

# **Computer-aided Ear-training**

**A Contemporary Approach to Kodály's Music Educational Philosophy**

Susanna Király

Academic dissertation to be publicly discussed by due permission of the Faculty of Arts at the University of Helsinki, in Auditorium 2 Metsätalo - Building, Unioninkatu 40 on May 25<sup>th</sup> 2012.

## SUPERVISORS:

Magdolna Kovács, PhD

Adjunct Professor, Department of Finnish, Finno-Ugrian and  
Scandinavian Studies  
University of Helsinki, Finland

Inkeri Ruokonen, PhD

Adjunct Professor, Department of Teacher Education  
University of Helsinki, Finland

## PRE-INSPECTORS:

Maija Fredrikson, PhD

Professor of Music Education  
University of Oulu, Finland

Caroline van Niekerk, PhD

Professor of Music Education  
University of Pretoria, South Africa

## OPPONENT:

László Norbert Nemes, DMus

Associate Professor, Franz Liszt Academy of Music,  
Budapest, Hungary  
Director, Zoltán Kodály Pedagogical Institute of Music,  
Kecskemét, Hungary

UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI  
FACULTY OF ARTS  
DEPARTMENT OF FINNISH, FINNO-UGRIAN AND  
SCANDINAVIAN STUDIES

.....

Susanna Király:

Computer-aided Ear-training  
A Contemporary Approach to Kodály's Music Educational  
Philosophy

.....

Abstract

My doctoral thesis has involved two related tasks. The first was to analyse Zoltán Kodály's philosophy of music education and, on this basis, to develop a computer-assisted instructional method (CAI) for teaching music theory and solfège (ear-training). The second task was to experiment with the effectiveness of this method and compare it with traditional approaches to teaching. Many students find music theory and ear training difficult. During the 1990s, in connection with my licentiate thesis "Solfège in the Computer Classroom" (2000), I initiated this research project and, developed a CAI method for teaching music theory and solfège. I wanted to see just how useful Kodály's approach could be in computer-aided teaching and learning.

Kodály's philosophy of music education includes the idea that every child has the right to learn his musical mother tongue. This learning should take place in a child-centred, natural and easy way. In the present study, I particularly focused on the opportunities for developing and testing the new, computer-aided teaching method, especially for ear-training, using Kodály's concept. My purpose was to create a learning tool that could be used in music schools to facilitate the teaching of music theory and solfège.

The second objective of my study was to examine the effectiveness of this new tool. Did these newly-developed CAI materials and methods cause differences in students' learning outcomes in different environments? Three different groups tested the music theory and solfège instruction with CAI: the PIT group, in which there was a computer-aided tutorial, but only the teacher used a computer, not the students; the FIT group, in which each student had a computer, and each could interact with the curriculum independently; and a control group, TRAD, to whom music theory and solfège were taught using a traditional method, that is, without any computer-aided programme. The study was conducted in the West Regional Music Institute (LUMO) in Lohja, Finland, during the school year 2004-05. The study included a total of 125 music students, ages 10 to 16.

This is an empirical and pedagogical developmental study. The testing phase also included quantitative analyses. The paramount objective was to develop and test a Kodály-based CAI solfège pedagogy. The results show that the Kodály approach can be successfully applied to the development of a computer-aided solfège programme: the Kodály-based computer-aided music theory and solfège material in fact produced the best results in most areas of learning, especially in the PIT group, in which a teacher worked with a computer-aided tutorial. The results also show that the Kodály system is applicable to new learning environments and teaching practices. It suggests that the computer-aided tutorial works well to support music theory and ear-training in individual lessons and indicates that pupils are eager to learn by using the computer. In music education CAI is an area with great potential for development. It offers multiple learning options and can enhance students' motivation to study music theory and ear-training; some of the learning outcomes were even

better than with the traditional ways of learning. The results also show, however, that the teacher-pupil interaction is essential in a computer-aided learning programme.

.....

*Keywords:* basic education in the arts, CAI, digital network equipment, ear-training, Kodály, music education, music education technology, music theory, solfège

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTON SUOMEN KIELEN, SUOMALAIS-  
UGRILAISTEN JA  
POHJOISMAISTEN KIELTEN JA KIRJALLISUUKSIEN LAITOS  
UNKARIN KIELI JA KULTTUURI

... ..  
Susanna Király:

Tietokoneavusteinen säveltapailu  
Uusi lähestymistapa Kodály'n musiikkikasvatusfilosofiaan

... ..  
Tiivistelmä

Väitöstutkimukseni sisältää kaksi toisiinsa liittyvää osaa. Ensimmäisenä tutkimustehtävänä on ollut perehtyä Kodály'n ajatuksiin ja periaatteisiin musiikkikasvatuksesta sekä kehittää tietokoneavusteinen ohjelma Kodály-filosofian periaatteisiin pohjautuvan säveltapailun opettamista varten. Toisena tutkimustehtävänä on ollut kokeilla tietokoneavusteisen opetusmenetelmän toimivuutta ja verrata sitä perinteiseen opetusmenetelmään. Monet oppilaat kokevat musiikin teorian ja säveltapailun oppimisen vaikeiksi. 1990-luvulla tekemässäni liseniaatin tutkimuksessa "Solfège in the computer classroom"(2000) aloitin perehtymisen tutkimusaiheeseen ja tietokoneavusteisen opetusmenetelmän kehittämiseen.

Väitöstutkimukseni ensimmäisenä tutkimusongelmana oli selvittää kuinka Kodály'n periaatteita voidaan käyttää tietokoneavusteisen säveltapailun opetusohjelman kehittämisessä ja toisaalta millainen on Kodály'n periaatteiden käyttökelpoisuus tietokoneavusteisessa oppimisessa. Kodály'n musiikkikasvatusfilosofian keskeisiin periaatteisiin kuului ajatus siitä, että jokaisella lapsella tulisi olla oikeus ja mahdollisuus musiikin kielen oppimiseen ja

tämän oppimisen tulisi tapahtua lapselle luonnollisella ja helpolla tavalla. Tutkimuksessani olen syventynyt Kodály-konseptin mahdollisuuksiin erityisesti säveltapailun tietokoneavusteisen opetusmenetelmäni kehittämisessä ja sen toimivuuden testaamisessa. Nyt kehitetyn opetusohjelman tarkoituksena on ollut luoda uusi Kodály-pohjainen, säveltapailun oppimista helpottava väline musiikkioppilaitosten käyttöön.

Väitöstutkimuksen toisena tavoitteena on ollut tutkia nyt kehitetyn tietokoneavusteisen Kodály-ajatuksiin pohjautuvan opetusmenetelmän toimivuutta musiikin teorian ja säveltapailun perustason oppimisprosessissa. Tutkimusongelmana oli selvittää, onko nyt kehitetyllä tietokoneavusteisella opetusmateriaalilla ja menetelmällä eroavuuksia oppilaiden säveltapailun oppimistuloksiin erilaisissa oppimisympäristöissä. Säveltapailun opiskelua tapahtui kolmessa erilaisessa ryhmässä. Ensinnäkin PIT-ryhmässä, jossa tietokoneavusteinen opetusohjelma oli opettajan kautta ja ohjaamana luokan oppilaiden käytössä, kun taas TIT-ryhmässä jokaisella oppilaalla oli oma tietokone ja he käyttivät säveltapailun opetusohjelmaa itsenäisesti. Vertailussa oli mukana myös TRAD-ryhmä, jossa säveltapailua opetettiin perinteisellä menetelmällä ilman tietokoneavusteista ohjelmaa. Tutkimus on tehty Länsi-Uudenmaan musiikkiopistossa (Lumo) vuosina 2004–2005. Tutkimuksessa oli mukana yhteensä 125, 10–16-vuotiasta, musiikkiopiston oppilasta. Tutkimus on luonteeltaan kehittävä ja empiirinen, opetusmenetelmän testausvaiheessa myös kvantitatiivisia analyyseja sisältävä. Keskeisimpänä tutkimustavoitteena on ollut Kodályin musiikkikasvatuksen periaatteisiin pohjautuvan säveltapailun pedagogiikan kehittäminen uutta tietokoneteknologiaa hyödyntäen sekä tämän tietokoneavusteisen pedagogiikan toiminnan testaaminen.



Tutkimustulokset osoittavat, että Kodályin periaatteita voidaan soveltaa tietokoneavusteisen säveltapailuohjelman kehittämisessä. Tutkimustulosten mukaan nyt kehitetty Kodály-pohjainen tietokoneavusteinen säveltapailun opetusohjelma antaa parhaimmat oppimistulokset PIT-ryhmässä, jossa opettaja ja tietokoneavusteinen opetusohjelma toimivat yhdessä säveltapailun oppimisen edistäjinä. Tutkimustulokset osoittavat, että Kodályn musiikkikasvatuksen periaatteet ovat sovellettavissa uusiin oppimisympäristöihin ja opetusmuotoihin. Tulosten mukaan tietokoneavusteinen opetusohjelma toimii hyvin opettajan tukena säveltapailun oppitunneilla ja oppilaat ovat innokkaita oppimaan tietokoneen avulla. Musiikin opetuksessa tietokoneavusteisten opetusmenetelmien kehittäminen on uusi ja kehittyvä alue. Se tarjoaa uusia oppimisen tapoja erilaisille oppijoille ja voi parantaa motivaatiota opiskella musiikin teoriaa ja säveltapailua. Osalla oppilaista oppimistulokset voivat olla jopa paremmat kuin perinteisellä tavalla opiskeltaessa. Tulokset osoittavat kuitenkin sen, että opettaja-oppilas - vuorovaikutussuhde on keskeinen myös tietokoneavusteista opetusohjelmaa käytettäessä.

... ..

Avainsanat: digitaaliset verkko-materiaalit, Kodály, musiikin teoria, musiikkikasvatus, musiikkikasvatusteknologia, säveltapailu, taiteen perusopetus

# CONTENTS

---

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

## **2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND**

2.1. The origin of music

2.2. Language learning

2.2.1. Piaget's Theory

2.2.2. Vygotsky's Theory

2.2.3. Comparing the ideas of Piaget and Vygotsky

2.3. Gordon's audiation theory

2.4. Báthory's differential theories

2.5. Solfège developers

## **3. KODÁLY: INNOVATOR IN MUSIC EDUCATION**

3.1. Zoltán Kodály and his era

3.2. Kodály Principles

3.2.1. The Importance of Music

3.2.2. The Role of Singing

3.2.3. The Folksong in Pedagogy

3.2.4. The Development of Inner Hearing

3.2.5. The Relative Solmization

3.3. The history of the Kodály pedagogy.

3.3.1. The antecedents of the Kodály Method

3.3.2. 'A Hundred Year Plan' (1947).

3.3.3. The development of the Kodály pedagogy.

3.4. Music as a language

3.4.1. Difficulties in reading music

3.4.2. Cultural-specific factors

3.5. The Kodály strategy.

3.6. Kodály-research and its adaptations in Finland

3.6.1. Finnish singing teaching methods in 1800s

3.6.2. Finnish singing teaching methods in 1900s

3.6.3. Kodály's influence on Finnish music education

#### **4. MUSIC EDUCATION TECHNOLOGY**

4.1. Computer-assisted instruction (CAI)

4.2. Computers and music education research

4.3. Music education technology in Finland

4.4. The MOVE project

4.5. EU pupils managing ICT in 2003

4.6. PISA research

4.7. The strategy in Finland

#### **5. THE STRUCTURE OF THE STUDY**

5.1. The background of the study design

5.2. The aims and research problems of the study

5.3. Research groups and teaching methods

5.4. The performance of the study

#### **6. DEVELOPING WEB MATERIAL FOR A CAI ENVIRONMENT**

6.1. The strategy of CAI

6.2. EU projects for developing Web material

6.3. Preparing the Web material

6.3.1. Preliminary CAI material

6.3.2. The *Prima Vista Web material*

6.4. CAI principles as the mirror of the Kodály principles

6.4.1. Kodály ideas in the CAI principles

6.4.2. Ideas for developing the Hungarian model

6.5. Materials for the CAI-learning environment

#### **7. LEARNING SOLFÈGE AND MUSIC THEORY WITH THREE DIFFERENT METHODS**

7.1. Learning results and correlations at the 1/3 level

7.1.1. Solfège test results at the 1/3 level

7.1.2. Music theory test results at the 1/3 level

7.1.3. Learning result correlations at the 1/3 level

7.2. Learning results and correlations at the 2/3 level

7.2.1. Solfège test results at the 2/3 level

7.2.2. Music theory test results at the 2/3 level

7.2.3. Learning result correlations at the 2/3 level

7.3. Learning results and correlations at the 3/3 level

7.3.1. Solfège test results at the 3/3 level

7.3.2. Music theory test results at the 3/3 level

7.3.3. Learning result correlations at the 3/3 level

7.4. Comparison of all the learning results of the study.

7.5. Reliability and validity of the study.

**8. CONCLUSION AND GENERAL DISCUSSION**

8.1. Theoretical frameworks

8.2. Study outcomes

8.2.1. Developing the preliminary material

8.2.2. Developing basic-level material

8.2.3. Developing middle-level material

8.3. Comparing three different instructional methods

8.4. The future

**REFERENCES**

**INSERTS**

## LIST OF FIGURES

- [Figure 1. Zone of Proximal Development \(Silvonon 2004: 53\)](#)
- [Figure 2. Báthory's hypothesis of teaching styles \(Báthory 1992: 57\)](#)
- [Figure 3. Báthory's differentiated model of teaching-learning \(Báthory 1992: 20\)](#)
- [Figure 4. Pestalozzi's child-centred method \(Linnankivi 1981: 37\)](#)
- [Figure 5. Kodály principles \(adapted by Király from Dobszay 1991: 10\)](#)
- [Figure 6. The attributes of a good musician \(adapted by Király from Kodály 1953\)](#)
- [Figure 7. Kodály's five most important ideas \(adapted by Király from Choksy 1981: 11\)](#)
- [Figure 8. Statement of the Kodály principles \(adapted by Király from Campbell 1994: 50\)](#)
- [Figure 9. Nineteenth-century solfège in Finland \(adapted by Király from Koskinen 2008\)](#)
- [Figure 10. Twentieth-century solfège in Finland \(adapted by Király from Rautiainen 2003\)](#)
- [Figure 11. Törnudd principles \(adapted by Király from Kemppinen 2005\)](#)
- [Figure 12. Rääkkönen principles \(adapted by Király from Törmälä 2005\)](#)
- [Figure 13. Roots of Kodály's adaptations in Finland \(adapted from Erdélyi-Rauhala 1984: 34\)](#)
- [Figure 14. Tapiola Choir principles \(adapted by Király from Pohjola 1993a\)](#)
- [Figure 15. The Finnish music class activity \(adapted by Király from Kiiski & Törmälä 2009\)](#)
- [Figure 16. Frequencies of the birth years in the research groups \(N=125\)](#)
- [Figure 17. Didactically and methodologically developed research for education by Király](#)
- [Figure 18. Example of a melodic dictation exercise page at the preliminary level](#)
- [Figure 19. Melodic dictation in the FIT preliminary research group using pencil](#)
- [Figure 20. Melodic dictation in the FIT preliminary research group using pencil](#)
- [Figure 21. Melodic dictation in the PIT preliminary research group using pencil](#)
- [Figure 22. Melodic dictation in the PIT preliminary group using pencil](#)
- [Figure 23. Answer page with game at the preliminary level](#)
- [Figure 24. Transposition notation](#)
- [Figure 25. Staff notation exercise page at the preliminary level](#)
- [Figure 26. Staff notation answer page at the preliminary level](#)
- [Figure 27. \*Prima Vista\* exercise pages at the 1/3A level](#)
- [Figure 28. \*Prima Vista\* exercise pages at the 1/3B level](#)

[Figure 29. \*Prima Vista\* exercise pages at the 2/3A level](#)

[Figure 30. \*Prima Vista\* answer pages at the 2/3B level](#)

[Figure 31. \*Prima Vista\* exercise pages at the 3/3A level](#)

[Figure 32. \*Prima Vista\* exercise pages at the 3/3B level](#)

[Figure 33. Principles of computer-aided music instruction by Király](#)

[Figure 34. Characters in the \*Prima Vista\* books by Claudia Hidvégi](#)

[Figure 35. Body signs \(adapted by Király from Smid 2009:27\)](#)

[Figure 36. Harmonization exercise page with functional solmization at the 2/3A level](#)

[Figure 37. Harmonization answer page with functional solmization at the 2/3A level](#)

[Figure 38. Solfège pre-test results at the 1/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 39. Solfège post-test results at the 1/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 40. Differences in the solfège pre- and post-test results at the 1/3 level](#)

[Figure 41. Music theory pre-test results at the 1/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 42. Music theory post-test results at the 1/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 43. Differences in the music theory pre- and post-test results at the 1/3 level](#)

[Figure 44. Solfège pre-test results at the 2/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 45. Solfège post-test results at the 2/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 46. Differences in the solfège pre- and post-test results at the 2/3 level](#)

[Figure 47. Music theory pre-test results at the 2/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 48. Music theory post-test results at the 2/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 49. Differences in the music theory pre- and post-test results at the 2/3 level](#)

[Figure 50. Solfège pre-test results at the 3/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 51. Solfège post-test results at the 3/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 52. Differences in the solfège pre- and post-test results at the 3/3 level](#)

[Figure 53. Music theory pre-test results at the 3/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 54. Music theory post-test results at the 3/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 55. Differences in the music theory pre- and post-test results at the 3/3 level](#)

[Figure 56. All research results at the 1/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 57. All research results at the 2/3 level in percentages](#)

[Figure 58. All research results at the 3/3 level in percentages](#)

## LIST OF TABLES

- [Table 1. Gordon's communication-model \(Gordon 1994: 30\) as adapted by Király](#)
- [Table 2. Gordon's music notation theory \(Gordon 1994: 31\) as adapted by Király](#)
- [Table 3. CAI Music notation theory by Király](#)
- [Table 4. Types of Audiation \(Gordon 1994: 33\)](#)
- [Table 5. Stages of Audiation \(Gordon 1994: 34\)](#)
- [Table 6. Connections between the method and the level of pupils' activity \(Báthory 1992: 65\)](#)
- [Table 7. The process of different note-realisation methods by Király](#)
- [Table 8. Publications of the Finnish Kodály Center \(1989-2002\)](#)
- [Table 9. Kodály-related doctoral dissertations at Jyväskylä \(1996-2009\)](#)
- [Table 10. Methods for computer-aided instruction \(CAI\)](#)
- [Table 11. Gender differences in the research groups \(N=125\)](#)
- [Table 12. Higher-level students' observations about melodic dictation \(Király 2000: 25\)](#)
- [Table 13. Chordal Analysis in CAI Music Theory by Király](#)
- [Table 14. Chordal Analysis in CAI Solfège by Király](#)
- [Table 15. Means of solfège test results at the 1/3 level](#)
- [Table 16. Means of music theory test results at the 1/3 level](#)
- [Table 17. Solfège test result correlations at the 1/3 level](#)
- [Table 18. Music theory test result correlations at the 1/3 level](#)
- [Table 19. Means of solfège test results at the 2/3 level](#)
- [Table 20. Means of music theory test results at the 2/3 level](#)
- [Table 21. Solfège test result correlations at the 2/3 level](#)
- [Table 22. Music theory test result correlations at the 2/3 level](#)
- [Table 23. Means of solfège test results at the 3/3 level](#)
- [Table 24. Means of music theory test results at the 3/3 level](#)
- [Table 25. Solfège test result correlations at the 3/3 level](#)
- [Table 26. Music theory test result correlations at the 3/3 level](#)
- [Table 27. Reliability scale: all variables of 1/3 outcomes](#)
- [Table 28. Reliability scale: all variables of 2/3 outcomes](#)
- [Table 29. Reliability scale: all variables of 3/3 outcomes](#)
- [Table 30. Sample typology of this study](#)
- [Table 31. One-way analysis of variance: Test of the homogeneity of variances \(Levene\)](#)
- [Table 32. Post-hoc tests: Means for groups in homogeneous subsets](#)

[Table 33. Comparing the total Mean Plot results in the music theory tests \(N=125\).](#)

[Table 34. Comparing the total Mean Plot results in the solfège tests \(N=125\).](#)



## LIST OF APPENDIXES

- [Appendix 1. Contents of the preliminary 1/3 A games in 2006](#)
- [Appendix 2. Contents of the preliminary 1/3 A games in 2008](#)
- [Appendix 3. Solfège pre-tests at the 1/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 4. Solfège post-tests at the 1/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 5. Solfège pre-tests at the 2/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 6. Solfège post-tests at the 2/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 7. Solfège pre-tests at the 3/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 8. Solfège post-tests at the 3/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 9. Music theory pre-tests at the 1/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 10. Music theory post-tests at the 1/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 11. Music theory pre-tests at the 2/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 12. Music theory post-tests at the 2/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 13. Music theory pre-tests at the 3/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 14. Music theory post-tests at the 3/3 level](#)
- [Appendix 15. Data 1/3 \(N=42\)](#)
- [Appendix 16. Data 2/3 \(N=46\)](#)
- [Appendix 17. Data 3/3 \(N=37\)](#)
- [Appendix 18. Statistical significant limits \(p-values\)](#)
- [Appendix 19. One-way analysis of variance: ANOVA](#)
- [Appendix 20. One-way analysis of variance: Descriptive](#)
- [Appendix 21. Multiple Comparisons with the Tukey HSD Test](#)
- [Appendix 22. One-way analysis of variance: Mean Plot Tests \(N=125\)](#)
- [Appendix 23. Original Quotations](#)
- [Appendix 24. Example for a CAI Music Theory I Chordal Analysis \(Renaissance\)](#)
- [Appendix 25. Example for a CAI Solfège I Chordal Analysis \(Renaissance\)](#)
- [Appendix 26. Example for a CAI Music Theory I Chordal analysis \(Baroque\)](#)
- [Appendix 27. Example for a CAI Solfège I Chordal Analysis \(Baroque\)](#)

# ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

## CHAPTER 1

CAI	Computer-aided Instruction
LUMO	West Regional Music Institute in Lohja, Finland

## CHAPTER 2

AV	Audio-visual
E-book	electronic (digital) book
IKS	International Kodály Society
EMF	Elementary Mental Functions
MI	Multiple Intelligences
MKO	the More Knowledgeable Other
PDA	Personal Digital Assistant
ZPD	Zone of Proximal Development

## CHAPTER 3

FKC	Finnish Kodály Center	Suomen Kodály-keskus
FKS	Finnish Kodály Society	Suomen Kodály-seura
IKS	International Kodály Society	Nemzetközi Kodály Társaság

## CHAPTER 4

ATMI	the Association for Technology in Music Instruction
EFTA	the European Free Trade Association
EU	European Union
Eurydice	Information on Education Systems and Policies in Europe
FME	the Finnish Ministry of Education
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
ISME	International Society for Music Education
IT	Information technology
MOVE	Music Education and Research Online
OPM	the Ministry of Education
PLATO	Programmed Logic for Automated Teaching Operations
PISA	the Programme for International Student Assessment
RIME	Research in Music Education
PLATO	Programmed Logic for Automated Teaching Operations
PISA	the Programme for International Student Assessment
RIME	Research in Music Education
TV	Television [telecommunication medium]
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

## CHAPTER 5

FIT	Full Information Technology	personal instruction, computer for everyone
PIT	Part Information Technology	group teaching, computer used by the teacher
TRAD	Traditional Teaching	without computer

## CHAPTER 6

CD	Compact Disc
DOM	Functional syllable for the dominant
EACEA	The Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency
FNBE	Finnish National Board of Education
MIDI	Musical Instrument Digital Interface
SUB	Functional syllable for the subdominant
TON	Functional syllable for the tonic
VCR	Video Cassette Recorder

## CHAPTER 7

UCLA	University of California, Los Angeles
------	---------------------------------------

Data Codes / Inserts Nos 15–17

V1_1	Pre-octaves	music theory task
V1_2	Post-octaves	music theory task
V2_1	Pre-barlines	music theory task
V2_2	Post-barlines	music theory task
V3_1	Pre-scales	music theory task
V3_2	Post-scales	music theory task
V4_1	Pre-intervals	music theory task
V4_2	Post-intervals	music theory task
V5_1	Pre-musical terms	music theory task
V5_2	Post-musical terms	music theory task
V6_1	Pre-melodic dictation	solfège task
V6_2	Post-melodic dictation	solfège task
V7_1	Pre-rhythmic dictation	solfège task
V7_2	Post-rhythmic dictation	solfège task
V8_1	Pre-chordic dictation	solfège task
V8_2	Post-chordic dictation	solfège task
V9_1	Pre-chords	music theory task
V9_2	Post-chords	music theory task
V10_1	Pre-interval dictation	solfège task
V10_2	Post-interval dictation	solfège task
V11_1	Pre-cadence dictation	solfège task
V11_2	Post-cadence dictation	solfège task

## INTRODUCTION

In 1991 I began postgraduate studies at the Sibelius Academy in Helsinki, Finland. At the same time I was a music theory and solfège lecturer in Lohja, also in Finland.

My favourite memory of the Sibelius Academy is following Seija-Sisko Raitio's solfège instruction. At that time, teachers had begun to use music software. Aarre Joutsevirta suggested *Encore notation software* (2010), for which I am very grateful, because it is the only music software that is simple enough to use without any special technical training, and it is the only program that is suitable for small children from the very first lesson. (About *Encore notation software*, see, for example, Encore 2010=[www.gvox.com](http://www.gvox.com))

In the autumn of 1992 Computer-Aided Instruction or CAI was begun in the *Länsi-Uudenmaan musiikkiopisto* (the West Regional Music Institute or LUMO in Lohja). At the time the principals of LUMO and the Anttila School had agreed that the computer classroom in the comprehensive school could be used for solfège instruction in the afternoons. Primary school teachers used the computer lab in the mornings, and the music schoolteachers used it in the afternoons. The principal of the music school, Jorma Mäenpää, invested in sound cards, synthesizers and *Encore notation software* (2010) for each computer. (Király 2000.)

In 1993 the Kuopio Department of the Sibelius Academy invited me to teach solfège with the aid of a computer.

Because I was unable to find any readymade computer-aided instructional materials for solfège, I began to develop such materials myself (Király 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997).

My licentiate study, *Solfège in the computer classroom* (2000), focused on learning outcomes in middle-grade and higher-level classes. A study was done on the students' attitudes, motivation and opinions about computer-based music theory and solfège learning. The results of the licentiate research suggested that notions of learning and teaching in the computer classroom have changed in quality. Learning has come to the fore more sharply than the process of teaching, and computers have become a useful aid to independent learning. (Király 2000.)

My licentiate research was connected with computer-aided teaching and learning experiences and focused on middle-grade and higher-level students' attitudes, motivation, opinions and learning outcomes with computer-based solfège instruction. I wanted to develop CAI materials in order to provide a more agreeable and effective method of learning than was available with traditional methods. I also wanted to find suitable didactic instruction for teaching music theory and solfège and combine it with the methods I had learned in my original music studies, which were done in Hungary. Learning in a computer classroom requires efficient computers, and kindergarten is the ideal place and age to begin CAI. (Király 2000.)

The results of my licentiate research demonstrated that solfège instruction needed rejuvenation, especially in its aims. The teacher's role has become more challenging. I have learned from experience that computer-aided teaching cannot be done effectively by teachers who are untrained in computer-teaching technology. A music teacher who uses a computer for music teaching requires special technological education, digital materials, a well-equipped virtual

environment and a special IT assistant who is always ready to help. (Király 2000.)

### *Solfa.Net project*

The purpose of the Solfa.Net project (2002–2006) was to understand the pedagogical models for E-learning and the virtual learning space and to investigate how the models might be implemented in a practical environment.

The research programmes of the Solfa.Net project were Solfa.Net Teacher Education, Solfa.Net Learning Space and Solfa.Net Materials.

The research programme of the EU project concentrated on preparing music teachers for Web education and developing Web learning spaces and materials. Lasse Aumala and Pirkko Juntunen prepared Web material for instrumental instruction on the Finnish *kantele* (a type of zither) and violin. My role was to develop Web material for music theory and solfège instruction and for tutoring other teachers (Király 2004a-h, 2005a-h).

Together with Minna Viitala and Teuvo Rynnänen, teachers of music theory and solfège at the *Kyrkslätt musikinstitut* (Music Institute in Kirkkonummi, Finland) and at the *Borgånejdens musikinstitut* (the Music Institute in Porvoo, Finland), I developed a pedagogical approach to the technological problems in music education.

In my dissertation I continue exploring the theme of my licentiate work by focusing on the basic level of CAI and the new possibilities it offers for music pedagogy. The aim of this research is mainly to develop Kodály-based Web material and to determine how such material can be used in music education. Music theory and solfège are taught using three different methods on three basic learning levels. The



groups are compared in order to determine pupils' learning outcomes and how the CAI materials function.

# THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

## 2.1. The origin of music

Speech is basic to the development of human culture and differentiates us from all other species. The major transition from hominid to human development (2.5 million years ago) took place when the human species began to make and use tools. To do this it was necessary to formulate and follow a plan and to think in advance. The basis of intelligent behaviour requires a sense of the past and the future, as well as a concept of time.

Musicologists and anthropologists of music around the world believe that music was adapted from cultural behaviour and religious ceremonies, and this explains its origin. Acoustic and musical signs were significant and understood among the human species as important factors in communication and for behaviour. It is more than likely that we were a creative, singing, dancing, clapping, music-making species long before we became verbal and talking *Homo sapiens*. Ancient Greek philosophers believed that music originated from language. However, Maria B. Spsychiger's hypothesis (2001: 36) suggests that the ability to organise sound was evolutionary and was established much earlier than speech.

Donald Hodges (1989) put music in primary place among the functions of music in human development. Hodges (1989) introduced music in the 'third function', as an individual mode of knowledge. He suggested that important

concepts in human behaviour are represented and conveyed through music, and mentions expressions of truth, beauty, justice, love, care, faithfulness, triumph, grief, gladness, lightness, growing, diminishing as specific concepts in human experience. According to Spychiger (2001: 37), recognition of nonverbal knowledge is important because the belief persists that human thinking and intelligent behaviour are based on language.

Howard Gardner's theory of Multiple Intelligences (MI) (1983, 1999, 2005) reflects a concept of music as a 'mode of knowledge'. According to this theory, all human beings possess a minimum of eight forms of intelligence: linguistic, logical-mathematical, musical, spatial, bodily-kinaesthetic, naturalist, interpersonal and intrapersonal. These multiple intelligences identify us as human beings. Gardner's multiple intelligences are based on individual sign systems, such as verbal (language), numerical (mathematics), sonic (music), visual-spatial (objects and pictures) and kinaesthetic (gesture, mime, movement). Multiple intelligences correspond to modes of knowledge, and this comprehension, which is Hodge's third function of music, can be linked to Gardner's definition of musical intelligence. (Spychiger 2001: 38.)

According to Gardner (2000: 32), schools have the benefit of one or two forms of human intelligence involving language and logic as well as additional effective techniques through which we become acquainted with the world. Teachers need to modify their instructional techniques in order to give equal learning opportunities to all students, not just to those talented in linguistics or mathematics.

The following quotation comes from an article about Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences presented on the 25th anniversary of its publication (Gardner 2008):

The most important steps taken by Gardner (1983) involved arriving at a working definition of 'an intelligence' and devising a set of criteria of what counts as 'an intelligence'. Gardner described 'an intelligence' as: 'a biological and psychological potential to solve problems and / or create products that are valued in one or more cultural contexts'. Armed with this definition and these criteria, Gardner identified seven relatively autonomous capacities that he called the multiple intelligences: linguistic, logical-mathematical, musical, spatial, kinaesthetic, interpersonal and intrapersonal. In more recent writings, Gardner added an eighth (naturalist) intelligence and has continued to speculate about a possible ninth (existential) intelligence. The two most important scientific implications of the theory are complementary. On the one hand, all human beings possess the eight or nine types of intelligence that make us human; on the other hand, no two human beings - not even identical twins - exhibit precisely the same profile of intelligence. (Gardner 2008: 1.)

## **2.2. Language learning**

When Charles Darwin published *Origin of Species* in 1859, he had considerable interest in the origin and evolution of language. He consistently observed his son's progress and language-learning process. (Darwin 1859.) In 1877 Darwin published his *Biographical Sketch of an Infant*, which reflected his evolutionary theory. Child development is a contemplation on the evolution of the species. For a long time language was considered the essential factor in the evolution of children. (Darwin 1877.)

Of the numerous books on theories of language learning, two appear to be closest to my study. They are the cognitive constructivism of Jean Piaget and the social constructivism of Lev Semyonovich Vygotsky. The main focus of *Piaget's cognitive constructivism theory* is on the cognitive development of children (1963 [1936], 1971, 1993).

In *Vygotsky's social constructivism theory* (1993 [1936], 1987) the primary concern is the affiliation between thought and language. Vygotsky was interested in the ways different languages could affect the way a person thinks. Vygotsky's

theory views language first as social communication, which gradually promotes both language and cognition. He proposed that what Piaget observed as the egocentric speech of children was in fact personal speech. This is the child's way of exploiting words to think about something or other, which is a step from common speech to thinking in words. Therefore, Vygotsky's concept of language as common communication promotes the gradual development of both language and psychology. (David 2004: 12-13.)

### 2.2.1. Piaget's Theory

The child psychologist Jean Piaget (1896-1980) demonstrated the means through which the mind transforms new information. The basic inclination applied in thinking is towards *organising* - the compounding, arranging, decomposing and rearranging of information and concepts into a comprehensible system. Human beings are born with an inclination to organise their reasoning techniques into psychological constructions. Piaget identified these structures as *schemes*. In this theory schemes are the basic fabrication barriers to thinking. (Woolfolk 2007: 28.)

Children can understand only what they have experienced. A child believes that everyone sees the world in exactly the same way he does. According to Piaget, adaptation is the most important principle of human functioning. Adaptation is the continuous process of using the environment to learn and adjust to changes in the environment. It is a process of adjustment consisting of two complementary processes, *assimilation* and *accommodation*. (Piaget 1952: 357-419; Singer & Revenson 1978: 12-13.)

In scientific thinking, for instance, accommodation to reality is purely experimental, but assimilation is deductive,

incorporating objects into logical or mathematical schemas (Piaget 1962: 161).

*Assimilation* is the process of taking in new information and fitting it into a preconceived notion about objects or the world. *Accommodation* means adjusting to new experiences or objects by revising the old plan to fit new information. This dual process, assimilation-accommodation, which leads to adaptation, enables the child to form what Piaget calls a schema. A *schema* is a simple mental image or pattern of action, a form of organising information that a person uses to interpret the things he sees, hears, smells and touches. Adaptation is a process of seeking *equilibrium* between the self and the environment: It is a balance between the processes of assimilation and accommodation. (Piaget 1952: 357-419; Singer & Revenson 1978: 15-16.)

According to Piaget (1971, 1993), coordinating, assimilating and accommodating can be viewed as a sophisticated balancing process of behaviour. In his concept, actual modification in thinking occurs through utilising *equilibration* - the ability of enquiring to make adjustments. Equilibrium exists when a distinct scheme is applied to an event or situation and the scheme is carried out. If the scheme does not produce gratifying results, then *disequilibrium* will be ensued, and a person becomes uncomfortable. This prompts us to continue further enquiry to find a solution by way of assimilation and accommodation, and thus our thinking makes adjustments and moves ahead. (Woolfolk 2007: 29.)

According to Piaget (1993 [1947]: 172), after the appearance of language, or more precisely, the symbolic function that makes its acquisition possible (at age 1½ to 2), there begins a period lasting almost four years and involving the development of symbolic and pre-conceptual thought. From 4 to about 7 or 8 years of age, a closely linked continuation of the previous stage is developed - intuitive