



PALGRAVE STUDIES IN SUSTAINABLE BUSINESS
IN ASSOCIATION WITH FUTURE EARTH

Water Risk and Its Impact on the Financial Markets and Society

New Developments
in Risk Assessment
and Management

Edited by

Thomas Walker · Dieter Gramlich ·
Kalima Vico · Adele Dumont-Bergeron

palgrave
macmillan

Palgrave Studies in Sustainable Business
In Association with Future Earth

Series Editors

Paul Shrivastava, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA,
USA

László Zsolnai, Corvinus University of Budapest, Budapest, Hungary

Sustainability in Business is increasingly becoming the forefront issue for researchers, practitioners and companies the world over. Engaging with this immense challenge, Future Earth is a major international research platform from a range of disciplines, with a common goal to support and achieve global sustainability. This series will define a clear space for the work of Future Earth Finance and Economics Knowledge-Action Network. Publishing key research with a holistic and trans-disciplinary approach, it intends to help reinvent business and economic models for the Anthropocene, geared towards engendering sustainability and creating ecologically conscious organizations.

More information about this series at
<http://www.palgrave.com/gp/series/15667>

Thomas Walker · Dieter Gramlich ·
Kalima Vico · Adele Dumont-Bergeron
Editors

Water Risk and Its Impact on the Financial Markets and Society

New Developments in Risk Assessment
and Management

future^{earth}
research for global sustainability

palgrave
macmillan

Editors

Thomas Walker
Emerging Risks Information Centre
(ERIC)
John Molson School of Business
Concordia University
Montreal, QC, Canada

Kalima Vico
Emerging Risks Information Centre
(ERIC)
John Molson School of Business
Concordia University
Montreal, QC, Canada

Dieter Gramlich
Department of Banking
DHBW - Duale Hochschule
Baden-Württemberg
Heidenheim an der Brenz, Germany

Adele Dumont-Bergeron
Department of English
Concordia University
Montreal, QC, Canada

ISSN 2662-1320 ISSN 2662-1339 (electronic)
Palgrave Studies in Sustainable Business In Association with Future Earth
ISBN 978-3-030-77649-7 ISBN 978-3-030-77650-3 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77650-3>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer
Nature Switzerland AG 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the financial support provided through the Global Risk Institute, the Mitacs Research Training Award Program, and the Higher Education Institutional Excellence Program 2020 of the Ministry of Innovation and Technology (TKP2020-IKA-02) at Corvinus University of Budapest. In addition, we appreciate the excellent copy-editing and editorial assistance we received from Tyler Schwartz, Sherif Goubran, and Gabrielle Machnik-Kekesi. Finally, we feel greatly indebted to Arlene Segal, Joseph Capano, Anne-Marie Croteau, and Norma Paradis (all at Concordia University) who in various ways supported this project.

CONTENTS

Introducing Water Risk: A Framework for (Integrated) Water Risk Assessment and Management	1
Adele Dumont-Bergeron and Dieter Gramlich	
Assessing Water Risk: Frameworks, Models, and Tools	
Data for Water Risks: Current Trends in Reporting Frameworks, Shortcomings, and the Way Forward	23
Laureline Josset and Paulina Concha Larrauri	
How Do Investors Assess Water Risks?	69
Milla Craig, Erica Coulombe, Charlotte Lombardi, and Nourhane ElGarhy	
The Developing Field of Water Risk Valuation for the Financial Industry	103
Yuanchao Xu and Debra Tan	
Financial Implications of Parched Power: Insights from an Analysis of Indian Thermal Power Companies	127
Lihuan Zhou, Jack McClamrock, Giulia Christianson, Deepak Krishnan, and Tianyi Luo	
Water Insecurity and Climate Risk: Investment Impact of Floods and Droughts	157
Quintin Rayer, Karsten Hausteин, and Pete Walton	

Chronic Coastal Water Threats Warrant a Valuation Re-Think	189
Dharisha Mirando and Debra Tan	
Managing Water Risk: Investing in the Future	
Water Risks, Conflicts, and Sustainable Water Investments: A Case Study of Ontario, Canada	219
Guneet Sandhu, Olaf Weber, and Michael O. Wood	
Forward Pricing of Embedded Water: A Step Toward Sustainable Development in Agriculture	253
Paras Mahajan and Hamendra Kumar Porwal	
Misbehaving Drinking Water Systems: Risk and the Complex Nature of Failure	283
Bryan Karney and John Gibson	
Multi-dimensional and Interacting Water and Climate Risks and Pricing Them in the Industry Context	303
Jason Siegel, Paulina Concha Larrauri, Luc Bonnafous, and Upmanu Lall	
Water Risk: An Overview and Inspiration for Future Work	329
Kalima Vico and Thomas Walker	
Index	345

NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

Luc Bonnafous is a Disaster Risk Management Specialist at the World Bank. He focuses mostly on climate risk, both from a scientific and modeling perspective and from a project implementation standpoint. Prior to this, he was a climate researcher at Beyond Ratings, and a Staff Associate at the Columbia Water Center.

Giulia Christianson is a Senior Associate at WRI's Finance Center, where she leads the Sustainable Investing Initiative. The initiative develops research and tools designed to encourage and empower mainstream investors to pursue sustainable investment strategies—re-directing private capital flows toward a sustainable, inclusive, and low-carbon future. Giulia contributed to the inception of WRI's Sustainable Investing Initiative in 2015. Since joining WRI in 2011, her work has also spanned other finance topics, including mainstreaming climate change within financial institutions, improving the effectiveness of public finance in mobilizing private sector climate investment, and scaling environmental entrepreneurship in emerging markets.

Paulina Concha Larrauri is a Researcher at the Columbia Water Center at Columbia University. She holds a B.S. in Chemistry from the ITESM in Monterrey, Mexico, and an M.S. degree in Environmental Engineering from Columbia University. Prior to starting a career in water, she worked for five years in engineering at Procter & Gamble. Her research focuses on

risk assessments of tailings dams, rainwater harvesting, developing a risk-based approach for the valuation of water in the mining industry, developing strategies for water management and climate risk in agricultural supply chains, and evaluating the feasibility of implementing decentralized water systems, among others.

Erica Coulombe is the Vice-President of Millani Inc., a consulting firm based in Montreal, and has more than seven years of consulting experience in sustainability and responsible investment in both Canada and Europe. After an internship with the World Resources Institute in Washington, DC, Erica joined Deloitte's Sustainability Group before moving to France where she worked for GreenFlex, a leading sustainability consulting firm based in Paris. In March 2017, Erica joined Millani where she provides advisory services in ESG integration for both investors and companies. Having worked and supported companies of all sizes and sectors, from SMEs to institutional investors, Erica has developed a deep understanding of sustainable development and can bridge the gap between companies and investors on environmental, social, and governance issues.

Milla Craig is the Founder and President of Millani Inc., an independent advisory firm helping investors to integrate ESG issues into their investment decisions, companies to communicate their material ESG issues to investors, boards of directors to understand their ESG responsibilities, and capital markets participants to create their proprietary ESG strategies. Prior to founding Millani, Milla worked for more than 15 years in the institutional equity markets for major Canadian financial institutions such as RBC Dominion Securities and Scotia Capital. Throughout her career, she has worked closely with senior management and investor relations teams of Canadian publicly listed companies, as well as financial analysts and investment managers of several Quebec pension and investment funds.

Adele Dumont-Bergeron is a Graduate Student in English Literature and Creative Writing at Concordia University, Montreal. She currently serves as a Research Associate in the Department of Finance. Adele has copylefted over a hundred chapters and articles by professionals in finance for publication. She recently completed research projects about plastic taxes and social impact bonds, which have resulted in co-authored articles. Her research now focuses on water risks and their impacts on the financial system and society.

Nourhane ElGarhy is an ESG Consultant at Millani Inc. An economist by training, Nourhane has extensive experience in finance, with an emphasis on impact and responsible investing. After working for several years in corporate and investment banking where she supported organizations across various sectors, she joined the Trottier Family Foundation where she led the design and implementation of sustainable finance and impact investing strategies and frameworks. Nourhane leverages her expertise in finance and her passion for sustainability to support organizations in navigating the new business paradigm and create lasting value for all stakeholders through better integration of sustainability principles and management of environmental, social, and governance risks and opportunities.

John Gibson has worked in different areas of the water industry for more than twenty years. His main focus has been research and municipal infrastructure, including both wastewater and drinking water. He spent ten years at the Wastewater Technology Centre, part of the Canada Centre for Inland Waters. More recently John was awarded a Ph.D. in civil engineering from the University of Toronto. John's research focuses on drinking water distribution systems, fire protection, and water quality.

Dieter Gramlich is a Full Professor of Banking & Finance at DHBW—Baden-Württemberg Cooperative State University, Heidenheim, where he serves as head of the Banking Department. He received his Ph.D. from the University of Mannheim and his Habilitation degree from the University of Halle. His research focuses on financial risk and return management, systemic financial stability, and sustainable finance. He has published widely in these areas and recently published a book on the topic of emerging risk management.

Karsten Haustein is a Trained Meteorologist and Climate Scientist with expertise in extreme weather event attribution. He worked in the World Weather Attribution team at the Environmental Change Institute of the University of Oxford, where he also carried out the work on the Global Warming Index. He has recently joined the German Institute for Climate Services (GERICS) in Hamburg, Germany, where he is now working as a scientist in the ClimXtreme project on extreme weather events, an endeavor which aims at bridging the gap between stakeholders and scientific data analysts.

Laureline Josset is an Associate Research Scientist at the Columbia Water Center, where she works on the evaluation of water stresses through integrated assessments, the optimization of management strategies for water quantity and quality, and transitions in the food-energy-water nexus. Collaborating with actors from government and civil society, Laureline focuses on the quantification of risks arising from climate uncertainty and the provision of data to inform decisions. Before joining Columbia, she obtained a Bachelor's and Master's degree in Physics from the École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland, and a Ph.D. in Earth Sciences from the University of Lausanne. Laureline teaches classes on water system analysis and groundwater management for Columbia's Sustainable Management Program, with a particular emphasis on conceptual modeling and system thinking.

Bryan Karney is a Professor of Civil Engineering at the University of Toronto and has been the Associate Dean of the university's Cross-Disciplinary Programs Office since 2009. He is a Principal of HydraTek with more than thirty years of experience in providing hydraulic and hydraulic transient consulting services on a wide range of fluid systems. Bryan has spoken and written widely on subjects related to water resource systems, energy issues, hydrology, climate change, optimization, engineering education, and engineering ethics. He has been Associate Editor for the ASCE's Journal of Hydraulic Engineering and is now Associate Editor for IAHR's Journal of Hydraulic Research. Bryan has been the recipient of a number of teaching and research awards.

Deepak Krishnan is the Associate Director for the World Resources Institute (WRI) India's Energy Program and leads work on clean energy initiatives (renewable energy & energy efficiency) including in different consumer categories, Clean Energy Transitions, and Water-Energy nexus. Prior to this, he worked with the energy consulting practices of PricewaterhouseCoopers and Deloitte. He is a certified Energy Risk Professional. He is an electrical engineering graduate and holds a master's degree in electric power systems.

Upmanu Lall is the Director of the Columbia Water Center and the Alan and Carol Silberstein Professor of Engineering at Columbia University. He is a world-renowned expert in statistical and numerical modeling of hydrologic and climatic systems and water resource systems planning

and management. He has pioneered statistical methods and their application to the prediction of hydrologic and climate conditions, and advanced tools for decision analysis and risk management.

Charlotte Lombardi is a Senior ESG Consultant at Millani Inc. She has extensive experience in non-financial corporate disclosures, allowing her to advise clients on how to best communicate ESG issues. After working in strategy consulting for professional service firms for several years, she commenced work at RY, a leading communications agency in London, UK. There, she specialized in stakeholder engagement and advised on non-financial disclosures and sustainability communications for British and Continental European listed companies.

Tianyi Luo is the Acting Director for the Aqueduct Project at the Global Water Program. He directs Aqueduct data and tool development and oversees advanced geospatial and statistical analysis for Aqueduct applications. Tianyi has worked directly with more than a dozen multi-national companies on quantifying water risks and associated financial implications in value chains, as well as international development banks and aid agencies on assessing regional water security and physical climate risks. Besides engaging in corporate and national water risk assessment, Tianyi also leads the Water Program's efforts on the water-energy nexus, particularly for power generation and unconventional gas sectors, and works across WRI's Climate, Energy, Finance, and Economics programs and centers.

Paras Mahajan is pursuing a Master's Degree in Commerce from Hindu College at the University of Delhi. He has prior experience in business development and portfolio management at the National Skill Development Corporation (NSDC). He pursued a Bachelor of Business Administration (Finance and Investment Analysis) from Shaheed Sukhdev College of Business Studies. His earlier research work on embedded water derivatives has been published in the International Journal of Management Studies. He has a growing research interest in finance, financial product development, and sustainable finance.

Jack McClamrock was a Research Analyst at the World Resources Institute.

Dharisha Mirando leads CWR's investor engagement and water risk valuation work. She is the Lead Author of the recent series of reports on coastal threats and developed the CWR APACCT 20 Index to benchmark cities against coastal threats. She has also published reports with

Manulife Asset Management, the Asia Investor Group on Climate Change (AIGCC), and CLSA and is a frequent commentator on water and climate risks and the need for adaptation on Bloomberg. She hails from the finance industry—prior to joining CWR, she worked in the investment team of a long-only public equities fund and in the impact investment space in London and Singapore.

Hamendra Kumar Porwal has written numerous articles, which have been published in reputed national and international journals. He has chaired and presented various papers in national and international seminars. Hamendra has teaching experience (both at national and international institutions) of more than three decades.

Quintin Rayer has worked for actuarial and investment consultancy firms and a multi-national European bank, including broad experience in quantitative fund and risk analysis. He is a Fellow of the Institute of Physics, a Chartered Fellow of the CISI, and a Chartered Wealth Manager. Quintin has applied skills gained from his Oxford University Physics Doctorate and while working in engineering to finance. He is the second UK graduate from the Sustainable Investment Professional Certification (SIPC) program. In January 2017 Quintin joined PI Investment Management, founding their ethical and sustainable investing proposition.

Guneet Sandhu is a Ph.D Student in the Sustainability Management Program at the University of Waterloo, Canada. Her research focuses on developing a locally attuned interdisciplinary water risk management framework and decision-support tools to foster sustainable water management in the corporate and financial sector. She holds a Master of Environmental Studies in Sustainability Management (Water) from the University of Waterloo along with a Master of Engineering (Chemical) from Cornell University, USA, and a Bachelor of Engineering (Chemical) with Honors from Panjab University, India. She has previously worked as a project engineer for the non-profit AguaClara Reach, where she implemented sustainable drinking water treatment technologies in under-served communities.

Jason Siegel is a Ph.D. Candidate in the Department of Earth & Environmental Engineering at Columbia University's Henry Krumb School of Mines.

Debra Tan heads China Water Risk (CWR), a think tank that aims to embed water and climate risks in business and finance. Working with financial and government-related institutions and corporates, she built CWR from an idea into a ‘go-to’ resource on water risks. The reports ex-banker Debra has written and curated on the financial implications of sectoral water risks are considered groundbreaking and instrumental in understanding Asia’s water challenges. Debra has published in influential policy journals in China, has contributed to UN publications, and sits on global advisory and technical councils for corporations as well as the Climate Disclosure Standards Board (CDSB) and the Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP). She spends her spare time exploring Himalayan glaciers.

Kalima Vico is a Research Associate at the John Molson School of Business at Concordia University, Montreal. She has previously served with Concordia’s David O’Brien Centre for Sustainable Enterprise. She holds a Bachelor of Commerce in finance with a concentration in economics from Concordia University. She has participated in and worked on well over 30 research papers and helped launch three books within the Finance Department.

Thomas Walker is a Full Professor of Finance at Concordia University in Montreal, Canada. He previously served as an Associate Dean, Department Chair, and Director of Concordia’s David O’Brien Centre for Sustainable Enterprise. Prior to his academic career, he worked for firms such as Mercedes Benz, KPMG, and Utility Consultants International. He has published over 70 journal articles and books.

Pete Walton is a Knowledge Exchange Research Fellow and leads academic at UKCIP, University of Oxford, where he works with a range of stakeholders in the UK and abroad, supporting them in understanding how to build resilience to a changing climate. Pete’s academic and professional career combines both climate change and education, providing him with the expertise in adaptation and climate change impacts and the skills to communicate the practical implications of such impacts to a wide range of audiences.

Olaf Weber is a Professor at the University of Waterloo’s School of Environment, Enterprise, and Development and holds the position as the university’s Research Chair in Sustainable Finance. His research and teaching interests address the connection between financial sector players,

such as banks and sustainable development, and the link between sustainability and financial performance of enterprises. His research focuses on the impacts of the financial industry on sustainable development, the role of voluntary and regulatory mechanisms for the financial sector to become more sustainable, social banking and impact investing, the materiality of sustainability risks, and opportunities for investors and artificial intelligence as a tool to analyze environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance.

Michael O. Wood is the Associate Director of Undergraduate Studies and a Continuing Lecturer at the School of Environment, Enterprise, and Development (SEED) at the University of Waterloo. He holds a Ph.D. in strategy and sustainability from the Ivey Business School. His research examines organizational perceptions and responses to sustainability issues through the lens of space, time, scale, and social license to operate within the contexts of the insurance industry, mining, carbon management, the Blue Economy, and climate change and global security. His research has most recently been published in the *Canadian Water Resources Journal*, the *Academy of Management Review*, the *British Journal of Management*, and *Resources*.

Yuanchao Xu specializes in sectoral/regional water and climate risk assessment as well as the regulatory risk interpretation in China for CWR. His contributions to CWR's water risk valuation work include the writing of the water risk chapter of the "Green Finance Series – Case Studies on Environmental Risk Analysis of Financial Institutions" led by the Chair of the Green Finance Committee of China as well as presenting key valuation methodologies at China's central bank. As a hydrologist, Yuanchao also leads CWR's collaboration with the China-Europe Water Platform (CEWP) and writes extensively on China's transformation into an ecological civilization; his views have been quoted by Bloomberg.

Lihuan Zhou is an Associate at WRI's Sustainable Finance Center, where he mainly works on the Sustainable Investing Initiative and conducts research to advance sustainable investment practices in the private sector. He also contributes to the center's work on cross-border investments from China. Lihuan holds a Master of Science in Applied Economics from Johns Hopkins University and dual bachelor degrees in Environmental Sciences and Economics from Peking University. He is a CFA Charterholder and a member of the CFA Society in Washington, DC.

LIST OF FIGURES

Introducing Water Risk: A Framework for (Integrated) Water Risk Assessment and Management

Fig. 1	A framework for water risk dimensions and impacts	9
Fig. 2	Integrating the book contributions into the water risk framework	16

Data for Water Risks: Current Trends in Reporting Frameworks, Shortcomings, and the Way Forward

Fig. 1	The multi-agent ecosystem of water and finance risks	28
Fig. 2	Frameworks, metrics, and tools	55

The Developing Field of Water Risk Valuation for the Financial Industry

Fig. 1	Shadow price of water and water use and their impact on margins	107
Fig. 2	Shadow price of water and its impact on the EBITDA of Chinese coal and power companies	107
Fig. 3	Average shadow price for water	109
Fig. 4	Estimated water requirement for coal production	111
Fig. 5	Average water consumption for thermal power generation	112
Fig. 6	Power generation by cooling type	113
Fig. 7	Coal mining operations of Coal-5 companies	117
Fig. 8	Top-5 coal mining provinces	117

Fig. 9	Thermal power plants of Power-5 companies	119
Fig. 10	Power generation by cooling type in highly water stressed areas	120
Fig. 11	Water stress levels in the Kuye River basin—Increase from New China BWS map	121

Financial Implications of Parched Power: Insights from an Analysis of Indian Thermal Power Companies

Fig. 1	Overview of historical financial analysis methodology to estimate the financial impacts of water shortage-induced outages at thermal power plants	133
Fig. 2	Overview of forward-looking analysis methodology to estimate the impacts of future water shortage-induced outages at thermal power plants	137
Fig. 3	Potential water shortage-induced losses in revenue and EBITDA as a percentage of total revenue and EBITDA, respectively	142
Fig. 4	Context underlying water shortage-induced outages of three Indian companies	143
Fig. 5	Frequency, magnitude, and duration of water shortage-induced outages at three Indian companies	144
Fig. 6	Minimum and maximum values of changes in the drought index (12-month SPEI)	151
Fig. 7	Water shortage-induced outages during the calendar years 2013–2016	152

Water Insecurity and Climate Risk: Investment Impact of Floods and Droughts

Fig. 1	Rolling five-year total damages and deaths associated with floods and droughts	164
Fig. 2	Range of F_{AGW} values for the fraction of events caused by human-induced global warming for the examples discussed	175

Chronic Coastal Water Threats Warrant a Valuation Re-Think

Fig. 1	Negative finance feedback loop	190
Fig. 2	CWR APACCT 20 Index—20 APAC capitals & cities drive 22% of GDP of 14 countries/territories	195

Fig. 3	CWR APACCT 20 Index	195
Fig. 4	Locked-in SLR in Macao at 1.5 °C and 4 °C	200
Fig. 5	CWR APACCT 20 Index at 1.5 °C and 4 °C	202
Fig. 6	CWR APACCT 20 Index—ex. Govt Action at 1.5 °C and 4 °C	203
Fig. 7	CWR APACCT 20 Index	205
Fig. 8	CWR APACCT 20 Index	207
Fig. 9	CWR APACCT 20 Index	208
Fig. 10	CWR APACCT 20 Index	209
Fig. 11	SLR & storm tide combination scenarios	210

Water Risks, Conflicts, and Sustainable Water Investments: A Case Study of Ontario, Canada

Fig. 1	The Province of Ontario, Canada	230
Fig. 2	Conceptual water risk assessment framework for Ontario, Canada	240

Forward Pricing of Embedded Water: A Step Toward Sustainable Development in Agriculture

Fig. 1	Köppen climate classification of India	261
Fig. 2	Inverse J curve graph	263

Misbehaving Drinking Water Systems: Risk and the Complex Nature of Failure

Fig. 1	A small portion of a typical water distribution network	285
Fig. 2	Water main breaks and their effects on other types of urban infrastructure	288
Fig. 3	Potential loss pathways from pipe breaks	289
Fig. 4	Long-term deterioration can reduce hydraulic capacity	291
Fig. 5	Disinfectant decay of chlorine and chloramine	292
Fig. 6	Suspected risk factors associated with Legionnaire's disease	293

Multi-dimensional and Interacting Water and Climate Risks and Pricing Them in the Industry Context

Fig. 1	Quantifying financial impact	307
Fig. 2	Time series of the yearly number of 30-day extreme rainfall events exceeding the 10-year return level for the Rio Tinto portfolio computed using the 20CR dataset	312
Fig. 3	Comparing full write-off vs. partial write-off for extreme events	315
Fig. 4	Factors to incorporate when quantifying financial loss and damage	316
Fig. 5	Comparison of Barrick temporary and permanent exposure to extreme climate events of varying severities	321

LIST OF TABLES

Data for Water Risks: Current Trends in Reporting Frameworks, Shortcomings, and the Way Forward

Table 1	Non-exhaustive list of the water-related risks faced by corporations because of water risks	25
Table 2	Non-exhaustive lists of the risks faced by society because of water risks	35
Table 3	Water disclosure recommendations in CDSB from CDP water questionnaire and SASB in compliance with TCFD's focus areas	39
Table 4	Examples of data needed to comply with disclosure requirements	42
Table 5	Summary of Aqueduct and the WRF	47
Table 6	Examples of water valuation tools	52

The Developing Field of Water Risk Valuation for the Financial Industry

Table 1	Ten listed companies analyzed by CWR in 2016	105
---------	--	-----

Financial Implications of Parched Power: Insights from an Analysis of Indian Thermal Power Companies

Table 1	General overview of companies in the analysis	130
Table 2	Data used in the analysis	132

Table 3	Descriptive statistics for the forward-looking analysis dataset	134
Table 4	Estimated effects of the drought index on the number of days of water shortage-induced outages by Poisson models	145

Water Insecurity and Climate Risk: Investment Impact of Floods and Droughts

Table 1	Proportion of climate change phenomena linked to the emissions of 90 major industrial carbon producers	165
Table 2	Cumulative industrial greenhouse gas emissions from Scopes 1 + 3 in percent	167
Table 3	Hypothetical climate liabilities for floods, droughts, and heatwaves over the period of 2012 to 2016	169
Table 4	Proportion of impact of extreme weather events attributable to human-induced global warming	174
Table 5a	Estimated flood and drought hypothetical climate damage contributions	182
Table 5b	Estimated flood and drought hypothetical climate damages	182

Forward Pricing of Embedded Water: A Step Toward Sustainable Development in Agriculture

Table 1	Data variable and source	265
Table 2	Calculation of S-values	266
Table 3	Calculation of F-values	267
Table 4	Calculation of K-values	268

Multi-dimensional and Interacting Water and Climate Risks and Pricing Them in the Industry Context

Table 1	Comparing top-down and bottom-up approach to quantifying damage	316
Table 2	Ranked exposure to 12-month drought event	322



Introducing Water Risk: A Framework for (Integrated) Water Risk Assessment and Management

Adele Dumont-Bergeron and Dieter Gramlich

1 THE WATER CHALLENGE

It is well known that water is necessary to life. Without water, organisms cannot grow and thrive, yet alone survive. Despite this knowledge, water is largely taken for granted, at the national and international levels. Water is a finite resource; it is not something that can be grown or scientifically engineered. The current amount of water on the planet represents what we must work with. Since 97% of the total water is salt water, only 2.5–3% of water is freshwater that can be used for consumption, a number that decreases as the quality of freshwater worsens (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2020). Glaciers, lakes, reservoirs, ponds, rivers, streams, wetlands, and groundwater all make up

A. Dumont-Bergeron (✉)

Department of English, Concordia University, Montreal, Canada

D. Gramlich

Department of Banking, DHBW - Duale Hochschule Baden-Württemberg,
Heidenheim an der Brenz, Germany

e-mail: dieter.gramlich@dhbw-heidenheim.de

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature
Switzerland AG 2021

T. Walker et al. (eds.), *Water Risk and Its Impact on the Financial
Markets and Society*, Palgrave Studies in Sustainable Business

In Association with Future Earth,

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77650-3_1

freshwater. This amount of freshwater is globally shared among 7.7 billion people, ecosystems, and industries (Boretti & Rosa, 2019). Many sectors are not only water-dependent, but also voracious when it comes to freshwater consumption. These sectors include agriculture, beverage, textile, mining, energy, and transport, with agriculture accounting for about 70% of human water use because of such practices as crop irrigation and livestock rearing (WWF, 2011; WWF Germany, 2019). Boretti and Rosa (2019) report that, over the last century, the global water demand has seen an increase of 600%, a number which will continue to rise as population is expected to grow between 9.4 and 10.2 billion by 2050. More people on earth necessarily means more pressure on the limited quantity of water in order to grow food and feed everybody (Boretti & Rosa, 2019).

While the quantity of water is a global problem, it is by no means a fairly distributed one. Indeed, already in 2020, some regions suffer more from water scarcity than others, among them are Central-South America, the Middle East region, Eastern Europe, sub-Saharan Africa, and areas of Central-South Asia, including China (WWF, 2011). Therefore, while it is a global problem, it is simultaneously a local problem that requires local solutions. Naturally, droughts in the United States (US) and droughts in India affect differently their respective population because of the countries' socioeconomic situation as well as geographic location. Consequently, the remedial actions—and the available solutions—will differ.

The limited amount of freshwater is part of the conversation on **water scarcity**, which is defined as “the volumetric abundance, or lack thereof, of freshwater resources” (PRI & WWF, 2018). Water scarcity, at its most simplistic, refers to quantity. It thus relates to the physicality of water and its material risk, which could highly affect the gross domestic product (GDP) of some regions, costing them up to 6% of their GDP (UNESCO, 2020).

This unequal division of water resources has notably led to the adoption of the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) number 6 by the United Nations (UN) in 2015: To “ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all by 2030” (UN, 2020). In 2017, 2.2 billion lacked access to safely managed drinking water while 4.2 billion had the same problem with sanitation. During the COVID-19 pandemic of 2020, a lack of access to safe water and sanitation signified that three billion could not prevent the spread of the disease through

basic handwashing (UN, 2020). These numbers shared by the UN illustrate the significant role of water in preventing and curing diseases, which can be forgotten when discussing water scarcity.

Globally, it is estimated that four billion people already suffer from severe drought at least one month per year (UNESCO, 2020). Droughts generate consequences that reach far beyond the direct impacts (water scarcity, crop losses, local food shortages, and forest fires), such as migration, unemployment, and social unrest (WWF, 2019a). Although droughts tend to recur seasonally, their frequency as well as magnitude is further exacerbated by climate change. Not only are droughts extreme weather events to monitor, but so are floods, extreme precipitation, hurricanes, heatwaves, and so on, which all have an impact on water resources, whether in terms of overflow or dryness (IPCC, 2008, 2018). For instance, heavy precipitation leads to soil erosion, adds pressure on infrastructure, and affects the quality of groundwater (UNECE, 2009).

Due to climate change, these events are happening at a faster rate, occasioning short-term and long-term damages to ecosystems, as well as the economy (UNESCO, 2020; WWF & ABInBev, 2019). For example, droughts between 1991 and 2013 in sub-Saharan Africa have incurred agricultural production losses amounting to US\$31 billion. While cases from developing countries are perhaps too historically familiar, droughts affect various regions of developed countries, increasingly so. The US is one of them. Between 2011 and 2013, three drought and heatwave events led to a US\$60 billion loss because of their impacts on various sectors, such as agriculture and energy (Alizadeh et al., 2020).

In addition, quality is part of the water problem too. The pollution of water bodies represents a human challenge, as it is associated with human actions driven by population and economic growth among other factors (Boretti & Rosa, 2019). Indeed, industries have their fair share of blame as they annually release 300–400 megatons of waste in the water (Boretti & Rosa, 2019). In terms of sanitation, untreated sewage spills into water in 90% of developing countries. This percentage signifies that “730 million tons of sewage and other effluents are discharged into the water” every year (Boretti & Rosa, 2019, p. 2), thus greatly affecting the availability of clean freshwater. When water quality is affected, the effects are multifold: Biodiversity is threatened, consumption is impossible for both personal and economical gains, diseases proliferate, and nutrient and chemical overloads become dangerous and pathogenic (Boretti & Rosa,

2019; UNESCO, 2020). By 2050, about 3.9 billion (around 40%) of the world population will suffer from water scarcity and will live under severe water stress (UNESCO, 2020). Therefore, quality of freshwater, which is part of water stress, is just as worrying as water scarcity.

In short, **water stress** is defined as “the ability, or lack thereof, to meet human and ecological demand for freshwater” (PRI & WWF, 2018). A broader category than water scarcity, factors of water stress include quality, accessibility, and availability. Factors that strain the quantity and quality of freshwater are usually part of the conversation on water stress. Population growth, for example, represents a driver of water stress, as it affects the quality, the availability, and sometimes the accessibility of freshwater.

Water, therefore, should be of personal concerns for individuals and industries, as the problems its absence would create are phenomenal. At the economic level, such consequences would be in the billions of dollars. To be more precise, “\$415 billion in revenue may be at risk from lack of water availability for irrigation or animal consumption” (Ceres, 2019, p. 2). If the agriculture sector takes a hit of US\$415 billion in revenue, we can only imagine how the other sectors would be impacted. Yet, it is important to note that this number encompasses the negative effects that water scarcity would have on the supply chain; it is a cost that farmers, transporters, and retailers (i.e., the supply chain) would absorb, thus having widespread socioeconomic impacts at the national and international level. A report by Water Aid et al. (2017) reveals that one in five individuals is estimated to work in a globalized supply chain. Such a loss also implies that production has been disrupted, either in the short term or the long term, resulting in a decrease of goods. For the agriculture sector, it represents less food for consumers, thus contributing to inequalities. At its core, water is a basic human right on which prosperity and well-being rely.

2 DEFINING WATER RISK AND DISCUSSING OPPORTUNITIES

PRI and WWF (2018, p. 7) provides a helpful definition in thinking about **water risk**: “The possibility of an entity experiencing a water-related challenge (e.g., water scarcity, water stress, flooding, infrastructure decay, drought).” First, as this definition mentions, water scarcity and water stress represent water-related challenges. They are therefore part of the

broader category of water risk, which also include climate change events. Secondly, by definition the word “risk” implies the possibility (or probability) of a threat to occur, hence relating uncertainty to risk. Part of the goals of academics and organizations alike is not necessarily to predict the materiality of these risks (i.e., when risks become events), but rather to find adequate ways to prevent and mitigate the consequences of these risks. In other words, the aim is to understand the potential scenarios in order to act as best as possible under uncertainty (Garnier et al., 2015; United Nations Global Compact et al., 2020).

Water risk presents a challenge; it is not yet a lost cause. Challenges should be stimulating because they offer problems that society must solve. In that sense, they motivate the collective minds, which lead to an important aspect: opportunities. While water risk represents national and international challenges, it also represents opportunities to create multidisciplinary knowledge, improve or generate tools, innovate technology and infrastructures, teach about water, risks, and water efficiency, and most importantly for this book, help investors and companies toward sustainable decision-making. Some of these opportunities relate to what has been deemed “unconventional” water resources (UNESCO, 2020). These resources include:

- Wastewater reuse (also known as reclaimed water), including gray water reuse (from sinks, showers, washing machines);
- Water recycling;
- Rainwater or stormwater harvesting;
- Desalination of salt water.

In the cases of water reuse and water recycling, most times these processes require water treatment. In some cases, depending on the treatments, water reuse can become potable water, while a lesser treatment might be enough for irrigational or industrial purposes (Nicholson & Vespa, 2010). Similarly, rainwater catchment also requires the necessary installations: A roof or a drain is necessary, as well as some storage equipment, to capture non-potable water (Garnier et al., 2015). Likewise, treatment of harvested water can be done to improve its quality. Another low-cost derivative of water harvesting is the catchment of atmospheric moisture (fog) in highly foggy regions (UNESCO, 2020). Finally, the desalination of water also necessitates a specific technology, and one

that warrants a massive input of energy. Some regions, such as the Gulf Cooperation Council countries (GCC), already rely on desalination to meet their water requirements (Darwish & Zubari, 2020; UNESCO, 2020). Since these unconventional methods are emerging, there are opportunities to invest in these technologies, as well as to do further research.

Furthermore, companies face a wide array of challenges that are deemed material. Out of these challenges emerge direct impacts for businesses, including water price and availability, as well as the disruption of production, or even losses, such as with crop irrigation. Additionally, these risks engender indirect impacts that may include surges “in electricity prices, macroeconomic decreases in consumer spending, stranding of corporate infrastructure, or loss of access to markets or growth opportunities as a result of water shortages” (Vivid Economics, 2020, p. 9), only to name a few.

The term water risk hence refers to a comprehensive, multifaceted context. Water risk expresses the possible affectedness of individuals and institutions from water-related challenges and their potentially negative or positive impact. It materializes in different forms whereby the types of water risks differ in the way they affect people and organizations. Many of them emerge in relation to the following risks:

- Physical water risks;
- Regulatory water risks (transition water risks);
- Reputational water risks.

Physical water risks relate to materiality issues because of water-related events. They result from problems in water quantity and quality. For example, water scarcity can disrupt business operations, as water may be used to produce goods, irrigate, process, cool, and clean (Barton, 2010), and consequently represents a **physical water risk** for companies (CDP, 2015; WWF, 2019b). Without water, many parts of the supply chain are threatened, often unbeknownst to corporations. Other types of physical risks include polluted water and flooding (WWF, 2011). Naturally, sectors use different quantities according to their needs, but an insufficiency of water at some point in a water-intensive supply chain can cause important damages in revenue to companies. For example,

droughts and heatwaves can significantly impact the energy sector, especially when installations rely on hydropower, or use cooling water with coal or nuclear energy. Before 2013, California produced 18% of its electricity using hydropower. When droughts started in 2013 and continued through 2016, the percentage decreased to 10.5%, even dropping to 7% in 2015. As a result, California turned to less sustainable and more expensive sources of power, which increased electricity costs at US\$2.45 billion and generated pollution (WWF, 2019a). Experts have forecast, under a moderate climate change scenario, that California could lose 10–20% of its total hydropower, amounting to an annual US\$440–880 million loss (Barton, 2010). Moreover, in 2016, El Niño led to a water-level drop of 13% in Zambia. For a country where 95% of its power comes from hydropower, such a decrease put the country's electricity security in jeopardy (WWF & ABInBev, 2019). A low-level river due to droughts, such as the Rhine river in Germany in 2018, can also prevent boats and cargos from reaching their destination. In the case of the Rhine, they had to lighten their loads to pass (WWF Germany, 2019).

Regulatory risk, also referred to as “transition risk,” appears in the form of laws, policies, and regulations imposed by the government as means to control freshwater use and wastewater discharge (WWF, 2011; WWF Germany, 2019). Licenses and sanctions, as well as water and wastewater pricing, materialize as additional costs for a company. Conversely, a lack of stringent regulatory rules can have an adverse effect on the company due to other companies' use and may lead to physical and reputational risks (WWF Germany, 2019). Unanticipated, these changes in regulation can be quite costly. Yet, they often spark from physical (e.g., scarcity risk) and reputational (e.g., worried citizens) concerns that lead to additional pressure to the governments to make changes (Barton, 2010). Similarly, responses from governments such as the implementation of “net zero transition policies” also represent risks because of the changes in the business-as-usual design. Nonetheless, these objectives to attain net zero emissions strive to encourage actions and opportunities toward innovation, rather than being detrimental to entities (Vivid Economics, 2020).

When a company and/or its supply chain perpetrates actions that negatively affect the environment, it may suffer from reputational damage. More precisely, **reputational water risk** occurs when a company poorly manages water resources, thus affecting communities and ecosystems (PRI & WWF, 2018). Consequently, a company's reputation might be

harmed as the result of tensions between the company's actions and local communities regarding local resources. In developing countries where water is perceived as a scarce resource, the population tends to raise public awareness and boycott the product as a way to oppose the industrial use of water (Barton, 2010). These kinds of campaign can hurt the reputation of the company and its brand, usually costing sales, and sometimes even costing its license to operate (Barton, 2010). In 2017, PepsiCo was the subject of such action as it kept producing soft drinks in Tamil Nadu, India, despite the ongoing drought. As a result, boycotts from retailers and consumers led to a decrease in purchase of the product (WWF Germany, 2019). These damages can emerge quickly and spread from the local community to the global scandal, thus blackening a company's good name (WWF, 2011).

What is important to note here is that physical, regulatory, and reputational risks are intertwined: One may lead to another as drivers or emerge as consequences. In a way, these risks are branches of water risks, constantly interacting with one another (see Fig. 1). Regardless of how these risks materialize, they offer opportunities for a company to improve their risk management as well as for governments to establish more stringent measures and frameworks. In that sense, these risks create behavioral opportunities toward a collective accountability, where each party attempts to do what is best for the common good.

The goal in discussing these consequences is to illustrate that the effects of the current drivers of water risk, such as population and economic growth, can be addressed, and potentially mitigated through actions that aim to diminish the physical, regulatory, and reputational risk of a company. Yet, the projected mid- to long-term consequences must be addressed *now*. While it is important that corporations have focused in the last decades on decreasing their greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, air pollution, and more recently the global COVID-19 pandemic, they are not the only threats for risk managers to focus on (Ceres, 2015).

As demonstrated in the previous sections, water risk presents a great challenge, with far-reaching impacts in various sectors. Even though investors and companies are becoming increasingly aware of the ramifications of water risk on their business operations, awareness and actions are still lagging, in part because frameworks to assess, record, and report are neither globally adopted and widespread, nor locally suited (Ceres, 2015; Christ & Burritt, 2017). In addition, these frameworks need qualitative and quantitative information about the direct and indirect relationships