Gabler Theses



Celso Gustavo Stall Sikora Assembly-Line Balancing under Demand Uncertainty



Gabler Theses

In der Schriftenreihe "Gabler Theses" erscheinen ausgewählte, englischsprachige Doktorarbeiten, die an renommierten Hochschulen in Deutschland, Österreich und der Schweiz entstanden sind. Die Arbeiten behandeln aktuelle Themen der Wirtschaftswissenschaften und vermitteln innovative Beiträge für Wissenschaft und Praxis. Informationen zum Einreichungsvorgang und eine Übersicht unserer Publikationsangebote finden Sie hier.

More information about this series at https://link.springer.com/bookseries/16768

Celso Gustavo Stall Sikora

Assembly-Line Balancing under Demand Uncertainty



Celso Gustavo Stall Sikora Hamburg, Germany

 ISSN 2731-3220
 ISSN 2731-3239
 (electronic)

 Gabler Theses
 ISBN 978-3-658-36281-2
 ISBN 978-3-658-36282-9
 (eBook)

 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-36282-9
 ISBN 978-3-658-36282-9
 (eBook)

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH, part of Springer Nature 2022

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Responsible Editor: Marija Kojic

This Springer Gabler imprint is published by the registered company Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Abraham-Lincoln-Str. 46, 65189 Wiesbaden, Germany

Acknowledgements

Pursuing a PhD requires a great deal of dedication, energy, and time. Along the path, I found challenges and some successes but also other misfortunes. Although the coding, writing, and setting of the long case studies is mostly a lonely job, several people accompanied me during this four-year path. They deserve my healthful thanks.

To my supervisor, Wolfgang Brüggemann, who heard all ideas and presentations, read and commented on all material: thank you for making a PhD at the Insitute of Operations Research possible. The journey could not be more pleasant. Thanks to the other team members: Sven Pries, for the ideas exchanged and the long discussions, many of them included in the book; Christine Rodenbeck, for all the revisions and all the organization that made working in the Institute so easy; Andreas Geiger, Malte Lübben, Claus Gwiggner, Mehdi Karimi-Nasab, Filip Covic, and Julia Krake for the tips, collaboration, conversations, indications of literature, and company.

To my second supervisor, Malte Fliedner, and the other members of the commission Stefan Voß and Nils Boysen: Thank you for the lessons, the corrections, commentaries, and the interesting questions. To my coauthors and coauthors to be: you made doing research much more pleasant and fruitful.

To my friends in Hamburg, Germany, Brazil, and all over the world: thank you for giving meaning and purpose to such a journey.

To my family, Neide, Celso, Mariana, and Rodrigo: thank you for supporting me from the distance, also when unpredictable events wouldn't allow for visits.

To my fiancée, Dhana: thank you for sharing almost the whole journey with me. Thank you for the love and partnership, that would last for many more chapters of our lives.

Abstract

The automotive industry is highly dependable on assembly lines for the production of today's demand volumes. Assembly lines were once introduced as an efficient production configuration for a single product, in which the production tasks are divided among multiple workstations organized along a conveyor belt. Nowadays, the automotive manufacturers cannot rely on production systems for a single model: the choice of vehicle comes with innumerous configurations, options, and add-ins. In the production site, these different vehicles must share the same resources and may flow on the same assembly line. As a result, assembly lines must be at the same time specialized to provide high efficiency, but also flexible to allow the mass customization of the vehicles.

In this thesis, a compendium of problems and solution algorithms for the assembly line balancing problem considering demand uncertainty is presented. As planning and building an assembly line is a commitment of several months or even years, it is understandable that the demand will fluctuate during the lifetime of an assembly line. New products are developed, others are removed from the market, and the decision of the final customer plays a role on the immediate demand. In this work, the demand or production sequence is modeled using three different view points of a system configuration.

A first approach proposed in this thesis considers total control of the production sequence. In this first problem, the assembly line planner can optimize the assembly line and the production sequence simultaneosly. The uncertainty is due to the different time frames of both problems. The planning of an assembly line is a long term decision, while the sequencing problem is solved in short-time based on the customer orders. An exact solution procedure is proposed in this thesis for the optimal design of a paced assembly line, which must operate with uncertain demand to be sequenced in the future. The expected amount of utility work for the production is minimized using a combinatorial version of the Benders' decomposition.

A second problem dealt with in the thesis is the design of an assembly line when the planner plays no role in the production sequence. In this approach, the production sequence is considered to be random. A Branch-and-Bound Algorithm using Markov chains to evaluate partial solutions is proposed and used to solve instances exactly.

A third contribution considers a restriction on the sequence control. The planner has at disposal a buffer to alter the production sequence locally. For this problem setting, the buffer operation is optimized, in which selection policies are proposed and tested. The uncertainty is modeled through a random buffer entry, that must be resequenced respecting production and due date restrictions in an online setting.

Contents

Intr	oductio)n	1
1.1	Motiv	ation and Overview	1
1.2	Objec	tives and Document Outline	2
Desi	ign and	Operation of Assembly Lines	5
2.1	Produ	ction and Layout Configurations	5
2.2	Produ	ction and Layout Configuration in the Automotive	
	Indust	ry	7
2.3	Balan	cing of Assembly Lines	10
2.4	Influe	nce of Multiple Products	14
2.5	Seque	ncing in the Automotive Industry	21
2.6	Buffer	rs	25
Lite	rature	Review on Assembly Line Balancing Under	
Unc	ertaint	V	29
3.1	Scope	and Structure	29
3.2	Existi	ng Surveys in the Literature	30
	3.2.1	Balancing	30
	3.2.2	Master Scheduling	35
	3.2.3	Rebalancing	- 36
	3.2.3 3.2.4	Rebalancing	36 36
	3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5	Rebalancing	36 36 37
	3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6	Rebalancing	36 36 37 38
3.3	3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 Classi	Rebalancing	36 36 37 38 41
3.3 3.4	3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 Classi Uncer	Rebalancing Sequence Planning or Sequencing Resequencing Buffer Allocation fication Scheme tainties in Single-model Production Systems	36 36 37 38 41 44
3.3 3.4	3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 Classi Uncer 3.4.1	Rebalancing Sequence Planning or Sequencing Resequencing Buffer Allocation fication Scheme tainties in Single-model Production Systems Uncertainties in the Balancing	36 36 37 38 41 44 44
	Intr 1.1 1.2 Desi 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 Lite Uncc 3.1 3.2	Introduction1.1Motiv1.2ObjectDesign and2.1Product2.2Product2.3Baland2.4Influent2.5Sequet2.6BufferLiteratureUncertaint3.1Scopet3.2.13.2.13.2.21.2	Introduction 1.1 Motivation and Overview 1.2 Objectives and Document Outline Design and Operation of Assembly Lines 2.1 Production and Layout Configurations 2.2 Production and Layout Configuration in the Automotive Industry 2.3 Balancing of Assembly Lines 2.4 Influence of Multiple Products 2.5 Sequencing in the Automotive Industry 2.6 Buffers Literature Review on Assembly Line Balancing Under Uncertainty 3.1 Scope and Structure 3.2.1 Balancing 3.2.1 Balancing 3.2.2 Master Scheduling

	3.5	Uncertainty in Multiple-model Production Systems	56
		3.5.1 Uncertainty in the Processing Time	57
		3.5.2 Uncertainty of the Production Sequence	58
		3.5.3 Uncertainty of Demand	58
	3.6	Uncertainties of the Disassembly Process	61
	3.7	Gaps and Contributions to the Literature	63
4	Bala	ancing Under Full Sequencing Control	65
	4.1	Problem Definition	65
	4.2	Solution Algorithm	71
		4.2.1 Benders' Decomposition	71
		4.2.2 Combinatorial Benders' Decomposition	74
		4.2.3 Proposed Algorithm	76
	4.3	Tests and Results	86
		4.3.1 Dataset	87
		4.3.2 Strength of the Partial Cuts	88
		4.3.3 Effect of The Local Search	91
5	Bala	ancing Under No Sequencing Control	97
	5.1	Problem Definition	97
	5.2	Evaluating the Expected Utility Work	102
	5.3	Properties of the Total Cost Function	105
	5.4	Solution Algorithm	109
		5.4.1 Node Enumeration Scheme	110
		5.4.2 Length Optimization	112
		5.4.3 Iterative Computation of Nodes	117
	5.5	Tests and Results	118
		5.5.1 Dataset	118
		5.5.2 Results	122
6	Con	trolling Production Sequences Using Buffers	133
	6.1	Problem Description	133
		6.1.1 Problem Classification and System Description	134
		6.1.2 Due Date Definition	136
		6.1.3 Problem-State Definition, Transition Function,	
		and Solution Policy	137
	6.2	Simulation Model	139
	6.3	Heuristic Rules	140

	6.4	Improvement Approaches	141
		6.4.1 Expression for the Product Selection Policy	141
		6.4.2 Improvement Procedures	142
	6.5	Tests and Results	143
		6.5.1 Simulation Length and Number of Repetitions	143
		6.5.2 Implementation Details	144
		6.5.3 Quality of the Heuristic Rules	149
		6.5.4 Improving Expression-Based Rules Using Local	
		Search	152
		6.5.5 The Lookahead Procedure	156
		6.5.6 Summary of the Results	159
7	Con	nclusion	161
	7.1	Summary, Objectives, and Conclusions	161
	7.2	Limitations and Future Works	163
Bi	bliog	raphy	167

Acronyms

ACEA	European Automotive Manufacturers Association
AGV	Automated Guided Vehicle
ALB(P)	Assembly Line Balancing (Problem)
AS/RS	Automated Storage and Retrieval System
BA(P)	Buffer Allocation (Problem)
BAS	Blocking After Station
BBS	Blocking Before Station
CMS	Cellular Manufacturing Systems
СТ	Cycle Time
EU-15	The first 15 countries of the European Union
FiFo	First-in-First-out
FMS	Flexible Manufacturing Systems
GALB(P)	General Assembly Line Balancing (Problem)
MP	Master Problem
MPS	Minimal Part Set
NP	Non-deterministic Polynomial
OICA	International Organization of Motor Vehicle Manufacturers
SALB(P)	Simple Assembly Line Balancing (Problem)
SP	Sub Problem
WIP	Work-In-Process

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Categorization of the processes of automotive	8
Figure 2.2	Example of a precedence diagram	0
Figure 2.2	Example of a balancing solution	12
Figure 2.3	Comparison of a simple assambly line a two sided	12
Figure 2.4	comparison of a simple assembly line, a two-sided	
	Michaela et al. [Fig. 1]	15
F: 2.5		15
Figure 2.5	Gantt chart of the production in an asynchronous	10
-	unpaced line	16
Figure 2.6	Gantt chart of the production in a synchronous	
	unpaced line	17
Figure 2.7	Chart with the position of a worker in a station	
	of a paced line	20
Figure 2.8	Chart with the position of a worker in a station	
	of a paced line with remedial actions	20
Figure 2.9	Pull-off table buffer	26
Figure 2.10	Mix bank buffer	27
Figure 2.11	Automated storage and retrieval system (AS/RS)	
-	buffer	27
Figure 3.1	Classification of the reaction approaches to cope	
C	with processing time variation	33
Figure 4.1	Example of the scheduling in a station of a paced	
U	assembly line. Figure from Sikora	67
Figure 4.2	Example of assignment that invariably causes idle	
3	time. Figure from Sikora	80
	uniter i gare i tem sinera	50

Figure 5.1	Realization of a production sequence in a paced assembly line	99
Figure 5.2	Comparison of a realization of a production sequence	
C	in a paced assembly line with longer station length	100
Figure 5.3	Relative displacement of the worker	103
Figure 5.4	The two possible configurations of a station	
C	with lengths <i>n</i> and $n + \delta$, for $0 < \delta \le 1$	106
Figure 5.5	The four possible position configurations	
C	after a sequence q of products for stations with length	
	n-1, n, and n+1	107
Figure 5.6	The possible transitions between the four possible	
-	position configurations in three layouts of a station	
	with lengths $n - 1$, n , and $n + 1$	108
Figure 5.7	Representation of the algorithm structure	110
Figure 5.8	Expected utility work with respect to station length	
	for an example	113
Figure 5.9	Expected utility work cost, line length cost,	
-	and expected total cost with respect to station length	
	for an example	113
Figure 5.10	Illustrated cases for the bounds update function	116
Figure 5.11	Flowchart of the proposed algorithm for assembly-line	
	balancing under random sequences	119
Figure 6.1	Automated storage and retrieval system (AS/RS)	
	buffer	136
Figure 6.2	Structure of the buffer sequencing simulator	139

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Data for an assembly line instance with three stations	
	and four product models	15
Table 3.1	Summary of existing surveys about assembly line	
	balancing and their scope	31
Table 3.2	The classification scheme of Boysen et al.	
	for the precedence graph characteristics	42
Table 3.3	The classification scheme of Boysen et al.	
	for the stations and line characteristics	43
Table 3.4	The classification scheme of Boysen et al.	
	for the objectives	44
Table 3.5	Extra elements to the classification Boysen et al.	
	for the single model contributions	45
Table 3.6	Abbreviations used for the papers' contributions	46
Table 3.7	Literature overview for the stochastic balancing	
	of single-model assembly lines	52
Table 3.8	Literature overview for the buffer allocation problem	
	with variable production processing times	56
Table 3.9	Literature overview for the stochastic balancing	
	of multiple-model assembly lines	60
Table 4.1	Nomenclature of sets and data of the formulation	70
Table 4.2	Nomenclature of model variables. P stands for the set	
	defined in $\{1,, MPS_d \}$	70
Table 4.3	Task durations and solutions for an example instance	82
Table 4.4	Processing times per station and model for the first	
	balancing solution	82
Table 4.5	Parameters used in the generation of the dataset	87

Table 4.6	Model demand for each of the scenarios in every	
	instance of the dataset	88
Table 4.7	Strength of the partial cuts for sample solutions	90
Table 4.8	Summary of the algorithm results	92
Table 4.9	Results of the base algorithm without local search	
	as implemented in Sikora	93
Table 4.10	Results of the algorithm with local search with 3	
	iterations per feasible solution	94
Table 4.11	Results of the algorithm with local search with 10	
	iterations per feasible solution	95
Table 5.1	Utility work necessary for each transition for three	
	layouts of a station with lengths $n - 1$, n , and $n + 1$	109
Table 5.2	Summary of the instances of the dataset solved	
	by the proposed method	121
Table 5.3	Results of the optimal cost and length for the dataset	124
Table 5.4	Number of instances with the same assignment	
	for different combinations of the cost parameters	126
Table 5.5	Optimal length and percentage of utility work relative	
	to the cycle time	127
Table 5.6	Comparison of the solution time of the dataset	
	with respect to the optimal length	130
Table 6.1	Relative error of the utility work value for 500	
	repetitions of simulation lengths of 500 to 100,000	145
Table 6.2	95%-half-confidence interval size of the of each	
	simulation in percentage of the average value	
	for different simulation lengths	147
Table 6.3	Confidence interval of the heuristic policies	
	for the average utility work per cycle for each instance	
	of the dataset	150
Table 6.4	Comparison of the minimal utility work rule	
	with the expression rules found by local search	153
Table 6.5	Comparison of the average utility work in each station	
	for different rules applied to instance 'Hahn' with 6	
	stations	155
Table 6.6	Comparison of the 95%-confidence intervals	
	for the lookahead policy	157

Introduction

1.1 Motivation and Overview

There are few products nowadays that can be compared to an automotive vehicle. Usually weighing from 800 kg to several tons and costing several thousand or even extremes such as a couple of million Euros, it is astonishing to see such a large product playing a major role in our society. According to the European Automotive Manufacturers Association [ACEA, 2020], there were 610 vehicles for every 1000 inhabitants in the European Union in 2018. Such numbers require an annual production of 92.8 million vehicles worldwide or 18.5 million vehicles in the European Union [ACEA, 2020], which is equivalent to almost 3 vehicles per second worldwide. Such production levels require large facilities and a significant part of the labor workforce. In the European Union, direct and indirect jobs in this industry account for 6.7% of the total job market.

Although automotive vehicles and large-scale production existed before, the mass production shift of durable automotive vehicles is credited to Henry Ford with his Ford Model T in 1908 [Binder and Rae, 2020]. The innovation was to consider the transport of the products or workpieces in conveyor belts, on which the vehicles flow through a series of workstations [Binder and Rae, 2020]. The hundreds or thousands of individual tasks are divided among the workers in an assembly line. This way, each worker performs simple tasks in which he or she can specialize. Each worker can then perform the operations within a small cycle time, after which the workpiece is transported to the next station.

The division of the task elements among the multiple workstations is a classical problem in Operations Research named *Assembly Line Balancing Problem* (ALBP) and was firstly discussed in a thesis by Bryton [1954] and in a research paper by Salveson [1955]. A related optimization problem is the *Bin Packing Problem*, in





.

C. G. Stall Sikora, Assembly-Line Balancing under Demand Uncertainty, Gabler Theses, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-36282-9_1

which objects have to be assigned and fitted into bins in a way that the number of required bins is minimized. In assembly lines, the objects can be seen as the operational tasks, while the bins are the workstations. Instead of having a physical dimension, each task requires a given amount of time in the station. The limitation is not the bin size, but the cycle time of the assembly line. A difference between the Assembly Line Balancing Problem and the Bin Packing Problem is due to precedence relations [Wee and Magazine, 1982]. In the basic version of the Bin Packing Problem, each object can be assigned freely among the bins. A production sequence, on the other hand, usually requires some partial order between the tasks. As products and pieces are assembled, interior parts are not reachable anymore. Hence, there exist precedence relations between the tasks.

The Assembly Line Balancing Problem in its base form as described in the last paragraph is called *Simple Assembly Line Balancing Problem* (SALBP) [Scholl and Becker, 2006] and has very strict assumptions such as deterministic and known production times, a serial line, and the production of a single product. Although these assumptions may be true for Ford's Model T, the automotive market requires a high level of customization nowadays [Boysen et al., 2008]. It is not possible to establish an assembly line for a single- vehicle anymore. Instead, the production system has to be flexible enough to assemble several vehicle variations. Boysen et al. [2008] describe the new paradigm as mass customization, in which the customer can select almost every element of the product from a given range of options. The number of theoretically possible combinations resulting in unique products is huge. Boysen et al. [2009a] report the number of variations of popular vehicle models in 2004, which vary from 40,000 to $3.35 \cdot 10^{24}$ for a selection of European cars.

The presence of multiple product models results in a more complex optimization problem, since not only the assignments of tasks to stations are important, but also how the product models are sequenced. Different production layouts, the presence of buffers, and the production sequence greatly affect the productivity of an assembly system. Furthermore, the customer's taste changes and evolves. So the demand itself may vary during the operational time of an assembly line. Such complexity factors are explored in this manuscript, mainly dealing with the uncertainty of demand in the balancing of multiple-product assembly lines.

1.2 Objectives and Document Outline

The outline of the document is described along with the objective of each chapter. In general, the thesis brings new contributions to the research of assembly line balancing under demand uncertainty. The first objective of the document is to describe the production stages at automotive manufacturers. The production of vehicles is different than considering a general product because of the large dimensions. Cars, trucks, or buses are large and heavy products, so their handling is rather limited. The deviations of production times cannot be easily compensated by buffers, since the size of the products poses a strong restriction. In Chapter 2 the different stages of production are described, among related optimization problems, such as production planning, assembly line balancing, sequencing, resequencing, etc.

Chapter 3 contains a literature review on different sources of uncertainty in the balancing of assembly lines. A classification of the literature is extended to model the stochastic components of the problem. The uncertainty is mostly modeled in the processing times, while much fewer references deal with uncertain demand or production sequences. Chapter 3 is also used to identify gaps in the literature, which are partially filled by contributions described in Chapters 4–6.

The research core of the manuscript consists of three chapters containing each a problem definition and a solution procedure. All of the contributions deal with assembly lines under uncertain demand, although in each chapter a different assumption or view of the problem is proposed. One key aspect to distinguish the three problems is the control over the production sequence.

The first contribution is detailed in Chapter 4. For this problem setting, production sequencing is totally defined by the planner of the assembly line. This assumption allows selecting a production sequence that matches well with the assignment of tasks in the assembly system. For this problem, the assembly line problem and production sequencing problem are solved in an integrated form. As both decisions are taken in different time frames in practical applications, a hierarchical approach is defined. The assignment of tasks to stations is a medium to long-term decision, while the production sequencing is solved on a daily or weekly basis. The uncertainty in the problem is represented by an uncertain demand at the planning stage of the assembly line. This way, the balancing of the assembly line has to be defined before the realization of the demand, while the sequencing can be solved after the customers define their orders. The problem is defined in a two-stage stochastic programming model, for which an exact solution procedure is proposed to minimize the expected utility work (amount of work from auxiliary versatile workers). A Benders' Decomposition Algorithm based on combinatorial cuts is developed among valid inequalities and improvements. The contents of Chapter 4 has some overlap to the published article version of the chapter (see Sikora [2021]). The results of both publications, however, are complementary.

At the other end of the control spectrum, the second approach models the balancing problem under no control over the sequence. In Chapter 5, the production