

ANTHONY TROLLOPE

ORLEY FARM



HISTORICAL NOVEL

Anthony Trollope

Orley Farm

Enriched edition.

Introduction, Studies and Commentaries by Gary Bishop

(Historical Novel)

Published by

MUSAICUM

Books

- Advanced Digital Solutions & High-Quality eBook
Formatting -

musaicumbooks@okpublishing.info

Edited and published by Musaicum Press, 2020
EAN 4064066394837

Table of Contents

[Introduction](#)

[Synopsis](#)

[Historical Context](#)

[Author Biography](#)

[Orley Farm \(Historical Novel\)](#)

[Analysis](#)

[Reflection](#)

[Memorable Quotes](#)

[Notes](#)

Introduction

[Table of Contents](#)

As the cool authority of the law presses its seal upon a contested estate, the warm insistence of conscience stirs beneath it, and in that tremor of doubt and duty a whole community learns how a line of ink, a whisper of suspicion, and a mother's resolve can unsettle property, affection, and the very idea of justice.

Orley Farm is a novel by Anthony Trollope, first published in 1861–1862, and it has long been valued as one of his most penetrating studies of law, society, and private motive. Set in Victorian England, it turns on a disputed inheritance attached to the property that gives the book its name. Trollope places a legal case at the center yet refuses to reduce it to mere plot mechanics; he broadens it into a searching portrait of domestic life, professional honor, and social pressure. The result is a work that blends courtroom tension with intimate moral inquiry.

Trollope, born in 1815 and active as both novelist and civil servant, wrote from a deep familiarity with institutional life and everyday habit. His long career in the Post Office, frequently noted by biographers, sharpened his attention to systems and to the ordinary exchanges that reveal character. In *Orley Farm*, those habits of observation yield a narrative grounded less in melodramatic revelation than in patient scrutiny—how people speak, how they hedge, how they persuade themselves. That measured approach gives the book its distinctive authority, allowing large ethical questions to emerge from small, telling gestures.

The premise is simple to state and rich in implication: an old legal quarrel concerning the title to Orley Farm refuses to rest, and the embers of an earlier judgment glow again into litigation. A gentlewoman, Lady Mason, stands at the

delicate center of rumor and respectability; her son Lucius, ambitious and proud, bears the burdens of pedigree and expectation. Around them move solicitors and barristers—among them the capable Mr. Furnival—and neighbors such as Sir Peregrine Orme, whose codes of honor are tested by proximity to doubt. Trollope traces their intersecting loyalties without foreclosing moral complexity.

Although the plot pivots on documents, codicils, and arguments, the novel's true engine is character. Trollope's narrative method privileges motive over surprise, cumulative pressure over sudden twist. He moves with unhurried assurance between drawing rooms and chambers, showing how legal procedure filters into parlors, friendships, and courtship. Crucially, the novel asks not only what the law can determine but also what people can bear—what secrets they hold, what compromises they accept, and what it costs to tell the truth or to keep it at bay.

Among the themes that give *Orley Farm* its enduring life are justice and mercy, reputation and rumor, and the tensions between public judgment and private duty. Trollope attends to the strictness of legal proof while registering the softer claims of sympathy and forgiveness. The book is also alert to the constraints placed upon women in matters of property and esteem, and to the quietly coercive power of social opinion. Through these pressures, Trollope invites readers to examine how communities decide what is credible, what is forgivable, and what is beyond pardon.

Its standing as a classic rests partly on the poise with which it unites realism and suspense. Trollope draws out the intricacies of professional life—the habits of the bar, the etiquette of visits, the uneasy alliance between principle and expediency—without losing sight of tenderness and humor. Early editions were illustrated by John Everett Millais, whose images helped fix the book's scenes in the cultural imagination. Yet it is the prose, steady and exact, that sustains the novel's reputation: a disciplined, humane voice

guiding readers through moral fog without dictating answers.

Critics and novelists after Trollope recognized in *Orley Farm* a model for fiction that treats law as a human arena rather than a mere machinery of verdicts. The book converses with the broader Victorian fascination with litigation while offering a quieter, more interior alternative to grand exposes. Later writers attentive to manners, motive, and institutional pressure—whether in England or beyond—found in Trollope’s methods a durable grammar of realism. His influence is felt less as imitation than as permission: to let ethical uncertainty breathe, to allow characters to argue honestly with themselves.

The prose style is deceptively simple: clear sentences, patient pacing, and an unobtrusive narrator who trusts readers to register nuance. Trollope’s irony is gentle but exacting, exposing vanity and cant without cruelty. He respects the intelligence of his audience, laying out the stakes of each choice and the texture of each relationship. This clarity grants the legal material unusual accessibility; one need not be versed in statutes to feel the tremor when a word is weighed, a letter produced, a look exchanged that hints at what cannot be openly said.

Equally memorable is the social canvas, which ranges from quiet rural lanes to the tense thresholds of London’s legal offices. Trollope maps how distance—geographical and social—affects perception: gossip travels differently in the country than in the city, and a gentleman’s scruple is not a barrister’s brief. By shifting vantage points, he shows how the same fact assumes different meanings in different rooms, and how an estate boundary can become a fault line running through families, friendships, and futures.

For readers approaching *Orley Farm* today, the novel offers a rare combination of narrative pleasure and ethical patience. Its chapters invite lingering: to observe how a confidence is won or lost, how a principle hardens into

rigidity, how kindness can be a form of courage. The book rewards attention to small moves—the pause before an answer, the letter delayed, the visit paid too late—because in Trollope’s moral universe, consequences arrive not with trumpet blasts but on quiet feet.

The concerns that animate Orley Farm remain immediate: the authority of institutions, the volatility of public opinion, the uses and abuses of reputation, and the demands of truth upon the heart. In an age still negotiating the boundaries between legality and justice, and still reckoning with how power attaches to property and respectability, Trollope’s vision speaks with undimmed clarity. The novel endures because it is fair-minded without being naïve, compassionate without being sentimental, and alert to the reader’s own sense of right and wrong—a classic that keeps our consciences awake.

Synopsis

Table of Contents

Anthony Trollope's *Orley Farm* is a Victorian novel centered on a disputed inheritance that entangles rural society, the legal profession, and domestic life. The story turns on the small but coveted estate of Orley Farm, whose ownership becomes the subject of persistent controversy. Trollope uses this conflict to examine how reputation, class expectations, and personal conscience interact with the machinery of English law. Through a wide cast—landowners, lawyers, judges, and their families—the narrative moves between drawing rooms and courtrooms, tracing how a public case invades private lives, and how seemingly settled matters can be unsettled by ambition, resentment, and the pressure of community opinion.

The novel's backstory begins with Sir Joseph Mason's death and a codicil that assigns Orley Farm to his young son, Lucius, by his second wife, Lady Mason. Sir Joseph's elder son from his first marriage, Joseph Mason, disputes the codicil's validity. An earlier lawsuit has decided the point for the time being, yet the decision leaves bitterness behind. Trollope situates the characters in the uneasy aftermath of that judgment, emphasizing how legal resolutions do not automatically quiet suspicion, and how family grievances persist, awaiting an opportunity to reemerge when alliances, evidence, or circumstances shift.

Years later, Lucius Mason has grown into the role of proprietor and pursues agricultural improvement with assertive zeal. His efforts to modernize alienate some tenants and draw the notice of Samuel Dockwraith, a sharp local solicitor with personal reasons to scrutinize the estate's past. Through his wife's family ties to those who once handled Sir Joseph's papers, Dockwraith uncovers

documents and recollections that suggest vulnerabilities in the old case. He presses his findings with energy and self-interest, seeing both professional advancement and retribution in a renewed challenge, thereby transforming private resentment into renewed public litigation.

Under mounting pressure, Lady Mason seeks counsel from Mr. Furnival, a celebrated London barrister whose reputation promises protection and clarity. Furnival's growing involvement brings him into delicate proximity with Lady Mason's situation, straining his domestic relations with the perceptive Mrs. Furnival and exposing the personal costs of professional devotion. The legal team that gathers—including the formidable Mr. Chaffanbrass—illustrates a spectrum of styles and ethics within advocacy. Trollope observes how public duty, ambition, and private feeling mix uneasily, and how the prestige of counsel can become inseparable from the social stakes surrounding a contested family narrative.

Sir Peregrine Orme, an honorable neighbor of high standing, offers Lady Mason moral support that reflects his code of chivalry and rectitude. Their friendship, however, attracts whispers, setting the demands of compassion against the constraints of propriety. The Orme household, with Sir Peregrine's proud patience and his grandson Peregrine's youthful ardor, serves as a touchstone for restrained dignity. Trollope contrasts old-fashioned gentility with the more pressing calculations of litigation, showing how sympathy may appear compromising in a climate where every act is interpreted through the lens of scandal and every gesture can be made to serve an argument.

Threaded through the lawsuit is the story of Felix Graham, a principled young barrister whose skepticism of conventional practice places him at odds with legal opportunism. Felix is encumbered by an earlier engagement to Mary Snow, a modest young woman whose connection to him complicates his social position. Invited into the home of

Judge Staveley, he meets the intelligent and steady Madeline Staveley, and the encounter tests his ideals about class, merit, and affection. Trollope uses this subplot to explore the tension between feeling and duty, and the difficulty of translating ethical theory into lived choices.

As Dockwrath advances his claims, Joseph Mason seizes the chance to reopen the question of Orley Farm's ownership. Investigations reach back to long-closed legal offices and the memories of clerks and witnesses linked to the original instruments. Rumor thrives, and the case draws wider attention than its acreage would suggest. Lucius's rectitude, while admirable, becomes rigid under stress, isolating him when flexibility might invite allies. Furnival and his colleagues map the terrain of testimony and procedure, preparing to meet both the technicalities of law and the nebulous weight of public perception that gathers around reputations.

The trial brings the novel's varied world into a single venue where character, evidence, and rhetoric collide. Points of handwriting, dates, and custody of documents are argued alongside assessments of motive and credibility. Witnesses face probing cross-examinations that test memory and loyalty, while bystanders gauge the human stakes behind legal form. Felix observes how advocacy can shade truth to strategic ends, and how friendship bends under suspicion. Trollope keeps the proceedings tense yet measured, using the courtroom not merely for suspense but to study how institutions seek certainty from partial knowledge, and how that search reshapes those involved.

Without disclosing the case's resolution, Trollope's broader design emerges: by intertwining an inheritance suit with questions of love, duty, class, and character, Orley Farm shows how private decisions echo through a community. The novel's enduring interest lies in its humane scrutiny of legal process and social judgment, resisting sensationalism while dwelling on conscience under

pressure. It invites readers to consider whether justice rests solely on verifiable fact, or whether motives and consequences matter too, and it suggests that the most consequential verdicts are those rendered within, long after the court has spoken.

Historical Context

Table of Contents

Orley Farm is set in mid-Victorian England, a period roughly spanning the 1850s and early 1860s, with action moving between metropolitan legal chambers and the semi-rural outskirts of London and the Home Counties. The dominant institutions framing the story are the English legal system—divided among common law courts, Chancery, and the Inns of Court—the landed gentry with their estates and traditions, the Church of England’s social authority, and Parliament. Anthony Trollope positions the narrative within these overlapping spheres, foregrounding how law, class, and reputation organize daily life. The novel’s plot of a contested inheritance illuminates the legalistic texture of property, kinship, and honor in a society steeped in documentation and precedent.

Victorian property transmission was anchored by primogeniture, entails, and testamentary instruments. The Wills Act of 1837 standardized formal requirements for wills and codicils—signature by the testator and witnessed attestation—so that property rights increasingly turned on the validity of written documents. This technical framework underlies Orley Farm, whose central dispute over a codicil highlights how families and fortunes could hinge on minute legal formalities. Trollope reflects a world in which barristers, solicitors, and judges adjudicate not only material interests but also moral reputation, and where the gentry’s status is inseparable from land, title deeds, and the credibility of signatures and witnesses.

From the 1830s through the 1860s, England pursued steady legal reform aimed at simplifying procedure and widening access to justice. The Common Law Procedure Acts (1852, 1854) curtailed archaic pleadings and expanded the

powers of common law courts; the Evidence Act of 1851 allowed parties to civil suits to testify, reshaping trial dynamics. The Court of Probate Act (1857) transferred probate from ecclesiastical forums to a centralized civil court, and the Matrimonial Causes Act (1857) created a secular divorce court. *Orley Farm* echoes these currents: its high-profile case turns on evidentiary credibility, procedural maneuver, and the mounting public expectation that courts deliver both legality and justice.

The legal profession's internal hierarchy—barristers at the bar, solicitors managing clients and paperwork, and the Inns of Court training advocates—was highly visible in mid-Victorian culture. Queen's Counsel and celebrated cross-examiners became public figures, and civil trials were often heard by a judge and jury on circuit or in Westminster. Trollope's depiction of famous advocates, intense cross-examination, and strategic consultation reflects the era's fascination with legal theater. The novel's lawyers are not abstractions but professionals with chambers, clerks, and reputations to maintain, mirroring the real-world prominence and ethical scrutiny attached to the bar in the 1850s and 1860s.

Victorian newspapers and magazines made trials a staple of public discourse. Law reporting in *The Times* and other papers, together with specialized legal periodicals, fed a growing appetite for courtroom drama and procedural nuance. Reputation could be shaped as much by press commentary and drawing-room talk as by verdicts. *Orley Farm* registers this media environment: the social worlds of chambers, clubs, and country houses double as arenas of pretrial judgment. Trollope's narrative acknowledges that legal outcomes are influenced by public perception and that the modern trial unfolds simultaneously in court and in the court of opinion, where rumor, moralizing, and sympathy compete.

Gender and the law form a crucial background. Under coverture, a married woman's legal identity was subsumed under her husband's, constraining independent control of property. While widows had certain rights, economic and social vulnerability remained common. The Matrimonial Causes Act (1857) created a civil pathway to divorce, but inequalities persisted. Broader reform would not arrive until the Married Women's Property Acts (from 1870 onward), after the period depicted. *Orley Farm* reflects these conditions by charting how a woman's reputation, economic security, and legal exposure intersect. The novel probes the pressures of domestic ideology, honor, and the severe costs of scandal for women.

The social geography of *Orley Farm* belongs to the rural gentry and professional classes. After the repeal of the Corn Laws (1846), English agriculture adjusted to freer trade, yet landed families remained central to county society. Foxhunting, hospitality, and patronage stitched together local networks of influence. Trollope's landscapes—farms, lodges, and neighboring estates—capture a world where public esteem is negotiated through manners, sport, and kinship as much as money. Yet this older order coexisted with rising professional elites. The novel's traffic between farm and law chambers embodies a mid-Victorian balance of tradition and merit-based advancement.

The period saw the professionalization of middle-class careers. Lawyers, civil servants, and judges relied on credentials, networks, and strict codes of conduct. Trollope himself served in the Post Office for decades, absorbing the rhythms of bureaucracy, scheduling, and reporting. His fiction often emphasizes work—files, deadlines, consultations—over melodrama. *Orley Farm's* legal practitioners inhabit an exacting world of fees, clerks, and reputations, reflecting a culture that prized diligence and respectability. The novel scrutinizes how professional honor and private conscience can conflict, and how careers could

be made or unmade by a single case in an era attentive to ethical standing.

Technological and communications revolutions shaped the novel's everyday texture. By the 1850s, railways connected London to provincial towns, enabling swift movement of lawyers, clients, and witnesses along the circuit system. Postal reform, including the 1840 Penny Post, encouraged an explosion of correspondence, and the state expanded delivery routes across town and countryside. Orley Farm's emphasis on letters, documents, and timely meetings mirrors a society managed by paper, schedules, and punctual trains. The increasing speed and reliability of communication made documentary evidence more abundant—and more potent—deepening both the opportunities and the risks of a paperwork-driven legal culture.

Victorian moral discourse—shaped by the Church of England, evangelical movements, and a public rhetoric of respectability—intersected with the oath-bound rituals of the courtroom. Oath-taking, truth-telling, and the sanctity of testimony were matters of spiritual as well as legal weight. Trollope's narrative places questions of conscience at the center of legal conflict, pointing to a wider tension between strict legality and equity. Orley Farm thus participates in a mid-century debate: are moral failings best judged by black-letter law, or should mercy and context temper verdicts? The novel's reflective tone suggests the period's uneasy reconciliation of justice, truth, and compassion.

Parliamentary life and the bar were closely entwined. Many distinguished barristers sat in the House of Commons or later became law officers and Lord Chancellors. In the 1850s and 1860s, reform-minded leaders such as Lords Cranworth and Westbury pursued procedural simplification and court reorganization. Orley Farm's portrayal of a prominent advocate with political ties mirrors this dual-career culture, in which legal expertise conferred legislative

influence and political connections enhanced legal prestige. The novel thus locates legal practice within a broader architecture of governance, highlighting how policy debates about evidence, procedure, and jurisdiction reverberated in the courtroom and beyond.

The literary marketplace shaped how *Orley Farm* reached readers. The novel appeared in monthly parts during 1861–62 and was illustrated by John Everett Millais, a leading figure associated with the Pre-Raphaelite circle. Issued in volume form thereafter, it circulated widely through Mudie's Select Library and similar lending institutions, which curated respectable, family-readable fiction. The 1860s also witnessed the rise of "sensation" novels by writers such as Wilkie Collins and Mary Elizabeth Braddon, blending crime, domesticity, and legal intrigue. Trollope drew on this appetite for legal drama while maintaining a realist focus on character, procedure, and social consequence rather than sheer shock.

Reputation management was a daily labor in respectable society. Victorian libel law provided remedies for defamation, but the mere threat of scandal could curtail marriages, careers, and alliances. The press, circulating libraries, and conversational networks diffused stories with wide reach. *Orley Farm* dramatizes how characters' social capital waxes and wanes in tandem with legal developments, and how private letters or whispered suspicions can foreshadow public crisis. The tension between personal privacy and public accountability animates the narrative, reflecting a broader mid-century struggle to reconcile expanding publicity with the preservation of domestic honor.

The novel's movement between London and the countryside tracks a real historical convergence. London concentrated courts, Parliament, and the national press; the shires preserved landed rituals and local patronage. By the 1850s, railway timetables and daily mail braided these

spheres together, making provincial lives subject to metropolitan scrutiny—and enabling provincial actors to engage national institutions. Orley Farm’s scenes in drawing rooms, chambers, and farmyards depict this reciprocal traffic. The legal case gathers stakeholders from different locales, showing how decisions made in Westminster or on circuit bench could reorder hierarchies in a quiet parish.

Victorian evidentiary practice still leaned heavily on witness testimony, character assessment, and emerging forms of expert opinion. Handwriting analysis and document examination were developing but unsystematized by modern standards; juries often weighed demeanor and reputation alongside technical proof. Orley Farm’s focus on the validity of a document, the reliability of witnesses, and the art of cross-examination reflects these conditions. The novel shows how, in the absence of sophisticated forensic science, persuasion—informed by legal skill and moral narrative—could shape outcomes, illuminating both the strengths and vulnerabilities of adversarial procedure in the period.

Orley Farm belongs to a broader tradition of legal fiction that included contemporary critiques of procedural dysfunction. Dickens’s *Bleak House* (1852–53) famously attacked Chancery’s delays, while other mid-century writers mined trials for moral drama. Trollope diverges from satirical extremes; he examines the bar’s pragmatism, client pressures, and the fine line between zealous advocacy and ethical compromise. By embedding a sensational premise within a realistic social web, the novel speaks to readers who wanted both narrative excitement and credible professional detail, further testifying to the 1860s’ cultural fascination with law as a mirror of national character.

Politically, the years around 1861–62 predate the Second Reform Act (1867) and are often remembered as an era of relative stability and confidence in gradual reform. Suffrage remained limited, yet national life was increasingly shaped

by a literate middle class fluent in legal and bureaucratic processes. Orley Farm reflects this equilibrium and its anxieties: the law promises fairness, but outcomes depend on skill, status, and credibility. Trollope's quiet skepticism toward institutional perfection, paired with respect for procedure, captures a mid-Victorian faith in reform tempered by awareness of the human contingencies that trials—and lives—turn upon.

Author Biography

[Table of Contents](#)

Anthony Trollope (1815–1882) was a leading Victorian novelist and a career civil servant whose fiction mapped the institutions and social habits of nineteenth-century Britain. Writing across the middle decades of the century, he became renowned for panoramic cycles about cathedral towns and parliamentary life, alongside travel books and short fiction. His narratives, grounded in everyday motives—ambition, duty, money, and marriage—helped consolidate English realism after the early triumphs of Dickens and Thackeray. Trollope’s long service in the Post Office shaped his disciplined habits and his attentive observation of bureaucracy, enabling him to render professional life and the negotiations of class and power with unusual steadiness.

Raised in straitened circumstances, Trollope attended Harrow School and spent a period at Winchester College, but he left without distinction and did not proceed to university. As a reader and reviewer he gravitated toward the developing Victorian realist tradition, with its attention to manners, institutions, and moral choice. He embraced serial publication as a means to reach a broad audience while sustaining complexity of character. The habits of close observation he cultivated in government service reinforced these literary inclinations, encouraging a style that favors steady, cumulative development over melodrama. He fashioned himself as a professional writer, attentive to readers yet skeptical of sensational effects.

As a young man he joined the British Post Office in the mid-1830s, beginning a long administrative career that later took him to Ireland as a postal surveyor. There he planned routes, inspected districts, and advocated practical

improvements, experience that sharpened his sense of organizational life. During this period he drafted his first novels, including *The Macdermots of Ballycloran* (1847) and *The Kellys and the O'Kellys* (1848), drawing on Irish settings and contemporary public controversies. He also supported innovations such as roadside letter boxes, proposed in the Channel Islands in the early 1850s and gradually extended elsewhere. The routines of inspection foreshadowed his systematic approach to writing.

In the 1850s Trollope achieved recognition with *The Warden* (1855), the first of the *Chronicles of Barsetshire*, a series set in the ecclesiastical town of Barchester. *Barchester Towers* (1857) established his reputation for comic subtlety and institutional analysis, followed by *Doctor Thorne* (1858), *Framley Parsonage* (1860–61, first serialized in the *Cornhill Magazine*), *The Small House at Allington* (1864), and *The Last Chronicle of Barset* (1867). These novels examine church politics, property, and courtship without resort to extremes, developing a coherent social world that rewards attentive reading. Critics praised their consistency of tone and the humanity of their portraits, securing him a loyal readership.

Alongside *Barsetshire* he developed the *Parliamentary*, or *Palliser*, novels, tracing public and private pressures around political life: *Can You Forgive Her?* (1864–65), *Phineas Finn* (1869), *The Eustace Diamonds* (1873), *Phineas Redux* (1874), *The Prime Minister* (1876), and *The Duke's Children* (1879–80). His interest in elections and patronage drew on observation as well as experience; he stood unsuccessfully for Parliament in 1868 and wrote candidly about campaign practices of the day. More broadly, novels such as *The Way We Live Now* (1875) offered a wide survey of finance, speculation, and the press. Throughout, he pursued moral complexity rather than simple villainy or idealization.

Trollope became emblematic of professionalism in letters. He wrote daily before office hours, kept careful records of

output, and embraced magazine culture, briefly editing St. Paul's Magazine in the late 1860s. He traveled widely, producing *North America* (1862) during the Civil War era and *Australia and New Zealand* (1873), and he set fiction in colonial and transatlantic contexts. His forthright *An Autobiography*, published posthumously in the early 1880s, outlined his methods and views on craft, prompting debate about commerce and art. While some contemporaries faulted his productivity, many readers and later critics valued his steadiness, psychological tact, and attention to the texture of work and duty.

In his later years he continued to publish across genres, including short stories, travel writing, and major novels, maintaining a broad readership in Britain and abroad. He died in London in 1882. His legacy rests on the largeness and coherence of his invented worlds, his even-handed view of institutions, and his sustained interest in women's choices, professional duty, and political conscience. Revivals and adaptations have kept his work in circulation, and scholars emphasize his contribution to serial realism and the novel of manners. For contemporary readers, he remains a touchstone for narratives that treat power, integrity, and compromise with patient clarity.

Orley Farm (Historical Novel)

Main Table of Contents

VOLUME I.

- Chapter I. The Commencement of the Great Orley Farm Case.
- Chapter II. Lady Mason and Her Son.
- Chapter III. The Cleeve.
- Chapter IV. The Perils of Youth.
- Chapter V. Sir Peregrine Makes a Second Promise.
- Chapter VI. The Commercial Room, Bull Inn, Leeds.
- Chapter VII. The Masons of Groby Park.
- Chapter VIII. Mrs. Mason's Hot Luncheon.
- Chapter IX. A Convivial Meeting.
- Chapter X. Mr., Mrs., and Miss Furnival.
- Chapter XI. Mrs. Furnival at Home.
- Chapter XII. Mr. Furnival's Chambers.
- Chapter XIII. Guilty, or Not Guilty.
- Chapter XIV. Dinner at the Cleeve.
- Chapter XV. A Morning Call at Mount Pleasant Villa.
- Chapter XVI. Mr. Dockwrath in Bedford Row.
- Chapter XVII. Von Bauhr.
- Chapter XVIII. The English Von Bauhr.
- Chapter XIX. The Staveley Family.
- Chapter XX. Mr. Dockwrath in His Own Office.
- Chapter XXI. Christmas in Harley Street.
- Chapter XXII. Christmas at Noningsby.
- Chapter XXIII. Christmas at Groby Park.
- Chapter XXIV. Christmas in Great St. Helens.
- Chapter XXV. Mr. Furnival Again at His Chambers.
- Chapter XXVI. Why Should I Not?
- Chapter XXVII. Commerce.
- Chapter XXVIII. Monkton Grange.

Chapter XXIX. Breaking Covert.
Chapter XXX. Another Fall.
Chapter XXXI. Footsteps in the Corridor.
Chapter XXXII. What Bridget Bolster Had to Say.
Chapter XXXIII. The Angel of Light.
Chapter XXXIV. Mr. Furnival Looks for Assistance.
Chapter XXXV. Love was Still the Lord of All.
Chapter XXXVI. What the Young Men Thought About It.
Chapter XXXVII. Peregrine's Eloquence.
Chapter XXXVIII. Oh, Indeed!
Chapter XXXIX. Why Should He Go?
Chapter XL. I Call It Awful.

VOLUME II.

Chapter XLI. How Can I Save Him?
Chapter XLII. John Kenneby Goes to Hamworth.
Chapter XLIII. John Kenneby's Courtship.
Chapter XLIV. Showing How Lady Mason Could Be Very Noble.
Chapter XLV. Showing How Mrs. Orme Could Be Very Weak Minded.
Chapter XLVI. A Woman's Idea of Friendship.
Chapter XLVII. The Gem of the Four Families.
Chapter XLVIII. The Angel of Light Under a Cloud.
Chapter XLIX. Mrs. Furnival Can't Put Up with It.
Chapter L. It is Quite Impossible.
Chapter LI. Mrs. Furnival's Journey to Hamworth.
Chapter LII. Showing How Things Went on at Noningsby.
Chapter LIII. Lady Mason Returns Home.
Chapter LIV. Telling All That Happened Beneath the Lamp-post.

Chapter LV. What Took Place in Harley Street.
Chapter LVI. How Sir Peregrine Did Business with Mr. Round.
Chapter LVII. The Loves and Hopes of Albert Fitzallen.
Chapter LVIII. Miss Staveley Declines to Eat Minced Veal.
Chapter LIX. No Surrender.
Chapter LX. What Rebekah Did for Her Son.
Chapter LXI. The State of Public Opinion.
Chapter LXII. What the Four Lawyers Thought About It.
Chapter LXIII. The Evening Before the Trial.
Chapter LXIV. The First Journey to Alston.
Chapter LXV. Felix Graham Returns to Noningsby.
Chapter LXVI. Showing How Miss Furnival Treated Her Lovers.
Chapter LXVII. Mr. Moulder Backs His Opinion.
Chapter LXVIII. The First Day of the Trial.
Chapter LXIX. The Two Judges.
Chapter LXX. How Am I to Bear It?
Chapter LXXI. Showing How John Kenneby and Bridget Bolster Bore Themselves in Court.
Chapter LXXII. Mr. Furnival's Speech.
Chapter LXXIII. Mrs. Orme Tells the Story.
Chapter LXXIV. Young Lochinvar.
Chapter LXXV. The Last Day.
Chapter LXXVI. I Love Her Still.
Chapter LXXVII. John Kenneby's Doom.
Chapter LXXVIII. The Last of the Lawyers.
Chapter LXXIX. Farewell.
Chapter LXXX. Showing How Affairs Settled Themselves at Noningsby.

VOLUME I.

[Table of Contents](#)

flaming brandy and players try to snatch them from the fire and eat them. It was a popular, somewhat risky form of festive entertainment in the 18th and 19th centuries.

151 In the UK legal system the Solicitor-General is a senior law officer who assists and deputises for the Attorney-General, and in the 19th century commonly appeared for the Crown in important criminal prosecutions. In this chapter the phrase signals that a high-ranking government lawyer (not a private barrister) opened the case against Lady Mason, lending official weight to the accusation.

152 This alludes to the penitent thief crucified alongside Jesus, a figure in the Gospel of Luke (Luke 23:39–43) who is promised paradise after expressing faith in Christ; the reference is commonly invoked in debates about last-minute repentance. Lady Mason’s remark questions belief in sudden salvation without prior contrition, reflecting 19th-century moral and religious concerns about sincere repentance.

153 A half crown was a British coin worth two shillings and sixpence (2s 6d) in pre-decimal currency, i.e. one-eighth of a pound. In Trollope's time it represented a small but noticeable sum—roughly the equivalent of several pounds to a few tens of pounds in modern purchasing power, depending on how it is measured.

154 A guinea was a historical British unit equal to 21 shillings (one pound and one shilling) and was commonly used for professional fees and luxury purchases in the 18th and 19th centuries. By modern standards its purchasing power would be several tens to a few hundred pounds, depending on the conversion method used.

155 “Dutch courage” is an idiom meaning bravery gained from alcohol—typically a drink taken to steady nerves

before a nerve-wracking event. The phrase alludes to the reputation of strong Dutch spirits (like gin) and was commonly used in 19th-century English to describe such fortification by drink.

156 A Latin legal phrase meaning "the burden of proof." In the chapter Mr. Furnival uses it to argue that the prosecution must prove the signatures were not genuine, reflecting the long-standing principle that the party making an allegation has to establish it.

157 Mr. Furnival is the barrister whose speech in court is central to the chapter; in Victorian England barristers were specialist advocates who addressed juries with rhetorical speeches and often had considerable influence over trial outcomes.

158 A codicil is a legal amendment or addition to a will used to change specific provisions without rewriting the whole document; disputes over a codicil's authenticity commonly provoked inheritance litigation like the one at the center of this story.

159 The phrase 'blackest dye' is an idiomatic, somewhat archaic expression meaning the most heinous or morally depraved kind; Trollope uses it to heighten the moral weight of the alleged crime in language typical of Victorian moral discourse.

160 'Groby' is a place-name (a village in Leicestershire, England) and the styling 'of Groby' signals local or landed identity in Victorian fiction; Joseph Mason is the brother and rival claimant whose claim to the property drives the legal and moral conflict in the plot.

161 In 19th-century English usage a "marshal" in this context was a court or household officer—an attendant who

helped keep order and carried out practical duties for a judge or in a large house, rather than the high military rank the word can also denote.

162 This alludes to the romantic hero in Sir Walter Scott's verse (the famous "Young Lochinvar" passage from *Marmion*, first published 1808), a stock image of a daring, gallant lover; here the lines are quoted to applaud Felix's successful courtship.

163 Tipstaves were court officers (similar to ushers or bailiffs) who assisted judges and maintained order; the name comes from the staff or 'tipstaff' they carried as a badge of office. Mentioning the attorneys' 'familiar respect of the tipstaves' highlights the formal hierarchy and customs of 19th-century courtroom procedure.

164 Lincoln's Inn Fields is a historic London area associated with the legal profession; 'Old Square' refers to chambers or offices used by barristers and solicitors. In Victorian fiction an address there signals that T. Furnival is a practicing lawyer based in the Inns of Court district.

165 This refers to the electric telegraph, a mid-19th-century communications system that transmitted news rapidly over long distances. Trollope is noting how trial news spread far faster than physical travel thanks to telegraph networks becoming common in that era.

166 An idiom meaning utterly impoverished or ruined; here Lucius uses it to stress that he has lost his property and prospects. In Victorian society the phrase carried both material and social implications, signaling a fall from the status expected of a gentleman.

167 An annual income of about £200 in this period would be considered modest—adequate for lower-middle-class living

but insufficient to maintain a genteel country household. For the novel's social context, that sum emphasizes Lady Mason's reduced means compared with the expectations for owning or running an estate.

168 Great St. Helen's is a street and ecclesiastical precinct in the City of London, named for the church of St. Helen. In 19th-century fiction it commonly denotes offices or respectable city apartments occupied by lawyers, merchants, or other City of London professionals.

169 Mansion House is the official residence of the Lord Mayor of London, built in the 18th century and located in the heart of the City. In Victorian parlance referring to the Mansion House evoked the civic centre of London and was often used as a metonym for the City's public life or authority.

170 'Esq.' (Esquire) is a courtesy title for a gentleman, while 'J.P.' stands for Justice of the Peace, a local magistrate responsible for minor legal and administrative duties. The styling shows Joseph Mason's status as a landed gentleman with formal civic responsibilities.

171 Tallow candles were made from rendered animal fat and burned with a dim, smoky flame compared with later paraffin or stearin candles. The image of 'two dull tallow candles' emphasizes the modest, constrained circumstances of Lucius and his mother in their lodgings.

172 This echoes a biblical idiom (from the Old Testament) meaning to show sorrow, humiliation, and repentance by wearing coarse cloth and sitting in ashes. Trollope uses it to convey Lady Mason's ongoing self-punishment and remorse for her past actions.