Haqmal Daudzai



The State-Building Dilemma in Afghanistan

The State Governmental Design at the National Level and the Role of Democratic Provincial Councils in Decentralization at the Sub-National Level

The Willy Brandt School of Public Policy at the University of Erfurt Series

Haqmal Daudzai



The State-Building Dilemma in Afghanistan

The State Governmental Design at the National Level and the Role of Democratic Provincial Councils in Decentralization at the Sub-National Level

The Willy Brandt School of Public Policy at the University of Erfurt Series

Schriften der Willy Brandt School of Public Policy an der Universität Erfurt

herausgegeben von Frank Ettrich und Dietmar Herz

The Willy Brandt School of Public Policy at the University of Erfurt Series

Edited by Frank Ettrich und Dietmar Herz

Haqmal Daudzai

The State-Building Dilemma in Afghanistan

The State Governmental Design at the National Level and the Role of Democratic Provincial Councils in Decentralization at the Sub-National Level

Budrich Academic Press Opladen • Berlin • Toronto 2021 All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the prior written permission of Barbara Budrich Publishers. Any person who does any unauthorized act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer.

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from Die Deutsche Bibliothek (The German Library)

© 2021 by Budrich Academic Press GmbH, Opladen, Berlin & Toronto www.budrich.eu

ISBN 978-3-96665-030-4

eISBN 978-3-96665-974-1 (PDF) eISBN 978-3-96665-950-5 (ePub)

DOI 10.3224/96665030

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Das gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

Die Deutsche Bibliothek – CIP-Einheitsaufnahme Ein Titeldatensatz für die Publikation ist bei Der Deutschen Bibliothek erhältlich.

Budrich Academic Press

Stauffenbergstr. 7. D-51379 Leverkusen Opladen, Germany <u>www.budrich-academic-press.de</u>

86 Delma Drive. Toronto, ON M8W 4P6 Canada

Jacket illustration by Bettina Lehfeldt, Kleinmachnow, Germany www.lehfeldtgraphic.de

Picture Credits: Peter Glotz © Universität Erfurt

Technical editing by Angelika Schulz, Zülpich, Germany

Printed in Europe on acid-free paper by docupoint GmbH, Barleben, Germany

E-Book-conversion: CPI books GmbH, Leck, Germany

[5] Acknowledgments

This Ph.D. dissertation owes a lot to the contributions of my beloved family, instructors, and friends. Foremost, I would like to conduct my sincere appreciation to my academic supervisors, Prof. Dr. Frank Ettrich, late Prof. Dr. Dietmar Herz and Dr. habil. Christian Wagner for their tireless academic guidance and moral support throughout this research project.

Taking the extremely hazardous situations in Afghanistan into consideration, I owe extensive gratitude to all my dear family members and friends who made the field research of this dissertation possible. My special appreciations go to my dear uncle, Eng. Hassan Nawab in the Herat province, and dear friends: Mirwais Arab in Bamyan, Nazar Hamdard in Balkh, Mustafa Manavi in Kandahar, Hewad Khogiani and Jahangir Khan Khogiani in Nangrahar and Eng. Hameed Muneeb Ibramkhil in Kabul, for accompanying me and facilitating accommodations and contacts during the field research.

I would also like to thank my sister-in-law, Parwana Khogiani, and dear friend Muneer Ahmad Daudzai for investing their time and energy in transcribing parts of the recorded interviews in Pashtu and Dari. I am also grateful to Abdul Hameed Sahak, a dear friend and at-the-time director of the Independent Commission for Overseeing and Implementation of the Constitution (ICOIC), for his constant encouragement and efforts in providing access to necessary documents and laws in Afghanistan for this research.

I would also like to thank Dr. Patricia Omidian and Nina Joy Lowrance, who have always been a sincere source of support, for putting me in contact with Ms. Anna Willman, a great scholar, editor, and author of several books. I owe immense appreciation to Ms. Anna Willman for her contribution to the editing process and her critical feedback and insightful comments on the contents of this dissertation.

This research project also owes a special thanks to the Konrad Adenauer Stiftung/Foundation (KAS) for providing generous financial stipends and academic seminars during the last four years. Last but not the least, I am obliged to my dear parents and family for their unconditional love, patience, and prayers throughout this research project. Indeed, without their support and encouragement, this Ph.D. dissertation would not have come into being.

Table of Content

List of Acronyms

Glossary

PART ONE: INTRODUCTION, CONCEPTS AND THEORIES

Chapter 1: Introduction

- 1.1 Research Hypothesis, Questions and Significance
- 1.2 Methodology
- 1.3 An Overview of the Literature
- 1.4 The Structure of the Thesis

Chapter 2: Statebuilding Concepts and Definitions

- 2.1 Statebuilding and Its Relevant Concepts
- 2.2 What is the State?

Chapter 3: Statebuilding Theories

- 3.1 Classical and Dialectical Modernization Theories
- 3.2 The Political Development Theory and the Statebuilding Strategy
- 3.3 'New'- Institutionalism Theory and the Political Institutional Approach
- 3.4 Humanitarian Intervention Theory and State-building

Chapter 4: Statebuilding Components

- 4.1 State Institutional Design: Presidential versus Parliamentary Democracies
- 4.2 Consociational Democracy in Divided Societies
- 4.3 Federalism
- 4.4 Decentralization

- 4.5 Administrative Decentralization
- 4.6 Political Decentralization
- 4.7 Fiscal Decentralization

PART TWO: HISTORICAL OVERVIEW AND A CRITICAL ANALYSIS

<u>Chapter 5: A Historical Overview of the Statebuilding in Afghanistan</u>

- 5.1 State, Society, and Politics in Afghanistan
- 5.2 The Emergence of an Ethno-Confederation Afghanistan (1747-1880)
- 5.3 State Modernization Attempts (1880-1963)
- 5.4 The First Afghan 'Democracy' (1964-1973)
- 5.5 The Formation of First Afghan Political Parties
- 5.6 The Radical Shift from Monarchy to Republicanism, and the Failed Attempt of Communism
- 5.7 Islam and the State in Afghanistan: from 'Traditional Fundamentalism' to 'Political Islam', and to Fundamental Extremism
- 5.8 The Afghan Resistance and the Failure of Political Islam
- 5.9 The Islamic Emirate of Taliban (1994-2001)

<u>Chapter 6: A Critical Analyses of the U.S. Post-Taliban</u> <u>Intervention in Afghanistan (2001-2014)</u>

- 6.1 The U.S. Post-Taliban Nation and Statebuilding Intervention
- 6.2 The Bonn Agreement and Its Unfixable Flaws
- <u>6.3 Ignoring Peace-Making in Afghanistan: A Prerequisite</u> <u>for Nation and State-Building</u>

- 6.4 The Re-Emergence of Taliban: A Major Obstacle to Peace-and State-Building Process
- 6.5 Conflicting Regional Interests in Afghanistan
- <u>6.6 The Installation of Ethnopolitical Elites and Warlords into State-men</u>
- 6.7 The Case of Balkh: The Kingdom of 'Ustad' Atta Mohammad Noor
- 6.8 The Case of Bamyan: The De-facto Capital and Power-base of Hazara Elites
- 6.9 The Case of Herat: The Fiefdom of Ismail Khan
- 6.10 The Case of Nangrahar: Divided between Pashtun and Pashayie Ethnic Warlords
- 6.11 The Case of Kandahar: Divided between Tribal Warlords and Elites

PART THREE: KEY ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

<u>Chapter 7: State Governmental Design and the Afghan Discourse</u>

- 7.1 The 2004 Afghan Constitution: An Unresolved Controversial Document
- 7.2 The Rights of Women
- 7.3 The Role of Islam
- 7.4 The Electoral System
- 7.5 Ethnic Identity vs. National Identity
- 7.6 The Afghan Presidential Vs. Parliamentary State Institutional Design Discourse
- 7.7 The Afghan Perception of Democracy
- 7.8 The Afghan Perception of State Governmental Form
- 7.9 The Proponents of Presidential Centralization
- 7.10 The Advocates for a Sort of Parliamentary Decentralization

Chapter 8: The Afghan Sub-National Administration

- 8.1 Introduction
- 8.2 Sub-national Formal and Informal Government Institutions
- 8.3 Provincial Governor & Administration
- 8.4 District Government
- 8.5 Informal Governance of Jirgas and Shuras

<u>Chapter 9: The Afghan Elected Provincial Councils</u> <u>and Their Role in the Sub-National Governance</u>

- 9.1 The Afghan Elected Provincial Councils
- 9.2 The Oversight Authority of the Afghan PCs
- 9.3 Conflict Resolution Role
- 9.4 De-Facto Service Delivery: The Catalytic Role at Local Bureaucracy
- 9.5 The Bridge between the People and Government: Building on State Legitimacy
- 9.6 The Increasing Role of Afghan Female PC Representatives
- 9.7 The Changing Face of Local Power: The Power of the Gun Leaves its Place to the Power of the Vote
- 9.8 Lack of Enough Financial Means to the PC Led to Corruption
- 9.9 The Elected Provincial Councils and the Power-Sharing Battle between Traditional and Newly Emerging Elites
- 9.10 The Case of Balkh
- 9.11 The Case of Bamyan
- 9.12 The Case of Herat
- 9.13 The Case of Nangrahar
- 9.14 The Case of Kandahar

Chapter 10: Conclusions and the Prospects for a Future Research

10.1 Few Possible Alternatives to the Afghan Unitary Centralism

10.2 Federalism for Afghanistan

10.3 Semi-Presidentialism

10.4 Unitary Decentralization

10.5 The Afghan Sub-National Governance Institutions:

Challenges and Opportunities

10.6 The Afghan PC, a Potential Institution for a

Moderate and Gradual Devolution

10.7 Future Research Prospects

Bibliography

Annex 1: Expert Interview's Questionnaire

Part One: Demographic Questions

Part Two: Background Questions

Part Three: Focus group, the provincial government

elected and appointed members

Part Four: General Questions

Annex 2: The Dari Version of the Presidential Decree on PC Oversight Power

Index

[11] List of Acronyms

<u>AISS</u>	Afghanistan Institute for Strategic Studies
<u>AREU</u>	Afghanistan Research Evaluation Unit
<u>CDC</u>	Community Development Council
<u>CEO</u>	Chief Executive Officer
<u>CIA</u>	Central Intelligence Agency
<u>CLJ</u>	Constitutional Loya Jirga
<u>DC</u>	District Council
<u>DDR</u>	Disarmament Demobilization and Reintegration
<u>IDLG</u>	Independent Directorate of Local Governance
<u>ISAF</u>	International Security Assistant Force
<u>ISI</u>	Inter-services Intelligence
<u>MP</u>	Member of Parliament
<u>NATO</u>	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
<u>NUG</u>	National Unity Government
<u>OECD</u>	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
<u>PC</u>	Provincial Council
<u>PDC</u>	Provincial Development Council
<u>SNTV</u>	Single Non-transferable Vote
<u>USSR</u>	United of Soviet Socialist Republic
<u>UN</u>	United Nation
<u>US</u>	United States
<u>VC</u>	Village Council

[13] Glossary

<u>Fiqh</u> Islamic Jurisprudence

Khan/Malik/Big Traditional community leader

<u>Jihad</u> Holy War

Jirga/Shura Consultative Council Islamic-Ummah Islamic-Community

Meshrano-Jirga The Upper House of the Afghan Parliament

Mujahidin Holy Warriors or Freedom Fighters

<u>Pashtunwali</u> The Pashtun ethnic code of conduct

Sharia The Islamic Law

<u>Sharwal</u> Mayor

Shura-e-Mili The National Assembly of Afghanistan

<u>Wali</u> Provincial Governor

Wolesi Jirga The Lower House of the Afghan Parliament

Woluswal District Governor

[15] PART ONE: INTRODUCTION, CONCEPTS AND THEORIES

[17] Chapter 1: Introduction

The terrorist attack on September 11th was a human tragedy for the people of the United States, whereas for the people of Afghanistan, the United States' subsequent military intervention that led to the toppling of the Taliban Emirate created a window of opportunity for lasting peace, democratization, and economic development. In November 2001, while the U.S. was still conducting its military operations (Operation Enduring Freedom) in the Afghan soil, the U.N. Security Council issued resolution '1378', convening an international conference in Bonn Germany aimed at forming a new government between the warring factions in Afghanistan (United Nations Security Council, 2001, p. 2).

The Bonn agreement (December 5, 2001) – also known as the Bonn Accord – produced a series of sequencing state-building steps including a six-month interim government, the emergency Loya Jirga (grand council) for the formation of a two-year transitional government, and the constitutional Loya Jirga for establishing a new Afghan constitution for a permanent government (Rubin, 2004).

Nevertheless, the Bonn peace and state-building opportunity were damaged right from the beginning by hasty and reckless policy decisions of the U.S., Afghan, and U.N. key stakeholders between 2001-2004. The Taliban – one of the key conflict groups – were not only excluded from the talks but were also mercilessly tortured at the Guantanamo Bay detention camp or killed throughout Afghanistan. The Taliban's almost defeated enemy – the ex-Mujahidin warlords, were brought back to state power overnight. This undoubtedly led to the rebirth of the

Taliban insurgency in the following years, more violent than ever. Empowering the warlords and bringing them into the government led to a corrupt and weak government establishment in Kabul. In the following years, this caused civilians in many rural areas of the country to turn to the Taliban for maintaining justice and security.

The U.S. intervention in Afghanistan could be justified based on what Eric A. Heinze (2009) would call 'selfdefense' or as Andrea Kathryn Talentino (2005) guotes, 'global security'. Nevertheless, it should be equally criticized for its subsequent ill-advised peace and statebuilding policies in the Afghan complex sociopolitical context. As Berit Beliesemann de Guevara (2012) also notes, that state-builders often attempt to export modern 'liberal peace' and democracy to non-western societies aims at building states and (good) [18] governance yet ignores local historical and social dynamics and processes. According to Beliesemann de Guevara (2012), since statebuilding is a complex interaction between local, national, and international actors, the institutionalization of power as a legitimate rule/actor requires the utilization of 'strategies and tactics.' The application of which often 'reactions' including 'resistance, produces diverse cooperation and manipulation' (Bliesemann de Guevara, 2012).

In the Afghan context, while the warlords collaborated with the U.S. and the international community for their own political and financial interests, the Taliban resisted for their survival. The U.S., in euphory of winning the Afghan war quite easily, did not bother to take the fundamental steps for restoring sustainable peace and government order.

Among the fatal peace and state-building flaws, one was the implementation of a contradictory state institutional design in the 2004 Afghan constitution. According to Rubin and others, the Afghans were divided based on the ethnopolitical identity of Pashtuns versus non-Pashtun (this includes mainly the chief groups Tajiks, Hazara, and Uzbek), in which the former insisted on a unitary centralized state system, whereas the other opted for a parliamentary decentralization, some among them even demanding federalism (Rubin, 2004), (Maley, 2013) & (Malejacag, 2016). It was the interventionists - the U.S. and its NATO allies - which pushed the Northern Alliances warlords and the Zahir Shah diaspora group, to align on some state governmental modality. Eventually, a heavy unitary centralized system was agreed upon in the 2004 constitution, whereas in practice, an ethnolinguistic powersharing government of mainly non-Pashtun warlords and the diaspora Pashtuns headed by Hamid Karzai continued until late 2014.

To ensure his winning, Karzai – an ethnic Pashtun – had chosen both of his vice-presidents from among the most influential Tajik and Hazara warlords during the 2004 and 2009 presidential elections. To secure the consent of his Uzbek ethnic-ally, Karzai had to award General Abdul Rashid Dostum the post of Afghan National Army (A.N.A) chief of staff. Moreover, Karzai had to share cabinet positions with both of his vice-presidents and General Dostum. Likewise, to maintain his government's subnational power, leading warlord commanders of all major ethnic groups were promoted to governorships and other high-ranking government positions.

Meanwhile, the heated divide over a state governmental modality never ended even after the approval of the 2004

constitution. As soon as Karzai [19] purged some of the non-Pashtun ethnopolitical elites from government positions, these elites went on to building political alliances and demanding the change of a unitary centralized system to parliamentary federalism. The establishment of Etelaf-Mili or Afghanistan National Front by some of the former Northern Alliances warlords during 2010 and 2012, for example, was widely supported by the anti-unitary country. in centralization advocates the The U.S. Republican congressmen headed by Dana Rohrabacher were also backing the initiative (Ruttig, 2012).

The formation of the National Unity Government (NUG) in September 2014 officially recognized that a unitary centralized state system was no longer acceptable for the majority of the non-Pashtun political elites. Following a long-disputed presidential election, Abdullah Abdullah, the losing candidate refused to accept the result of the secondround elections. Alleging widespread fraud, Abdullah and his supporters warned of violence and the formation of a parallel government if the election commission were to announce, his opponent, Ashraf Ghani, the winner (Wörmer, 2014). Hence, the U.S. and the U.N. intervened to sort out a political settlement that resulted in the formation of the NUG. In apparent contradiction to the Afghan constitution, the NUG agreement created a Chief Executive position equivalent to a prime minister post for the losing candidate Abdullah along with fifty percent of the cabinet seats. The agreement also stated that within two years of the NUG, the Loya Jirga (Grand Assembly) would be convened to amend the state constitution to change the present presidential to a semi-presidential system.

No doubt, the NUG agreement facilitated a peaceful handover of power. Nevertheless, it clearly undermined the

young Afghan democracy among the ordinary citizens, who besides all security challenges went to the polling centers, hoping to elect their next president. Furthermore, some critical articles agreed that the NUG document remained unimplemented, including the inauguration of the Loya Jirga for amending the constitution. While the next presidential elections are scheduled for early 2019, the fate of the NUG agreement in general and the Chief Executive post in particular, remain unclear.

Thus, taking the above stated institutional design puzzle and the ethnopolitical divide over the issue into consideration, this dissertation partly attempts to find reasonable answers to the questions on state institutional design in Afghanistan in the long run.

[20] Another major theme this dissertation deals with is the elected Afghan provincial councils and their increasing role at the subnational administration. Adopting a heavy centralized administrative structure in an ethno-politically divided society like Afghanistan causes political instability. The increasing role of the elected provincial councils and the influential warlords at the subnational governance has repeatedly challenged the central government authorities. The heavily centralized administration adopted in the 2004 Afghan constitution gave executive authority only to sectoral ministries and independent directorates in the capital, Kabul. In theory, the Afghan province, designated as the 'local administrative unit' sub-nationally in the constitution (2004, Article 136), holds no real political, administrative, and fiscal autonomy of its own. In practice, however, depending on which warlord has influence in the province, the local councils may have a larger role.

For the first time in Afghan history, the 2005 fully elected provincial councils (PCs) increasingly changed the local

versus central political dynamics. Though constitutionally weak, and still heavily influenced by major local warlords, the PC's fully democratic nature created a vibrant political transformation locally. Its political representation role at the province level created deep political awareness among the local citizens. Their limited oversight authority not only challenged the local government administrations for accountability but also repeatedly alerted the central government for reviewing its sub-national policies.

The PC's role and functions are very much significant to the Afghan subnational administration. No matter which (centralized or decentralized) administrative system the Afghan government might adopt in the future, the present democratic provincial councils would prove more effective in local governance if equipped with real power and precise implementation mechanisms for that of qualitative Supplemented with field research. dissertation has studied the Afghan PCs, painstakingly, in a separate chapter.

[21] 1.1 Research Hypothesis, Questions and Significance

Of course, the constitution is a document that can be respected. amended. Theconstitution shall be*Its* implementation essential İS and requires strong determination by the nation. However, the constitution is not the Quran. If five or ten years down the line we find that stability improves, proper political parties emerge, and we judge that a parliamentary system can function better, then a Loya Jirga can, at a time of our choosing, be

convened to adopt a different system of government. (Hamid Karzai, January 4, 2004)

The above statement is part of the closing speech by Hamid Karzai – a then interim president of Afghanistan – to the participants of the Constitutional Loya Jirga (CLJ) in January 2004. At the CLJ meeting, Karzai acknowledged that the bulk of his non-Pashtun (mainly Tajiks, Hazara, and Uzbek) countrymen were unhappy with the adoption of a heavily centralized presidential system. To calm down the non-Pashtun opponents of Presidentialism, Karzai assured the possibility of adopting a parliamentary system through amending the constitution.

The well-known scholar on Afghanistan, Barnet Rubin, who was also actively involved at the Bonn state-building process for Afghanistan, highlights from his notes from the CLJ that "nearly all Pushtun delegates, joined by some members from other ethnic groups, came out for a presidential system. A bloc of non-Pushtun delegates, however, strongly supported a parliamentary system. Both sides made cases that mixed genuine public considerations ambitions" (Rubin, ethnopolitical 2004, with Although the 2004 constitution adopted a heavy unitary centralized state system, the government formed a de-facto ethnopolitical elite coalition, sharing power with various local power-holders. While Karzai, a Pashtun, became the president, the two vice-president posts and other vital ministerial posts were given to the Tajik, Hazara, and Uzbek Northern Alliances parties. At the subnational government level, the provincial and district governor positions were also handed over to those local warlords who already controlled the areas.

Historically as well, Afghanistan has always remained a unitary centralized state in theory, while in practice, the central government rulers had to either conquer or compromise with the local ethno-tribal elites.

[22] Following the fraudulent and disputed presidential elections in September 2014, the U.S. Secretary of State's John Kerry mediated a power-sharing arrangement, known as the National Unity Government (NUG) between the second round two leading candidates, Ashraf Ghani, and The NUG agreement Abdullah Abdullah. formally recognized the ethnopolitical power-sharing government style semi-Presidentialism through a unique of arrangements by creating a Chief Executive Officer (CEO) post - somewhat like a prime minister position - for Abdullah. The agreement also called on "convening of a Loya Jirga to amend the 2004 constitution and considering the proposal to create a post of an executive prime minister" within two years of its deal (The 'government of national unity' deal, 2014). While the NUG government is soon to enter its final year of the five-year period, the constitutional Loya Jirga has not taken place so far. Furthermore, the deal also insisted on the distribution of 'senior officials' of the government at national and local levels (The 'government of national unity' deal, 2014).

Although the prerequisites' stability and the emergence of proper political parties, noted by Karzai in 2004, are not yet in place, the NUG arrangements revived the ethnopolitical divide over the state, which stemmed from the Bonn state-building discourse in 2001. If it ever happens that the Afghan political elites reach a consensus on amending the constitution, the question, which alternative state governmental form would suit better the Afghan context, would be a matter of discourse. Hence, the outcome of this research would not only add to the

academic discourse of the post-conflict and ethnopolitical fragmented democracies but also serve as a policy proposal for the case of Afghanistan.

As discussed in detail below, the state-institutional design is a significant component of the state-building process. According to Stefan Wollf:

The underlying assumption of the state-building literature, in other words, is that peace can be facilitated through an institutional bargain that establishes macrolevel structures through which micro-level rewards are provided to elites (and their supporters), giving them incentives to resolve their differences by democratic political, non-violent means. (Wollf, 2011, p. 1779)

Wollf's observation leads us to one of the critical hypotheses of this dissertation. I argue that ignoring peacemaking – as one the most significant precondition for state-building, at the 2001 Bonn conference, resulted in the return of the Taliban insurgency and prolongation of the Afghan conflict. Based on the state-building interventionist theory, chapter three of this thesis [23] briefly analyses the cause and consequences of the U.S. intervention, its peace and the state-building failure in Afghanistan. The first hypothesis is tested in chapter four.

The second hypothesis of this dissertation is that the Afghan ethnopolitical elites are divided over the state-institutional design – in which the Pashtuns resist for a unitary centralization, whereas the non-Pashtuns advocate for a parliamentary decentralization. The second hypothesis is tested in chapter four and five.

Besides testing the above-stated hypothesis, the present research studies state-building in Afghanistan, mainly from the perspective of state-institutional design (unitary centralization vs. parliamentary decentralization) at the national level. Based on the field research, the dissertation also attempts to find the role of the 2005 elected provincial councils at the sub-national administration for a possible decentralization. Following are some of the critical questions this dissertation aims to undertake in the discussion.

- 1. How far are the Afghan ethnopolitical elites divided over state-institutional design?
- 2. Taking the ethnopolitical divisions of the Afghan elites and the present security, sociopolitical, and economic conditions of the country into consideration, is the current presidential system appropriate or is there a need for an alternative model?
- 3. The Afghan democratic provincial councils (PCs) are completing their third successive term in March 2019. What role do they play at the subnational administrations? What has been improved in their role, authority, and performance since their establishment?
- 4. Taking the elected PCs as a prerequisite for local democracy, good governance, and decentralization, is Afghanistan ready to transform into a decentralized government administration? If yes, which kind of decentrallization fits into the Afghan context?

The first question attempts to test the hypothesis by finding out how far the Afghan political elites are divided over state-institutional design. It follows the post-Bonn constitutional discourse the state-governmental over design, in which Pashtun political elites opted for a centralization, presidential the non-Pashtun for

parliamentary decentralization, and a few of whom demanded Federalism. I argue that the U.S., as the key architect of the Bonn processes, [24] through its threat and incentive policy, made the non-Pashtun Afghan elites compromise over the present unitary centralized system in Afghanistan.

Based on the theoretical foundation for existing classical democracies around the world including Juan J. Linz's (1990) Parliamentarism. Donald L. Horowitz's Presidentialism. Maurice Duverger's (1980)semi-Lijphart's (1977 2002,2003) Presidentialism. and & consociational democracy, the second question seeks to find out which system would fit best the Afghan context. The first two questions are mainly concerned with statebuilding and its institutional design at the national government level.

Any alternative proposal to the present presidential brings sub-national government centralization the institutions into the discussion, which leads us to our third and fourth questions. The third question aims to study the elected provincial councils' role, functions, and capacity since its first-time establishment in September 2005. Strong local democratic institutions are considered as the prerequisite for decentralization in the academic literature. Hence, taking the present status and capacity of the PCs, question four tests the applicability for a possible decentralization in the Afghan context.

Although, I briefly evaluated the historical context for the sociopolitical background knowledge for the readers, the Bonn process in 2001 until the establishment of the NUG government in 2014 is considered as the main timeframe for this dissertation.

The focus of this study is primarily on the Afghan state central institutional design and is also democratic provincial councils centric. I am aware that the subnational state and its actors are not the only players involved in contemporary state-building and its reform agenda. Nevertheless, owing to the time and scope of this research project, the focus is on the elected provincial councils and their role and impact on a broader state-building reform project in Afghanistan.

1.2 Methodology

The qualitative research methodology makes the foundation of this dissertation. The data used in this study comprises both secondary academic sources and materials, as well as my field research in Afghanistan. The secondary source materials used in this study include a wide range of aca [25] demic books, journals, research publications and papers, survey materials, the Afghan government, and international donor organization's policy papers, and finally, investigative reports and articles from credentialed Afghan and international media outlets.

The primary desk-based research is done in my university library - the University of Erfurt, Thuringia federal state of the Federal Republic of Germany. Due to family reasons, in June 2015, I moved to Frankfurt am Main - Hessen federal state of Federal Republic of Germany - where I was based in the library of Goethe University. Both the Erfurt and Goethe University libraries have extensive access to a wide range of academic source materials and facilities (including access to online databases of other academic institutions) in the field. However, there has been limited access to Afghanistan-specific source materials, particularly access

to materials published and available only in local Afghan languages of Pashtu and Dari.

Fortunately, this problem has been overcome, largely, by my multiple visits to Afghanistan, including two months of field research where the library of Kabul University has been used for this purpose. Though many of the secondary source materials used in this study are in the English language, however, sources in Pashtu, Dari, and German languages were also used as needed. While Pashtu and Dari are my mother tongues, I also have a comprehensive command on German due to studying and working in Germany for eight years.

In addition to the secondary data, the field research makes a significant part of this dissertation. Due to the inferior security conditions across Afghanistan, the field research was considered mainly in one phase that lasted from 10th March until 25th April 2016. However, one spontaneous interview was conducted in September 2017, in Kabul – a trip made primarily for personal purposes.

From the 34 Afghan provinces, 6 large provinces including Kabul, Kandahar, Balkh, Bamyan, Herat, and Nangarhar were chosen for conducting the field research. The key reasons behind the selection of the above research comprise for field the provinces ethnolinguistic power-politics of the warlords, and their and sub-national influence national on government institutions including the elected provincial councils. While the capital, Kabul, is the largest populated city for all major ethnic groups in Afghanistan and the home for national politics. remaining five provinces the have significance in respect to being the home and powerbase for major ethnolinguistic [26] groups and political parties in sub-national politics. For example, Kandahar remained the

capital for Pashtun tribal, ethnic groups and the birthplace for influential Pashtun political leaders and movements including the Taliban, ex-President Hamid Karzai and his family, and general Raziq- to point out just a few of the historical figures and movements of the last two-decades. Nangrahar is another Pashtun dominant province in eastern Afghanistan, and also the de-facto powerbase for the Tajik dominant Northern Alliances warlord group including the Pashtun Qadir family (Abdul Qadir and his son Zahir Qadir) and the Pashaie ethnic Ali family (Hazrat Ali and his son Ahmad Ali) - again to mention just a few prominent figures. Herat is a dominant Tajik province and is identified with the prominent Jamiat-e-Islami (Islamic Society) party leader and warlord, Ismail Khan. Balkh, though a multi-ethnic province, remains the battleground for power between the dominant Tajik Jamiat-e-Islami party of Atta Mohammad Noor and the Uzbek Junbish (Movement) party of Abdul Rashid Dostum. Finally, Bamyan is known as the capital of the Hazara ethnic group, de-facto ruled by the Hezb-e-Wahdat Islami (Islamic Unity Movement) party of Karim Khalili.

The conducted field research is primarily based on qualitative expert and elite interviews. The Afghan elected Provincial Council (PC) members are the main target group for interviewing in this research. The PC members could be primarily considered as elites, due to their functional power and position but also experts due to their specific knowledge in the field. According to Beate Littig (2009), there is no fundamental difference between the elite and expert interviews from the methodological point-of-view and research approach. The only difference between elite and expert interviews lies behind the 'differing social and political sciences research traditions and interests' (p. 98).