummings Center, Suite 541J, Beverly, MA 01915, USA

© 2022 Scrivener Publishing LLC

For more information about Scrivener publications please visit www.scrivenerpublishing.com.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions>.

Wiley Global Headquarters

111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials, or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

ISBN 978-1-119-82126-7

Cover image: Pixabay.Com

Cover design by Russell Richardson

Set in size of 11pt and Minion Pro by Manila Typesetting Company, Makati, Philippines

Printed in the USA

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Dedicated to our friends and family for their constant support during the course of this book

List of Figures

- [1.1 Process of improvement process.](#)
- [1.2 Summary of recommendation review results.](#)
- [1.3 ReMo.](#)
- [1.4 Correlation analysis.](#)
- [1.5 Correlated tasks via work products.](#)
- [1.6 Identifying finding correlations.](#)
- [1.7 Finding correlations.](#)
- [1.8 Identifying improvement packages.](#)
- [1.9 Improvement package description.](#)
- [1.10 Refining improvement packages.](#)
- [1.11 Findings-to-organization matrix.](#)
- [1.12 Findings from organizational view.](#)
- [1.13 Finding-to-life cycle matrix.](#)
- [1.14 Findings from software life cycle view.](#)
- [1.15 Capability-based process model guidance.](#)
- [1.16 Finding from assessment model view.](#)
- [1.17 Finding-to-business value matrix.](#)
- [1.18 Findings from business value view.](#)
- [1.19 Building recommendations.](#)
- [1.20 Abstract recommendation.](#)
- [1.21 Identifying recommendation seeds.](#)
- [1.22 Concrete recommendation.](#)

[1.23 Evolution of ReMo.](#)

[1.24 Concreteness comparison – Process element coverage.](#)

[1.25 Concreteness comparison per project.](#)

[1.26 Concreteness comparison of recommendation examples.](#)

[1.27 Comprehensiveness comparison – Process area coverage.](#)

[1.28 Comprehensiveness comparison – Recommendation example.](#)

[2.1 The ambidextrous security program.](#)

[2.2 Percentage of people in each adopter category.](#)

[3.1 Non-cognitive skills framework represented as clusters.](#)

[4.1 Research methodology.](#)

[4.2 Evaluation results.](#)

[4.3 Projections of percentiles for score and cost \(E. Acebes \[62\]\).](#)

[4.4 AON network diagram.](#)

[4.5 Sample burn-up chart showing schedule variance.](#)

[4.6 Burn-down chart reflecting scope change and schedule variance.](#)

[4.7 Projection of \$i^{th}\$ iteration into different percentiles.](#)

[4.8 Burn-up chart incorporating cost.](#)

[4.9 Projection into score and cost.](#)

[4.10 Projection into score and cost.](#)

[4.11 Multiple releases.](#)

4.12 Features at single release.

4.13 Projection into score and cost.

5.1 Workflow diagram.

5.2 (a-i) Fitted shapes and residuals of GO, G-GO, and GMWD models on Beam 2.0.0, 2.1.0, and 2.2.0 failure datasets.

6.1 The capability dimension of the OD-CMM (adapted from [49]).

7.1 Division of complex problem into a hierarchical structure.

7.2 Schematic diagram of proposed research design of the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) consistency ratio.

7.3 Hierarchical structure of the present study for the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) method.

8.1 Proposed system model.

8.2 Performance evaluation of datasets using precision, recall and F-measure.

8.3 Change in recall.

9.1 Reporting the review process.

11.1 Layered architecture.

11.2 Graphical representation of microservices architecture pattern.

11.3 Event-driven architecture pattern.

11.4 Graphical representation of blackboard software architecture.

11.5 Graphical representation of the identified challenges.

13.1 Searching and selection process of research articles.

14.1 The measurement framework (adapted from ISO/IEC 330xx).

List of Tables

- [1.1 Recommendation examples in current practice.](#)
- [1.2 Reviewed projects.](#)
- [1.3 Example findings of assessment.](#)
- [1.4 Recommendation description templates.](#)
- [1.5 Companies that participated in case studies.](#)
- [1.6 Assessment data used in case studies.](#)
- [1.7 Participants in case studies.](#)
- [1.8 Case study summary.](#)
- [1.9 Measurement items for PU and PEOU.](#)
- [1.10 Survey results on PU.](#)
- [1.11 Survey results on PEOU.](#)
- [1.12 Mann-Whitney U Test results on PU and PEOU.](#)
- [1.13 Example recommendations produced by an ad-hoc method in current practice.](#)
- [1.14 Process element coverage.](#)
- [1.15 Process area coverage per project.](#)
- [1.16 A comparison of ReMo with existing studies.](#)
- [1.17 Example results in case studies.](#)
- [1.18 Example results in case studies \(continued\).](#)
- [1.19 Example results in case studies \(continued\).](#)
- [3.1 Profile equivalence in e-CF, ESCO and Colomo's study.](#)
- [3.2 Profiles involved in ISO 12207 processes.](#)

[3.3 Skills relevance in ISO 12207 processes.](#)

[3.4 Link from NCSF to processes in ISO 12207.](#)

[3.5 Link from processes to e-CF competences through deliverables.](#)

[4.1 Combination of different approaches used as monitoring and controlling methods in software project management.](#)

[4.2 Tools/techniques used for traditional project scope definition.](#)

[4.3 Tools/techniques used for agile project scope definition.](#)

[4.4 Extracted factors from the literature.](#)

[4.5 Mapping of factors elements.](#)

[4.6 Descriptive statistics.](#)

[4.7 Description of notations.](#)

[4.8 A-SPSRI elements.](#)

[4.9 Top contributing A-SPSRI elements.](#)

[4.10 Top 8 contributing A-SPSRI elements.](#)

[4.11 Assign definition level.](#)

[4.12 Weight, \$dI\$ and score of elements in Case Study 2.](#)

[4.13 Assign cost to A-SPSRI elements.](#)

[5.1 Acronyms and notations.](#)

[5.2 Special cases of GMWD function with different values of parameters.](#)

[5.3 Dataset: Beam 2.0.0, Beam 2.1.0, and Beam 2.2.0.](#)

[5.4 Competing and proposed models with MVF.](#)

[5.5 Competing and proposed models with MVF.](#)

[6.1 Quality assessment criteria.](#)

[6.2 Existing MMs assessment results.](#)

[6.3 The process dimension of the OD-CMM.](#)

[6.4 Capability levels.](#)

[6.5 Existing maturity models in the open data domain.](#)

[6.6 An example process definition of ODM2 open data discovery process.](#)

[7.1 Search outcomes of different resources/libraries and databases.](#)

[7.2 Details of extracted data.](#)

[7.3 Synthesis of details of success factors.](#)

[7.4 Success factors for software outsourcing human resource.](#)

[7.5 Description of 9-point scale for intensity of importance.](#)

[7.6 Relationship between size of matrix and random consistency index.](#)

[7.7 Success factors identified in the questionnaire study.](#)

[7.8 Pairwise comparison matrix between the success factors of the “procurement” category.](#)

[7.9 Synthesized or normalized matrix of the “procurement” category.](#)

[7.10 Pairwise comparison matrix between the success factors of the “organization” category.](#)

[7.11 Synthesized or normalized matrix of the “organization” category.](#)