

Studies in Arts-Based Educational Research 4

Daniel X. Harris  
Mary Elizabeth Luka  
Annette N. Markham *Editors*

# Massive/Micro Autoethnography

Creative Learning in COVID Times



Springer

# **Studies in Arts-Based Educational Research**

## **Volume 4**

### **Series Editor**

Mindy Carter, Department of Integrated Studies in Education, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada

### **Editorial Board**

Kakali Bhattacharya, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

Barbara Bickel, Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Carbondale, IL, USA

Pam Burnard, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Walter S. Gershon, Kent State University, Kent, OH, USA

Peter Gouzouasis, University of British Columbia, North Vancouver, BC, Canada

Andrea Kantrowitz, State University of New York at New Paltz, New Paltz, NY, USA

Kelly Clark-Keefe, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, USA

Morna McDermott McNulty, Towson University, Catonsville, MD, USA

Richard Siegesmund, Northern Illinois University, Dekalb, IL, USA

Arts-Based Educational Research continues to garner increased interest and debate among artists, arts writers, researchers, scholars and educators internationally. Further, the methodologies and theoretical articulations associated with Arts-Based Educational Research are increasingly employed across the disciplines of social science, education, humanities, health, media, communications, the creative arts, design, and trans-disciplinary and interdisciplinary research.

This book series offers edited collections and monographs that survey and exemplify Arts-Based Educational Research. The series will take up questions relevant to the diverse range of Arts-Based Educational Research. These questions might include: What can Arts-Based methodologies (such as Arts-Based Research, Arts-Informed Research, a/r/tography, Poetic Inquiry, Performative Inquiry, Arts Practice-Based Research etc.) do as a form of critical qualitative inquiry? How do the Arts (such as literary, visual and performing arts) enable research? What is the purpose of Arts-Based Educational Research? What counts as Arts-Based? What counts as Educational? What counts as Research? How can Arts-Based Educational Research be responsibly performed in communities and institutions, individually or collaboratively? Must Arts-Based Educational Research be public? What ways of knowing and being can be explored with Arts-Based Educational Research? How can Arts-Based Educational Research build upon diverse philosophical, theoretical, historical, political, aesthetic and spiritual approaches to living? What is *not* Arts-Based Educational Research?

The hinge connecting the arts and research in this Arts-Based Educational Research book series is education. Education is understood in its broadest sense as learning/transformation/change that takes place in diverse formal and informal spaces, places and moments. As such, books in this series might take up questions such as: How do perspectives on education, curriculum and pedagogy (such as critical, participatory, liberatory, intercultural and historical) inform Arts-Based inquiries? How do teachers become artists, and how do artists become teachers? How can one be both? What does this look like, in and beyond school environments?

Arts-Based Educational Research will be deeply and broadly explored, represented, questioned and developed in this vital and digitally augmented international publication series. The aesthetic reach of this series will be expanded by a digital online repository where all media pertaining to publications will be held. Queries can be sent via email to Mindy Carter [editor.aber.springer@gmail.com](mailto:editor.aber.springer@gmail.com).

Daniel X. Harris · Mary Elizabeth Luka ·  
Annette N. Markham  
Editors

# Massive/Micro Autoethnography


Creative Learning in COVID Times





Springer



*Editors*

Daniel X. Harris   
RMIT University  
Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Mary Elizabeth Luka   
University of Toronto  
Toronto, ON, Canada

Annette N. Markham   
RMIT University  
Melbourne, VIC, Australia

ISSN 2364-8376                      ISSN 2364-8384 (electronic)  
Studies in Arts-Based Educational Research  
ISBN 978-981-16-8304-6            ISBN 978-981-16-8305-3 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-8305-3>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2022, corrected publication 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover design: Sun Kyoung Kim

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

## Series Editor Foreword

I spent the COVID-19 pandemic in Florida grieving, terrified, and free. My best friend died of cancer of the pancreas in May of 2019. When the pandemic shut-downs began in March of 2020, I was still stuck in grief and attending a support group in the chapel of my local Presbyterian church to process his loss. The group was disbanded and we never completed the journey, “from mourning to joy.”

In the US, many people died who would not have died if folks had worked together and did simple things like wear a mask, wash their hands, and, eventually, take the shot. In Florida, the experience was especially terrifying because after that first summer, there were no restrictions and folks were free to drink, dance, and die if they chose. In some ways, I was lucky. I had a book to edit for SAGE with Paul Mihas and Johnny Saldaña; the work kept me busy and connected through the months before the vaccines. I was unlucky because my grief for my friend combined with my grief for my family’s endless crises to damage almost every personal and professional decision I made outside the book. I spent the pandemic alone, mostly staring at my computer and hiding in my house. At the end of the day, I would cook my food and walk alone past packed bars and restaurants.

Daniel X. Harris spent the Covid-19 pandemic, among other things, leading the team that developed *Massive and Microscopic Sensemaking in Times of COVID-19* (henceforth *Massive/Micro*). Harris is an international expert in creativity and the arts and, in my view, the project might be understood in two dimensions. *Massive/Micro* exists as an example of an intentionally constructed online creative network pulled together to respond critically and artistically to a major 21st century event. The book thus describes how a creative network in critical qualitative research formed and how it functions. As the editorial team writes in the introduction

We three editors have our own visual, performance and digital artmaking practices that we turned to at the start of the rolling lockdowns, but setting up this call [the *Massive/Micro* CFP] and then community of practice via social media meant that we were suddenly connected to dozens of others like us – people who were isolated from family, friends and sometimes home; people who turned to nature and creative practices to ground themselves during the tumult, and people who wanted to connect.

The call was successful. Massive/Micro provides a powerful example of how critical, interpretive social science might communicate a complex event across time and space. Each chapter provides a unique perspective on the early years of the COVID-19 pandemic. In the words of the editors' introduction, Massive/Micro transforms, "personal experiences through the COVID-19 crisis into critical understandings of scale, sense-making, and relationality of humans, nonhumans, and the planet."

Chapter 1, by Wakana Tsuji, and Chapter 2, by Alison Shields, begin with overviews of the Massive/Micro experiment. These narratives help readers imagine how the project began and how the work was experienced by participants. When I turned to Chapters 3 & 4, what I had learned about the project from these early chapters helped me connect with the urgency of Dawne Fahey's artmaking and the terror and loss that drive Fiona J. Stirling's writing.

*The woman next to me begins to cry. Fuck it. I reach across the gap, the-in between, the gutter.*

*I whisper: 'Would you like to hold my hand?'*

*She grips my palm.*

*I feel the shake of each sob run through her body, down her arm, and into my own. It is the first time I have touched someone who is not my family in half a year. I had forgotten what it was like - why we might even want to do it - making that physical connection with others. (Stirling, p. \_\_)*

As I traveled through the pages, I would stop and breathe as I experienced the next perfect paragraph.

I am concerned about the touches. Lysol, bleach, hand sanitizer - constantly used after every touch. Shopping has become an awareness of potential droplets on groceries, my handbag, my credit card - that touched the machine that other people touched. What cannot be easily washed is kept on the balcony until whatever they might have picked up has worn off.

My favorite piece is Louise Gwenneth Phillips' description of the Singapore lockdown. Phillips describes the rules, regulations, corruption, and inequality that shaped almost every minute of life in the city state.

In Florida, during the first and second waves of the pandemic, we dreamed of Singapore. My friends and I hoped for some type of rescue from days flush with 70 deaths and 20,000 positive COVID-19 test results. We hoped for some type a collective response that would pull us together and lead us away from isolated sickness and death. Phillips' chapter makes me ask whether such a life—life in Singapore—is desirable. Singapore will never happen here in Florida, but the Massive/Micro experiment helped me imagine it.

Charles Vanover  
Associate Professor  
University of South Florida

# Foreword

## **By Mood, by Atmosphere, by Feelings: Massive/Micro-Sensemaking in the Time of COVID**

Living in and through the era wrought by COVID has taught us many things, including the deep need we have for the arts in times of trouble as well as in times of plenty; the ways in which we are, all of us, lifelong learners who can and do learn and adapt to a succession of “next normal” and perhaps most importantly, the sustaining power of connection and feeling of being in “it” together. The importance of the relational, the intersectional and of narrative cuts across all these lessons and this collection, which so deftly demonstrates the power of autoethnography and other personal arts-based practices that bridge story, action and analysis in creative and powerful ways.

Autoethnography offers a ready framework and form for bringing together modes of critique and story within cultural context. We find our way in to experiencing and understanding the pandemic—whether grounded in a philosophical/theoretical, political and/or arts practice—by linking those embodied-thinking-acting practices with the particular details of daily life (Holman Jones, 2016). And like experiments in “autotheory”, which bring together personal narrative, embodied experience and theory to analyse the political dynamics of selves and worlds, the work in this collection is decidedly partisan and partial. Borrowing from Arianne Zwartjes (2019), this work “strips the pretension of neutrality, of objectivity, away from the theorizing voice” and is “unafraid to mix theory with creativity and lyricism, and with the graphic details of one’s very specific physical experience”.

In the *21-day Massive/Micro-Sensemaking* project, participants took up a number of autoethnographic techniques and strategies for the theory-story-action exchange, creating space for modes of sensemaking that defy logic or sit outside language and conscious awareness. This is especially true in how the collection gives a sense of the effect of COVID, through the sensations, forces and encounters that seemed to wash over, or hit, or exert a pull on us. Affects are visceral, non-conscious (autonomic) responses that move us to feel, think and relate in new

and different ways. Affect theory is an attempt to synthesize these bodily, “felt experiences” in terms of the social—in other words, to feel and explore “what happens to people, how force hits bodies, how sensibilities circulate and become . . . collective” (Stewart, 2013, p. 661). Affective autoethnographers, which is a name I might give to many of the authors in this collection, seek to understand the world as shaped not by numbers or arguments or even—only—narratives, but also “by nonlinguistic effects—by mood, by atmosphere, by feelings” (Hsu, 2019). Their work is a “critical mode of affirmation” that asks readers to pay attention to “the forms and forces of moving bodies and events”; it “invites experiments with description and with the conceptual” and offers a “mode of thought that tries to describe the things that also propel it” (Stewart, 2017, p. 197). Further, the affective works in this collection help us explore and understand how change and movement come together in ways that defy the logics of rationality and how activism can centre around energy that is collectively shared and spread.

In today’s world, when so much is changing and moving, we desperately need scholarship that defies the old logics of rationality, of capital and of human exceptionalism and sparks new ways of being and working together. We need works like this—works that generate energy, creativity, critique and beauty that can—and must—be collectively shared and spread. Enjoy.

Stacy Holman Jones  
Associate Professor  
Monash University  
Melbourne, Australia

## References

- Holman Jones, S. (2016). Living bodies of thought: The critical in critical autoethnography. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 22(2), 228–237. [10.1177/1077800415622509](https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800415622509)
- Hsu, H. (2019). Affect theory and the new age of anxiety. In *The New Yorker*, 18 March 2019. <https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2019/03/25/affect-theory-and-the-new-age-of-anxiety>
- Stewart, K. (2013). An autoethnography of what happens. In S. Holman Jones, T. E. Adams, & C. Ellis (Eds.), *The handbook of autoethnography* (pp. 659–668). Left Coast Press/Routledge.
- Stewart, K. (2017). In the world that affect proposed. *Cultural Anthropology*, 32 (2), 192–198. [10.14506/ca32.2.03](https://doi.org/10.14506/ca32.2.03)
- Zwartjes, A. (2019). Under the skin: An exploration of autotheory. *Assay: A Journal of Nonfiction Studies*, 6(1). <https://www.assayjournal.com/arianne-zwartjes8203-under-the-skin-an-exploration-of-autotheory-61.html>

---

The original version of the book was revised: Author provided belated corrections have been updated in chapters 2, 5, 9, 11, 13, and 14. The correction to the book is available at [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-8305-3\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-8305-3_15)

# Acknowledgements

The editors would collectively like to express our gratitude to Mindy Carter and Lay Peng Ang for the opportunity to publish with this innovative series at Springer. We would like to express our thanks for the opportunity to learn and grow with the 165+ participants involved in the *Massive/Micro-Sensemaking Experiment* from which this text emerges and specifically to the authors and artists involved in this book.

We acknowledge and thank the frontline workers who continue to fight at their own risk in order to keep others safe and attended during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, and to our family, friends and colleagues who did the same as well as supporting us through the online project and its many arts-based educative outputs.

# Contents

<b>Introduction: Art, Autoethnography and Public Pedagogies in Lockdown</b> .....	1
Daniel X. Harris, Mary Elizabeth Luka, and Annette N. Markham	
<b>Through the Introverted Lens: Making Sense of Local and Global Interpersonal Connections Through Walking and Photography</b> .....	23
Wakana Tsuji	
<b>Studio as Liminal Space</b> .....	39
Alison Shields	
<b>Painting to Live Through Symptoiesis</b> .....	53
Dawne Fahey	
<b>Feather Flowers, ‘Home’ and a Global Pandemic: Collaborative Storytelling and the Relationality of Things</b> .....	69
Fran Edmonds, Maree Clarke, Kate Senior, and Daphne Daniels	
<b>Comics, Sensemaking, and Gutters: Finding and Losing the Self in Times of COVID-19</b> .....	99
Fiona J. Stirling	
<b>Alone and in the Dark: Sensemaking Through Online Platforms During the COVID-19 Pandemic</b> .....	113
Zach Bastick	
<b>Why a Radically Different Act Feels Right: A Reimagining of Life During Lockdown in Rural Norway</b> .....	125
Gilda Seddighi	
<b>Roadkill, American Style: A Performative Reflexive Autoethnography Through Embodied Imagination, Photography, and Poetry During COVID-19, March 17–June 30, 2020</b> .....	135
Mark B. DeGarmo	

<b>Playfully Rethinking the Pandemic's Pivot Imperative: An Early Childhood Researcher's Reflections . . . . .</b>	<b>155</b>
Emma Cooke	
<b>Triple AAA Ra(n)ting: A is for _____ . . . . .</b>	<b>171</b>
Peta Murray, Jennifer L. Erdely, and Dina Brode-Roger	
<b>A Culture of Care in Higher Education: Empathy, Affect and COVID-19 . . . . .</b>	<b>191</b>
Julia Vagg, Daniel X. Harris, and Elise Hunkin	
<b>Situating the Self Within a New and Future 'Normal': Sensemaking of COVID Through Coproduction . . . . .</b>	<b>203</b>
Su Lyn Corcoran, Özge Girgin, and Peter Thestrup Waade	
<b>Holobionts, Happiness and Policing in a Suspended World . . . . .</b>	<b>229</b>
Louise Gwenneth Phillips	
<b>Correction to: Massive/Micro Autoethnography . . . . .</b>	<b>C1</b>
Daniel X. Harris, Mary Elizabeth Luka, and Annette N. Markham	



# Editors and Contributors

## About the Editors

**Daniel X. Harris** is Professor and Associate Dean, Research and Innovation in the School of Education, RMIT University (Melbourne, Australia). They are Australian Research Council Future Fellow and Founder of Creative Agency research laboratory: [www.creativeresearchhub.com](http://www.creativeresearchhub.com). Harris is Editor of the book series *Creativity, Education and the Arts* (Palgrave) and has authored over 100 articles/chapters, 17 books and multiple plays, films and spoken word performances. They are committed to the power of collaborative creative practice and social justice research to inform social change. Harris is most widely known for their scholarship in creativity studies, affect theory and autoethnography.  
e-mail: [daniel.harris@rmit.edu.au](mailto:daniel.harris@rmit.edu.au)

**Mary Elizabeth Luka** is Assistant Professor, University of Toronto, and Award-winning Producer of digital content for television, exhibition and digital platforms. She examines co-creative production and dissemination in arts, culture and media creative hubs and networks in Canada, UK, USA and Australia. Dr. Luka is Founding Member of the Critical Digital Methods Institute at University of Toronto Scarborough and Policy Co-lead for *Archive/Counter-Archive*, a national partnership involving 27 universities and cultural organizations in activating audiovisual archives created by Indigenous Peoples (First Nations, Métis, Inuit), the Black community and People of Colour, womxn, LGBTQ2+ and immigrant communities. Luka has previously published research in journals such as *Qualitative Inquiry*; *Canadian Journal of Communication*; *Public Journal*; *Topia*; *Information, Communication and Society*; and *Social Media and Society*. <https://www.utoronto.ca/acm/mary-elizabeth-luka>

**Annette N. Markham** is internationally recognized for developing innovative frameworks for rethinking ethics and research design in the age of digital transformations. Her recent work considers the personal impact of datafication and the

influence of algorithms and machine learning on human–machine interactions and relations. Her critical approaches often take the shape of public facing experiments and interventions, such as the *Museum of Random Memory* arts-based digital literacy series and the annual *Skagen Institute*, dedicated to exploring the ideas and practices of transgressive methods. She currently holds Professorship at RMIT University in Melbourne. Learn more at [www.annetmarkham.com](http://www.annetmarkham.com).  
e-mail: [annette.markham@rmit.edu.au](mailto:annette.markham@rmit.edu.au)

## Contributors

**Bastick Zach** Shorenstein Center on Media, Politics, and Public Policy, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA

**Brode-Roger Dina** University Centre in Svalbard, Svalbard, Norway

**Clarke Maree** VIC, Melbourne, Australia

**Cooke Emma** University of Queensland, St Lucia, Australia

**Corcoran Su Lyn** Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK

**Daniels Daphne** Yugal Mangi Development Aboriginal Corporation, Ngukurr, Australia

**DeGarmo Mark B.** Mark DeGarmo Dance, Mark DeGarmo, New York, NY, USA

**Edmonds Fran** School of Culture and Communication, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

**Erdely Jennifer L.** Prairie View A&M University, Prairie View, TX, USA

**Fahey Dawne** Western Sydney University, Penrith, NSW, Australia

**Girgin Özge** Queen's University, Kingston, Canada

**Harris Daniel X.** Research and Innovation in the School of Education, RMIT University, Elwood, VIC, Australia

**Hunkin Elise** La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia

**Luka Mary Elizabeth** University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

**Markham Annette N.** RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia

**Murray Peta** RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia

**Phillips Louise Gwenneth** Southern Cross University, Gold Coast, Australia

**Seddighi Gilda** Western Norway Research Institute, Sogndal, Norway

**Senior Kate** School of Humanities and Social Science, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia

**Shields Alison** University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada

**Stirling Fiona J.** Abertay University, Dundee, Scotland

**Tsuji Wakana** OISE/University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

**Vagg Julia** RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia

**Waade Peter Thestrup** Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

# List of Figures

## Introduction: Art, Autoethnography and Public Pedagogies in Lockdown

Fig. 1	Clipped image of a new mask being developed at Stanford University. <i>Source</i> Screenshot by Editor 3 . . . . .	15
--------	--	----

## Through the Introverted Lens: Making Sense of Local and Global Interpersonal Connections Through Walking and Photography

Fig. 1	16 frames of the world . . . . .	28
Fig. 2	Each actor creating the whole. . . . .	29
Fig. 3	Agonizing tree face during the COVID-19 crisis . . . . .	29
Fig. 4	Composite 1 . . . . .	30
Fig. 5	Composite 2 . . . . .	32
Fig. 6	Networked trees under COVID-19 . . . . .	35

## Studio as Liminal Space

Fig. 1	a, b Ministry of Casual Living Studio 1 and 2 . . . . .	42
Fig. 2	a, b Ministry of Casual Living Studio 3 and 4. . . . .	44
Fig. 3	a, b Ministry of Casual Living Studio 5 and 6. . . . .	46
Fig. 4	a, b Ministry of Casual Living Studio 7 and 8. . . . .	46
Fig. 5	Ministry of Casual Living Studio 9, Alison Shields, March, 2020 . . . . .	48
Fig. 6	Ministry of Casual Living Studio 11, Alison Shields, June, 2020 and Ministry of Casual Living Studio 10, Alison Shields, June, 2020 . . . . .	49
Fig. 7	Ministry of Casual Living Studio 12, Alison Shields, June, 2020 and Ministry of Casual Living Studio 13, Alison Shields, June, 2020 . . . . .	49

### **Painting to Live Through Sympoiesis**

Fig. 1	Painting to Live through Sympoiesis (title). Finished artwork with 21 layers of cold wax medium and oil paints. Size: 24 × 24 in. ....	55
Fig. 2	Layer #20 from Painting to live through Sympoiesis. Title: River of Grief. Cold wax medium and oils. Size: 24 × 24 in. ..	57
Fig. 3	Layer #16, from Painting to live through Sympoiesis. Titled: Feeling lost in Covid-19. Cold wax medium and oils. Size: 24 × 24 in. ....	58
Fig. 4	Layer #2 from Painting to Live through Sympoiesis. Titled: Covid-19 Sucks. Cold wax medium and oils. Size: 24 × 24 in. ....	61
Fig. 5	Detail fragments of layer#15 from Painting to Live through Sympoiesis. Cold wax medium and oils. Size: 24 × 24 in. ....	62
Fig. 6	Detail fragments of layer#17 from Painting to Live through Sympoiesis. Cold wax medium and oils. Size: 24 × 24 in. ....	63

### **Feather Flowers, ‘Home’ and a Global Pandemic: Collaborative Storytelling and the Relationality of Things**

Fig. 1	Ngukurr feather flowers. Photograph Fran Edmonds, October 2019. Permission Museums Victoria. ....	75
Fig. 2	Fishpond, text messages, and ‘Backyard as work in progress April 2020’: One of a series of photographs sent via text from Maree Clarke to Fran Edmonds, 17 May 2020, showing Maree’s backyard and ‘chill out’ space. See river reed canoe lying sideways on top of shed door with inserted photograph of the same river-reed canoe in situ at an exhibition. Photograph Maree Clarke, 2020 ....	81
Fig. 3	A newly cleared space to prepare bird feathers for artwork, with pots of ochre for colouring beads in Maree’s home. Photograph Maree Clarke 2020. ....	81
Fig. 4	‘Surfers’: Sign for surfers about doing the right thing to stop the spread of corona virus, Surf Coast, Victoria, June 2020. Photograph Fran Edmonds (2020) ....	82
Fig. 5	‘Keep Out’: Sign warning homeless people they can no longer stay in the grandstand, Brunswick St Oval, Fitzroy North, Melbourne, Victoria, May 2020. Photograph Fran Edmonds ....	83
Fig. 6	The Mixer. Photograph Kate Senior (2020) ....	85
Fig. 7	Samples of a series of posters in Kriol and included in the Yugul-Ngukurr Nyus, April 2020. Designed by Chips Mackinolty. Permission to reproduce from Chips Mackinolty, 2020. ....	88

Fig. 8	'I can't breathe': Black Lives Matter march, Melbourne, 6 June 2020. Photo Maree Clarke 2020 . . . . .	88
Fig. 9	Walter Rogers plucking feathers to adhere to a painting at Ngukurr Arts Centre. Still shot from short film, Welkam la Ngukurr (Welcome to Ngukurr), Ngukurr Story Project, 2019. Permission to reproduce from Karen Rogers, Ngukurr Arts Centre. . . . .	91
Fig. 10	3 works by Maree Clarke. . . . .	93

### **Comics, Sensemaking, and Gutters: Finding and Losing the Self in Times of COVID-19**

Fig. 1	An overly confident text exchange. Copyright Fiona Stirling . . . .	102
Fig. 2	Keeping distance saves lives . . . . .	103
Fig. 3	Keeping distance saves lives. Copyright Fiona Stirling . . . . .	107
Fig. 4	Prompt 8—How can one frame feel like the whole world? Copyright Fiona Stirling. . . . .	108
Fig. 5	Kindness in the gutter. . . . .	109

### **Alone and in the Dark: Sensemaking Through Online Platforms During the COVID-19 Pandemic**

Fig. 1	Line-by-line illustration by Lynette Widder of the poem (with permission from Lynette Widder). . . . .	115
--------	--	-----

### **Why a Radically Different Act Feels Right: A Reimaging of Life During Lockdown in Rural Norway**

Fig. 1	My window toward the fjord and mountain . . . . .	127
Fig. 2	Kitchen. . . . .	128
Fig. 3	My busy mobile. . . . .	130

### **Roadkill, American Style: A Performative Reflexive Autoethnography Through Embodied Imagination, Photography, and Poetry During COVID-19, March 17–June 30, 2020**

Fig. 1	Composite #1 . . . . .	137
Fig. 2	Composite #2 . . . . .	140
Fig. 3	Composite #3 . . . . .	145
Fig. 4	Composite #4 . . . . .	148
Fig. 5	Composite #5 . . . . .	152

### **Playfully Rethinking the Pandemic's Pivot Imperative: An Early Childhood Researcher's Reflections**

Fig. 1	Alicia's drawing/chapter's structure/pandemic timeline . . . . .	157
Fig. 2	Response to prompt #20: agency of more than human entities, forces in this event . . . . .	159
Fig. 3	Response to prompt #15: "What next?" . . . . .	161
Fig. 4	Photograph of my collaborator, the ant. And: Response to prompt #8: How can one frame feel like the whole world? . . . . .	163
Fig. 5	Heidi's drawing/Children calling out: Emma, why can't you play with us? And Brooke's drawing/Door to unexpected encounters . . . . .	164

### **Triple AAA Ra(n)ting: A is for \_\_\_\_\_**

Fig. 1	Countries by Standard & Poor's Foreign Rating (Norvikk, 2019) . . . . .	172
--------	---	-----

### **Holobionts, Happiness and Policing in a Suspended World**

Fig. 1	X demarcating do not sit here on bus seat . . . . .	232
Fig. 2	No access to public seating . . . . .	232
Fig. 3	Curb-side growth . . . . .	236

# Introduction: Art, Autoethnography and Public Pedagogies in Lockdown



Daniel X. Harris, Mary Elizabeth Luka,  
and Annette N. Markham

**Abstract** This book sits in the transdisciplinary space between digital ethnography, autoethnography, arts-based research and creative pedagogies. It contributes to the growing body of literature responding to the COVID-19 pandemic (Mathiyazhagan in *Overcome COVID-19: Creative expressions of young people* 2020), and centres around a 21-day digital autoethnographic collaborative online experiment in which over 165 participants from 26 countries responded to daily prompts in a range of affective and creative modalities, to express our experiences of isolation, community, sense-making, and life as it changed under the first few weeks of COVID. Teachers, artists, academics, philosophers and makers all drew from our own practices and geo-political perspectives to collectively find commonality in exploring the macro conditions through to the microscopic and granular experiences of our culturally diverse lives.

**Keywords** Critical qualitative research • Visual methods • Sense-making • Affect • Non-representation • Performance pedagogy

This book sits in the transdisciplinary space between digital autoethnography, arts-based research and creative pedagogies. It contributes to the growing body of literature responding to the COVID-19 pandemic (Mathiyazhagan, 2020), and centres around a 21-day digital autoethnographic collaborative online experiment in which over 165 participants from 26 countries responded to daily prompts in a range of affective and creative modalities, to express our experiences of isolation, community, sense-making, and life as it changed under the first few weeks of

---

D. X. Harris

Research and Innovation in the School of Education, RMIT University,  
16 Poets Grove, Elwood, VIC, Australia

M. E. Luka

University of Toronto, Arts and Admin Bldg, AA335, 1265 Military Trail,  
Toronto M1C1A4, Canada

A. N. Markham (✉)

RMIT University, 124 La Trobe Stree, Melbourne 3000, Australia

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2022

D. X. Harris et al. (eds.), *Massive/Micro Autoethnography*,

Studies in Arts-Based Educational Research 4,

[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-8305-3\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-8305-3_1)



COVID. Participants were emailed (or viewed in the closed Facebook group) a new prompt each day for 21 days and had 24 h to complete the task. Teachers, artists, academics, philosophers and makers all drew from our own practices and geo-political perspectives to find commonality in grappling to understand the macro conditions of the pandemic, through the microscopic and granular experiences of our culturally diverse everyday lives.

The COVID pandemic is a once-in-a-generation experience that foregrounds the pain of separation between families, the interruption of work and schooling, the loss of careers and sudden decimation of entire industries. The creative, cultural, digital and political aspects of this book come together to function as a tool for extending arts based autoethnography, and as an artefact of innovative educational and social science scholarship at a critical point in world history. The *Massive and Microscopic Sensemaking in Times of COVID-19* (henceforth *Massive/Micro*) project, through its autoethnographic commitments, explicitly but intimately facilitates a rich cultural analysis of the global impact of the pandemic. Autoethnography is a particularly agile approach for communicating the lived experience of culture-through-self, as they are encountered within systems and discourses of power, oppression, and privilege, systems which persist even (or more particularly) in times of global pandemics, climate change, and political and religious unrest.

For those not in Wuhan, we watched it sprout, spread and catch fire around the globe. Like the bushfires that devastated Australia once again in 2020, the epidemic swept through our lives more quickly than we realized it would. Work connections between the three editors of this volume brought us into conversation, but quickly the conversation—like the virus—spread rhizomatically. In April 2020, Annette and Dan reached out online, and the response was overwhelming: people wanted to come together for a creative and autoethnographic collective experiment, to collectively try and make sense of what was happening.

Leading such a creative effort during the pandemic was an amazing experience, and one that sustained us even as we jointly created (or sourced, for many of them are sourced from elsewhere, as noted) the prompts. We three editors have our own visual, performance and digital artmaking practices that we turned to at the start of the rolling lockdowns, but setting up this call and then a community of practice via social media meant that we were suddenly connected to dozens of others like us—people who were isolated from family, friends and sometimes home; people who turned to nature and creative practices to ground themselves during the tumult, and people who wanted to connect.

Creating the collaborative spaces was easy: most connected through FaceBook, but there were those who choose not to be on social media, and those who could not for a variety of reasons. So our primary engagement was initially through FaceBook, but then we set up a smaller cohort who communicated by email, and gathered for other reasons on Google Docs (those who are not boycotting these platforms). This need for multiple modes of communication was at times unwieldy, but also instructive. For example, those who connected via email were not as ready to share their works-in-progress as those who did on FaceBook. It was harder,

through the mechanics of email, but the visuality of the FaceBook platform was conducive to a rolling round of comments and interaction around and including the visuals that were rapidly emerging.

Dan and Annette spent many, many hours on web conferencing working out the structure and content of the 21 prompts. As a performance writer and scholar, Dan was familiar with a wide range of improvisation provocations, and as a digital media scholar Annette was connected to a different, but equally wide range of tech-related prompts. With Annette stuck in Denmark for several months, and Dan locked down in Australia, these ongoing video calls were themselves a means of connecting, debriefing, but also presented practical challenges. When M. E. became involved (from Canada), the time zone-coordinating became even more challenging—as the world was also finding out through the ‘pivot’ to online working across the globe. In addition, the pace of posting 21 coherent and provocative prompts daily for three weeks brought its own pressures, but initiated its own meditative rhythm for the three of us as well.

We had a great time thinking up, scavenging, and posting the prompts. We were amazed at how these artists, scholars, students, and citizens from around the globe took to the call, and mostly stuck with the call. When the Black Lives Matters protests erupted in the United States (and elsewhere), some of our collaborators made the decision to leave in order to devote their available energies and time to that urgent call. The BLM movement made its way into the prompts (Prompt 18) and invited important conversations across the group. Our individual and collective feelings of helplessness multiplied, but the collective held our grief and gave us somewhere to ‘take it’.

## 1 Autoethnography for Contemporary Times

Autoethnography is entering a new era, taking its place more centrally among other arts-based and creative research approaches. Many of those who work in arts-based education research, like the editors of this series, have known for a long time the power of including narrative, performative, and visual methods in a range of disciplines within and beyond our own fields. From autoethnographic beginnings with the narrative work of Richardson (2003), the dialogic work of Art Bochner and Carolyn Ellis (2016), and the performance work of Spry (2016) and Holman Jones (2016), autoethnography has continued to make inroads into arts-based research and to expand its public pedagogical potential. Autoethnography’s ‘suspicious’ beginnings as a ‘soft’ methodology that has been derogatorily dismissed as ‘me-search’ is now coming of age, through a critical theoretical attention to formations of the ‘we’.

Globally, the rise of practice-led doctorates, research-creation as an arts-based but highly theorized approach, and diverse geopolitical critical autoethnographic communities (Iosefo et al., 2020) are demonstrating how arts-based methods do not need ‘companion’ scholarly texts to help legitimize them, but constitute research

themselves. Education as a field, and our professional organisations and international conferences, have changed accordingly. We are more comfortable recognizing and celebrating the artistry of teaching, but also the pedagogical function of creative work, and the reciprocal power of considering these flows together.

For Adams and Holman Jones, “Autoethnography is an inherently critical project—the research and creative work we do as autoethnographers questions how the personal and the cultural intersect and provides a story...” (2017, p. 153). Harris (2017) has documented the ways in which social media and digital autoethnographic methods can democratise and de-centralise the research process, even when it’s collaborative. Wyatt et al. (2020) explore the ways in which material makings can be collaborative efforts and represent proximities, even from a distance.

Manning (2015) tells us that what is now known as research creation is also known as arts-based research. Manning has extended this Canadian research category into an onto-epistemological consideration of.

How art itself activates and constitutes new forms of knowledge *in its own right* but also, perhaps most importantly, incites us to inquire into the very question of how practices produce knowledge, and whether those forms of knowledge can engagingly be captured within the strictures of methodological ordering. (p. 52)

To do this work, she asserts, “it generates new forms of experience; it situates what often seem like disparate practices, giving them a conduit for collective expression” (p. 53), and indeed this is what autoethnography and the *Massive/Micro Sensemaking* experiment show so well.

Methodologist and sociologist Patricia Leavy has asserted this relationship between autoethnography and/as arts-based research, including education-focused work, in her many handbooks and publications that include autoethnography as central to the project of arts based—and arts-informed-research (2017). Autoethnography now sits at the centre of a revolution in research that draws together artists, DIY makers, those with lived experience, sociologists, ethnographers from many fields (not just anthropology), cultural studies, narrative researchers, co-designers, participatory researchers, and those whose work can be called creative nonfiction, memoir and autotheory in a rich tapestry of difference and similarity, all with a project of social change at heart. Into this maelstrom came COVID-19.

## 2 The Power of Affect and the Creative-Relational During COVID

Considerations of aloneness and togetherness, and individuality and collectivity, have taken life in new ways during this pandemic. People were caught off guard: under-prepared and over-extended. Anthropologist and affect scholar Kathleen Stewart reminds us of the affective power of ‘ordinary objects’, doing naturally what she calls “snapping into place, if only for a minute” (Stewart, 2008, p. 82). The *Massive/Micro* experiment allowed strangers from around the globe to connect in and

share our experiences of our own ordinary objects, our human and non-human familiars during this strange and challenging time, through attending to our affective responses to sharing time with them, and each other. Affect, as Stewart shows us, is a pre-acceleration that precedes action, even emotion. It is a gathering, inchoate sensation, a vibration that tells us something is happening, if only for a minute.

Stewart's work is an instantiation of affect theory, a *doing* of affect more than a *telling* of it. Like the *Massive/Micro* project, Stewart shows readers how writing affectively can convey the experience of attending to everyday affects, as do the authors in this volume. The entire 21-day cycle of prompts was constructed with this in mind: to elicit in our collaborators a sense of something, to seek a humble way of communicating what they were experiencing, even when those experiences were not narratable in language.

Like Stewart's foundational affect work *Ordinary Affects* (2007), we pushed our participants to think-with different modalities: storytelling, close ethnographic description, critical analysis, graphic novelling, drawing, painting, photographing, dancing. Affect theory and its attendant methodological offspring are powerful tools across a range of different disciplines and paradigms. These do not simply add affective resonance to critical social science research, but offer speculative methods to post-qualitative and research-creation approaches, so that affect and arts based perspectives maintain a robust engagement with both criticality and relational co-creation.

Affect, proximity, virtuality, and embodiment took on new meanings. The pandemic accelerated what some scholars were already turning to in seeking a creative-relational approach to socio-cultural, educational and creativity studies scholarship (Wyatt, 2018; Glaveanu et al., 2019; Harris, 2021). For Jonathan Wyatt, creative-relational work is inherently more-than-human. Following Massumi, Wyatt is interested not only in the relational labour of creativity-as-event, but equally interested in the interstitial work of the hyphen in creative-relational enquiry.

This book claims to make a major contribution to critical interpretive social science—as well as arts-based education and research—in the buoyant use and commitment to creative-relational enquiry at a time of global crisis. The ways in which these chapters embody and perform creative-relational community can in themselves be a focus of methodological and ontological inquiry, in a range of disciplines. As Wyatt argues, there is a heart to creative-relational inquiry, a heart that is beating collectively in the pages of this book.

### **3 What is the 'Massive/Micro Sensemaking' Experiment, Anyway?**

COVID-19 has informed—if not irrevocably changed—how education, digital and arts-based research is conducted. The pandemic has provided some unique opportunities for large-scale collaborative, creative, digital projects like this, even

ephemeral or spontaneous ones. The idea of doing a self-guided series of prompts as a large-scale project in the midst of a global pandemic was, in hindsight, perhaps a bit Quixotic: to transform personal experiences through the COVID-19 crisis into critical understandings of scale, sense-making, and relationality of humans, non-humans, and the planet. In between buying toilet paper and adopting new pets.

This volume showcases a small but powerfully aesthetic, affective and resonant sample of responses to the prompts that illustrate the diverse methods, geopolitical contexts, and theoretical engagements brought to the project. It is meant to be read in conjunction with (or cross-referenced with) our special issue of *Qualitative Inquiry* (Markham et al., 2020) and our special issue of *Imaginations* (Luka et al., 2021). Of the 165 participants, this book draws from the work of twenty-four, across nine countries, through a range of modalities, and critical and disciplinary perspectives.

For each chapter, the particular prompt or prompts referenced in the chapter is identified on the title page of the chapter, but not all twenty-one prompts are reflected in these pages. Instead, our focus in curating this particular sample of diverse and artful autoethnographic responses to this collective experience pivots on the breadth of arts-based methods, geographical diversity, and theoretical engagement. For readers who are keen to know all 21 prompts, they are included later in this introduction, but also in full in the special issue of *Qualitative Inquiry* featuring other contributions to the *Massive/Micro* project.

The authors here orient their chapters toward at least one of the 21 prompts (usually more), and share their visual, audio, and creative writing (narrative, poetic) responses. The experiment and the book both employ a guiding methodological framework of critical autoethnography (Holman Jones & Harris, 2018) and critical pedagogy (Markham, 2019), narrating the macro and micro experiences of COVID-19 from a first-person, critically- and culturally-informed perspective. It simultaneously uses arts-based methods to advance learnings from a range of COVID-suffused perspectives, and its impacts on teachers, scholars, artists and activists. It also extends contemporary ethnographic, digital, creativity and cultural scholarship, by incorporating global reflections on the Black Lives Matter (e.g. Rachel Cargill's "Great Unlearn" project) and other concurrent geo-political crises that have accompanied the pandemic's social impact and political awakenings.

## 4 Days/21 Prompts (from Markham & Harris, 2020)

We decided to send out one prompt daily via social media or email to activate participants in each 24 h period. The prompts focused on how the pandemic situation could be framed simultaneously as 'macro' and 'micro'. As we repeated in the prompts every day like a mantra: