how to

succeed at revalidation

PETER DONNELLY AND KATIE WEBB

WILEY Blackwell

Peter Donnelly, MB BCH BAO, FRCPsych, BA(OU), FAcadMed, FHEA, FRCP(Ed), MMed, HonFAcadMed

Consultant Psychiatrist Clinical Group Deputy Medical Director Swansea Bay University Health Board Swansea, UK

Katie Webb, BA (hons, BPS), MSc, PhD, CPsychol, FAcadMed

Lecturer in Evaluation and Standardisation School of Medicine Cardiff University Cardiff, UK

WILEY Blackwell

This edition first published 2022 © 2022 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

The right of Peter Donnelly and Katie Webb to be identified as the authors of this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

Registered Office(s)

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial Office 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

The contents of this work are intended to further general scientific research, understanding, and discussion only and are not intended and should not be relied upon as recommending or promoting scientific method, diagnosis, or treatment by physicians for any particular patient. In view of ongoing research, equipment modifications, changes in governmental regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to the use of medicines, equipment, and devices, the reader is urged to review and evaluate the information provided in the package insert or instructions for each medicine, equipment, or device for, among other things, any changes in the instructions or indication of usage and for added warnings and precautions. While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Donnelly, Peter, 1958– author. | Webb, Katie, 1974– author. Title: How to succeed at revalidation / Peter Donnelly, Katie Webb. Description: First edition. | Hoboken, NJ : Wiley, 2022. | Includes bibliographical references and index. Identifiers: LCCN 2021021048 (print) | LCCN 2021021049 (ebook) | ISBN 9781119650379 (paperback) | ISBN 9781119650362 (adobe pdf) | ISBN 9781119650348 (epub) Subjects: MESH: Credentialing-standards | Physicians-standards | Clinical Competence-standards | United Kingdom Classification: LCC R834.5 (print) | LCC R834.5 (ebook) | NLM W 21 | DDC 610.76–dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021021048 LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021021049

Cover Design: Wiley Cover Image: © TECHDESIGNWORK/Getty Images

Set in 9.5/12pt Minion by Straive, Pondicherry, India

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Contents

Acknowledgments, vi

Abbreviations, vii

- Chapter 1: Introduction, 1
- Chapter 2: Regulation in Health A Brief History, 5
- Chapter 3: A History of the Current Framework, 21
- Chapter 4: Revalidation The Role of the Appraiser, Responsible Officer and Designated Bodies, 47
- Chapter 5: Revalidation The Role of the Appraisee, 73
- Chapter 6: Examples of Reflections Across All GMC Domains, 105
- Chapter 7: The Future of Medical Revalidation in the United Kingdom, 133

Index, 147

Acknowledgments

Peter would like to thank all colleagues, in the NHS and across the wider health sector, whose views and opinions have helped shape this book. He would also like to thank his co-author Katie for her support.

Katie would like to thank her colleagues in Cardiff Medical School and in the NHS for their support at these challenging times. She would also like to thank her parents, Annie and Roger, for their continued support, as well as the patience and encouragement of Évie and Amélie.

Abbreviations

ACAT	Acute Care Assessment Tool
AD	Associate Dean
AoMRC	Academy of Medical Royal Colleges
ARCP	Annual Review of Competency Progression
BMA	British Medical Association
BRI	Bristol Royal Infirmary
CCT	Certificate of Completion of Training
CD	Clinical Director
CHI	Commission for Health Improvement
СМО	Chief Medical Officer
COPMeD	Conference of Postgraduate Medical Deans
CPD	Continuing Professional Development
CQC	Care Quality Commission
CRPS	Chronic Regional Pain Syndrome
CSP	Chartered Society of Physiotherapy
DB	Designated Body
DEN	Doctor's Educational Need
DISQ	Doctors Interpersonal Skills Questionnaire
DOPS	Direct Observation of Procedural Skills
ELA	Employer Liaison Adviser
GDC	General Dental Council
GIM	General Internal Medicine
GMC	General Medical Council
GMP	Good Medical Practice
GNM	Gross Negligence Manslaughter
GOC	General Optical Council
GP	General Practitioner
GPAQ	General Practice Assessment Questionnaire
GPAS	General Practice Assessment Survey
GPC	Generic Professional Capabilities
HEIW	Health Education and Improvement Wales

viii Abbreviations

HPC	Health Professionals Council
ISQ	Interpersonal Skills Questionnaire
IT	Information Technology
JRCPTB	Joint Royal Colleges of Physicians Training Board
LGA	Local Government Association
MARS	Medical Appraisal and Revalidation System
MDT	Multi-Disciplinary Team
mini-CEX	Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise
MSF	Multi-Source Feedback
NCDA	National Cancer Diagnosis Audit
NELA	National Emergency Laparotomy Audit
NICE	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence
NMC	Nursing and Midwifery Council
NTN	National Training Number
PA	Physician Associate
PDP	Professional Development Plan
PEI	Patient Enablement Instrument
PREM	Patient-Reported Experience Measure
PROM	Patient-Reported Outcome Measure
PSQ	Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire
PUN	Patient's Unmet Need
QI	Quality Improvement
RCs	Royal Colleges
RCGP	Royal College of General Practitioners
RCP	Royal College of Physicians
RCPsych	Royal College of Psychiatrists
RCS	Royal College of Surgeons
RO	Responsible Officer
SAS	Staff and Associate Specialist
SEA	Significant Event Analysis
SI	Supporting Information
SLE	Supervised Learning Event
SOAR	Scotland Online Appraise Resource
SWOT	Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats
UK	United Kingdom
UKCC	United Kingdom Central Council for Nursing, Midwifery and
	Health Visiting
UKRPB	UK Revalidation Programme Board
VC	Video Consultation
WPBA	Workplace-Based Assessment

Chapter 1 Introduction

Working as a health care professional in the twenty-first century is both rewarding and challenging. Being a doctor is a complex role typically with a broad scope of practice, which includes not just one's clinical role but other responsibilities such as teaching, research and management. Whatever clinical area or specialty you are working in, there are increasing public expectations. Medical revalidation, introduced by the General Medical Council (GMC) in 2012, is an evaluation of a doctor's fitness to practice (GMC 2020).

What is this book about? This book reviews the background that led to the implementation of medical revalidation in the United Kingdom (UK). It also provides a comprehensive description of the current revalidation process for all doctors in the UK.

Who is this book for? This book is aimed at:

- All doctors in the UK who are subject to revalidation, including General Practitioners (GPs), Consultants, Staff and Associate Specialists (SASs), Locally Employed Doctors and Doctors in Training
- · All doctors who are appraisers and or Responsible Officers
- · All who are involved in postgraduate medical education and training
- All medical students and their tutors and lecturers.

Overview of the Book

This book explores the evolution of the regulatory processes in medicine and other health professionals from a UK and international perspective. The current UK regulatory framework is described, as well as the recent drivers for change. The book provides a step-by-step guide to the process of revalidation from the perspective of the appraisee, the appraiser, the Responsible Officer

How to Succeed at Revalidation, First Edition. Peter Donnelly and Katie Webb.

^{© 2022} John Wiley & Sons Ltd. Published 2022 by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

and the employer. Examples of reflective writing are explored mapped to Good Medical Practice (GMP) and the Generic Professional Capabilities (GPCs). We then go on to explore the possible future for medical revalidation in the UK.

Background

The GMC considered the introduction of revalidation as far back as 1998. In 2010, the GMC together with the Chief Medical Officers (CMOs) from the four UK nations issued a joint Statement of Intent, explicitly defining the purpose of revalidation:

the purpose of revalidation is to assure patients and the public, employers and other health care professionals that licenced doctors are up to date and fit to practice

(Pearson 2017)

The process was eventually introduced in December 2012, but this change was not triggered by a single event but rather a cultural and systems shift in the UK and indeed across all health care systems globally. An increased expectation of the public, in part driven by increasingly better informed patients via the use of the internet and social media, and a number of high-profile malpractice cases have focused the attention of the public on the gov-ernance arrangements for health care professionals, including doctors. These events have included the scandals at the Bristol Royal Infirmary (Kennedy 2001), the issues at Alder Hey Children's Hospital (The Stationery Office 2001) and the Mid Staffordshire Report (Francis 2013).

As just one example, Francis (2013) in his final report into Mid Staffordshire discussed the use of appraisal to facilitate cultural change in NHS organizations, with a need for appraisal to be driven by feedback from patients and colleagues.

In the last 20–30 years, the models of care used to provide services to patients have been transformed, resulting in a greater emphasis on doctors working within multi-professional teams.

We are now also in the third medical revolution. The first was the public health revolution epitomized by the discovery that the 1854 cholera outbreak in London was as a result of contaminated water via the water pump on Broad Street, in the Soho area (now Broadwick Street), and not by airborne transmission. This finding led to the emergence of public health medicine.

The second has been the technological revolution over the last 50 years with investment in evidence-based medicine and a focus on quality of care, for example, Magnetic Resonance Imaging, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, joint replacements, chemotherapy, renal dialysis, to mention just a few.

The third medical revolution, triggered by the citizen and driven by knowledge and the internet, has led to digital health strategies delivering direct clinical care and supporting education training and ongoing professional development (Topol 2019).

The purpose of revalidation is to assure the public, patients, employers and other health care professionals that all licenced doctors are up to date and fit to practice.

The process of revalidation is underpinned by each doctor being actively engaged in annual appraisal. Appraisal should be supportive and developmental with a key element being reflection on the breadth of the doctor's professional practice with the desired outcome of improving the quality of care for patients. The reflection process should focus on events and learning and how they have applied that learning in practice (Kolb 1984).

All doctors in the UK are required to revalidate. There are two pathways: those working as GPs, Consultants and SAS doctors who do so through an annual appraisal process; and those doctors in training, in GMC-approved training programs who are subject to an Annual Review of Competency Progression (ARCP). This acts as an annual appraisal.

More recently, the GMC and other stakeholders recognized that, in achieving the UK certificate of completion of training (CCT), doctors in training should have to demonstrate an appropriate and mature professional identity, appropriate to their level of seniority. As a result, the GPCs, a set of common generic outcomes, were introduced across all postgraduate medical curricula (GMC 2017). There are currently 66 medical specialties and 32 sub-specialties in the UK and there has been significant variation across all of these curricula in terms of generic professional practice outcomes and expectations.

Challenges

Is medical revalidation fit for purpose? The UK is nearly through the second cycle of revalidation and it is clear there are still challenges in engagement, the quality of appraisal, and the process of ensuring a consistent approach (Pearson 2017). There remain a number of gaps and areas for improvement.

Moving forward there may be increasing pressure from society for an even more rigorous assessment of each individual doctor's level of knowledge and skill. Revalidation in the future is likely to require further changes to help meet the ever-evolving needs of the public and governments.

References

- Francis, R. (2013). *Report of the Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust Public Inquiry* (*Report*). London: The Stationery Office. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/report-of-the-mid-staffordshire-nhs-foundation-trust-public-inquiry (accessed 5 May 2021).
- General Medical Council (2017). *Generic professional capabilities framework*. Manchester: General Medical Council. https://www.gmc-uk.org/education/ standards-guidance-and-curricula/standards-and-outcomes/generic-professionalcapabilities-framework (accessed 5 May 2021).
- General Medical Council (2020). *Guidance on supporting information for appraisal and revalidation*. Manchester: General Medical Council. https://www.gmc-uk.org/registration-and-licensing/managing-your-registration/revalidation/guidance-on-supporting-information-for-appraisal-and-revalidation (accessed 5 May 2021).
- Kennedy, I. (2001). The report of the public inquiry into children's heart surgery at the Bristol Royal Infirmary 1984–1995: Learning from Bristol. The Bristol Royal Infirmary Inquiry. http://www.wales.nhs.uk/sites3/documents/441/The%20Kennedy% 20Report.pdf (accessed 5 May 2021).
- Kolb, D.A. (1984). *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Pearson, K. (2017). *Taking revalidation forward. Improving the process of relicensing for doctors*. Manchester: General Medical Council. https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/Taking_revalidation_forward___Improving_the_process_of_relicensing_for_doctors.pdf_68683704.pdf (accessed 5 May 2021).
- The Stationery Office (2001). *The Royal Liverpool Children's Inquiry Report*. London: The Stationery Office. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-royalliverpool-childrens-inquiry-report (accessed 5 May 2021).
- Topol, E. (2019). *The Topol Review. Preparing the healthcare workforce to deliver the digital future. An independent report on behalf of the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care.* Health Education England. https://topol.hee.nhs.uk/ (accessed 5 May 2021).

Chapter 2 **Regulation in Health – A Brief History**

Introduction

In this chapter, we will explore the origins, evolution and development of regulation of the professions of nursing, physiotherapy and medicine. Whilst describing the development of these health care professions we will explore the historical development of the regulatory processes applying to these.

What is Regulation?

Before going on to explore regulation in a number of health professions, it is useful to consider briefly the development of regulation as a concept.

In general terms, regulation describes the rules that are set out in legislation and the processes used to monitor and enforce those rules. Regulation complements other government levers such as taxation and public spending in shaping society. In the business world the aim of regulation is to help in the delivery of better outcomes for the economy, society and the environment. In health care, the purpose of regulation is to ensure that all health professions are fit to practice and hence patient safety and public confidence is assured.

Regulation can have a significant impact on innovation. On the one hand it can stimulate innovative ideas, while on the other hand serve to hinder their implementation. As a result, regulation can either stimulate improvement and/or investment, or lead to a tick-box approach to regulatory compliance.

There are a number of subtypes of regulation:

- Arbitrary regulations rules that mandate the use of one of several equally valid options (e.g. driving on the right- or left-hand side of the road)
- Good faith regulations that aim to define a baseline of acceptable practice (e.g. food hygiene regulations for the hospitality industry)

How to Succeed at Revalidation, First Edition. Peter Donnelly and Katie Webb. © 2022 John Wiley & Sons Ltd. Published 2022 by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

- Goal conflict regulations regulations that recognize a conflict between two parties and mandate for the greater good. These are typically conflicts between the individual versus wider society
- Process regulations these determine how a task should be accomplished. In addition, it is important to understand the characteristics of the regula-

tor. In peer-regulation the community regulates itself, a form of selfregulation. Unilateral or flat regulation is when regulations are imposed by the body with the power to do so. Statutory regulation is where the authority to define the rules is delegated to an independent third party body. This is the current position with health regulation in the UK whereby the Government delegates power to regulatory bodies such as the General Medical Council (GMC) or the General Dental Council (GDC).

In general, any forward-thinking society would wish for regulation that supports and stimulates innovation, which in turn benefits citizens and the economy. In addition, optimal regulation is one that protects members of the public but does not hinder innovation and/or have unintended negative consequences. Across all sectors there is a need for regulators to evolve to keep pace with the rapid changes in society, and with the need to maintain the balance of safety and security versus innovation that improves the lives of its citizens.

Regulation in Health Professions

Professionalism and Society

In early history, occupations began to evolve and move into what would now be considered a profession. The key milestones marking this transition from simple activity to a profession include full-time occupation, establishment of training schools or universities, codes of ethics and regulation, licensing legislation and colleague control. Another key component in this transition to a profession was the balance between a level of autonomy of work and the regulatory requirements. Larson added to the characteristics above with the addition of high standards of professional and intellectual excellence, as well as referring to professions as "exclusive elite groups" (Larson 1978, p. 20).

The three original occupations of law, the clergy and medicine arose through the medieval universities of Europe. By the turn of the nineteenth century, with occupation specialization, different bodies claimed and achieved professional status including nursing and teaching.

The concept of medical professionalism probably dates back to the late medieval times when doctors organized a professional guild (Sox 2002). At that point medical professionalism was viewed as the art of practicing

Regulation in Health – A Brief History 7

medicine to a certain set of standards that were set by the profession itself, essentially self-regulation. This has evolved and responded to societal and political changes. The professions addressed a range of societal issues and in return society afforded these professions a number of privileges, including monopoly status, the authority to decide who could enter the profession and the ability to influence government policy.

In essence there was an implied social contract (Cruess et al. 2010) where there was an acceptance of the balance between altruism and self-regulation. Although early definitions of the medical profession have been doctorcentered, there has been a shift recognized by regulatory bodies toward a position of medical professionalism as being a social construct, a social contract between doctors and society (Cruess and Cruess 2008).

The social contract is now recognized as a tripartite agreement involving three interconnected societal elements:

- 1 The government, employers, and health care managers
- 2 Patients, patient groups and the general population
- 3 The medical profession and its professional bodies.

Each of these groups has its own responsibilities and roles in fulfilling its side of the contract. There is recognition from certain sections of the medical profession that the social contract is a dynamic entity that is ever-changing in the context of rising systematic pressures and societal expectations. There are many factors that impact upon the contract, including the regulators, social media, print and broadcast media, and professional organizations (Cruess and Cruess 2011).

Recognizing the evolving position, in 2015 Jeremy Hunt, the then Health Secretary, called for a new social contract between the public, health and care services. In a speech to the Local Government Association (LGA) annual conference in Harrogate, Mr. Hunt urged the public to take more personal responsibility:

- · for looking after the elderly
- for their own health
- in using finite NHS resources.

He argued that, while integration of health and social care is vital to delivering the highest standards of health and care, personal responsibility needs to sit alongside system accountability (Hunt 2015).

There is a further element to the evolution of health roles. It has been argued that there has been a significant blurring between the roles of health professions. There are arguments for and against this development. Although roles have evolved and are constantly changing, there is a current strategic position in the UK from governments and the relevant statutory education and training bodies to actively upskill non-medical professions and develop