Return-to-Play after Lower Limb Muscle Injury in Football

The Italian Consensus Conference Guidelines

Gian Nicola Bisciotti Alessandro Corsini Piero Volpi



Return-to-Play after Lower Limb Muscle Injury in Football

Gian Nicola Bisciotti • Alessandro Corsini Piero Volpi

Return-to-Play after Lower Limb Muscle Injury in Football

The Italian Consensus Conference Guidelines



Gian Nicola Bisciotti Qatar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital Doha Qatar

Alessandro Corsini F.C. Internazionale Milan Italy

Piero Volpi Humanitas Clinical and Research Center IRCCS.Rozzano Head of Medical Staff of F.C. Internazionale Football Club Milan Italy

ISBN 978-3-030-84949-8 ISBN 978-3-030-84950-4 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-84950-4

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Introduction

The "Italian Consensus Conference on Guidelines on return to play after lower limb muscle injury in football" was organized by the Italian Society of Arthroscopy in Milan, on 31 August 2018, with the participation of 66 national and international experts with different medical backgrounds, i.e., orthopedic surgeons (19), sports physicians (7), radiologists (5), rehabilitation physicians (3), sport physiologists (2), general surgeons (2), family physicians (2), physiotherapists (10), physical trainers (15), and psychologist (1).

The selection of the Consensus Conference participants was based on their Hirsch index, the number of publications concerning muscle injuries, and experience in the clinical evaluation, medical treatment, and rehabilitation of MI. The experts did not represent any organizations. All experts who participated, directly or indirectly, in the Consensus Conference must be considered as coauthors of this book. Indeed, without their contribution and participation this book would never have been realized. So we want to thank them sincerely and to remember all their names:

Giampietro Alberti, Alessandro Aprato, Matteo Artina, Alessio Auci, Corrado Bait, Andrea Belli, Giuseppe Bellistri, Pierfrancesco Bettinsoli, Alessandro Bisciotti, Andrea Bisciotti, Stefano Bona, Marco Bresciani, Andrea Bruzzone, Roberto Buda, Michele Buffoli, Matteo Callini, Gianluigi Canata, Davide Cardinali, Gabriella Cassaghi, Lara Castagnetti, Sebastiano Clerici, Barbara Corradini, Cristina D'Agostino, Enrico Dellasette, Francesco Di Pietto, Drapchind Enrica, Cristiano Eirale, Andrea Foglia, Francesco Franceschi, Antonio Frizziero, Alberto Galbiati, Carlo Giammatei, Philippe Landreau, Claudio Mazzola, Biagio Moretti, Marcello Muratore, Gianni Nanni, Roberto Niccolai, Claudio Orizio, Andrea Pantalone, Federica Parra, Giulio Pasta, Paolo Patroni, Davide Pelella, Luca Pulici, Alessandro Quaglia, Stefano Respizzi, Luca Ricciotti, Arianna Rispoli, Francesco Rosa, Alberto Rossato, Italo Sannicandro, Claudio Sprenger, Chiara Tarantola, Fabio Gianpaolo Tenconi, Giuseppe Tognini, Fabio Tosi, Giovanni Felice Trinchese, Paola Vago, Marcello Zappia, Zarko Vuckovich, Raul Zini, Michele Trainini, Karim Chamari.

The "Italian Consensus Conference on Guidelines on return to play after lower limb muscle injury in football" is part of a project that includes a triptych of three "Italian Consensus Conferences" with the other two entitled respectively: "Groin Pain Syndrome Italian Consensus Conference on terminology, clinical evaluation and imaging assessment in groin pain in athlete" and "Italian Consensus Conference on Guidelines for Conservative Treatment

vi Introduction

on Lower Limb Injuries in Athlete." During this Consensus Conference, the invited experts discussed and approved a consensus composed of two sections.

The first section was composed of:

Terminology relating to return to play (RTP).

Return to training (RTT): decision-making process.

RTP: decision-making process.

The role of imaging: in RTT and RTP decisions-making process.

The biopsychosocial model and RTP decisions-making process.

The second section was composed of:

RTT and RTP following hamstring injuries.

RTT and RTP following quadriceps injuries.

RTT and RTP following adductor injuries.

RTT and RTP following soleus-gastrocnemius injuries.

RTT and RTP following short external hip rotator injuries.

RTT and RTP following iliopsoas injuries.

This book represents the summary of the Consensus Conference works and hopes to provide a valuable help to sports physicians interested in this specific area.

Paris

April 30th, 2021

Gian Nicola Bisciotti Alessandro Corsini Piero Volpi

Contents

1	The Italian Consensus Conference on Return to Play After				
	Low	rer Limb Muscle Injury in Football	1		
	1.1	Introduction	1		
	1.2	The Italian Consensus Conference on Return to Play			
		After Lower Limb Muscle Injury in Football	2		
	1.3	Consensus Conference Proceedings	2		
	Refe	erences	4		
2	Gen	eral Principles for Return to Training and Return			
	to P	lay	4		
	2.1	The Appropriateness of the RTP Term	4		
	2.2	The RTT Basic Principles	6		
	2.3	The RTP Basic Principles	6		
	2.4	The Evaluation of Physical Fitness	6		
	2.5	Evidence on RTT and RTP	6		
	2.6	Risk in the Short, Medium, and Long Term	6		
	2.7	The Concept of "Tolerable Risk" in RTT-DMP	7		
	2.8	The "Intensity-Type-Timing" Principle	8		
	2.9	The Basic Principles of Functional Tests	8		
	2.10	The Objectivity of the Decision Criteria	9		
	2.11	The Patient Centrality	9		
		The Different Focus Types	9		
	2.13	The Decision-Making Process	9		
	2.14	Closed and Open Skill Tests	10		
	2.15	The Biopsychosocial Model	10		
	2.16	Conclusions	11		
	Refe	prences	11		
3	The	Structure of the Return to Training Decision-Making			
	Proc	cess	15		
	3.1	Introduction to RTT Assessment	15		
	3.2	Clinical Assessment	15		
	3.3	The Role of Imaging in the RTT-DMP	15		
	3.4	Laboratory Tests	16		
	Refe	rences	18		

viii Contents

4	The	Structure of the Return to Play Decision-Making Process .	19
	4.1	The RTP Basic Principles	19
	4.2	Quantitative Evaluation (QNE)	19
	4.3	Qualitative Evaluation (QLE)	19
	4.4	Parameter Analysis (PA)	20
	Refe	erences	21
5	The	Role of Imaging in the Return to Training and	
		urn to Play Decision-Making Process	23
	5.1	Introduction	
	5.2	The Current State of Art.	
	5.3	The Italian Consensus Conference Suggestions	
	5.4	When Progress Unmasks the Dangerous Violation	
		of the Wisdom of Nature	26
	5.5	Conclusions	
		erences	
6		ic Principles of Dynamometric Test	
	6.1	Introduction	31
	6.2	The Dynamometric Assessment of the Isometric	21
		Contraction.	
	6.3	Isotonic Assessment Versus Isokinetic Assessment	
	6.4	The Interpretation of the Isokinetic Test	
		6.4.1 Torque Parameters	
		6.4.2 The Time Rate to Torque Development	
		6.4.3 Force Decay Rate	
		6.4.4 Range of Motion	
	Dof	6.4.5 Reciprocal Innervation Time	
		erences	40
7		Use of Global Positioning System in the Return to Play	
	Dec	ision-Making Process	
	7.1	Introduction	
	7.2	Acquisition of Different Variables	
	7.3	The Classification of the Intensity Zones	
	7.4	GPS for Evaluating Impact and Collision Data	45
	7.5	The Use of GPS in Different Age Groups and in Different	
		Levels of Athletic Performance	45
	7.6	The Use of GPS for Injuries Prevention	
	7.7	Conclusions	
	Refe	erences	47
8	Ret	urn to Training and Return to Play Following Quadriceps	
		ıry	49
	8.1	Anatomical Description	
	8.2	Epidemiological Notes	
	8.3	Clinical and Imaging Assessments for RTT	51
		8.3.1 General Assessment	51
		8.3.2 Specific Assessment	51

Contents

	8.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT	51
	8.5	Field Tests for RTT	51
	8.6	RTP Tests after QS Injury	52
	Refe	rences	52
9	Retu	rn to Training and Return to Play Following Hamstring	
		ry	55
	9.1	Anatomical Description	
	9.2	Epidemiological Notes.	
	9.3	Clinical and Imaging Assessments for RTT	
	7.5	9.3.1 General Assessment	
		9.3.2 Specific Assessment.	
	9.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT.	
	9.5	Field Tests for RTT	
	9.6	RTP Tests after HS Injury	
		rences.	
			50
10		rn to Training and Return to Play Following Adductor	<i>c</i> 1
	-	ry	
	10.1	Anatomical Description	
		10.1.1 Adductor Longus	
		10.1.2 The External Obturator	
		10.1.3 Adductor Brevis	
		10.1.4 Adductor Magnus.	
		10.1.5 Pectineus	
	10.2	10.1.6 Gracilis Muscle	
	10.2	Epidemiological Notes.	
	10.3	Clinical and Imaging Assessments for RTT	
		10.3.1 General Assessment	
	10.4	10.3.2 Specific Assessment	
	10.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT	
	10.5	Field Tests for RTT	
	10.6	RTP Tests after Adductor Injury	
	Refe	rences	66
11		rn to Training and Return to Play Following Soleus-	
		rocnemius Injury	
	11.1	Anatomical Description	69
		11.1.1 Soleus Muscle	69
		11.1.2 Gastrocnemius Muscle	70
	11.2	Epidemiological Notes	
	11.3	Clinical and Imaging Assessments for RTT	
		11.3.1 General Assessment	
		11.3.2 Specific Assessment	
	11.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT	
	11.5	Field Tests for RTT	
	11.6	RTP Tests After SGMC Injury	
	Refe	rences	73

x Contents

12	Return to Training and Return to Play Following Hip Short					
	Exter	rnal Rotator Muscle Injury	75			
	12.1	Anatomical Description	75			
		12.1.1 The Piriformis	75			
		12.1.2 The Internal Obturator	76			
		12.1.3 Gemellus Muscles	76			
		12.1.4 The Quadratus Femoris	76			
		12.1.5 The External Obturator	77			
	12.2	Epidemiological Notes	77			
	12.3	Clinical and Imaging Assessments for RTT	77			
		12.3.1 General Assessment	77			
		12.3.2 Specific Assessment	78			
	12.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT	78			
	12.5	Field Tests for RTT	78			
	12.6	RTP Tests After Short External Hip Rotator Muscles Injuries	78			
	Refer	rences	79			
13	Retu	rn to Training and Return to Play Following Iliopsoas				
		y	81			
	13.1	Anatomical Description	81			
	13.2	Epidemiological Notes.	82			
	13.3	Clinical and Imaging Assessments for RTT	83			
		13.3.1 General Assessment.	83			
		13.3.2 Specific Assessment.	84			
	13.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT.	84			
	13.5	Field Tests for RTT	84			
	13.6	RTP Tests after Iliopsoas Injury	84			
		ences	84			
14			87			
14	14.1	Clinical Tests for RTT Decision-Making Process	87			
	14.1	Introduction	87			
	14.2	Hamstring RTT Clinical Tests	88			
	14.3	14.3.1 Straight Leg Raise Test	88			
		14.3.2 Dynamic Flexibility H Test	88			
	14.4	Adductors RTT Clinical Tests	89			
	14.4	14.4.1 Pubic Stress Test	89			
		14.4.2 Resisted Hip Adduction Test	89			
		14.4.3 The Squeeze Test	90			
	14.5	Soleus-Gastrocnemius RTT Clinical Tests	91			
	14.5	14.5.1 Weight Bearing Lunge Test	91			
		14.5.2 Heel-Raise Test	92			
	14.6	Short External Hip Rotator Injury RTT Clinical Tests	92			
	14.0	14.6.1 Pace and Nagle Maneuver Tests	93			
		14.6.2 Beatty Maneuver Test	93			
		14.6.3 Freiberg Maneuver Test	94			
		14.6.4 Internal Rotation Test.	94			
	14.7	Iliopsoas Injury RTT Clinical Tests	95			
		ences	96			

Contents

15	The l	Laboratory Tests for RTT Decision-Making Process	. 99
	15.1	Introduction	. 99
	15.2	Quadriceps RTT Laboratory Tests	. 99
		15.2.1 Isometric Tests	. 99
		15.2.2 Isotonic Tests	. 101
		15.2.3 Isokinetic Tests	. 104
	15.3	Hamstring RTT Laboratory Tests	. 105
		15.3.1 Isometric Test	. 106
		15.3.2 Isotonic Test	. 108
		15.3.3 Isokinetic Tests	. 108
	15.4	Adductor RTT Laboratory Tests	. 109
		15.4.1 Isometric Tests	. 109
		15.4.2 Isotonic Test	. 109
		15.4.3 Isokinetic Tests	. 110
	15.5	Soleus-Gastrocnemius RTT Laboratory Tests	. 110
		15.5.1 Isometric Tests	. 110
		15.5.2 Isotonic Test	. 110
		15.5.3 Synchro Plates Test Specific for Calves Muscles	. 112
		15.5.4 Drop Test Performed with Synchro Plates	
	15.6	Short External Hip Rotator Muscles RTT Laboratory Tests	. 113
		15.6.1 Isometric Tests	
	Refer	rences	. 114
16	The l	Field Tests for RTT Decision-Making Process	. 115
	16.1	Introduction	
	16.2	Braking Test	
		16.2.1 Protocol	
		16.2.2 Indication	
	16.3	Illinois Agility Test	
		16.3.1 Protocol	
		16.3.2 Indication	. 117
	16.4	Agility T-Test	. 117
		16.4.1 Indication	. 117
	16.5	Carioca Test	. 117
		16.5.1 Protocol	. 117
		16.5.2 Indication	. 117
	16.6	Kicking Test	. 117
		16.6.1 Protocol	. 117
		16.6.2 Indication	. 118
	16.7	Retro-Run Test	. 118
		16.7.1 Protocol	. 118
		16.7.2 Indication	. 118
	Refer	rences	. 119
17	Case	Report: Return to Play and Return to Training After	
		lriceps Injury	. 121
	17.1	Introduction	
	17.2	Case Report	
		17.2.1 Phase I	

xii Contents

		17.2.2 Phase II	. 123
		17.2.3 Phase III	. 124
	17.3	Clinical Test for Return to Training	. 124
		17.3.1 Specific Assessment	. 125
	17.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT	. 125
	17.5	Field Tests for RTT	. 125
	17.6	Return to Play Tests	. 126
	17.7	Discussion	. 126
	17.8	Conclusions	. 126
	Refer	rences	. 126
18	Case	Report: Return to Play and Return to Training After	
		string Injury	. 129
	18.1	Introduction	
	18.2	Case Report	
		18.2.1 Phase II (20 Days)	
		18.2.2 Phase III (30 Days)	
	18.3	Clinical Test for Return to Training	
		18.3.1 Specific Assessment.	
	18.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT	
	18.5	Field Tests for RTT	
	18.6	Return to Play Tests	
	18.7	Discussion	
	18.8	Conclusions	
	Refer	rences.	
19	Case	Report: Return to Play and Return to Training after	
-		ictor Injury	139
	19.1	Introduction	
	19.2	Case Report	
		19.2.1 Anamnesis	
		19.2.2 Inspection.	
		19.2.3 Clinical Examination	
		19.2.4 Therapeutic Path	
	19.3	Clinical Test for Return to Training	
		19.3.1 Specific Assessment.	
	19.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT	
	19.5	Field Tests for RTT	
	19.6	Return to Play Tests	
	19.7	Discussion	
		19.7.1 Scientific Rationale for Phase 1	
		19.7.2 Scientific Rationale for Phase 2	
		19.7.3 Scientific Rationale for Phase 3	
	19.8	Conclusions	
	Anan	nnestic Form	. 144
	Defer	rences	144

Contents xiii

20	Case Report: Return to Play and Return to Training			
	After	Soleus-Gastrocnemius Injury	. 147	
	20.1	Introduction	. 147	
	20.2	Case Report	. 148	
		20.2.1 Anamnesis	. 148	
		20.2.2 Inspection	. 148	
		20.2.3 Clinical Examination	. 148	
		20.2.4 Therapeutic Path	. 148	
	20.3	Clinical Test for Return to Training		
		20.3.1 Specific Assessment	. 150	
	20.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT	. 150	
	20.5	Field Tests for RTT		
	20.6	Return to Play Tests	. 150	
	20.7	Discussion	. 151	
	20.8	Conclusion	. 152	
	Refer	rences	. 152	
21	Coco	Report: Return to Play and Return to Training		
41		· Hip Short External Rotator Muscles Injury	155	
	21.1			
	21.2	Case Report		
	21,2	21.2.1 Phase I (5 days)		
		21.2.2 Phase II (7 Days)		
		21.2.3 Phase III (7 Days)		
	21.3	Clinical Test for Return to Training		
	21.5	21.3.1 Specific Assessment.		
	21.4	Laboratory Tests for RTT.		
	21.5	Field Tests for RTT		
	21.6	Return to Play Tests		
	21.7	Discussion		
	21.8	Conclusions		
	Refer	rences.		
22				
22		Report: Return to Play and Return to Training After soas Injury	161	
	22.1	Introduction		
		Case Report		
	22.2	22.2.1 Phase I (7 Days).		
		22.2.2 Phase II (7 Days)		
		22.2.3 Phase III (7 Days)		
	22.3	Clinical Test for Return to Training.		
	22.3	22.3.1 Specific Assessment.		
		22.3.2 Laboratory Tests for RTT.		
	22.4	Field Tests for RTT		
	22.5	Return to Play Tests		
	22.6	Discussion		
	22.7	Conclusions		
		rences	165	

1



The Italian Consensus Conference on Return to Play After Lower Limb Muscle Injury in Football

1.1 Introduction

What is a "Consensus Conference" (CC)? The CC is a methodology for answering the questions related to efficacy, risks, and clinical applications of biomedical or public health interventions. The subjects discussed during a CC represent specific controversies in clinical practice. Furthermore, the aim of a CC is also to orient future research. A CC is carried out through the production of evaluation reports of the scientific literature, discussed by a "jury" made up of health professionals and other professional and social figures. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) developed the CC model in the United States in the 1970s, as a method for addressing complex problems relating to health interventions and for orienting research. In Europe the first CCs were organized in Denmark in the 1980s by the Danish Board of Technology (Danish Commission on Technology). The CC aims at defining the "state of the art" in relationship to a specific care problem, through an explicit process in which scientific information is evaluated and discussed by a "jury." Such jury is composed not only of health professionals but also of other professional and social members. In recent years CCs have been enriched by an intense specific preparatory activity, which has been entrusted to working groups in charge of preparing in-depth documents.

This has become necessary to overcome the limits and operational difficulties, to concentrate the discussion on all issues in a single day, and to find a consensus from the jury. The documents produced by each group are provided to the members of the jury well in advance before the CC and will form the basis for the discussion. Conversational dynamics is a very important aspect in successful consensus conferences. Some jury members may tend to dominate the conversation. It is a drawback that can be avoided by introducing a (well-trained) facilitator, hence the issue of how can facilitators be assessed. Paradoxically, a CC may even have a negative effect (opposite to its goal). Indeed, a CC could lead the jury members to move to the extreme in their opinions. In other words, the jury members essentially rally around their own view in the presence of opposing views. Another paradox of CCs is their power to mask differences in opinions. The goal of consensus conferences is for the jury members to deliberate and reach a consensus over a particular issue. However, this need to reach a consensus can have the unintended side effect of masking differences in opinion, particularly if some jury members are less outspoken during the discussion. In any case, despite these possible negative aspects, the CC represents a formidable means for the improvement of scientific knowledge.

1.2 The Italian Consensus Conference on Return to Play After Lower Limb Muscle Injury in Football

The Italian Consensus Conference on return to play after lower limb muscle injury in football (Bisciotti et al. 2019), held in Milan on August 31, 2018, was aimed at making the concepts of return to training (RTT) and return to play (RTP) clearer and more objective. Furthermore, the CC tried to identify some weak points concerning both RTT and RTP which may seriously jeopardize their reliability. With this aim in mind, the Italian Society of Arthroscopy organized this Conference in Milan, on August 31, 2018, which saw the participation of 66 national and international experts across several disciplines: orthopedic surgeons (19), sports physicians (7), radiologists (5), rehabilitation physicians (3), sports physiologists (2), general surgeons (2), family physicians (2), physiotherapists (10), physical trainers (15), and psychologists (1).

The selection of experts was based upon their Hirsch index and/or the number of publications concerning muscle injuries in football and/or their experience in the clinical evaluation, medical treatment, and rehabilitation of muscle injuries in football players. The experts did not represent any commercial organizations at the time of the consensus meeting.

Prior to the CC, two senior authors (GNB and PV) performed a narrative review of the literature regarding RTP decision-making process, in sporting activities in general and in football specifically. The review process was conducted as follows:

- An independent search was performed by both authors, with no language limitation applied.
- Databases searched were MEDLINE, EMBASE, EXCERPTA MEDICA, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Review. Gray literature (i.e., conferences, abstracts, thesis, and unpublished reports) was not considered.

Table 1.1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: *P(atient and problem)*: RCT, case series studies, and consensus statement investigating lower muscle injuries in sport population. *I(ntervention)*: Conservative treatment of lower muscle injuries. *C(omparison)*: Comparison between different types of muscle injuries classification and different types of conservative treatments. *O(utcome)*: Outcome in terms of time loss injury, level of outcome, level of return to play, complication, and sequelae

Exclusion criteria: *P(atient and problem)*: RCT, case series studies, and consensus statement investigating

exclusion criteria: *P(atient and problem)*: RC1, case series studies, and consensus statement investigating lower muscle injuries in a non-sport population. *I (ntervention)*: Surgical treatment of lower muscle injuries. *C(omparison)*: Comparison between conservative and surgical treatments. *O(utcome)*: Not specified outcome in terms of time loss injury, level of outcome, level of return to play, complication, and sequelae

 Studies that did not meet our inclusion criteria were excluded. The inclusion and exclusion criteria, according to PICO method (Cooke et al. 2012), are shown in Table 1.1.

After the review, the authors produced a comprehensive summary document made up of two distinct sections: (1) RTP decision-making process's general principles and (2) return to training and RTP decision-making process following lower limb muscle injuries. The document was presented to each expert a week ahead of the CC and was considered as the starting point for the discussion. The two senior authors had the role of facilitator (GNB) and chairman (PV) during the CC.

1.3 Consensus Conference Proceedings

The CC was composed of two distinct sections.

The discussion on RTP decision-making process's general principles (Sect. 1.1) was in turn composed of five sub-sections:

- 1. Appropriateness of the term RTP
- 2. The return to training decision-making process (RTT decision-making process)
- 3. The return to play decision-making process (RTP decision-making process)

- 4. The role of imaging in RTT decision-making and RTP decision-making processes
- 5. The biopsychosocial model

In the CC, the term "decision-making process" (DMP) refers to the evidence-based criteria utilized for decision on RTT and RTP (Van der Horst et al. 2016, 2017).

The second section was focused on RTT and RTP-DMP following lower limb muscle injuries in football. This discussion addressing RTP-DMP for the lower limb muscle groups involved in football muscle injuries is composed of four sub-sections:

- RTT and RTP-DMP following hamstring injuries
- RTT and RTP-DMP following quadriceps injuries
- RTT and RTP-DMP following adductors injuries
- RTT and RTP-DMP following soleus-gastrocnemius injuries

Following a Delphi procedure, each document was presented by the facilitator (GNB), a plenary discussion conducted by the chairman (PV) followed, and finally it was approved via a voting process.

The CC participants voted for each document, using a Likert scale of 0–10, where 0 reflected complete disagreement, 5 neither agreement nor disagreement, and 10 complete agreement. The discussions continued until a mean score of >7.5 was reached (Griffin et al. 2016; Bisciotti et al.

2016, 2018; Vanbelle and Lesaffre 2017), and the voting process enabled the chairman to interrupt the discussion if, in his opinion, a final decision could not be reached. The first section document required five voting rounds (for five separate discussions), while the second section document required four voting rounds (for four separate discussions) to reach consensus. Amendments were made after each voting round following discussion among the CC group. Consensus was reached in all cases after each discussion phase (i.e., for each voting round the mean score of >7.5 was reached). The voting results are shown in Tables 1.2 and 1.3.

At the end of the CC, consensus was reached on the following: definition of the terms RTT and RTP in football, the criteria for RTT and RTP in football, the appropriate use of clinical and imaging assessment, laboratory and field tests for RTT following lower limb muscle injury, and the identification of return to play objective criteria following lower limb muscle injury were discussed and approved (level of evidence IV, grade of recommendation D). This book illustrates in detail and thoroughly all the topics discussed and approved during the CC.

Table 1.3 The results of the different voting rounds concerning document 2 (return to training and RTP-DMP following lower limb muscle injuries in football)

	Voting 1	Voting 2	Voting 3	Voting 4
Average score	9.24	9.64	9.54	9.72
Standard deviation (±)	0.49	0.39	0.43	0.35

Table 1.2 The results of the different voting rounds concerning document 1 (RTP-DMP general principles)

	Voting 1	Voting 2	Voting 3	Voting 4	Voting 5
Average score	9.76	9.76	9.80	9.72	9.96
Standard deviation (±)	0.33	0.33	0.30	0.35	0.32

References

Bisciotti GN, Volpi P, Zini R, Auci A, Aprato A, Belli A, Bellistri G, Benelli P, Bona S, Bonaiuti D, Carimati G, Canata GL, Cassaghi G, Cerulli S, Delle Rose G, Di Benedetto P, Di Marzo F, Di Pietto F, Felicioni L, Ferrario L, Foglia A, Galli M, Gervasi E, Gia L, Giammattei C, Guglielmi A, Marioni A, Moretti B, Niccolai R, Orgiani N, Pantalone A, Parra F, Quaglia A, Respizzi F, Ricciotti L, Pereira Ruiz MT, Russo A, Sebastiani E, Tancredi G, Tosi F, Vuckovic Z. Groin Pain Syndrome Italian Consensus Conference on terminology, clinical evaluation and imaging assessment in groin pain in athlete. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med. 2016;2(1):e000142.

Bisciotti GN, Volpi P, Amato M, Alberti G, Allegra F, Aprato A, Artina M, Auci A, Bait C, Bastieri GM, Balzarini L, Belli A, Bellini G, Bettinsoli P, Bisciotti A, Bisciotti A, Bona S, Brambilla L, Bresciani M, Buffoli M, Calanna F, Canata GL, Cardinali D, Carimati G, Cassaghi G, Cautero E, Cena E, Corradini B, Corsini A, D'Agostino C, De Donato M, Delle Rose G, Di Marzo F, Di Pietto F, Enrica D, Eirale C, Febbrari L, Ferrua P, Foglia A, Galbiati A, Gheza A, Giammattei C, Masia F, Melegati G, Moretti B, Moretti L, Niccolai R, Orgiani A, Orizio C, Pantalone A, Parra F, Patroni P, Pereira Ruiz MT, Perri M, Petrillo S, Pulici L, Quaglia A, Ricciotti L, Rosa F, Sasso N, Sprenger C, Tarantola C, Tenconi FG, Tosi F, Trainini M, Tucciarone A, Yekdah A, Vuckovic Z, Zini R, Chamari K. Italian consensus conference on guidelines for conservative treatment on lower limb muscle injuries in athlete. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med. 2018;4(1):e000323.

Bisciotti GN, Volpi P, Alberti G, Aprato A, Artina M, Auci A, Bait C, Belli A, Bellistri G, Bettinsoli PF, Bisciotti AL, Bisciotti AN, Bona S, Bresciani M, Bruzzone A, Buda R, Buffoli M, Callini M, Canata GL, Cardinali D, Cassaghi G, Castagnetti L, Clerici S, Corradini B, Corsini A, D'Agostino C, Dellasette E, Di Pietto F, Drapchind E, Eirale C, Foglia A, Franceschi F, Frizziero A, Galbiati A, Giammattei C, Landreau P, Mazzola C, Moretti B, Muratore M, Nanni G, Niccolai R, Orizio C, Pantalone A, Parra F, Pasta G, Patroni P, Pellela D, Pulici L, Quaglia A, Respizzi S, Ricciotti L, Rispoli A, Rosa F, Rossato A, Sannicandro I, Sprenger C, Tarantola C, Tenconi FG, Tognini G, Tosi F, Trinchese GF, Vago P, Zappia M, Vuckovic Z, Zini R, Chamari K. Italian Consensus Conference on returnto-play after lower limb muscle injury in football (Soccer). BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med. 2019;5(1):e000505.

Cooke A, Smith D, Booth A. Beyond PICO the SPIDER tool for qualitative evidence synthesis. Qual Health Res. 2012;22(10):1435–43.

Griffin DR, Dickenson EJ, O'Donnell J, et al. The Warwick Agreement on femoroacetabular impingement syndrome (FAI syndrome): an international consensus statement. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50:1169–76.

Van der Horst N, van de Hoef S, Reurink G, et al. Return to play after hamstring injuries: a qualitative systematic review of definitions and criteria. Sports Med. 2016;46(6):899–912.

Van der Horst N, Backx F, Goedhart EA, Huisstede BM, HIPS-Delphi Group. Return to play after hamstring injuries in football (soccer): a worldwide Delphi procedure regarding definition, medical criteria and decision-making. Br J Sports Med. 2017;51(22): 1583–91.

Vanbelle S, Lesaffre E. Modeling agreement on bounded scales. Stat Methods Med Res. 2017;1: 096228021770570.