Uday C Jha Harsh Nayyar Shiv Kumar Agrawal Kadambot H. M. Siddique *Editors*

Developing Climate Resilient Grain and Forage Legumes



Developing Climate Resilient Grain and Forage Legumes Uday C Jha • Harsh Nayyar • Shiv Kumar Agrawal • Kadambot H. M. Siddique Editors

Developing Climate Resilient Grain and Forage Legumes



Editors Uday C Jha Indian Institute of Pulses Research Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Shiv Kumar Agrawal International Center for Agricultural Research for the Dry Areas Rabat, Morocco Harsh Nayyar Department of Botany Panjab University Chandigarh, India

Kadambot H. M. Siddique The UWA Institute of Agriculture The University of Western Australia Perth, WA, Australia

ISBN 978-981-16-9847-7 ISBN 978-981-16-9848-4 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9848-4

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2022

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

Contents

1	Improving Chickpea Genetic Gain Under Rising Drought and Heat Stress Using Breeding Approaches and Modern Technologies Uday C Jha, Harsh Nayyar, Rintu Jha, Prasant Kumar Singh, Girish Prasad Dixit, Yogesh Kumar, Biswajit Mondal, Avinash Kumar Srivastava, Eric J. B. von Wettberg, Pronob J. Paul, Ajaz A. Lone, Srinivasan Samineni, Sailesh K. Tripathi, and Kadambot H. M. Siddique	1
2	Breeding Chickpea for Climate Resilience: An Overview Bingi Pujari Mallikarjuna, Basavanagouda S. Patil, Shashi Meena, Shailesh Tripathi, Jayant S. Bhat, A. G. Vijayakumar, and Chellapilla Bharadwaj	27
3	Reduction of Phytic Acid and Enhancement of Bioavailable Micronutrients in Common Beans (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) in Changing Climatic Scenario M. Asif, Iqbal Qureshi, Shabir Bangroo, S. S. Mahdi, F. A. Sheikh, M. Ashraf Bhat, Bashir Ahmad Alie, M. H. Khan, N. A. Dar, Z. A. Dar, Uday C Jha, and Ajaz A. Lone	59
4	Developing Climate-Resilient Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata [L.]Walp.) Through Genomics-Assisted Breeding Approaches Gitanjali Sahay, Brijesh Kumar Mehta, Nilamani Dikshit, P. Shashikumara, H. A. Bhargavi, and Parichita Priyadarshini	77
5	Fenugreek, A Legume Spice and Multiuse Crop Adapted to a Changing Climate Poornima K. Narayana, Erika Bueno, Amanda Baur, Seid Ahmed, and Eric J. B. von Wettberg	105
6	Grass Pea an Inherent Abiotic Stress-Tolerant Legume: Current Status and Future Scope Under Changing Environment	125

7	Breeding Groundnut Cultivars for Resilience to Climate Change Effects	141
	Sai Rekha Kadirimangalam, Rachana Bagudam, Anurag Mathew, Dnyaneshwar Deshmukh, and Janila Pasupuleti	
8	Horse Gram, An Underutilized Climate-Resilient Legume: Breeding and Genomic Approach for Improving Future Genetic Gain	167
9	Understanding Abiotic Stress Responses in Lentil Under Changing Climate Regimes	179
10	Approaches Toward Developing Heat and Drought Tolerance in Mungbean	205
11	A Review on Stress Physiology and Breeding Potential of an Underutilized, Multipurpose Legume: Rice Bean (<i>Vigna umbellata</i>) Kousik Atta, Saju Adhikary, Saptarshi Mondal, Soumik Mukherjee, Apurba Pal, Subhasis Mondal, Kalyan Jana, and Benukar Biswas	235
12	Physiological Traits Based Breeding to Achieve Higher Yield in Soybean CropMaharaj Singh, Shivani Nagar, Akanksha Singh, and G. K. Satpute	255
13	Sunn Hemp: A Climate-Smart Crop	277
14	Status of Faba Bean (Vicia faba L.) in the Mediterraneanand East African CountriesLynn Abou-Khater, Fouad Maalouf, and Diego Rubiales	297

About the Editors

Uday C Jha graduated from Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan, India. He is working in the area of grain legume breeding, genetics, and genomics for abiotic stress tolerance since 2010 at the Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, ICAR, India. He has more than 32 peered-reviewed international publications and 1 edited book. He has attended and delivered oral and poster presentation in various national and international conferences. He is associated in developing six chickpea varieties. Dr. Jha was awarded with ISPRD fellowship in 2016 by the Indian Society of Pulses & Research Development (ISPRD) and Young Scientist Award by IJBSM society in 2017 at Jaipur, India. He has also received the Chickpea Team Award in 2020 from IIPR, Kanpur. He is serving as editorial board member of the journal *BMC Plant Biology*.

Harsh Nayyar is currently a professor in the Department of Botany, Panjab University, Chandigarh. He graduated from Punjab Agricultural University, India, and worked at the Indian Council of Agricultural Research and H.P. Agricultural University, Palampur, India, prior to his present position. His research program aims to study the responses of various food legumes such as chickpea, lentil, mungbean, and urdbean to abiotic stresses like heat, drought, and cold as well as their combination. He is developing convenient and easy screening methods to identify stress-tolerant legumes and also investigating the mechanisms at various organizational levels underlying stress tolerance. He has published about 140 research papers in peer-reviewed high impact factor research journals.

Shiv Kumar Agrawal holds a Ph.D. in plant breeding from the GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India. His area of expertise includes crop improvement through resistance breeding, widening the genetic base through pre-breeding, and development of genetic and genomic resources in food legumes. During the 30 years of his professional career, he, in collaboration with national partners, has contributed to the development of 59 lentil, 5 mung bean, 2 urdbean, 1 rice, and 3 grass pea varieties and published 196 peer-reviewed journal articles, 72 book chapters, 9 books, 7 technical bulletins, and 2 training manuals. He is a fellow of professional societies including the International Legumes Society, the

Indian Society of Genetics and Plant Breeding, and the Indian Society of Pulses Research and Development.

Kadambot H. M. Siddique is the Hackett Professor of Agriculture Chair and Director of The UWA Institute of Agriculture, The University of Western Australia. He has a national and international reputation in agricultural science, especially in the fields of crop physiology, production agronomy, farming systems, genetic resources, breeding research in cereal, grain and pasture legumes, and oilseed crops. He has published more than 580 scientific papers and book chapters and books. Professor Siddique is a highly cited researcher (Hi Ci) in agricultural science and plant and animal science (Thomson Reuters/ Clarivate Analytics). He is a fellow of the Australian Academy of Technological Sciences and Engineering (FTSE), the Australian Agricultural Institute, and the Indian National Academy of Agricultural Sciences (FNAAS). Prof. Siddique is a fellow of the African Academy of Sciences (FAAS) (first Australian to be elected to the Academy). He is also a fellow of the Indian Society of Plant Physiology.



Improving Chickpea Genetic Gain Under Rising Drought and Heat Stress Using Breeding Approaches and Modern Technologies

Uday C Jha, Harsh Nayyar, Rintu Jha, Prasant Kumar Singh, Girish Prasad Dixit, Yogesh Kumar, Biswajit Mondal, Avinash Kumar Srivastava, Eric J. B. von Wettberg, Pronob J. Paul, Ajaz A. Lone, Srinivasan Samineni, Sailesh K. Tripathi, and Kadambot H. M. Siddique

Abstract

Increasing grain legume production, particularly for chickpea, will provide essential "plant-based dietary protein" and other micronutrients under the changing global climate. Drought and terminal heat stress limit plant growth and

U. C Jha $(\boxtimes) \cdot G$. P. Dixit \cdot Y. Kumar \cdot B. Mondal \cdot A. K. Srivastava Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

H. Nayyar Department of Botany, Panjab University, Chandigarh, Punjab, India

R. Jha · S. K. Tripathi Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

P. K. Singh Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

E. J. B. von Wettberg College of Agriculture and Life Science, The University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, USA

P. J. Paul International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) South-Asia Hub, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

A. A. Lone Dryland Agriculture Research Station, SKUAST-Kashmir, Rangreth Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

S. Samineni International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Hyderabad, Telangana, India

K. H. M. Siddique The UWA Institute of Agriculture, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2022 U. C Jha et al. (eds.), *Developing Climate Resilient Grain and Forage Legumes*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9848-4_1 negatively affect various phenological events, causing severe yield losses. Among various strategies for improving stress tolerance, the judicious utilization of available genetic variation in the chickpea gene pool could minimize the adverse effects of drought and heat stress, sustaining chickpea yields. In addition, advancements in chickpea genomic resources, from molecular markers, namely, SSR, SNP, and INDELs and tools for association genetics, RNA-seq, to the availability of chickpea genome sequences and efforts of global chickpea germplasm resequencing allow us to identify loci and haplotypes contributing to drought and heat tolerance across the whole genome. Thus, molecular markers have enabled the successful transfer of drought-tolerant traits to elite chickpea cultivars using marker-assisted and haplotype-based breeding approaches. Likewise, the role of drought- and heat-responsive proteins and metabolites could significantly improve our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of drought and heat tolerance in chickpea via proteomics and metabolomics. Moreover, emerging novel breeding technologies (e.g., genomic selection, speed breeding, and genome editing) could enhance the necessary genetic gain to feed the increasing global population under an abruptly changing global climate.

Keywords

Chickpea · Drought · Heat · Molecular marker · |Genetic variability

1.1 Introduction

Chickpea is a highly nutritious grain legume crop that contributes to global food security, providing essential amino acids and micronutrients to the global human population (Jukanti et al. 2012). Chickpea ameliorates soil nitrogen content by fixing atmospheric N2 through symbiotically efficient rhizobacteria (Graham and Vance 2003), enriching soil nitrogen for subsequent crops in the rotation (Marques et al. 2020a). Global climate change and shifting production practices frequently expose chickpea to terminal drought and heat stress, causing severe yield losses (Jha et al. 2014a). Efficient utilization of chickpea germplasm resources, including landraces, wild relatives, and improved breeding lines, could sustain chickpea yields under drought and heat stress. Despite the genetic complexity of drought and heat tolerance mechanisms, various genomic resources-including draft genome sequences, resequencing panels, and segregating populations-have been developed in the last decade to elucidate the genetic determinants governing drought and heat tolerance (Thudi et al. 2014; Jha et al. 2018a, 2021a; Paul et al. 2018b; Jain et al. 2013; Varshney et al. 2013a, 2019). Furthermore, molecular markers have enabled the successful transfer of drought-tolerant traits to elite chickpea cultivars using a marker-assisted breeding approach (Roorkiwal et al. 2020). Thus, haplotype-based breeding could introduce desired allelic combinations to improve drought and heat stress tolerance in chickpea. Functional genomics could be used to pinpoint drought and heat-tolerant candidate gene(s) and their putative functions. Likewise,

proteomics and metabolomics could greatly improve our current knowledge on various proteins and metabolites produced in response to drought and heat stress (Khan et al. 2019a, b). The knowledge to be gained from these approaches can be quickly harnessed due to the power of novel breeding technologies including genomic selection, haplotype-based breeding, speed breeding, and genome editing (Meuwissen et al. 2001; Hickey et al. 2019; Samineni et al. 2019; Bohra et al. 2020; Badhan et al. 2021). These approaches combined with knowledge on the genetic basis of stress tolerance traits should improve crop breeding to help meet the challenge of feeding the growing global population under climate change.

1.2 Impacts and Anomalies Associated with Drought Stress in Chickpea

Drought stress alone causes up to 50% yield losses in chickpea (Varshney et al. 2014). Chickpea frequently faces terminal drought stress as it is mostly grown under rainfed conditions in semiarid and arid regions (Gaur et al. 2012). Drought stress can occur at any stage of the plant growth cycle, with terminal drought the most common across the major production areas in South Asia, East Africa, and Mediterranean climates. Key physiological and biochemical, and developmental processes-photosynthesis, RuBisCo activity, carbohydrate synthesis, TCA cycle, respiration, and root growth—are seriously affected under drought stress, restricting plant growth (Yordanov et al. 2003; Parry et al. 2002; Guo et al. 2018). Drought stress during germination and vegetative growth decreases stomatal conductance, chlorophyll synthesis, plant vigor, and biomass accumulation (Krishnamurthy et al. 2013a, b; Purushothaman et al. 2015, 2016; Pang et al. 2017b). Drought stress during reproductive growth significantly affects anther formation, pollen formation, pollen fertility, pollen tube germination, and fertilization (Leport et al. 1999), resulting in improper pod formation, seed development, and seed filling, and ultimately significant yield losses. Moreover, drought stress affects nitrogen fixation by decreasing nitrogenase activity due to reduced expression of the *nifK* gene (Serraj et al. 1999; Nasr Esfahani et al. 2014).

1.3 Impact of Heat Stress on the Reproductive Process in Chickpea

Rising global temperatures are challenging chickpea growth and development processes, leading to profound yield losses of at least 10–15%, or 53–330 kg/ha (Kalra et al. 2008; Upadhyaya et al. 2011; Kaushal et al. 2013; Jha et al. 2014b, 2017). Heat stress affects plant growth processes during vegetative, reproductive, and maturity phases (Devasirvatham et al. 2015; Bhandari et al. 2020). Heat stress hastens plant phenological events, decreasing biomass accumulation and grain filling, and ultimately causing yield losses (Kaushal et al. 2013). The reproductive stage is the most vulnerable to heat stress (Jagadish et al. 2021) because it severely impairs all reproductive processes (Devasirvatham et al. 2013; Jha et al. 2017). Increased atmospheric temperatures beyond normal during anthesis affect anther formation, the pollination process, including pollen germination and pollen tube formation, fertilization, and pod formation (Kaushal et al. 2013; Devasirvatham et al. 2013, 2015; Bhandari et al. 2020). Consequently, heat exposure inhibits proper seed development, resulting in shriveled seed and thus severe yield losses (Devasirvatham et al. 2013, 2015). Furthermore, nodule development and biological nitrogen fixation processes are impeded under heat stress, negatively impacting plant growth.

1.4 Genetic Resources for Drought and Heat Stress Tolerance

Harnessing genetic variability from various wild gene pools, landraces, and improved breeding lines could be the most eco-friendly and economic approach for developing abiotic stress tolerance, including drought and heat stress tolerance.

Several promising chickpea genotypes conferring drought tolerance have been identified based on various phenological, physiological and yield-related traits (Kumar and Rao 1996; Krishnamurthy et al. 2003; Shah et al. 2020). Drought stress can be mitigated by hastening plant phenological processes before the onset of terminal drought stress. The advantage of early phenology to tackle drought stress can be harnessed by transferring this trait into high-yielding yet drought-sensitive elite chickpea genotypes, for example, ICC96029, ICC96030, ICCV2, ACC316, and ACC317 (Kumar and Rao 1996; Kumar and Abbo 2001; Krishnamurthy et al. 2003; Canci and Toker 2009a) (see Table 1.1). However, early phenology can come with a yield penalty. Root system architecture—an important parameter manifesting plasticity under various environmental stresses, including drought-could be harnessed to develop drought tolerance in plants. Numerous studies have identified chickpea genotypes harboring improved root traits under drought stress. For example, ICC495 and ICC8261, with high root biomass and rooting depth (Krishnamurthy et al. 2003; Kashiwagi et al. 2008) have been judiciously used in chickpea breeding programs to develop drought-tolerant genotypes (Varshney et al. 2014). Targeting root traits for improving drought tolerance, Khandal et al. (2020) recently manipulated cytokinin levels to increase lateral root development and root biomass, attributing to drought tolerance in chickpea. Several promising chickpea lines exhibit improved photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, chlorophyll fluorescence, and other physiological traits under water stress (Toker et al. 2007; Makonya et al. 2020; Shah et al. 2020).

Several biochemicals, including various sugars, osmolytes, antioxidants, and other biochemicals, are produced in response to drought stress. Based on these parameters, MCC 544, MCC 696 and MCC 693 (Mafekheri et al. 2010), ILC482 (Mafakheri et al. 2011), Bakhar-2011 (Farooq et al. 2018), and D0091-10, K010-10, and D0085-10 (Shah et al. 2020) have been identified as potentially stress tolerant and useful as parents for crosses. In terms of yield and yield-related traits, ICC 14778, ICCV 10 (Ramamoorthy et al. 2016), ICC8950 (Awasthi et al. 2013),

		3			
			Tested field/		
		Origin/reported	laboratory/	Trait used for selection imparting	
Stress	Resistance source	from	greenhouse	stress tolerance	References
Drought stress	ICC 96029	India	Field	Earliness	Kumar and Rao (1996)
	ICCV 2	India	Field	Earliness	Kumar and Abbo (2001)
	ICC 4958	India	Field	Root and root-related traits	Kashiwagi et al. (2008), Krishnamurthy et al. (2003), Kashiwagi et al. (2005)
	C. anatolicum, C. microphyllum, C. songaricum, C. oxydon, C. montbretii	Turkey	Field	Low wilting under water stress	Toker et al. (2007)
	ICC 8261	India	Field	Root and root-related traits	Gaur et al. (2008)
	ACC316, ACC317	Turkey	Field	Earliness	Canci and Toker (2009a)
	ILC482	Iran	Field	Osmolyte regulation	Mafakheri et al. (2011)
	ICC 7571	India	Field	High harvest index	Kashiwagi et al. (2013)
	ICC 14778, ICCV 10	India	Field	Grain yield trait	Ramamoorthy et al. (2016)
	ICC8950	India	Laboratory	High antioxidant defense capacity, and high seed yield	Awasthi et al. (2017)
	ICC 16374B, ICC 15510, ICC 9586, ICC 867	India	Field	Deeper root for acquiring water	Chen et al. (2017)
					(continued)

 Table 1.1
 List of chickpea genotypes contributing to heat and drought stress tolerance

			Tested field/		
		Origin/reported	laboratory/	Trait used for selection imparting	
Stress	Resistance source	from	greenhouse	stress tolerance	References
	ICC 14778, ICCV 10	India	Field	Root growth	Ramamoorthy et al. (2017)
	Neelam	Australia	Greenhouse	Improved seed yield	Pang et al. (2017a)
	Bakhar-2011	Australia	Laboratory	Increased proline, trehalose content	Farooq et al. (2018)
	Acc#7	University of Cape Town, South Africa	Greenhouse	Increased shoot biomass, <i>Pn</i> , <i>Fv</i> / <i>Fm</i> , and RWC; smaller root diameter	Makonya et al. (2020)
	D0091-10, K010-10, D0085-10, K005-10, D0078-10, 08AG016, 08AG004, D0080-10, 09AG002, K002-10, D0099-10	Pakistan	Growth chamber and field	Cell membrane stability, proline content, glycine betaine, nitrate reductase	Shah et al. (2020)
	CH55/09	Pakistan	Field	Stable yield	Arif et al. (2021)
	C. reticulatum (Kalkan_64, Savur_63)	University of California	Laboratory	Metabolic pathways, viz., phenylpropanoid metabolism	Moenga et al. (2020)
Heat stress	ILC482, Annegiri, ICCV10		Laboratory	Cell membrane	Srinivasan et al. (1996)
	ICCV88512 and ICCV88513	India	Field	Grain yield	Dua (2001)
	ACC316and ACC317		Field	Earliness	Canci and Toker (2009a)
	ICC1205	India	Field and laboratory	High pollen viability	Devasirvatham et al. (2010)
	ICC4958, ICC4991, ICC6279, ICC6874, ICC7441, ICC8950	India	Field	Earliness, seed yield/plant	Krishnamurthy et al. (2011)

ICC14346	India	Field	Earliness	Upadhyaya et al. (2011)
ICC14778	India	Field	Cooler canopy	Zaman-Allah et al. (2011a, b)
ICCV92944	India	Field	Earliness	Gaur et al. (2012)
ICC15614	India	Field and laboratory	High pollen viability	Devasirvatham et al. (2012, 2013), Kaushal et al.
BG 256	India	Laboratory	Seed yield	Jumrani and Bhatia (2014)
ICC8950	India	Laboratory	Physiological, biochemical and yield traits	Awasthi et al. (2014)
Katila, Vaibhav, Avrodhi	India	Field	Increased grain yield	Jha and Shil (2015)
RVG 203, JAKI 9218, JG 130, ICCV0 7118, ICC1356	India	Field	Increased grain yield	Jha et al. (2015, 2018b, c, 2019a, b)
ICC 14778, ICC 15618	India	Field	Improved yield	Varshney et al. (2019)
Cicer turcicum	Antalya, Turkey	Screenhouse	High pod set	Toker et al. (2021)

FLIP03-145C, ILC 3182, and ILC 588 (Hamwieh and Imtiaz 2015), ICC 7571 (Kashiwagi et al. 2013), Neelam (Pang et al. 2017a), and CH55/099 (Arif et al. 2021) were identified as promising genotypes conferring drought tolerance; these genotypes could be used as donors for transferring yield and yield-related traits into elite yet drought-sensitive chickpea cultivars.

Heat stress is emerging as a serious abiotic stress in chickpea, especially during the reproductive stage (Jha et al. 2014a). Several chickpea genotypes have been identified that exhibit heat tolerance based on various parameters (Gaur et al. 2012; Jha et al. 2018b; Bhandari et al. 2020). Heat-tolerant lines can be selected based on yield parameters using various selection indices (e.g., heat tolerance index, tolerance index, heat susceptibility index) by growing genotypes under timely and late-sown conditions. Using this screening method, several potential heat-tolerant chickpea lines (e.g., RVG 203, RSG 888, JAKI 9218, GNG 469, IPC 06-11) have been reported (Jha et al. 2018b), Likewise, ICCV92944, RVG203, JAKI9218, ICC1356, and JG130 showed improved yield performance under heat stress (Gaur et al. 2012; Jha et al. 2015, 2018b, c). Within Ethiopian germplasm, DZ-Cr-0034 was identified as heat tolerant in multisite trials in Ethiopia and India (Getahun et al. 2021). Assessing yield and yield-related traits of breeding lines at multiple locations will provide further impetus for selecting heat-tolerant lines under a range of target environments. In this context, JAKI 9218 showed promising results under heat stress (Jha et al. 2019b).

Earliness is an important heat escape mechanism. ACC316, ACC317 (Canci and Toker 2009a), and ICCV92944 (Gaur et al. 2012) were identified for their earliness to escape heat stress. Likewise, ILC482, Annegiri, ICCV10, ICC8950, and ICC14778 were identified as promising genotypes in terms of various physiological traits contributing to heat tolerance (Srinivasan et al. 1996; Zaman-Allah et al. 2011a, b; Awasthi et al. 2014). Screening for pollen and pollen-related traits under heat stress at the reproductive phase could be important for selecting heat-tolerant chickpea lines. Based on these traits, ICCV92944, ICC15614, ICC1205, and ICC07110 were identified as heat-tolerant in both the field and a growth chamber (Kaushal et al. 2013; Awasthi et al. 2014; Devasirvatham et al. 2015; Bhandari et al. 2020) (see Table 1.1). Significant genetic variation for various phenological, morphophysiological, and vield-related traits was found in the ICC15614 \times ICC4567, and DCP92-3 \times ICCV92944 mapping populations under heat stress (Paul et al. 2018a; Jha et al. 2021a, b).

1.5 Crop Wild Relatives (CWRs): A Hidden Reservoir of Drought and Heat Tolerance in Chickpea

Judicious exploitation of CWRs in crop breeding programs could broaden the genetic base of improved breeding lines for sustaining grain yield and plant adaptation under challenging environments (Coyne et al. 2020; von Wettberg et al. 2018). Likewise, various CWR accessions of chickpea are being incorporated into breeding programs for developing abiotic stress tolerance, including drought and heat

tolerance. An evaluation of various chickpea CWRs—*C. anatolicum, C. microphyllum, C. montbretti, C. oxydon,* and *C. songaricum*—under water stress revealed their potential for conferring drought tolerance (Toker et al. 2007). Similarly, an assessment of various annual *Cicer* species for drought and heat stress tolerance identified better adaptation of four *C. reticulatum* accessions and one *C. pinnatifidum* accession under drought and heat stress (Canci and Toker 2009b); these *Cicer species* could be used to introgress "adaptive traits" contributing to drought and heat stress tolerance into elite chickpea cultivars. To date, only *C. reticulatum* and some *C. echinospermum* are compatible with cultivated chickpea (e.g., Kahraman et al. 2017). However, with an increased understanding of the nature of genome organization in the genus (Varshney et al. 2021), it may be feasible to cross more distantly related *Cicer* species, such as *C. pinnatifidum*.

Recent studies harnessing new collections of *C. reticulatum* and *C. echinospermum* from southeastern Turkey (von Wettberg et al. 2018; Coyne et al. 2020) have identified new sources of drought and heat tolerance (e.g., Talip et al. 2018; von Wettberg et al. 2018; Marques et al. 2020b; Getahun et al. 2021). Initial analyses of these collections identified multiple populations from lower elevation, more exposed sites with greater drought tolerance (e.g., von Wettberg et al. 2018). Some higher elevation sites showed greater cold tolerance (Mir et al. 2021), but interestingly, a lower elevation, low water availability site was among the most cold-tolerant parents. This suggests that wild populations may exhibit interesting patterns of cross-tolerance among abiotic and biotic stresses (von Wettberg et al. 2014).

To harness variation beyond *C. reticulatum* and *C. echinospermum*, which have far narrower environmental distributions than other *Cicer* species (Coyne et al. 2020), it makes sense to continue looking at other wild taxa. Recently, Toker et al. (2021) reported *C. turcicum* as a species exhibiting better reproductive function under heat stress. Ongoing work is assessing the potential to cross this species with cultivated chickpea (Toker, pers. comm). Besides CWRs, "adaptive loci" of landraces conferring plant phenotypic plasticity and adaptation under various hostile environments could be harnessed to develop climate-resilient genotypes. Considering this, Varshney et al. (2019) identified ICC 14778 and ICC 15618 as two chickpea genotypes possessing gene(s) that contribute to chickpea adaptation in hot environments.

1.6 Genomic Resources for Drought and Heat Tolerance

During the last decade, unprecedented advances in developing chickpea genomics resources have enabled the mapping of various breeding importance traits, including drought and heat stress (Thudi et al. 2014; Jha 2018; Jha et al. 2020).

Understanding the genetic basis of traits has improved with the advent of SSR markers. Several drought-related traits QTLs were identified on different linkage groups by employing SSR markers (Rehman et al. 2011; Varshney et al. 2014; Hamwieh et al. 2013). Comprehensive phenotyping of various drought-relevant

traits in two biparental mapping populations evaluated at multiple locations underpinned a QTL hotspot on CaLG04 (Varshney et al. 2014). Subsequently, the availability of SNP markers developed through genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) technology-enabled partitioning of this QTL hotspot into QTL-hotspot_a (harboring 15 genes) and QTL-hotspot_b (harboring11 genes) (Kale et al. 2015). Furthermore, Jaganathan et al. (2015) refined this QTL-hotspot genomic region to 14 cM, harboring several novel SNPs in the ICC1882 × ICC4958 mapping population using GBS technology. To identify drought tolerance QTLs, Sivasakthi et al. (2018) elucidated 13 M-QTLs related to plant vigor (rather than drought tolerance *per se*) on LG4 coinciding with the QTL hotspot and one M-QTL contributing to stomatal conductance on LG3 under drought stress. The availability of the chickpea genome sequence assisted in pinpointing the candidate gene(s) underlying these drought stress QTLs (Jaganathan et al. 2015; Kale et al. 2015; Srivastava et al. 2016).

Jha et al.(2019a) shed light on the genetic control of heat tolerance in chickpea using a diallel analysis based on phenological and yield parameters assessed under heat stress. The authors reported the presence of both additive and nonadditive gene action controlling heat tolerance. Thus, the availability of molecular markers, especially SSRs and SNPs, further improves our understanding of the genetics of heat tolerance (Thudi et al. 2014; Jha et al. 2019c, 2021a, b).

Assaying the F₂-derived DCP92-3 \times ICCV92944 mapping population using SSR markers identified one OTL controlling primary branch number and one OTL linked to chlorophyll content under heat stress (Jha et al. 2019c). Paul et al. (2018b) mapped vield-related QTLs (pod number per plant, seed number per plant, biomass, and percentage pod set) on CaLG05 and CaLG06 chromosomes under heat stress by genotyping the ICC15614 \times ICC4567 mapping population using GBS technology. Likewise, deploying the GBS-derived 788 SNP markers in the DCP92- $3 \times ICCV92944$ mapping population identified 37 major QTLs related to various physiological and yield-related traits evaluated under heat stress (Jha et al. 2021b) (see Table 1.2). The authors also elucidated 28 candidate genes related to HSPs underlying the identified QTLs. Moreover, a large set of global germplasm offers great opportunity to capture significant marker-trait associations for heat-tolerant traits across the whole genome using genome-wide association mapping (Thudi et al. 2014; Varshney et al. 2019). Based on this approach, several MTAs for various phenological, physiological, and yield-related traits evaluated under heat stress have been uncovered in chickpea (Thudi et al. 2014; Jha et al. 2017, 2018a, 2021a; Varshney et al. 2019).

Using 81 SSR markers assayed in 71 chickpea genotypes, several significant MTAs related to physiological traits, namely, membrane stability index and chlorophyll content were identified under heat stress (Jha et al. 2018a). Likewise, GWAS performed on a set of 182 chickpea germplasm using 120 SSR markers elucidated a plethora of significant MTAs for various phenological, physiological, and yield and yield-related traits phenotyped under heat stress (Jha et al. 2021a) (see Table 1.2). Apart from this, various root traits contributing to higher water use efficiency and the QTL hotspot from ICC4958 to elite chickpea cultivars, such as JG11, ICCV10, RSG888, Pusa 372, Pusa 362, and JAKI9218, have been transferred using

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ed to drought and heat-stress tolerance in chickpea	Marker Marker Merker References vulation name and size QTLs/ MTA type No. markers used LG group References	5388 × ILC3279, RILs I5 QTLs SSR 97 LG1, LG3, LG4, Rehman 5) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5588 9 × ILC3279, 93 QTLs SSR LG3, LG4 Hamwieh Ls (181)	11 × ICC4958, BC QTL-hotspot SSR, $-$ Varshney et al. AFLP (2013b)	C 4958 × ICC1882, QTL-hotspot SSR 551 CaLG04 Varshney Ls (264) state et al. et al. (2014)	C 283 × ICC 8261, 312 significant MTAs DArT, 1072 DArTs CaLG04 Thudi et al. Ls (288) SNP +651 + 113 + 36 CaLG04 (2014) Ls (288) SNP SSRs SSRs (2014)	C 4958 × ICC 1882, 164 main-effect QTLs SNP, 743 SNPs +232 CaLG04 Jaganathan Ls (232) Ls (232) CAPS, SSRs+21 DArTs et al. et al. Ls (232) dCAPS, SSRs+21 DArTs et al. (2015)	QTL-hotspot SSR 7 EST-SSRs, 4 genic molecular markers	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline 2 4958 \times ICC 1882, \\ a (232) \\ \hline s (232) \\ \hline c (232) \\ \hline c (215) \\ \hline c (2015) \hline c (2015) \\ \hline c (2015) \hline c (2015) \\ \hline c (2015) \hline c (20$	QTL-hotspot_b (11 genes) CaLG08, CaLG07	7 4058 × ICC 1882 3 candidate canes SND Cal G01 Cal G04 Sinch et al	$\sim 7700 \times 100100$ 2 canturate guide 3 3001 3001 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002
		ation name and size QTLs/ MTA	$8 \times ILC3279$, RILs 15 QTLs	88 9 × ILC3279, 93 QTLs [181]	× ICC4958, BC QTL-hotspot	958 × ICC1882, QTL-hotspot (264)	83 × ICC 8261, 312 significant MTAs (288)	958 × ICC 1882, 164 main-effect QTLs (232)	QTL-hotspot	958 × ICC 1882, QTL-hotspot_a (15 genes) (232)	QTL-hotspot_b (11 genes)	$958 \times ICC \ 1882$ 3 candidate genes	_

chick
Е.
tolerance
heat-stress
and
drought
t0
related
QTLs
of 6
List
2
, U

Table 1.2	(continued)						
	Mapping			Marker			
Stress	approach	Population name and size	QTLs/ MTA	type	No. markers used	LG group	References
	Biparental	$ICC 4958 \times ICC 17163$	6 candidate genes	SNP		CaLG2, CaLG3,	Srivastava
						CaLG4, CaLG5,	et al.
						CaLG6, CaLG7	(2016)
	Biparental	$CC 4958 \times ICC 1882,$	21 major QTLs	SNP	241 SSRs, 1007	CaLG01, CaLG03,	Sivasakthi
		RILs (232)			SSRs and 1557	CaLG04, CaLG05,	et al.
					SNPs	Ca LG06, CaLG07,	(2018)
						Ca LG08	
	Super		38 significant MTAs	SNP	1,44,000	Ca3, Ca4, Ca5, Ca6	Li et al.
	GWAS						(2018)
Heat	Biparental	$ \text{ICC } 4567 \times \text{ICC } 15614,$	4 QTLs	SNP	271		Paul et al.
		RILs (292)					(2018b)
	GWAS	71 genotypes		SSR	81		Jha et al.
							(2018a)
	Biparental	$DCP92-3 \times ICCV92944,$	2 QTLs	SSR	78		Jha et al.
		F2 (206)					(2019c)
	GWAS			SNP			Varshney
							et al.
							(2019)
	GWAS	182 genotypes	24 + 14 MTAs under	SSR	120 SSRs	LG1 to LG8	Jha et al.
			normal condition; 17 + 34				(2021a)
			MTAs in heat-stressed environment				
	Discontel	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{D}02 = 1\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}02011$		CNID	700	1.1.1.00	The of ol
	Biparental	DCP92-3 × ICC V92944		ANG	/88	rui-rus	Jna et al. (2021b)

continu
1.2
Table

marker-assisted backcrossing approach to improve drought tolerance in chickpea (Varshney et al. 2013b; Roorkiwal et al. 2020; Bharadwaj et al. 2020).

1.7 Functional Genomics Approach for Uncovering Candidate Genes for Drought and Heat Tolerance

In the last decade, advances in functional genomics approaches, especially RNA-seq and earlier related technologies, have been used to identify several candidate gene (s) and their relevant function contributing to drought and heat stress in chickpea (Badhan et al. 2018; Mahdavi Mashaki et al. 2018). Earlier work using microarray technology identified several thousand genes expressed in response to drought stress (Mantri et al. 2007; Varshney et al. 2009). Varshney et al. (2009) reported 20,162 ESTs responding to drought stress. Likewise, Deokar et al. (2011) obtained 3062 unigenes responding to drought stress in a suppression subtraction hybridization study. Subsequently, high throughput RNA-seq technology assisted in unveiling a plethora of transcription factors (TFs), including WRKY, NAC, MYB, AP2-EREBP, and bHLH, controlling drought stress tolerance (Badhan et al. 2018; Mahdavi Mashaki et al. 2018; Kumar et al. 2019). Myriad of DEGs has been investigated using RNA-seq studies in chickpea to explore the role of various differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in response to drought stress (Kumar et al. 2019; Bhaskarla et al. 2020; Sagar et al. 2021). Recently, the roles of phospholipases D genes (Sagar et al. 2021) and oxylipin biosynthesis genes (Bhaskarla et al. 2020) contributing to drought stress response were elucidated in a functional genomic study (see Table 1.3). Agarwal et al. (2016) uncovered five HSP 90 genes contributing to heat stress tolerance based on the results of an RNA-seq study on reproductive tissues treated with heat stress. Thus, transcriptomic studies have facilitated the deciphering of candidate gene(s) and complex network of gene (s) and their functional role in attributing plant adaptation to drought and heat stress in chickpea.

1.8 Proteome and Metabolome Dynamics for Resolving Drought and Heat Tolerance in Chickpea

Proteomics could enhance our understanding of drought stress tolerance by providing insight into the proteins produced in response to drought stress (Parankusam et al. 2017). Drought-responsive participatory proteins obtained under drought stress include cellular proteins involved in glycolysis, protein synthesis, TCA cycle, signal transduction, protecting cells from reactive oxygen species (ROS)-related damage, heat shock proteins, and cellular osmotic adjustment (Gupta et al. 2020; Vessal et al. 2020). Vessal et al. (2020) reported the participatory activity of RuBisCo, ATP synthase, carbonic anhydrase, L-ascorbate peroxidase, auxinbinding protein contributing to drought stress tolerance in chickpea. Similarly, a comparative proteomics analysis revealed 75 proteins—related to oxidative stress

Stress	Tissue used	Transcriptomic technique used	Differentially expressed gene (s) with putative function	References
Drought	Leaves and flowers		Repression of aquaporin-like membrane channel protein; inhibition of auxin-repressed proteins	Mantri et al. (2007)
	Roots	SuperSAGE	7532 unitags and 880 unitags involved in ROS scavenging activity under water stress	Molina et al. (2008)
	Roots	ESTs	20,162 ESTs, dehydrin DHN3, late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) genes coexpressed under drought stress	Varshney et al. (2009)
	Shoots and roots	Suppression subtractive hybridization	3062 unigenes; upregulation of myoinositol-1-phosphate synthase (MIPS) and pyrroline- 5-carboxylate synthetase (P5CS) genes helps in drought stress tolerance; regulation of Myb, ERF-2, NAC, bZIP, HD-ZP, etc. could participate in drought tolerance	Deokar et al. (2011)
	Roots	ESTs	44,639 tentative unique sequences involved in regulating various stress-responsive TFs and HSPs under drought stress	Hiremath et al. (2011)
	Roots	RNA-seq	4053 and 1330 regulate TFs (bHLH, AP2-EREBP and MYB HB, WRKY and NAC) under water stress	Garg et al. (2016)
	Roots and shoots	RNA-seq	-	Srivastava et al. (2016)
	Leaves from shoots at apical meristem stage	RNA-seq	1562 genes, 2592 genes related to controlling expression of MYB-related protein, ethylene response under water stress	Badhan et al. (2018)
	Roots and shoots	RNA-seq	261 and 169 genes, TFs (bHLH, leucine-rich repeat), aldo/ keto reductase, potassium channel, chlorophyll A-B binding protein, inositol polyphosphate-related phosphatase	Mahdavi Mashaki et al. (2018)
	-	RNA-seq	1624 genes including regulation of mannitol dehydrogenase, serine hydroxymethyl- transferase 4-like, cytochrome P450 81E8-like and galactinol-	Kumar et al. (2019)

Table 1.3 List of differentially expressed gene(s) under drought and heat stress identified by functional genomic approaches

(continued)

		Transcriptomic	Differentially expressed gane	
Stress	Tissue used	technique used	(s) with putative function	References
			sucrose galactosyltransferase- like, AP2- EREBP, bHLH, bZIP, C3H, MYB, NAC, WRKY TFs under water-scarce environment	
	Roots	RNA-seq	Upregulation of stress- responsive transcription factors, kinases, ROS signaling and scavenging, transporters, root nodulation, and oxylipin biosynthesis genes	Bhaskarla et al. (2020)
	Roots	qRT-PCR	Ca_06899, Ca_18090, Ca_22941, Ca_04337, Ca_04069, Ca_04233, Ca_12660, Ca_16379, Ca_16946, and Ca_21186	Singh et al. (2016)
	Leaves Seedling	RNA-seq SqRT-PCR	Genes involved in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway were upregulated in tolerant genotype <i>WRKY, DREB2A</i> , and <i>CarNAC3</i> genes	Moenga et al. (2020) Borhani et al. (2020)
	-	qRT-PCR	Phospholipases D genes differentially expressed in response to drought stress	Sagar et al. (2021)
Heat	Flowers, shoots, roots	RNA-seq	Five HSP 90 genes	Agarwal et al. (2016)

Table 1.3 (continued)

tolerance, HSPs, cellular metabolism, ROS metabolism—involved in drought stress tolerance in chickpea (Gupta et al. 2020).

A comparative proteomics analysis revealed that proline and sucrose biosynthesis-related proteins, glutamine synthetase, and cytosolic fructosebisphosphate aldolase were involved in drought stress tolerance in *C. reticulatum* than *C. arietinum* (Cevik et al. 2019). A proteomics analysis of reproductive tissue identified 154 proteins differentially expressed in two contrasting heat-tolerant genotypes under heat stress; the actively participating proteins included acetyl-CoA carboxylase, pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase (P5CS), ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO), phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) 2, ATP synthase, glycosyltransferase, sucrose synthase, and late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins (Parankusam et al. 2017). Further study is needed to decipher the role of various proteins related to drought- and heat-sensing and signal transduction pathways contributing to drought and heat tolerance in chickpea.

Likewise, metabolomics profiling could be harnessed to obtain insights into the various metabolites generated in response to drought and heat stress, allowing plants to survive under these stresses (Khan et al. 2019a, b). Metabolomic analysis of two

contrasting chickpea genotypes under water stress revealed the accumulation of various metabolites (e.g., choline, phenylalanine, gamma-aminobutyric acid, alanine, phenylalanine, tyrosine, glucosamine, guanine, and aspartic acid) attributed to drought stress adaptation (Khan et al. 2019a). Khan et al. (2019b) reported the accumulation of various metabolites (proline, L-arginine, L-histidine, L-isoleucine, and tryptophan) in the leaves of chickpea genotypes treated with plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and various plant growth regulators (PGRs) under drought stress. The PGPR- and PGR-treated plants also had enhanced riboflavin accumulation, L-asparagine, aspartate, and glycerol in leaves. The same research group also witnessed the increased accumulation of malonate, 5-oxo-L-proline, and trans-cinnamate in genotypes treated with PGPR and PGR, which was attributed to drought tolerance (Khan et al. 2019c). Hence, these drought- and heat-responsive metabolites allow chickpea to maintain growth and development and ultimately survive in drought- and heat-stress environments.

1.9 Emerging Modern Breeding Tools for Accelerating Genetic Gain in Chickpea

Traditional breeding approaches have significantly improved chickpea yield but these approaches alone will not meet the rising demand for chickpea due to the growing human population (Langridge and Fleury 2011). The augmentation of several novel breeding tools, such as genomic selection, could predict the genetic merit/phenotypic performance/breeding value of various untested progenies developed in crossing programs using various prediction/statistical models, thus reducing the breeding cycle and assisting in the selection of superior progenies with improved genetic gain (Meuwissen et al. 2001). Such an approach has been used to predict genetic gain in chickpea under drought stress (Li et al. 2018). Speed breeding/rapid generation has been recently introduced to grow three to four crop generations per year in chickpea, thus allowing faster development of new cultivars (Hickey et al. 2019; Samineni et al. 2020). Likewise, genome editing tools could be used to manipulate nucleotide sequences (addition/deletion) at targeted locations without the intervention of transgene (Nasti and Voytas 2021). This technology has been used to develop drought-tolerant chickpea by editing 4-coumarate ligase (4CL) and *Reveille 7 (RVE7)* genes attributed to water stress tolerance (Badhan et al. 2021). In addition, the multiparent advanced generation intercross (MAGIC) population scheme was developed at ICRISAT, India, to broaden the genetic base by capturing high allelic diversity and increasing resilience to various abiotic stresses, including drought and heat stress. Potential diverse founder parents, including ICC 4958, JG 130, ICCV 10, JAKI 9218, JG 130, JG 16, ICCV 97105, and ICCV 00108 were included in the crossing programme for this scheme (Samineni et al. 2017). The recombinant inbred lines from these parents could map drought- and heat-tolerant QTLs with higher resolution and improve chickpea genetic gain under harsh environments. A similar hybrid-nested association mapping and backcross introgression approach has been used to harness variation in recent crop wild relative collections (von Wettberg et al. 2018), crossing a diverse panel of wild parents into elite cultivated lines.

1.10 Scope of High Throughput Phenotyping for Capturing the Precise Phenotypic Response of Drought and Heat Stress in Plants

As drought and heat stress are governed by multiple gene(s)/QTLs and greatly influenced by $G \times E \times M$ interactions, measuring their accurate response remains challenging (Hein et al. 2021; Smith et al. 2021). The evolution of various phenomics facilities in the last decade has dramatically advanced our understanding, measuring the drought and heat stress response both spatially and temporally. Advances in nondestructive methods, including sensor-based technologies, imagebased platforms, unmanned aerial vehicles, and drone-based technologies, have assisted in measuring drought and heat stress responses at a large scale under target environments in the field (Houle et al. 2010; Fiorani and Schurr 2013; Furbank and Tester 2011; Großkinsky et al. 2015; Hein et al. 2021). Among image-based techniques, Red-Green-Blue images can measure plant area and color, time of day of flowering, yield, and yield parameters (Sadeghi-Tehran et al. 2017; Xiong et al. 2017). Likewise, infrared is used to assess plant temperature, and SPAD meters, hyperspectral radiometers, and field spectroradiometers are used to assess photosynthesis efficiency (Peng et al. 2017). Moreover, emerging deep learning and machine learning approaches could be used to measure drought and heat stress responses to develop better drought- and heat-tolerant chickpea lines.

1.11 Conclusion and Future Perspective

With global climate change, frequent episodes of drought and heat stress are becoming a major concern, exacerbating chickpea yield losses. A thorough screening of chickpea germplasm is urgently needed in the target environment to increase chickpea resilience to drought and heat stress. As drought and heat stress sometimes occur concurrently, the selection of traits conferring combined drought tolerance should be targeted and transferred into elite chickpea lines for increased resilience to drought and heat tolerance. Concomitantly, studies should focus on pre-breeding activities and exploration of landraces with inherent drought and heat tolerance capability (Rani et al. 2020). Marker-assisted breeding schemes allow us to transfer the genomic regions conferring drought and heat tolerance into elite chickpea cultivars, thus improving the genetic gain of elite chickpea cultivars (Roorkiwal et al. 2020).

Efforts to resequence global chickpea germplasm and a pangenomics approach could underpin the novel causal variants attributing drought and heat tolerance in chickpea (Varshney et al. 2021). Likewise, genomic selection will assist in predicting superior progenies conferring drought and heat tolerance based on the

prediction model and thus reduce breeding cycles. In parallel, MAGIC and NAM populations are being developed to increase resilience and broaden the genetic base. In addition, rapid generation advancement and genome editing technology could be used to design a more climate-resilient chickpea to sustain global food security.

References

- Agarwal G, Garg V, Kudapa H, Doddamani D, Pazhamala LT, Khan AW, Thudi M, Lee SH, Varshney RK (2016) Genomewide dissection of AP2/ERF and HSP90 gene families in five legumes and expression profiles in chickpea and pigeonpea. Plant Biotechnol J 14:1563–1577
- Arif A, Parveen N, Waheed MQ, Atif RM, Waqar I, Shah TM (2021) A comparative study for assessing the drought-tolerance of chickpea under varying natural growth environments. Front Plant Sci 11:2228
- Awasthi S, Peto R, Read S, Clark S, Pande V, Bundy D, DEVTA (Deworming and Enhanced Vitamin A) team (2013) Vitamin A supplementation every 6 months with retinol in 1 million pre-school children in north India: DEVTA, a cluster-randomised trial. Lancet 381(9876): 1469–1477
- Awasthi R, Kaushal N, Vadez V, Turner NC, Berger J, Siddique KH, Nayyar H (2014) Individual and combined effects of transient drought and heat stress on carbon assimilation and seed filling in chickpea. Func Plant Biol 41:1148–1167
- Awasthi JP, Saha B, Regon P, Sahoo S, Chowra U, Pradhan A et al (2017) Morpho-physiological analysis of tolerance to aluminum toxicity in rice varieties of North East India. PLoS One 12(4): e0176357. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0176357
- Badhan S, Kole P, Ball A, Mantri N (2018) RNA sequencing of leaf tissues from two contrasting chickpea genotypes reveals mechanisms for drought tolerance. Plant Physiol Biochem 129:295– 304
- Badhan S, Ball AS, Mantri N (2021) First Report of CRISPR/Cas9 Mediated DNA-Free Editing of 4CL and RVE7 Genes in Chickpea Protoplasts. Int J Mol Sci 22:396
- Bhandari K, Sita K, Sehgal A, Bhardwaj A, Gaur P, Kumar S, Singh S, Siddique KH, Prasad PV, Jha U, Nayyar H (2020) Differential heat sensitivity of two cool-season legumes, chickpea and lentil, at the reproductive stage, is associated with responses in pollen function, photosynthetic ability and oxidative damage. J Agron Crop Sci 206:734–758
- Bharadwaj C, Tripathi S, Soren KR, Thudi M, Singh RK, Sheoran S et al (2020) Introgression of "QTL-hotspot" region enhances drought tolerance and grain yield in three elite chickpea cultivars. Plant Genome. https://doi.org/10.1002/tpg2.20076
- Bhaskarla V, Zinta G, Ford R, Jain M, Varshney RK, Mantri N (2020) Comparative root transcriptomics provide insights into drought adaptation strategies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Int J Mol Sci 21:1781
- Bohra A, Chand Jha U, Godwin ID, Kumar Varshney R (2020) Genomic interventions for sustainable agriculture. Plant Biotechnol J 18:2388–2405
- Borhani S, Vessal S, Bagheri A, Shokouhifar F (2020) Differential gene expression pattern of drought responsive transcription factors in chickpea: an expressional analysis. J Plant Growth Regul 39:1211–1220
- Canci H, Toker C (2009a) Evaluation of yield criteria for drought and heat resistance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). J Agron Crop Sci 19:47–54
- Canci H, Toker C (2009b) Evaluation of annual wild *Cicer* species for drought and heat resistance under field conditions. Genet Resour Crop Evol 56:1. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-008-9335-9
- Cevik S, Akpinar G, Yildizli A, Kasap M, Karaosmanoğlu K, Ünyayar S (2019) Comparative physiological and leaf proteome analysis between drought-tolerant chickpea *Cicer reticulatum* and drought-sensitive chickpea *C. arietinum*. J Biosci 44:1–3

- Chen Y, Ghanem ME, Siddique KHM (2017) Characterising root trait variability in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) germplasm. J Exp Bot 68:1987–1999
- Coyne CJ, Kumar S, von Wettberg EJ, Marques E, Berger JD, Redden RJ, Ellis TN, Brus J, Zablatzká L, Smýkal P (2020) Potential and limits of exploitation of crop wild relatives for pea, lentil, and chickpea improvement. Legume Sci 2:e36
- Deokar AA, Kondawar V, Jain PK, Karuppayil M, Raju NL, Vadez V, Varshney RK, Srinivasan R (2011) Comparative analysis of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) between drought-tolerant and -susceptible genotypes of chickpea under terminal drought stress. BMC Plant Biol 11:70
- Devasirvatham V, Tan DKY, Trethowan RM, Gaur PM, Mallikarjuna N (2010) Impact of high temperature on the reproductive stage of chickpea. In: Food security from sustainable agriculture. Proceedings of the 15th Australian Society of Agronomy Conference, 15–18 November 2010, Lincoln, New Zealand
- Devasirvatham V, Gaur P, Mallikarjuna N, Raju TN, Trethowan RM, Tan DKY (2012) Effect of high temperature on the reproductive development of chickpea genotypes under controlled environments. Funct Plant Biol 39:1009–1018
- Devasirvatham V, Gaur P, Mallikarjuna N, Raju TN, Trethowan RM, Tan DKY (2013) Reproductive biology of chickpea response to heat stress in the field is associated with the performance in controlled environments. Field Crops Res 142:9–19
- Devasirvatham V, Gaur PM, Raju TN, Trethowan RM, Tan DKY (2015) Field response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to high temperature. Field Crops Res 172:59–71
- Dua RP (2001) Genotypic variations for low and high temperature tolerance in gram (*Cicer* arietinum). Indian J Agric Sci 71:561–566
- Farooq M, Ullah A, Lee DJ, Alghamdi SS, Siddique KH (2018) Desi chickpea genotypes tolerate drought stress better than kabuli types by modulating germination metabolism, trehalose accumulation, and carbon assimilation. Plant Physiol Biochem 126:47–54
- Fiorani F, Schurr U (2013) Future scenarios for plant phenotyping. Annu Rev Plant Biol 64:267–291
- Furbank RT, Tester M (2011) Phenomics—technologies to relieve the phenotyping bottleneck. Trends Plant Sci 16:635–644
- Garg R, Shankar R, Thakkar B, Kudapa H, Krishnamurthy L, Mantri N, Varshney RK, Bhatia S, Jain M (2016) Transcriptome analyses reveal genotype- and developmental stage-specific molecular responses to drought and salinity stresses in chickpea. Sci Rep 6:19228
- Gaur PM, Krishnamurty L, Kashiwagi J (2008) Improvement drought-avoidance root traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)-current status of research at ICRISAT. Plant Prod Sci 1:3–11
- Gaur PM, Jukanti AK, Varshney RK (2012) Impact of genomic technologies on chickpea breeding strategies. Agronomy 2:199–221
- Getahun T, Negash K, Chang PL, von Wettberg E, Carrasquilla-Garcia N, Gaur PM, Fikre A, Haileslassie T, Cook D, Tesfaye K (2021) Screening of heat-tolerant Ethiopian chickpea accessions: assessment of phenological and agromorphological traits and genomic relationships. Agrosyst Geosci Environ 4(3):e20211
- Graham PH, Vance CP (2003) Legumes: importance and constraints to greater use. Plant Physiol 131:872–877
- Großkinsky DK, Svensgaard J, Christensen S, Roitsch T (2015) Plant phenomics and the need for physiological phenotyping across scales to narrow the genotype-to-phenotype knowledge gap. J Exp Bot 66:5429–5440
- Guo R, Shi L, Jiao Y, Li M, Zhong X, Gu F, Liu Q, Xia X, Li H (2018) Metabolic responses to drought stress in the tissues of drought-tolerant and drought-sensitive wheat genotype seedlings. AoB Plants 10(2):ply016
- Gupta S, Mishra SK, Misra S, Pandey V, Agrawal L, Nautiyal CS, Chauhan PS (2020) Revealing the complexity of protein abundance in chickpea root under drought-stress using a comparative proteomics approach. Plant Physiol Biochem 151:88–102
- Hamwieh A, Imtiaz M (2015) Identifying water-responsive and drought-tolerant chickpea genotypes. Crop Pasture Sci 66:1003–1011

- Hamwieh A, Imtiaz M, Malhotra RS (2013) Multi-environment QTL analyses for drought-related traits in a recombinant inbred population of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 126:1025–1038
- Hein NT, Ciampitti IA, Jagadish SV (2021) Bottlenecks and opportunities in field-based highthroughput phenotyping for heat and drought stress. J Expt Bot. 2021 Jan 20
- Hickey LT, Hafeez AN, Robinson H, Jackson SA, Leal-Bertioli SC, Tester M, Gao C, Godwin ID, Hayes BJ, Wulff BB (2019) Breeding crops to feed 10 billion. Nat Biotechnol 37:744–754
- Hiremath PJ, Farmer A, Cannon SB, Woodward J, Kudapa H, Tuteja R, Kumar A, Bhanuprakash A, Mulaosmanovic B, Gujaria N, Krishnamurthy L, Gaur PM, Kavikishor PB, Shah T, Srinivasan R, Lohse M, Xiao Y, Town CD, Cook DR, May GD, Varshney RK (2011) Large-scale transcriptome analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), an orphan legume crop of the semi-arid tropics of Asia and Africa. Plant Biotechnol J 9:922–931
- Houle D, Govindaraju DR, Omholt S (2010) Phenomics: the next challenge. Nat Rev Genet 11: 855–866
- Jagadish SVK, Way DA, Sharkey TD (2021) Plant heat stress: concepts directing future research. Plant Cell Environ 44(7):1992–2005. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.14050
- Jaganathan D, Thudi M, Kale S, Azam S, Roorkiwal M, Gaur PM, Kishor PB, Nguyen H, Sutton T, Varshney RK (2015) Genotyping-by-sequencing based intra-specific genetic map refines a "QTL-hotspot" region for drought tolerance in chickpea. Mol Gen Genomics 290:559–571
- Jain M, Misra G, Patel RK, Priya P, Jhanwar S, Khan AW, Shah N, Singh VK, Garg R, Jeena G, Yadav M, Kant C, Sharma P, Yadav G, Bhatia S, Tyagi AK, Chattopadhyay D (2013) A draft genome sequence of the pulse crop chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Plant J 74:715–729
- Jha UC (2018) Current advances in chickpea genomics: applications and future perspectives. Plant Cell Rep 37:947–965
- Jha UC, Shil S (2015) Association analysis of yield contributing traits of chickpea genotypes under high temperature condition. Trends Biosci 8:2335–2341
- Jha UC, Chaturvedi SK, Bohra A, Basu PS, Khan MS, Barh D (2014a) Abiotic stresses, constraints and improvement strategies in chickpea. Plant Breed 133:163–178
- Jha UC, Bohra A, Singh NP (2014b) Heat stress in crop plants: its nature, impacts and integrated breeding strategies to improve heat tolerance. Plant Breed 133:679–701
- Jha UC, Basu PS, Singh DK (2015) Genetic variation and diversity analysis of chickpea genotypes based on quantitative traits under high temperature stress. Int J Bio-Resour Stress Manag 6:700– 706
- Jha UC, Bohra A, Jha R, Parida S (2017) Integrated' omics' approaches to sustain major global grain legume productivity under heat stress. Plant Breed 136:437–459
- Jha UC, Jha R, Bohra A, Parida SK, Kole PC, Thakro V, Singh D, Singh NP (2018a) Population structure and association analysis of heat stress relevant traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). 3. Biotech 8:43
- Jha UC, Jha R, Singh NP, Shil S, Kole PC (2018b) Heat tolerance indices and their role in selection of heat stress tolerant chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes. Indian J Agric Sci 88:260–267
- Jha UC, Kole PC, Singh NP (2018c) Genetic variability and marker trait association analysis of various phenological and yield related traits for heat tolerance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Int J Bioresour Stress Manag 9:345–352
- Jha UC, Kole PC, Singh NP (2019a) Nature of gene action and combining ability analysis of yield and yield related traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under heat stress. Indian J Agric Sci 89: 500–508
- Jha UC, Kole PC, Singh NP, Sandip S, Hemant K (2019b) GGE bi-plot analysis for grain yield in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) under normal and heat stress conditions. Indian J Agric Sci 89:721– 725
- Jha UC, Kole PC, Singh NP (2019c) QTL mapping for heat stress tolerance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). Legume Res. https://doi.org/10.18805/LR-4121
- Jha UC, Bohra A, Nayyar H (2020) Advances in "omics" approaches to tackle drought stress in grain legumes. Plant Breed 139:1–27

- Jha UC, Jha R, Thakro V, Kumar A, Gupta S, Nayyar H, Basu P, Parida SK, Singh NP (2021a) Discerning molecular diversity and association mapping for phenological, physiological and yield traits under high temperature stress in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). J Genet 100:1–5
- Jha UC, Palakurthi R, Nayyar H, Jha R, Valluri VK, Bajaj P, Chitikineni A, Singh NP, Varshney RK, Thudi M (2021b) Major QTLs and potential candidate genes for heat stress tolerance identified in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Front Plant Sci 12:1241
- Jukanti AK, Gaur PM, Gowda CL, Chibbar RN (2012) Nutritional quality and health bene ts of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): a review. Br J Nutr 1:S11–S26
- Jumrani K, Bhatia VS (2014) Impact of elevated temperatures on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Field Crop Res 164:90–97
- Kahraman A, Pandey A, Khan MK, Lindsay D, Moenga S, Vance L, Bergmann EM, Carrasquilla-Garcia N, Chang PL, Shin MG, von Wettberg EJ, Tar'an B, Cook DR, Penmetsa RV (2017) Distinct subgroups of Cicer echinospermum are associated with hybrid sterility and hybrid breakdown in interspecific crosses with cultivated chickpea. Crop Sci. https://doi.org/10.2135/ cropsci2017.06.0335
- Kale SM, Jaganathan D, Ruperao P, Chen C, Punna R, Kudapa H, Thudi M, Roorkiwal M, Katta MA, Doddamani D, Garg V, Kishor PB, Gaur PM, Nguyen HT, Batley J, Edwards D, Sutton T, Varshney RK (2015) Prioritization of candidate genes in "QTL-hotspot" region for drought tolerance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Sci Rep 5(15296)
- Kalra N, Chakraborty D, Sharma A, Rai HK, Jolly M, Chander S, Kumar PR, Bhadraray S, Barman D, Mittal RB, Lal M, Sehgal M (2008) Effect of temperature on yield of some winter crops in northwest India. Curr Sci 94:82–88
- Kashiwagi J, Krishnamurthy L, Upadhyaya HD, Krishna H, Chandra S, Vadez V, Serraj R (2005) Genetic variability of drought-avoidance root traits in the mini-core germplasm collection of chickpea. Euphytica 146(3):213–222
- Kashiwagi J, Krishnamurty L, Gaur PM, Chandra S, Upadhyaya HD (2008) Estimation of gene effects of the drought avoidance root characteristics in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Field Crops Res 105:64–69
- Kashiwagi J, Krishnamurthy L, Gaur PM, Upadhyaya HD, Varshney RK, Tobita S (2013) Traits of relevance to improve yield under terminal drought stress in chickpea (*C. arietinum* L.). Field Crops Res 145:80–95
- Kaushal N, Awasthi R, Gupta K, Gaur PM, Siddique KHM, Nayyar H (2013) Heat-stress- induced reproductive failures in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) are associated with impaired sucrose metabolism in leaves and anthers. Funct Plant Biol 40:1334–1349
- Khan N, Bano A, Rahman MA, Rathinasabapathi B, Babar MA (2019a) UPLC-HRMS-based untargeted metabolic profiling reveals changes in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) metabolome following long-term drought stress. Plant Cell Environ 42:115–132
- Khan N, Bano A, Rahman MA, Guo J, Kang Z, Babar MA (2019b) Comparative physiological and metabolic analysis reveals a complex mechanism involved in drought tolerance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) induced by PGPR and PGRs. Sci Rep 9:1–9
- Khan N, Bano A, Babar MA (2019c) Metabolic and physiological changes induced by plant growth regulators and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and their impact on drought tolerance in *Cicer arietinum* L. PLoS One 14:e0213040
- Khandal H, Gupta SK, Dwivedi V, Mandal D, Sharma NK, Vishwakarma NK, Pal L, Choudhary M, Francis A, Malakar P, Singh NP (2020) Root-specific expression of chickpea cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase 6 leads to enhanced root growth, drought tolerance and yield without compromising nodulation. Plant Biotechnol J 18:2225
- Krishnamurthy L, Kashiwagi J, Upadhyaya HD, Serraj R (2003) Genetic diversity of drought avoidance root traits in the mini-core germplasm collection of chickpea. Int Chickpea Pigeonpea Newslett 10:21–24
- Krishnamurthy L, Gaur PM, Basu PS, Chaturvedi SK, Tripathi S, Vadez V, Rathore A, Varshney RK, Gowda CLL (2011) Large genetic variation for heat tolerance in the reference collection of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) germplasm. Plant Genet Res 9:59–69

- Krishnamurthy L, Kashiwagi J, Upadhyaya HD, Gowda CLL, Gaur PM, Singh S et al (2013a) Partitioning coefficient—a trait that contributes to drought tolerance in chickpea. Field Crops Res 149:354–365
- Krishnamurthy L, Kashiwagi J, Tobita S, Ito O, Upadhyaya HD, Gowda CLL, Gaur PM, Sheshshayee MS, Singh S, Vadez V, Varshney RK (2013b) Variation in carbon isotope discrimination and its relationship with harvest index in the reference collection of chickpea germ-plasm. Funct Plant Biol 40:1350–1361
- Kumar J, Abbo S (2001) Genetics of flowering time in chickpea and its bearing on productivity in semi-arid environments. Adv Agron 72:107–138
- Kumar J, Rao BV (1996) Super early chickpea developed at ICRISAT Asia center. Int Chickpea Pigeonpea Newslett 3:17–18
- Kumar M, Chauhan AS, Kumar M, Yusuf MA, Sanyal A, Chauhan PS (2019) Transcriptome sequencing of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes for identification of drought-responsive genes under drought stress condition. Plant Mol Biol Rep 17:186–203
- Langridge P, Fleury D (2011) Making the most of' omics' for crop breeding. Trends Biotechnol 29: 33–40
- Leport L, Turner NC, French RJ, Barr MD, Duda R, Davies SL, Siddique KHM (1999) Physiological responses of chickpea genotypes to terminal drought in a Mediterranean-type environment. Eur J Agron 11:279–291
- Li Y, Ruperao P, Batley J, Edwards D, Khan T, Colmer TD, Pang J, Siddique KH, Sutton T (2018) Investigating drought tolerance in chickpea using genomewide association mapping and genomic selection based on whole-genome resequencing data. Front Plant Sci 9:190
- Mafakheri A, Siosemardeh A, Bahramnejad B, Struik PC, Sohrabi Y (2010) Effect of drought stress on yield, proline and chlorophyll contents in three chickpea cultivars. Aust J Crop Sci 4:580– 585
- Mafakheri A, Siosemardeh A, Bahramnejad B, Struik PC, Sohrabi Y (2011) Effect of drought stress and subsequent recovery on protein, carbohydrate contents, catalase and peroxidase activities in three chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) cultivars. Aust J Crop Sci 5:1255–1260
- Mahdavi Mashaki K, Garg V, NasrollahnezhadGhomi AA, Kudapa H, Chitikineni A, Zaynali Nezhad K et al (2018) RNA-Seq analysis revealed genes associated with drought stress response in kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). PLoS One 13:e0199774
- Makonya GM, Ogola JB, Muasya AM, Crespo O, Maseko S, Valentine AJ, Ottosen CO, Rosenqvist E, Chimphango SB (2020) Intermittent moisture supply induces drought priming responses in some heat-tolerant chickpea genotypes. Crop Sci 60:2527–2542
- Mantri NL, Ford R, Coram TE, Pang EC (2007) Transcriptional proling of chickpea genes differentially regulated in response to high-salinity, cold and drought. BMC Genome 8:303
- Marques E, Kur A, Bueno E, von Wettberg E (2020a) Defining and improving the rotational and intercropping value of a crop using a plant–soil feedbacks approach. Crop Sci 60(5):2195–2203
- Marques E, Krieg CP, Dacosta-Calheiros E, Bueno E, Sessa E, Penmetsa RV, von Wettberg EJ (2020b) The impact of domestication on aboveground and belowground trait responses to nitrogen fertilization in wild and cultivated genotypes of chickpea (*Cicer* sp.). Front Genet. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.576338
- Meuwissen THE, Hayes BJ, Goddard ME (2001) Prediction of total genetic value using genomewide dense marker maps. Genetics 157:1819–1829
- Mir AH, Bhat MA, Dar SA, Sofi PA, Bhat NA, Mir RR (2021) Assessment of cold tolerance in chickpea (Cicer spp.) grown under cold/freezing weather conditions of North-Western Himalayas of Jammu and Kashmir, India. Physiol Mol Biol Plants 27(5):1105–1118
- Moenga SM, Gai Y, Carrasquilla-Garcia N, Perilla-Henao LM, Cook DR (2020) Gene co-expression analysis reveals transcriptome divergence between wild and cultivated chickpea under drought stress. Plant J 104:1195–1214
- Molina C, Rotter B, Horres R, Udupa SM, Besser B, Bellarmino L, Baum M, Matsumura H, Terauchi R, Kahl G, Winter P (2008) SuperSAGE: the drought stress-responsive transcriptome of chickpea roots. BMC Genomics 9:553