

Robert Stüwe | Thomas Panayotopoulos (eds.)

# The Juncker Commission

Politicizing EU Policies



Schriften des Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies der Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Edited by Prof. Dr. Ludger Kühnhardt

Volume 79

Robert Stüwe | Thomas Panayotopoulos (eds.)

## The Juncker Commission

Politicizing EU Policies





Onlineversion Nomos eLibrary

**The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek** lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de

ISBN 978-3-8487-5597-4 (Print) 978-3-8452-9773-6 (ePDF)

#### **British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-5597-4 (Print) 978-3-8452-9773-6 (ePDF)

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Stüwe, Robert / Panayotopoulos, Thomas The Juncker Commission Politicizing EU Policies Robert Stüwe / Thomas Panayotopoulos (eds.) 216 pp. Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-8487-5597-4 (Print) 978-3-8452-9773-6 (ePDF)

1st Edition 2020

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden, Germany 2020. Overall responsibility for manufacturing (printing and production) lies with Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publishers. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to "Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort", Munich.

No responsibility for loss caused to any individual or organization acting on or refraining from action as a result of the material in this publication can be accepted by Nomos or the editors.

### Preface

Chairing a political commission and pursuing ten priorities to be implemented through a condensed annual work program based on new methods of organizing work structures: Thus were the ambitions of Jean-Claude Juncker when he took office as President of the European Commission in 2014. Throughout his five-year term, a team of researchers at the Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI) monitored the implementation of the work program of the EU Commission. The interplay between the European Commission, initiating and executing EU legislation, the European Parliament and the Council, representing two pillars of legitimacy in their joint role as legislative decision-makers, was followed in a consistent way unparalleled in European academia. Continuous internet-based coverage of the state of play and regular interim assessments provided the basis for this book: A comprehensive analysis and commentary of EU politics between 2014 and 2019. Robert Stüwe and Thomas Panayotopoulos, supported by a highly competent team, enrich the multi-facetted literature on the European Union in a most innovative and inspiring way. Their assessment of EU politics between 2014 and 2019 sets new and higher standards for future observers and analysts.

Prof. Dr. Ludger Kühnhardt Director, Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI) July 2020

## Editors

**Dr. Robert Stüwe** is a ZEI Research Fellow. At ZEI, he currently coordinates the research project »Governance and Regulation in the EU: The von der Leyen Commission 2019-2024«. His doctoral dissertation at the University of Bonn, dealing with the EU's external energy policy in natural gas imports and published in 2020, is titled »Das Machtproblem der EU-Energieaußenpolitik. Von der Integration zur Projektion beim Erdgasimport?«. It was supervised by Professor Ludger Kühnhardt. Dr. Stüwe is a ZEI Master of European Studies-Alumnus »Class of 2014«. Furthermore, he is a Research Affiliate of the Center for European Neighborhood Studies at the Central European University (CEU) in Budapest, Hungary.

*Thomas Panayotopoulos* is a ZEI Research Fellow. From 2015-2018 he coordinated the ZEI research project »Governance and Regulation in the EU: The Future of Europe« monitoring the Juncker Commission. He served as the editor of the »ZEI Future of Europe Observer« and the institute's own policy brief series - the »ZEI Insights«. He is a ZEI Master of European Studies-Alumnus »Class of 2014«. Mr. Panayotopoulos currently holds a position at the Public Affairs Department of the U.S. Mission to the OSCE in Vienna, Austria.

## Table of Contents

Pref	face	5
List	of Abbreviations	13
	APTER I: line of the Study	17
A.	Introduction By Thomas Panayotopoulos	19
-	APTER II: Juncker Commission - An Insider's View	27
A.	Origins and Functioning of the Political Commission: An Assessment from Inside the Juncker Commission's Machine Room	
	By Prof. Dr. Martin Selmayr	29
-	APTER III: Juncker Commission's Ten Priorities	69
A.	Priority 1: The Juncker Plan, when EU Public Banking Enters Politics	
	By Matthieu Bertrand	71
B.	Priority 2: European Digital Single Market or the Collective Failure of Individuals	
	By Dominique Roch	91

Table of	of Content	s
----------	------------	---

C.	Priority 3: Driving European integration under the Spotlight of Climate: Shifting Policy Strategies under the Juncker Commission's Climate and Energy Agenda	
	By Sanni Kunnas	107
D.	Priority 4: Reframing a Deeper and Fairer Internal Market	
	By Grigoriani Bougatsa	119
E.	Priority 5: A Deeper and Fairer Economic and Monetary Union	
	By Dr. Christoph Bierbrauer	131
F.	Priority 6: A Balanced and Progressive Trade Policy to Harness Globalization - Trade for All?	
	By Dr. Katherine Simpson	145
G.	Priority 7: Justice, Fundamental Rights and the Juncker Commission: <i>Qui trop embrasse,</i> <i>mal étreint?</i>	
	By Prof. Dr. Henri de Waele	157
H.	Priority 8: The Juncker Commission »Towards a New Policy on Migration«	
	By Liska Wittenberg	169
I.	Priority 9: A Stronger Global Actor - Strengthening the Global Role of Europe	
	By Prof. Dr. Andreas Marchetti	181

J.	Priority 10: Politicizing EU Policies – The Juncker Commission's Priority »Democratic Change«	
	By Sarah Gansen and Katarzyna Nowicka	191
CHA	APTER IV: Conclusion	203
A.	Lessons Learned: How the Juncker Commission Navigated Politicized Policies	
	By Dr. Robert Stüwe	205

## List of Abbreviations

AFSJ	Area of Freedom, Security and Justice within the EU
BIT	Bilateral Investment Treaty
CEAS	Common European Asylum System
CETA	Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement, free-trade agreement between Canada and the European Union
CFSP	Common Foreign and Security Policy
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
CMU	Capital Markets Union
COSME	Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and Medium-sized En- terprises, EU program
DG	Directorate General, administrative unit of the European Civil Service
DSM	Digital Single Market
ECA	European Court of Auditors
ECB	European Central Bank
ECHR	European Court of Human Rights
ECI	European Citizens' Initiative
ECJ	European Court of Justice / Court of Justice, Supreme Court of the EU, official part of the CJEU
ECT	Energy Charter Treaty
EDF	European Defense Fund
EDIDP	European Defence Industrial Development Program
EDIS	European Deposit Insurance Scheme
EDP	Excessive Deficit Procedure
EEAS	European External Action Service
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
EFSI	European Fund for Strategic Investments
EIB	European Investment Bank
EIF	European Investment Fund, EU agency for the provision of finance to SMEs
EMF	European Monetary Fund
EMU	Economic and Monetary Union
ENP	European Neighborhood Policy
EP	European Parliament
EPA	Economic Partnership Agreement
EPP	European People's Party
EPPO	European Public Prosecutor's Office

#### List of Abbreviations

EPRS	European Parliamentary Research Service
ESM	European Stability Mechanism, intergovernmental organization for all Eurozone member states
ESS	European Security Strategy of the European Union
ETS	Emissions Trading Scheme
EU	European Union
EUGS	European Union Global Strategy
FTA	Free Trade Agreement
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation of the European Union
GRI	Group des relations interinstitutionelles, inter-Cabinet group within the European Commission
HR	High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy
IIA	Interinstitutional Agreement
IMF	International Monetary Fund
ISDS	Investor-State Dispute Settlement
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ITC	International Trade Centre
ITRE	Committee on Industry, Research and Energy of the European Par- liament
MEP	Member of the European Parliament
MFF	Multiannual Financial Framework of the European Union
MoU	Memorandum of Understanding
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
OMT	Outright Monetary Transactions Program of the European Central Bank
PESCO	Permanent Structured Cooperation
REFIT	Regulatory Fitness and Performance Program of the European Commission
S&D	Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats, political group in the European Parliament
SGP	Stability and Growth Pact
SMEs	Small and Medium-sized Enterprises
SRF	Single Resolution Fund
SRM	Single Resolution Mechanism
TEU	Treaty on European Union
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
TTIP	Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership
14	

UK	United Kingdom
UNFCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
UNHCR	United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
VAT	Value Added Tax
VP	Vice-President
WTO	World Trade Organization
ZEI	Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

## CHAPTER I

Outline of the Study

#### Introduction

#### By Thomas Panayotopoulos

On July 15, 2014, the European Parliament elected Jean-Claude Juncker as the 12<sup>th</sup> European Commission President. It was the first and so far the only time an European Commission President was elected by the European Parliament (EP) through the Spitzenkandidaten process; thereby giving »Article 17(7) of the Lisbon Treaty its true democratic and political meaning« (Juncker 2014a) and affording the whole newly appointed Commission with a more direct democratic legitimacy. The Spitzenkandidaten process entails the European political parties putting forward their lead candidates for the position of European Commission President, with the presidency going to the candidate of the political party capable of collecting sufficient parliamentary support (Tilindyte 2019, 1). Emboldened by the procedure of his appointment, Juncker expressed the will to establish a »political commission« (Juncker 2014a) at the start of his mandate. With this statement, Jean-Claude Juncker clearly wanted to differentiate »his« Commission from its predecessors (Peterson 2017, 358). This can be seen as an attempt to counter the prevailing perceptions of an untransparent technocratic European Commission (Russack 2019, 4).

Indeed, Jean-Claude Juncker took office at a particularly difficult moment for the EU. The 2008 financial crisis still challenges the Eurozone and the EU in general: The mounting fear of a Grexit (Smith 2014), the rise of Eurosceptic movements across the EU and the disparity between the northern and the southern member states were all facets of the cruel European reality in 2014. With youth unemployment rates of 21.4 per cent across the EU (Eurostat 2015, 2), trust in European institutions was at its lowest. Taking over the position of Commission President under such circumstances, Jean-Claude Juncker immediately understood that in order to fulfil his promise of a »highly political« European Commission (Juncker 2014a, 16) it was important to start his mission by reforming the structure and workings of the institution itself.

In his first move, Jean-Claude Juncker proposed a new internal organization of the Commission. This restructuring introduced the new function of First Vice-President, giving responsibility to the Vice-Presidents to lead 'project teams' formed by Commissioners working together on a related topic. This new structure introduced a de facto hierarchy to the College of

#### Thomas Panayotopoulos

Commissioners never seen in the past. Furthermore, this restructuring made unmistakably visible the separation between the political part of the European Commission consisting of the College of Commissioners and the administrative part comprising the Directorate-Generals (DGs) (Borchardt 2016, 3). The logic of this important distinction was to leave the decisionmaking authority with elected politicians and not to technocrats (Russack 2019, 5).

Secondly, the Juncker Commission decided to revise the way the European Commission was working. In the run-up to his election as President of the European Commission in July 2014, Jean-Claude Juncker had streamlined ten policy priorities that would function as a political mandate throughout his presidency. In contrast to the preceding Commissions, this European Commission wanted to introduce accountability and transparency into its work program and render policy results tangible for EU citizens (Bassot and Hiller 2019, 1). The ten priorities were the following:

- 1. A new boost for jobs, growth and investment
- 2. A connected digital single market
- 3. A resilient energy union with a forward-looking climate change policy
- 4. A deeper and fairer internal market with a strengthened industrial base
- 5. A deeper and fairer economic and monetary union (EMU)
- 6. A balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalization
- 7. An area of justice and fundamental rights based on mutual trust
- 8. Towards a new policy on migration
- 9. Europe as a stronger global actor
- 10. A union of democratic change.

Based on these priorities the Commission adopted a yearly work program consisting of new initiatives in each of the ten priority policy areas, translating the objectives into concrete legislative proposals. This way the European Commission had the flexibility to amend its objectives in order to react to new developments and crises such as the Brexit or the Migration crisis. From the start, Juncker aimed to foster the standing of the European Commission and the accountability of the European Commission's work for EU citizens: »This Commission was elected on the basis of a clear political mandate: the ten priorities set out in our Political Guidelines. Today's Work Program is the translation of those ten priorities into concrete first deliverables. Citizens expect the EU to make a difference on the big economic and social challenges and they want less interference where Member States are better equipped to give the right response. That is why we committed to driving change and to leading an EU that is bigger and more ambitious on big things, and smaller and more modest on small things.« (European Commission 2014)

In this context of a »new start for Europe« (Juncker 2014a) a team of Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI) scholars set out to monitor the work plans of the EU institutions. In doing so, ZEI responded to the processes of improvement of the European Union in the aftermath of the Treaty of Lisbon. Streamlining competences, making institutions more transparent and accountable, and enhancing the reputation of the EU by focusing on results and their meaning for the life of EU citizens has been on the agenda of EU reform processes for many years. The dialectics of optimizing integration by making it real and sustainable has led to the development of ZEI's flagship project »Governance and Regulation in the EU: The Future of Europe«.

In its first phase between 2014 and 2019, this transdisciplinary and multiannual project monitored the implementation of the European Commission's annual Work Program based on the ten priorities outlined by EU Commission President Juncker. This project provided researchers and practitioners with a research tool to continuously analyze the legislation of the EU institutions for which the Ordinary Legislative Procedure is applicable. To monitor the implementation of the proposed European Commission initiatives the progress was visualized through the use of a traffic light symbol.

Legislative proposal not tabled yet or withdrawn
Ongoing ordinary legislative procedure
Legislative proposal passed