

Texts and Readings in Mathematics 80

Hervé Queffélec
Martine Queffélec

Diophantine Approximation and Dirichlet Series

Second Edition

 HINDUSTAN
BOOK AGENCY

 Springer

Texts and Readings in Mathematics

Volume 80

Advisory Editor

C. S. Seshadri, Chennai Mathematical Institute, Chennai, India

Managing Editor

Rajendra Bhatia, Ashoka University, Sonapat, India

Editorial Board

Manindra Agrawal, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India

V. Balaji, Chennai Mathematical Institute, Chennai, India

R. B. Bapat, Indian Statistical Institute, New Delhi, India

V. S. Borkar, Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai, India

Apoorva Khare, Indian Institute of Sciences, Bangalore, India

T. R. Ramadas, Chennai Mathematical Institute, Chennai, India

V. Srinivas, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

Technical Editor

P. Vanchinathan, Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai, India

The *Texts and Readings in Mathematics* series publishes high-quality textbooks, research-level monographs, lecture notes and contributed volumes. Undergraduate and graduate students of mathematics, research scholars and teachers would find this book series useful. The volumes are carefully written as teaching aids and highlight characteristic features of the theory. Books in this series are co-published with Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, India.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/15141>

Hervé Queffélec · Martine Queffélec

Diophantine Approximation and Dirichlet Series

Second Edition

 HINDUSTAN
BOOK AGENCY

 Springer

Preface

The birth act of the analytic theory of the Dirichlet series

$$A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \tag{1}$$

can be rightly claimed to be the Dirichlet Arithmetic Progression Theorem. In that case, the arithmetical function is $n \mapsto a_n$, the indicator function of the integers n congruent to $q \pmod b$ for some given pair (q, b) of coprime integers, and its properties are reflected in a subtle way in the “analytic” properties of the function A , although for the Dirichlet the variable s remains real. Later on, in the case of the zeta function, Riemann in his celebrated Memoir allowed complex values for s and opened the way to the proof by Hadamard and de la Vallée-Poussin of the Prime Number Theorem.

The utility of those Dirichlet series for the study of arithmetical functions and of their summatory function

$$A^*(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} a_n$$

was widely confirmed during the first half of the twentieth century, with the expansion of Tauberian theorems, including those related to Fourier and harmonic analysis, in the style of Wiener, Ikehara, Delange, etc. The hope was of course that progress on those series would imply progress on the distribution of primes, and perhaps a solution to the Riemann hypothesis, the last big question left open in Riemann’s Memoir.

A parallel aspect also appeared in the work of H.Bohr, where the series (1) and their generalization

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s} \quad (2)$$

began to be studied for themselves. In particular, Bohr proved a fundamental theorem relating the uniform convergence of a Dirichlet series (and therefore almost-periodicity properties) and the boundedness of its sum A in some half-plane. This naturally led him to his famous question on the maximal gap between abscissas of uniform and absolute convergence. Surprisingly, this question turned out to be very deep and led him to develop fairly sophisticated tools of other branches, either of complex or harmonic analysis or of diophantine approximation, through the Kronecker approximation theorem (what is called nowadays the Bohr point of view: the unique factorization in primes is seen as the linear independence of the logarithms of those primes). The central importance of this theorem in the theory of the Dirichlet series was quickly recognized by him. A solution to his question, found by Bohnenblust and Hille in a famous paper of the *Annals*, was obtained along the lines suggested by Bohr. Many notions of harmonic analysis (Littlewood's multilinear inequality, p -Sidon sets, Rudin–Shapiro polynomials, etc.) were underlying in that work.

The Kronecker theorem (simultaneous, non-homogeneous, approximation) points at two other aspects: on the one hand, at ergodic theory through its formulation and proof, which will be used again in the final chapter on universality, and on the other hand, at diophantine approximation, which as a consequence is very present in the book. In particular, a thorough treatment of the continued fraction expansion of a real number is presented, as well as its ergodic aspects through the Gauss map (ergodic theory again). This in turn allows a sharp study of the abscissas of convergence of classes of the Dirichlet series, which extends a previous study by Hardy–Littlewood for the (easier) case of the Taylor series. The simultaneous approximation is still not well understood, except in some cases as the sequence of powers of some given real number, like the Euler basis e , through the use of Padé approximants. A detailed presentation of those approximants and their applications to a streamlined proof of the transcendency of e is given in Chap. 3.

Needless to say, the hope of solving Riemann's hypothesis through the study of series (1) has not been completely met, in spite of many efforts. But along the lines of Bohr, Landau (also S.Mandelbrojt as concerns the series (2)) and others, those series *continued to be studied for their own sake*. Then came a period of relative lack of interest for that point of view, from 1960 to 1995, with several noticeable exceptions, among which was the Voronin theorem (1975) which emphasized the universal role of the zeta function, even if it made no specific progress on the Riemann hypothesis. It seems that the subject was rather suddenly revived by an important paper of Hedenmalm, Lindqvist, and Seip (1997), where several of the forgotten properties of the Dirichlet series were successfully revisited for the

solution of a Hilbertian problem dating back to Beurling (Riesz character of a system of dilates of a given function), and new Hilbert and Banach spaces of the Dirichlet series were defined and studied. That paper stimulated a series of other, related, works, and this is part of those works, dating back to the past 30 years, which is exposed in those pages.

The aim of this introductory book, which has the ambition of being essentially self-contained, is therefore twofold:

- (1) On the one hand, the basic tools of diophantine approximation, ergodic theory, harmonic analysis, probability, necessary to understand the fundamentals of the analytic theory of the Dirichlet series, are displayed in detail in the first chapters, as well as general facts about those series, and their products.
- (2) On the other hand, in the last two chapters, especially in Chap. 6 more recent and striking aspects of the analytic theory of the Dirichlet are presented, as an application of the techniques coined before.

One fascinating aspect of that theory is that it touches many other aspects of number theory (obviously!) but also of functional, harmonic or complex analysis, so that its detailed comprehension requires a certain familiarity with several other subjects. Accordingly, this book has been divided in seven chapters, which we now present one by one.

1. Chapter 1 is a review of harmonic analysis on locally compact abelian groups, with its most salient features, including the Haar measure, dual group, Plancherel and Pontryagin's theorems. It also insists on some more recent aspects, like the uncertainty principle for the line or a finite group (Tao's version) and on the connection with the Dirichlet series (embedding theorem of Montgomery and Vaughan).
2. Chapter 2 presents the basics of ergodic theory (von Neumann, Oxtoby and Birkhoff theorems) with special emphasis on the applications to the Kronecker theorem (whose precised forms will be of essential use in Chap. 7), to one or multi-dimensional equidistribution problems and also to some classes of algebraic numbers (Pisot and Salem numbers).
3. Chapter 3 deals more specifically with diophantine approximation (continued fractions) in relationship with ergodic theory (Gauss transformation, which is proved to be strong mixing) and aims at giving a classification of real numbers according to their rate of approximation by rationals with controlled denominator. This classification is given by a theorem of Khintchine, fully proved here. As a corollary, the transcendency of the Euler basis e is completely proved.
4. Chapter 4 presents the basics of the general Dirichlet series of the form (1), with the Perron formulas and the way to compute the three abscissas of simple, uniform, absolute convergence, and with some comments and examples on a fourth abscissa (the holomorphy abscissa). Several classes of examples are examined in detail, including the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{-s}}{\|n\theta\|} \quad (3)$$

- according to the diophantine properties of the real number θ . An exact formula for the abscissa of convergence of this series is given in terms of the continued fraction expansion of θ . A section on so-called “automatic Dirichlet series”, in connection with “automatic sequences” like the Morse or Rudin–Shapiro sequences, has been added. The problem of products of the Dirichlet series and some of its specific aspects is examined in depth, with emphasis on the role of the translation $1/2$. And the Bohr point of view, which allows to look at a Dirichlet series as at a holomorphic function in several complex variables, is revisited, with some applications like the form of Wiener’s lemma for the Dirichlet series (Hewitt–Williamson’s theorem). The chapter ends with a striking application of this point of view to a density result of Jessen and Bohr.
5. Chapter 5 is a short intermediate chapter establishing the basics of random Dirichlet polynomials through a multidimensional Bernstein inequality and an approach due to Kahane. It will play, technically speaking, an important role in the rest of the book. The tools introduced here remain quite elementary but will turn out to be sufficient for our purposes.
 6. Chapter 6 is the longest in the book. It is devoted to the detailed study of new Banach spaces of the Dirichlet series (the \mathcal{H}^p -spaces), which extend the initial work of Bohr and turn out to be of basic importance in completeness problems for systems of dilates in the Hilbert space $L^2(0, 1)$, and seem to open the way to new directions of study, like those of Hankel operators (Helson operators) in infinite dimension. A positive answer to Helson’s conjecture, and related questions, are presented, relying on Harper’s recent finding, admitted here. A complete presentation of a recent, very sharp, version of the Bohnenblust–Hille theorem is also given, using the tools of the previous chapters as well as tools borrowed from number theory, in particular the properties of the function $\psi(x, y)$, the number of integers $\leq x$ which are free of prime divisors $> y$.
 7. Chapter 7 gives a complete proof of the universality theorems of Voronin (zeta function) and Bagchi (L -functions), and needs first a reminder of some properties of those functions in the critical strip. This complete proof is long and involved, but some essential tools (like the Birkhoff–Oxtoby ergodic theorem) have already been introduced in the previous chapters. New, important, tools are an extended version of Carlson’s identity seen in Chap. 6, and Hilbertian (Bergman) spaces of analytic functions. Those two results have the advantage of showing the pivotal role of zeta and L -functions in analysis and function theory, in the wide sense, and more or less independently of the Riemann hypothesis.
 8. Chapter 8 is a new addition in this second edition. It is devoted to the study of composition operators C_φ on the Hardy space \mathcal{H}^2 and their complete characterization by Gordon and Hedenmalm. Some recent works on the membership of C_φ in Schatten classes, and to the decay of its singular values, are also

presented. Finally, using the recent breakthrough of A. Harper on, among others, the L^1 -norm of the “Dirichlet kernel”

$$D_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-it},$$

we also touch the \mathcal{H}^p case when $0 < p < 2$, a case which is not yet completely elucidated.

Each of the eight chapters is continued by quite a few exercises, of reasonable difficulty for whoever has read the corresponding chapter. We hope that they can bring additional information and be useful to the reader.

Lille, France

Hervé Queffélec
Martine Queffélec

Acknowledgments

The idea of writing this book on diophantine approximation and Dirichlet series had been for some time in our minds, under a rather vague form. But the “passage to the act” followed rather quickly a long stay and a complete course on those topics in the Harish-Chandra Institute of Allahabad in January and February 2011. This course was at the invitation of Surya Ramana, Reader in this Institute and a specialist in Number Theory. We take here the opportunity of deeply thanking him for the numerous mathematical discussions we had, as well as for his kindness and efficiency during our stay, and for his patience before the successive delays in the polishing of the final aspects of the book. And the idea of writing a second edition, and his generous advice then, is also due to him.

O. Ramaré showed us some significant simplifications of various proofs; his help with the technical aspects of typing, and following the editorial rules of the collection, was invaluable. B. Calado read most of a preliminary version of this book and detected several misprints and errors. K. Seip and O. Brevig had a careful look at Chap. 8, and suggested quite a few improvements. And for the few beautiful (and quite helpful for the reader) pictures, we are indebted to Sumaya Saad-Eddin. All of them are warmly thanked for their patience and expertise.

Contents

1	A Review of Commutative Harmonic Analysis	1
1.1	The Haar Measure	1
1.1.1	Locally Compact Abelian Groups	1
1.1.2	Existence and Properties of the Haar Measure	2
1.2	The Dual Group and the Fourier Transform	4
1.2.1	Characters and the Algebra $L^1(G)$	4
1.2.2	Topology on the Dual Group	5
1.2.3	Examples and Basic Facts	7
1.3	The Bochner–Weil–Raikov and Peter–Weyl Theorems	9
1.3.1	An Abstract Theorem	9
1.3.2	Applications to Harmonic Analysis	11
1.4	The Inversion and Plancherel Theorems	13
1.4.1	The Inversion Theorem	13
1.4.2	The Plancherel Theorem	17
1.4.3	A Description of the Wiener Algebra	18
1.4.4	A Basic Hilbert-Type Inequality	18
1.5	Pontryagin’s Duality Theorem and Applications	21
1.5.1	The Pontryagin Theorem	21
1.5.2	Topological Applications of Pontryagin’s Theorem	23
1.6	The Uncertainty Principle	27
1.6.1	The Uncertainty Principle on the Real Line	27
1.6.2	The Uncertainty Principle on Finite Groups	30
1.7	Exercises	34
	References	36
2	Ergodic Theory and Kronecker’s Theorems	37
2.1	Elements of Ergodic Theory	37
2.1.1	Basic Notions in Ergodic Theory	37
2.1.2	Ergodic Theorems	38

- 2.2 The Kronecker Theorem 45
 - 2.2.1 Definitions 45
 - 2.2.2 Statement and Proof of the Main Theorem 47
 - 2.2.3 A Useful Formulation of Kronecker’s Theorem 50
- 2.3 Distribution Problems 51
 - 2.3.1 Distribution in \mathbb{T}^d 51
 - 2.3.2 Powers of an Algebraic Number 54
- 2.4 Towards Infinite Dimension 60
- 2.5 Exercises 64
- References 66
- 3 Diophantine Approximation 69**
 - 3.1 One-Dimensional Diophantine Approximation 69
 - 3.1.1 Historical Survey 69
 - 3.1.2 How to Find the Best Approximations? 71
 - 3.1.3 Classification of Numbers 73
 - 3.1.4 First Arithmetical Results 76
 - 3.2 The Gauss Ergodic System 79
 - 3.3 Back to Transcendence 85
 - 3.3.1 Metric Results 85
 - 3.3.2 Simultaneous Diophantine Approximations 87
 - 3.4 Exercises 90
 - References 94
- 4 General Properties of Dirichlet Series 95**
 - 4.1 Introduction 95
 - 4.2 Convergence Abscissas 97
 - 4.2.1 The Bohr–Cahen Formulas 97
 - 4.2.2 The Perron–Landau Formula 98
 - 4.2.3 The Holomorphy Abscissa 99
 - 4.2.4 A Class of Examples 101
 - 4.2.5 A More Intricate Dirichlet Series 106
 - 4.2.6 Automatic Dirichlet Series 110
 - 4.3 Products of Dirichlet Series 113
 - 4.4 Bohr’s Abscissa via Kronecker’s Theorem 116
 - 4.4.1 Bohr’s Point of View 116
 - 4.4.2 The Bohr Inequalities 117
 - 4.4.3 A Wiener Lemma for Dirichlet Series 119
 - 4.5 A Theorem of Bohr and Jessen on Zeta 121
 - 4.6 Exercises 124
 - References 126
- 5 Probabilistic Methods for Dirichlet Series 129**
 - 5.1 Introduction 129
 - 5.2 A Multidimensional Bernstein Inequality 129

5.3	Random Polynomials	132
5.3.1	Maximal Functions in Probability	132
5.3.2	The Sub-Gaussian Aspect of Rademacher-Type Variables	133
5.3.3	The Kahane Bound for Random Trigonometric Polynomials	134
5.3.4	Random Dirichlet Polynomials	135
5.4	The Proof of Bohnenblust–Hille’s Theorem	137
5.4.1	An Elementary Version	137
5.4.2	A Sharp Version of the Bohnenblust–Hille Theorem	139
5.5	Exercises	141
	References	143
6	Hardy Spaces of Dirichlet Series	145
6.1	Definition and First Properties	145
6.1.1	The Origin of the Spaces \mathcal{H}^∞ and \mathcal{H}^2	145
6.1.2	A Basic Property of \mathcal{H}^∞	146
6.2	The Banach Space \mathcal{H}^∞	147
6.2.1	The Banach Algebra Structure of \mathcal{H}^∞	147
6.2.2	Behaviour of Partial Sums	149
6.2.3	Some Applications of the Control of Partial Sums	151
6.3	Additional Properties of \mathcal{H}^∞	153
6.3.1	An Improved Montel Principle	154
6.3.2	Interpolating Sequences of \mathcal{H}^∞	155
6.4	The Hilbert Space \mathcal{H}^2	158
6.4.1	Definition and Utility	158
6.4.2	The Embedding Theorem	160
6.4.3	Multipliers of \mathcal{H}^2	161
6.5	The Banach Spaces \mathcal{H}^p	166
6.5.1	A Basic Identity	166
6.5.2	Definition of Hardy-Dirichlet Spaces	167
6.5.3	A Detour Through Harmonic Analysis	168
6.5.4	Helson Forms	171
6.5.5	Harper’s Breakthrough and Two Consequences	176
6.6	A Sharp Sidon Constant	178
6.6.1	Reformulation of Bohr’s Question in Terms of \mathcal{H}^∞	178
6.6.2	Symmetric Multilinear Forms	180
6.6.3	The Claimed Sharp Upper Bound	188
6.6.4	A Refined Inclusion	192
6.7	Exercises	193
	References	195

7	Voronin-Type Theorems	197
7.1	Introduction	197
7.1.1	A Reminder About Zeta and L -Functions.	197
7.1.2	Universality	203
7.2	Hilbertian Results	205
7.2.1	A Hilbertian Density Criterion	205
7.2.2	A Density Result in Bergman Spaces	207
7.3	Joint Universality of the Sequence (λ_N)	214
7.4	A Generalized and Uniform Carlson Formula	218
7.4.1	Estimates on the Gamma Function	218
7.4.2	The Carlson Formula	221
7.5	Joint Universality of the Singleton $\lambda = (L(s, \chi_j))$	226
7.5.1	Notations and the Idea of Proof of Theorem 1.2	226
7.5.2	Details of Proof	228
7.6	Exercises	233
	References	235
8	Composition Operators on the Space \mathcal{H}^2 of Dirichlet Series	237
8.1	Introduction	237
8.2	The Main Theorem	239
8.3	The Arithmetic Theorem	240
8.4	Twisting	245
8.4.1	Definition and Uniform Convergence	245
8.4.2	Mapping Properties	248
8.4.3	Twisting and Composition	250
8.4.4	Twisting and Probability	251
8.4.5	Twisting and Topology	252
8.5	Integral Representation and Embedding	254
8.5.1	Integral Representation	254
8.5.2	Embedding Results for \mathcal{H}^2	255
8.6	Proof of the Main Theorem	257
8.6.1	Proof of Necessity in Theorem 8.6.1	257
8.6.2	Proof of Sufficiency in Theorem 8.6.1	259
8.7	Compact Operators and Approximation Numbers	263
8.7.1	Definitions	263
8.7.2	First Properties of Approximation Numbers	265
8.7.3	The Multiplicative Inequalities of H. Weyl	266
8.8	A Lower Bound	268
8.9	Upper Bounds	271
8.10	The Case of \mathcal{H}^p -spaces	272
8.10.1	Reminder	272
8.10.2	Failure of Embedding for $0 < p < 2$	273
8.10.3	Positive Results	275

8.11 A Few Updates and Remarks	277
8.12 Exercises	279
References	280
Index	283

About the Authors

Hervé Queffélec is Emeritus Professor at the Department of Mathematics, University of Lille, France. Earlier, he served as Full Professor at Lille 1 University, France (1992–2011) and Assistant Professor at Paris-Sud University, Orsay, France (1968–1992). He completed his Ph.D. in Mathematics in 1971 and received the *habilitation à diriger des recherches* (HDR) in 1985 from Paris-Sud University, France. His research interests include analytic theory of Dirichlet series, Banach spaces of analytic functions, and Carleson measures. Five students have earned their Ph.D. degrees under the Prof. Queffélec’s supervision during his academic career. He has delivered talks based on his research in renowned universities and institutes around the world, including Harish-Chandra Research Institute, India; Tsinghua University, China; University of Crete, Greece; Euler International Mathematical Institute, Russia; and Centre de Recerca Matemàtica, Spain. He has published his research papers in several journals of repute.

Martine Queffélec is Emeritus Assistant Professor at the Department of Mathematics, University of Lille, France. Earlier, she was Assistant Professor at Lille 1 University, France, (1993–2011), and Assistant Professor at Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, France (1970–1993). She received the *habilitation à diriger des recherches* (HDR) in 1984 from Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, France. Her areas of research include dynamical systems and ergodic theory, Diophantine approximation and number theory, and harmonic analysis of measures. She has been an active participant of international conferences and has delivered talks in institutes around the world, including Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Brazil; Tsinghua University, China; Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Japan; and Harish-Chandra Research Institute, India. She has three books to her name, including *Substitution Dynamical Systems: Spectral Analysis* (Springer). Her research papers are published in several journals of repute.

Chapter 1

A Review of Commutative Harmonic Analysis



1.1 The Haar Measure

1.1.1 Locally Compact Abelian Groups

This chapter might be skipped at first reading. But we have the feeling that a minimal knowledge of basic facts in harmonic analysis is necessary to understand certain aspects of the analytic theory of Dirichlet series, especially those connected with almost-periodicity, ergodic theory, the Bohr point of view to be developed later, and also universality problems. Therefore, in this introductory chapter, we begin with reminding several basic results of commutative harmonic analysis. Those results, although standard by now, are not so easy to prove, and deserve a careful treatment.

Let G be an additive abelian group equipped with a Hausdorff topology τ , which is compatible with the group structure. This means that the operations of the group (addition and inverse) are continuous for that topology. We then say that G is a topological group. Throughout that book, the topology τ will be locally compact, and G will be called a locally compact, abelian group (in short, an LCA group). In most cases, G will indeed be compact. A basic example is that of the compact multiplicative group \mathbb{T} of unimodular complex numbers, that is the unit circle of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . *This particular group plays a fundamental role in the theory.*

For $a \in G$, we will denote by T_a the operator of translation by a (a homeomorphism of G , also acting on functions), namely

$$T_a x = x + a, \quad T_a f(x) = f(x + a). \quad (1.1.1)$$

A simple and useful result is the following:

Proposition 1.1.1 *Let G be a topological group and H a subgroup of G . If H has non-empty interior, H is open and closed in G .*

Proof Let a be interior to H and V a neighbourhood of 0 with $a + V \subset H$. For any $b \in H$, we have $b + V = (b - a) + (a + V) \subset H$, showing that H is open, as well as its cosets $x + H$. Now, we can write G as a disjoint union $G = H \sqcup E$, where E is a union of cosets mod H and is open. So that $H = G \setminus E$ is closed. \square

1.1.2 Existence and Properties of the Haar Measure

A basic fact, expressing the strong ties between the topology and the group structure, is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1.2 *A locally compact abelian group G always possesses a non-zero, positive and regular Borel measure m which is translation invariant, i.e.*

$$\int_G f(x)dm(x) = \int_G f(T_ax)dm(x) \quad \forall f \in L^1(G, m), \quad \forall a \in G. \quad (1.1.2)$$

This measure (also written dx) is unique up to multiplication by a positive scalar and we write $L^1(G)$ instead of $L^1(G, m)$.

A very simple proof can be found in [1] (pp. 570–571) in the case of a compact, metrizable, group, *abelian or not*. A clear and modern proof can be found for the general (abelian, but not necessarily metrizable) case in [2], Chap. 9. This generality will sometimes be needed, as shown by the forthcoming examples. The measure m is called the Haar measure of G . Three important properties of m are the following:

Proposition 1.1.3 *The Haar measure verifies:*

$$m(V) > 0 \text{ for each open non-void set } V \subset G. \quad (1.1.3)$$

$$m(-B) = m(B) \text{ for all Borel subsets of } G. \quad (1.1.4)$$

$$m(G) < \infty \iff G \text{ is compact.} \quad (1.1.5)$$

Indeed, suppose that $m(V) = 0$. Let $K \subset G$ be a compact set. This set can be covered by finitely many translates of V , and therefore, $m(K) = 0$. But since m is regular, we have $m(G) = \sup_{K \subset G} m(K)$ so that $m(G) = 0$, which is absurd. Now, the measure \tilde{m} defined by $\tilde{m}(B) = m(-B)$ is translation invariant, therefore $\tilde{m} = cm$ where c is a scalar. Let then V be a compact and symmetric neighbourhood of 0, so that by (1.1.3) we have $0 < m(V) < \infty$. The equation $cm(V) = m(V)$, therefore, implies $c = 1$ and $\tilde{m} = m$. Suppose that G is not compact, and observe that (just take x outside the compact set $K - L$):

$$\text{If } K, L \subset G \text{ are compact, there exists } x \in G : (x + L) \cap K = \emptyset. \quad (1.1.6)$$

Now, let V be a compact neighbourhood of 0, so that $m(V) > 0$ by (1.1.3). Using (1.1.6), we can inductively find a sequence $(x_n) \subset G$ such that the translated sets $x_j + V$ are disjoint. Therefore, for any $n \geq 1$:

$$m(G) \geq m\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^n (x_j + V)\right] = \sum_{j=1}^n m(x_j + V) = n \times m(V),$$

and this shows that $m(G) = \infty$. If G is compact, m is clearly finite and we always normalize it to have $m(G) = 1$, i.e. m is a probability measure. \square

In the general case, let $M(G)$ be the set of regular, complex Borel measures on G , normed with the total variation of measures. By the Riesz representation theorem, $M(G)$ can be isometrically identified with the dual of the Banach space $C_0(G)$ of continuous functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which tend to zero at infinity, namely:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists K \subset G, K \text{ compact}; x \notin K \implies |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

The convolution $\lambda * \mu$ of λ and μ in $M(G)$ is the element σ of $M(G)$ defined on Borel sets E by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(E) &= \int_G \lambda(E - x) d\mu(x) = \int_G \mu(E - x) d\lambda(x), \\ \text{equivalently } \int f d\sigma &= \iint f(x + y) d\lambda(x) d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

One can define an involution $\mu \mapsto \tilde{\mu}$ on $M(G)$ by the formula:

$$\tilde{\mu}(E) = \overline{\mu(-E)}.$$

Once equipped with the variation-norm, convolution and involution, $M(G)$ is a commutative, unital (the unit being the Dirac measure δ_0 at the origin), stellar (meaning that $\|\tilde{\mu}\| = \|\mu\|$) Banach algebra. But this is not a C^* -algebra: the equation $\|\mu * \tilde{\mu}\| = \|\mu\|^2$ does not hold in general. The Banach space $L^1(G) = L^1(G, m)$ is a closed ideal of $M(G)$, the ideal of measures which are absolutely continuous with respect to m . It is itself a commutative Banach algebra once equipped with the convolution $f * g$ as multiplication:

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_G f(x - y)g(y) dm(y) = (g * f)(x),$$

for almost every $x \in G$. We have $\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$ and the algebra $L^1(G)$ is unital if and only if G is compact. This is an involutive algebra with the induced involution defined by $\tilde{f}(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$, i.e. we have $\|\tilde{f}\|_1 = \|f\|_1$. But this is not a C^* -algebra either: the equation $\|f * \tilde{f}\|_1 = \|f\|_1^2$ does not hold in general (see the exercises). Another important property of $L^1(G)$ is the following general fact:

Theorem 1.1.4 *Let $f \in L^p(G)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then, the mapping $a \mapsto T_a f : G \rightarrow L^p(G)$ is (uniformly) continuous.*

Proof The result holds, by uniform continuity, for $h \in C_{00}(G)$, the space of functions: $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are continuous and compactly supported. This space is dense in $L^p(G)$ since $p < \infty$. And by translation invariance of m , we clearly have:

$$\|T_a f - f\|_p \leq 2\|h - f\|_p + \|T_a h - h\|_p,$$

which gives the general result, since $\|T_a f - T_b f\|_p = \|T_{a-b} f - f\|_p$. \square

We will see that the spectrum of $L^1(G)$ can be identified, whereas a complete description of the spectrum of $M(G)$ is difficult to obtain, and to work with [3]. To that effect, we first have to define the dual of an LCA group.

1.2 The Dual Group and the Fourier Transform

1.2.1 Characters and the Algebra $L^1(G)$

The dual group \widehat{G} or Γ of the LCA group G is the group of all *continuous* morphisms $\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, i.e.

$$|\gamma(x)| = 1; \quad \gamma(x + y) = \gamma(x)\gamma(y) \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

The elements of Γ are called the (*continuous*, or *strong*) characters of G . Sometimes, we will consider all the characters, continuous or not, on G . They are called the *weak characters*. The set Γ , equipped with the natural multiplication of characters, is itself an abelian group (*for multiplication*) whose zero element is the character identical to one. And $\gamma^{-1} = \bar{\gamma}$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This group appears naturally for the following reason:

Theorem 1.2.1 *The spectrum \mathcal{L} of the Banach algebra $L^1(G)$ can be naturally identified with Γ , in the following sense:*

(1) *Each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ defines $h_\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ by the formula*

$$h_\gamma(f) = \int_G \gamma(-x)f(x)dm(x).$$

(2) *Each element $h \in \mathcal{L}$ is of the form $h = h_\gamma$.*

Generally, $\int_G \gamma(-x)f(x)dm(x)$ is denoted by $\widehat{f}(\gamma)$ and is called *the Fourier transform* of f at γ . If G is compact and moreover $f \in L^2(G)$, we see that $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \langle f, \gamma \rangle$, the scalar product of f and γ . In view of Theorem 1.2.1 (see [4],

p. 7 for a detailed proof), we will naturally equip Γ with the Gelfand topology of \mathcal{L} , which is the weak-star topology inherited from the dual space Y of $L^1(G)$. This makes Γ a compact Hausdorff space if \mathcal{L} is unital, which happens if and only if G is discrete, and a locally compact Hausdorff space in the general case (since $\mathcal{L} \cup \{0\}$ is weak-star-closed and therefore weak-star-compact in the unit ball of Y). But this topology is fairly abstract and difficult to describe, and we will see later a more concrete and tractable definition. It is first useful to study in detail this Fourier transform, whose main properties are listed in the simple, following theorem, and with obvious notations.

Theorem 1.2.2 *The Fourier transform on $L^1(G)$ satisfies*

- (1) If $f \in L^1(G)$, $\widehat{f} \in C_0(\Gamma)$ and $\|\widehat{f}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1$
- (2) If $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$, there exists $f \in L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$; $\widehat{f}(\gamma_1) \neq \widehat{f}(\gamma_2)$
- (3) For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exists $f \in L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$; $\widehat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0$
- (4) If $f, g \in L^1(G)$, $\widehat{f * g} = \widehat{f}\widehat{g}$
- (5) $f * \gamma = \widehat{f}(\gamma)\gamma$; $T_a f = \gamma(a)\widehat{f}$ and $\widehat{\gamma_0 f}(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma\overline{\gamma_0})$.

Let us denote by $A(\Gamma)$ the subspace of $C_0(\Gamma)$ formed by functions of the form $g(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma)$ for some $f \in L^1(G)$. This set is called the *Wiener algebra* of Γ . We have the following corollary of Theorem 1.2.2:

Corollary 1.2.3 *The space $A(\Gamma)$ is a dense, self-adjoint, subalgebra of $C_0(\Gamma)$, stable under translation and multiplication by a character.*

Proof Using the items of Theorem 1.2.2, we see that $A(\Gamma)$ is a subalgebra, which separates points of Γ and has no common zeros. If $g = \widehat{f} \in A(\Gamma)$, so does $\overline{g} = \widehat{\overline{f}}$ as we easily see. Therefore, the complex Stone–Weierstrass theorem for locally compact spaces applies and $A(\Gamma)$ is uniformly dense in $C_0(\Gamma)$. \square

1.2.2 Topology on the Dual Group

Here is now an alternative description of the topology on Γ ([4], pp. 10–11). One interest of this description is that it shows the following: the set Γ , which is so far an abelian group and a locally compact Hausdorff space, is indeed a locally compact abelian group.

Theorem 1.2.4 *The natural topology on Γ is that of uniform convergence on compact subsets of G . More precisely, K, C being compact subsets of G and Γ , respectively, and r a positive number, we have*

- (1) *The function $(x, \gamma) \mapsto \gamma(x)$ is continuous on $G \times \Gamma$.*
- (2) *Let $N(K, r) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma; |1 - \gamma(x)| < r \text{ for all } x \in K\}$. Then, $N(K, r)$ is an open subset of Γ .*

- (3) *The family of all sets $N(K, r)$ and their translates is a base for the topology of Γ .*
- (4) *Let $M(C, r) = \{x \in G; |1 - \gamma(x)| < r \text{ for all } \gamma \in C\}$. Then, $M(C, r)$ is an open subset of G .*
- (5) *Γ itself is a locally compact abelian group.*

Proof (1) Let $(x_0, \gamma_0) \in G \times \Gamma$. By Theorem 1.2.2, there is $f \in L^1(G)$ such that $\widehat{f}(\gamma_0) \neq 0$, and we can write, near (x_0, γ_0) :

$$\gamma(x) = \frac{\widehat{T_x f}(\gamma)}{\widehat{f}(\gamma)}.$$

The denominator is continuous at (x_0, γ_0) by Theorem 1.2.2. The numerator as well, since setting $g = T_{x_0} f$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{T_x f}(\gamma) - \widehat{T_{x_0} f}(\gamma_0)| &\leq |\widehat{T_x f}(\gamma) - \widehat{T_{x_0} f}(\gamma)| + |\widehat{T_{x_0} f}(\gamma) - \widehat{T_{x_0} f}(\gamma_0)| \\ &\leq \|T_x f - T_{x_0} f\|_1 + |\widehat{g}(\gamma) - \widehat{g}(\gamma_0)|, \end{aligned}$$

and the right-hand side tends to 0 as $(x, \gamma) \rightarrow (x_0, \gamma_0)$, by Theorems 1.1.4 and 1.2.2.

(2) Now, fix $\gamma_0 \in N(K, r)$. For each $x \in K$, there are open neighbourhoods V_x and W_x of x and γ_0 respectively such that

$$y \in V_x \text{ and } \gamma \in W_x \implies |\gamma(y) - 1| < r.$$

Let V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_p} be a finite covering of K and $W = \bigcap_{j=1}^p W_{x_j}$. The set W is a neighbourhood of γ_0 and $W \subset N(K, r)$, so that $N(K, r)$ is open in Γ .

(3) Conversely, let V be a neighbourhood of γ_0 . We may assume that $\gamma_0 = 1$. By definition of the Gelfand topology on Γ , there are functions $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^1(G)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$W = \bigcap_{j=1}^n \{\gamma; |\widehat{f_j}(\gamma) - \widehat{f_j}(1)| < \varepsilon\} \subset V. \quad (1.2.1)$$

By density, we may assume that $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_{00}(G)$, so that they vanish outside a compact set $K \subset G$. If $r < \varepsilon / \max_j \|f_j\|_1$, one easily checks that $N(K, r) - W \subset V$, since

$$|\widehat{f_j}(\gamma) - \widehat{f_j}(1)| \leq \int_K |1 - \gamma(-x)| |f_j(x)| dx = \int_K |1 - \gamma(x)| |f_j(x)| dx < \varepsilon.$$

(4) The same proof applies to $M(C, r)$, with a significant difference: the sets $M(C, r)$ and their translates will turn out to be a base for the topology of G . *But so far we are unable to establish that fact, which will be proved and used later, and have to content ourselves with the sets $N(K, r)$.*

(5) The obvious inequality

$$|1 - \delta_1(x)\overline{\delta_2(x)}| \leq |1 - \delta_1(x)| + |1 - \delta_2(x)|, \forall \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Gamma, \forall x \in G$$

shows that $[\gamma_1 \times N(K, r/2)]\overline{[\gamma_2 \times N(K, r/2)]} \subset \gamma_1\overline{\gamma_2} \times N(K, r)$. This and the previous description of the topology of Γ shows that the map $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \mapsto \gamma_1\overline{\gamma_2}$ is continuous, so that Γ is a LCA group. \square

1.2.3 Examples and Basic Facts

Let us now list, sometimes without proof, some basic *examples and facts* about Haar measures and dual groups.

1.2.3.1 The dual of a compact group is a discrete one, and the dual of a discrete group is a compact one.

1.2.3.2 $\widehat{\mathbb{T}^d} = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and if $\gamma = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d$ we have $\gamma(z) = \prod_{j=1}^d z_j^{n_j}$. The Haar measure m of \mathbb{T}^d acts on continuous functions by the formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f dm = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 f(e^{2i\pi t_1}, \dots, e^{2i\pi t_d}) dt_1 \dots dt_d.$$

Similarly, $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^d} = \mathbb{T}^d$. This last fact will later appear as a consequence of the Pontryagin duality theorem. More generally, if G_1, \dots, G_d are locally compact abelian groups with Haar measures m_1, \dots, m_d and dual groups $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d$, the product group $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$ has the Haar measure $m = m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_d$ and its dual group is $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_d$.

1.2.3.3 $\widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty} = \mathbb{Z}^{(\infty)}$ where the LHS is the product of countably many copies of \mathbb{T} and the RHS is the set of all sequences $\nu = (n_1, \dots, n_d, \dots)$ of integers which vanish for d large enough, with $\gamma(z) = \prod_{j=1}^\infty z_j^{n_j}$, all but a finite number of the factors being equal to 1. The Haar measure of \mathbb{T}^∞ is the tensor product of countably many copies of the Haar measure of \mathbb{T} . This fact has an obvious generalization to the countable product of compact abelian groups, as in Example 2.

1.2.3.4 $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^d} = \mathbb{R}^d$ and if $\gamma = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have $\gamma(x) = e^{i \sum_{j=1}^d t_j x_j}$. The Haar measure of \mathbb{R}^d is simply the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Those facts follow from the general remark of Example 2.

1.2.3.5 Let G be a compact abelian group with dual Γ . Then, we have the equivalence:

$$G \text{ metrizable} \iff \Gamma \text{ countable.} \tag{1.2.2}$$

We use the following fact: if X is a topological compact space and $C(X)$ the space of continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in X} |f(t)|$, we have the equivalence:

$$X \text{ metrizable} \iff C(X) \text{ separable.} \quad (1.2.3)$$

Indeed, if the topology of X is defined by a metric d , let (x_n) be a dense sequence of X , and $\varphi_n(x) = d(x, x_n)$. The algebra generated by the φ_n is separable, and dense in $C(X)$ by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem. Conversely, if (f_n) is a dense subset of $C(X)$, the distance d defined by

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{|f_n(x) - f_n(y)|}{1 + |f_n(x) - f_n(y)|}$$

is easily seen to define the topology of X .

To prove (1.2.2), we observe that $\Gamma \subset C(G)$ and that, if $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ are distinct, we have by orthogonality:

$$\|\gamma - \gamma'\|_{\infty} \geq \|\gamma - \gamma'\|_2 = \sqrt{2}. \quad (1.2.4)$$

Now, if Γ is countable, the set \mathcal{P} of trigonometric polynomials is separable, and dense in $C(G)$ by Theorem 1.3.4 to come. Therefore, G is metrizable by (1.2.3). Conversely, if G is metrizable, $C(G)$ is separable, and then Γ has to be countable in view of (1.2.4). This ends the proof of (1.2.2).

1.2.3.6 Let \mathbb{R} be equipped with the Haar measure dx , the usual Lebesgue measure. Its dual Γ can be identified to \mathbb{R} , but then the Haar measure corresponding to the forthcoming inversion Theorem 1.4.1 is $dx/2\pi$. Indeed, if $f(t) = e^{-|t|}$, one easily computes $\hat{f}(x) = 2/(1+x^2)$ and the change of variable $x = \tan t$ shows that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^2 t dt = 1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)|^2 dt.$$

1.2.3.7 If $G = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ is a finite abelian group with dual $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\}$ (isomorphic to G), and if we equip G with the normalized Haar measure $m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}$, the Haar measure on Γ corresponding to the inversion theorem is the non-normalized measure $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{\gamma_j}$ as is easily checked. This corresponds to the fact that the matrix $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \gamma_j(x_i))_{(i,j)}$ is unitary. This example is very important for Dirichlet characters.

1.2.3.8 As an important specialization of Example 3, we have the following: let G be the Cantor group, i.e. the compact abelian and metrizable group $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of all choices of signs $\omega = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $\varepsilon_n = \pm 1$ and co-ordinatewise multiplication, equipped with its normalized Haar measure m . Its dual group (discrete and countable) is called the Walsh group and can be described as the group of words w_A indexed by the finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}^* := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ defined by

$$w_A(\omega) = \prod_{n \in A} \varepsilon_n(\omega), \quad w_{\emptyset}(\omega) = 1.$$

The coordinate functions ε_n are independent random variables on the probability space (G, m) and are sometimes called the Rademacher, or centered Bernoulli, variables. *They will play a very important role in the study of random polynomials and random Dirichlet series.*

1.3 The Bochner–Weil–Raikov and Peter–Weyl Theorems

1.3.1 An Abstract Theorem

The structure of stellar, Banach algebra of $M(G)$ is interesting for us with a view to the following fundamental theorem. Let A denote a commutative, stellar, unital Banach algebra with unit e , with dual space A^* (in the sense of Banach spaces), involution $x \mapsto \tilde{x}$ and spectrum \mathcal{M} . We recall that \mathcal{M} is the set of non-zero homomorphisms $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which are automatically continuous with norm 1. This is a compact Hausdorff space with the usual Gelfand topology, namely the weak-star topology induced by A^* on \mathcal{M} . We denote by $\widehat{x}(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ the Gelfand transform of $x \in A$ at $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}$, and by $r(x) := \|\widehat{x}\|_\infty$ the spectral radius of $x \in A$. We then have the:

Theorem 1.3.1 (Bochner–Weil–Raikov) *Let L be a positive linear form on A , namely $L(x\tilde{x}) \geq 0$ for all $x \in A$. Then, we have*

- (1) L is continuous.
- (2) $|L(x)| \leq L(e)r(x)$ and $|L(x\tilde{x})| \leq L(e)r(x)^2$ for all $x \in A$.
- (3) There is a positive measure μ on \mathcal{M} such that

$$L(x) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \widehat{x}(\gamma) d\mu(\gamma), \quad \forall x \in A.$$

- (4) If $L(x\tilde{x}) \neq 0$, there exists $\chi \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\chi(x) \neq 0$.

Proof (1) First note that $\tilde{e} = e$ since \tilde{e} is also a unit for A . Now recall that, for t real and $|t| \leq 1$, it holds:

$$\sqrt{1-t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \text{ with } a_n \text{ real and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty.$$

So that, if $x \in A$ and $\|x\| \leq 1$, we can write

$$e - x\tilde{x} = y^2 \text{ with } y = \tilde{y} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x\tilde{x})^n.$$

This proves that $L(e - x\tilde{x}) = L(y\tilde{y}) \geq 0$ and that $L(x\tilde{x}) \leq L(e)$. Moreover, the assumptions imply that the map $(x, y) \mapsto L(x\tilde{y})$ is a positive, Hermitian, form on

A , therefore we have the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality:

$$|L(x\tilde{y})|^2 \leq L(x\tilde{x})L(y\tilde{y}).$$

Taking $y = e$, we get, for $\|x\| \leq 1$, the following:

$$|L(x)|^2 \leq L(e)L(x\tilde{x}) \leq [L(e)]^2. \quad (1.3.1)$$

(2) Using (1.3.1) and then iterating, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L(x)| &\leq L(e)^{1/2+1/4+\dots+1/2^n} [L(x\tilde{x})^{2^{n-1}}]^{1/2^n} \\ &\leq L(e)^{1/2+1/4+\dots+1/2^n} \|L\|^{1/2^n} \|(x\tilde{x})^{2^{n-1}}\|^{1/2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that, according to the spectral radius theorem, $r(x)$ is given by

$$r(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n}. \quad (1.3.2)$$

So that, letting n tend to infinity in the above, we get the first claimed inequality

$$|L(x)| \leq L(e)r(x\tilde{x})^{1/2} \leq L(e)r(x).$$

Indeed, if $\chi \in \mathcal{M}$, so does ψ defined by $\psi(x) = \overline{\chi(\tilde{x})}$, and $\chi(x\tilde{x}) = \chi(x)\overline{\psi(x)}$, so that $r(x\tilde{x}) \leq r(x)^2$. The second inequality follows by changing x into $x\tilde{x}$.

(3) Let \widehat{A} the subspace of $C(\mathcal{M})$ formed by Gelfand transforms of elements of A . Define a linear form S on \widehat{A} by the formula $S(\widehat{x}) = L(x)$. The preceding shows that S is well-defined and that

$$|S(\widehat{x})| \leq L(e)\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, S is continuous on \widehat{A} and $\|S\| \leq L(e)$. The Hahn–Banach extension theorem and the Riesz representation theorem now show that there exists a regular, complex measure μ on \mathcal{M} , with $\|\mu\| \leq L(e)$, such that:

$$L(x) = S(\widehat{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \widehat{x}(\gamma) d\mu(\gamma).$$

In particular, $L(e) = S(1) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d\mu(\gamma) \geq \|\mu\|$, so that μ is positive with norm $L(e)$.

(4) If $L(x\tilde{x}) \neq 0$, item (1) shows that $r(x) = \|\widehat{x}\|_\infty \neq 0$, which ends the proof. \square

1.3.2 Applications to Harmonic Analysis

An important consequence of Theorem 1.3.1 is:

Theorem 1.3.2 *The Fourier transform $f \mapsto \widehat{f} : L^1(G) \rightarrow A(\Gamma)$ is injective.*

Proof Consider the unital subalgebra $\mathcal{A} = L^1(G) + \mathbb{C}\delta_0$ of $M(G)$. Fix a function φ in $C_{00}(G)$, the set of continuous, compactly supported functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then, define a linear form $L = L_\varphi$ on that algebra by the formula: $L(\sigma) = (\tilde{\varphi} * \varphi * \sigma)(0)$, that is, if $\sigma = f dm + c\delta_0 \in \mathcal{A}$:

$$L(\sigma) = (\tilde{\varphi} * \varphi * f)(0) + c(\tilde{\varphi} * \varphi)(0).$$

This linear form is positive, since one easily sees that: $L(\sigma * \bar{\sigma}) = \|\sigma * \varphi\|_2^2$. (Observe in passing that, by Cauchy–Schwarz, Fubini and the translation invariance of m , one has for $\sigma \in M(G) : \varphi * \sigma \in L^2(G)$, with moreover $\|\varphi * \sigma\|_2 \leq \|\varphi\|_2 \|\sigma\|$). Now, let $f \in L^1(G)$, $f \neq 0$. Choose $\varphi \in C_{00}(G)$ such that

$$(f * \varphi)(0) = \int_G \varphi(-x) f(x) dx \neq 0. \tag{1.3.3}$$

This implies that $L(f * \tilde{f}) = \|f * \varphi\|_2^2 \neq 0$ since $f * \varphi$ is continuous, does not vanish at 0 by (1.3.3), and since the Haar measure charges all non-void open sets by (1.1.3). Therefore, by Theorem 1.3.1, there is a character h of A such that $h(f) \neq 0$. But the characters of \mathcal{A} are of the form:

$$h(f dm + c\delta_0) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) + c, \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Taking $c = 0$ here, we obtain $h(f) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0$, which gives the result. □

In functional analysis, the dual of a normed space has many elements thanks to the Hahn–Banach theorem. It turns out that the dual of a locally compact abelian group G has many elements as well. Namely, as a consequence of Theorem 1.3.2, we have the *Peter–Weyl theorem* in the abelian case:

Theorem 1.3.3 (Peter–Weyl theorem) *The dual Γ of any LCA group G separates the points of G , namely:*

$$\text{If } x \neq y, \text{ there exists } \gamma \in \Gamma; \gamma(x) \neq \gamma(y). \tag{1.3.4}$$

Proof Let $x, y \in G$ with $x \neq y$. By the Tietze–Urysohn theorem, there exists $\varphi \in C_{00}(G)$ such that $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi(y)$, that is $T_x\varphi(0) \neq T_y\varphi(0)$. Applying Theorem 1.3.2, we can find $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\widehat{T_x\varphi}(\gamma) \neq \widehat{T_y\varphi}(\gamma)$, equivalently:

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)\gamma(x) \neq \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)\gamma(y), \text{ so that } \gamma(x) \neq \gamma(y). \quad \square$$

Let us indicate some important consequences of the Peter–Weyl theorem. We will denote by \mathcal{P} be the algebra of *trigonometric polynomials on G* , i.e. the vector space of functions generated by Γ .

Theorem 1.3.4 *If G is a compact abelian group, the set \mathcal{P} of trigonometrical polynomials is uniformly dense in the space $C(G)$ of complex, continuous functions on G . Conversely, if Δ is a subgroup of Γ separating the points of G , we have $\Delta = \Gamma$.*

Proof The set \mathcal{P} is a self-adjoint algebra, since the conjugate of a character, and the products of two of them, is still a character. It separates points of G by the Peter–Weyl Theorem 1.3.3, and contains the constant 1, the zero-character. Therefore, it is dense in $C(G)$ by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem. Now, let \mathcal{Q} be the set of trigonometric polynomials generated by Δ , i.e. the vector space generated by Δ . This is a self-adjoint algebra since Δ is a subgroup, and it separates points of G , therefore is uniformly dense in $C(G)$ by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem again. Now, suppose that $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Delta$, and let $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. By orthogonality, we have:

$$\|\gamma - Q\|_{\infty} \geq \|\gamma - Q\|_2 = (1 + \|Q\|_2^2)^{1/2} \geq 1,$$

which contradicts the uniform density of \mathcal{Q} in $C(G)$. □

A nice partial consequence of Theorem 1.3.4 is a kind of Hahn–Banach extension theorem for certain subgroups. A more complete description will be given once we have the Pontryagin theorem at our disposal.

Corollary 1.3.5 *Let H be a subgroup of the LCA group G . Then:*

- (a) *Any weak character of H extends to a weak character of G .*
- (b) *If H is compact or open, any continuous character on H extends to a continuous character on G .*

Proof (a) We use a transfinite induction (or Zorn’s lemma) as follows: Let (K, δ) be a maximal pair formed by a subgroup K with $H \subset K \subset G$ and a weak character δ on K extending γ . If $K \neq G$, let $x \notin K$ and L be the group generated by K and x . We separate two cases:

- (1) $nx \notin K$ for any non-zero integer n . Let $w \in \mathbb{T}$. Then, the formula $\varepsilon(k + nx) = \delta(k)w^n$ gives a well-defined extension of δ to a character ε on L .
- (2) Otherwise, let p be the smallest positive integer such that $px \in K$ and let $z = \delta(px)$. We now use the (only) fact that \mathbb{T} is a *divisible group*, namely:

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \exists w \in \mathbb{T}; \quad w^p = z. \quad (1.3.5)$$

We then set $\varepsilon(k + nx) = \delta(k)w^n$ where w is as in (1.3.5) and this still gives a well-defined extension of δ to a character ε on L .

In all cases, we get a contradiction with maximality, so that we have $K = G$, as we wish.

(b) Suppose first that H is compact. Let \widehat{H} be the dual of H and Δ the subgroup of \widehat{H} formed by restrictions to H of characters in Γ . By the Peter–Weyl theorem, Δ separates the points of H . By Theorem 1.3.4, we conclude that $\Delta = \widehat{H}$. If H is open, let $\gamma \in \widehat{H}$ and δ be a weak character on G extending γ . This character is continuous at the origin, since γ is continuous and H open. Therefore, it is continuous everywhere, i.e. $\delta \in \Gamma$. An extension of that corollary will be given after the Pontryagin duality theorem. \square

Another fundamental consequence of the Peter–Weyl theorem is:

Theorem 1.3.6 *If G is compact, the group Γ is an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space $L^2(G)$.*

Proof We will abbreviate $\int_G dm(x)$ in dx . The set Γ is a normed system in $L^2(G)$, since if $\gamma \in \Gamma$:

$$\|\gamma\|_2^2 = \int_G |\gamma(x)|^2 dx = \int_G dx = 1.$$

If $\gamma \neq 1$, let a such that $\gamma(a) \neq 1$ and $I = \int_G \gamma(x) dx$. We have

$$I = \int_G \gamma(x) dx = \int_G \gamma(x+a) dx = \int_G \gamma(x) \gamma(a) dx = \gamma(a) I,$$

and this implies $I = 0$. If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$, setting $\gamma = \gamma_1 \overline{\gamma_2} \neq 1$, we get $\int_G \gamma_1(x) \overline{\gamma_2(x)} dx = \int_G \gamma(x) dx = 0$, showing that Γ is an orthonormal system. Moreover, the vector space \mathcal{P} generated by Γ is dense in $C(G)$ (by Theorem 1.3.4), itself dense in $L^2(G)$, and that ends the proof. \square

1.4 The Inversion and Plancherel Theorems

1.4.1 The Inversion Theorem

Throughout this section, G is a locally compact abelian group, Γ its dual and $C_{00}(G)$ the set of continuous functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with compact support. It will also be useful to use the following test space:

$$E = L^1(G) \cap L^2(G) \supset C_{00}(G). \quad (1.4.1)$$

This space, which is dense in both $L^1(G)$ and $L^2(G)$, will play an important role in the rest of this section. We will denote by \mathcal{C} the convex cone of functions $v : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the form