

Anna Carina Römer

Simulation-based Optimization of Energy Efficiency in Production

MOREMEDIA



Springer Gabler

Forschung zur Digitalisierung der Wirtschaft | Advanced Studies in Business Digitization

Series Editors

Volker Nissen, FG WID, Technische Universität Ilmenau, Ilmenau, Thüringen, Germany

Udo Bankhofer, FG Quantitative Methoden der, TU Ilmenau, Ilmenau, Thüringen, Germany

Dirk Stelzer, Fakultät für Wirtschaftswissenschaft, Technische Universität Ilmenau, Ilmenau, Thüringen, Germany

Steffen Straßburger, Fraunhofer Institute for Factory Operation and Automation, Ilmenau University of Technology, School of Economics Science, Ilmenau, Thüringen, Germany

Digitalisierung verändert Unternehmen und Behörden, unser Privatleben und unsere Gesellschaft. Organisationen, die wettbewerbsfähig bleiben wollen, müssen die dadurch notwendigen Veränderungen aktiv mitgestalten. Die Rolle der IT muss sich in diesem Zusammenhang vom Kostenfaktor zum Werttreiber wandeln. Weiterentwicklungen der IT-Kernkompetenzen sind nötig. In dieser Reihe werden wissenschaftlich fundierte und praktisch relevante Erkenntnisse zur Digitalisierung veröffentlicht. Praktiker finden darin vielfältige Anregungen für die Gestaltung und Verbesserung von Strukturen, Prozessen und IT-Systemen. Wissenschaftler erhalten Impulse für die Beschreibung, Erklärung, Prognose und Gestaltung der Digitalisierung.

Digitalization is changing businesses and governments, our private lives and our societies. Organizations that want to remain competitive must actively shape the changes that are necessary as a result. In this context, the role of IT must change from a cost factor to a value driver. Further developments of the IT core competencies are necessary. This series publishes scientifically sound and practically relevant findings on digitization. Practitioners will find many suggestions for the design and improvement of structures, processes and IT systems. Scientists receive impulses for the description, explanation, prediction and design of digitisation.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/16416>

Anna Carina Römer

Simulation-based Optimization of Energy Efficiency in Production



Springer Gabler

Anna Carina Römer
Weinstadt, Germany

Zugleich Dissertation, Fakultät für Wirtschaftswissenschaften und Medien, Technische Universität Ilmenau

Erstgutachten: Prof. Dr. Steffen Straßburger, Technische Universität Ilmenau

Zweitgutachten: Prof. Dr. Rainer Souren, Technische Universität Ilmenau

Drittgutachten: Prof. Dr. Thomas Schulze, Universität Magdeburg

Tag der Abgabe: 22. Januar 2020

Tag der wissenschaftlichen Aussprache: 15. Juli 2020

ISSN 2662-4788

ISSN 2662-4796 (electronic)

Forschung zur Digitalisierung der Wirtschaft | Advanced Studies in Business
Digitization

ISBN 978-3-658-32970-9

ISBN 978-3-658-32971-6 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-32971-6>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer
Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH, part of Springer Nature 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Responsible Editor: Anna Pietras

This Springer Gabler imprint is published by the registered company Springer Fachmedien
Wiesbaden GmbH part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Abraham-Lincoln-Str. 46, 65189 Wiesbaden, Germany

Preface

This book was written in the context of my work as an external PhD student at the Department Information Technology for Production and Logistics at the Technical University of Ilmenau.

I would like to thank my doctoral supervisor, Professor Steffen Straßburger, the head of the department, for supporting my academic research, for the stimulating discussions, and critical examination of my work.

My special thanks go to the Johannes Hübner Stiftung Giessen for the financial support of the doctorate, as well as for the constructive support and the expert advice. I would also like to thank the Thüringer Graduiertenförderung for the doctoral scholarship in the start-up phase of my research.

I would like to mention the very good cooperation with the Robert Bosch GmbH. In this context, a special thank you goes to Mr. Stefan Regert, Mr. Christian Kau, and Mr. Fabian Bucksch for providing the practical data, for the interesting discussions, and the numerous helpful proposals.

I am grateful to my family, who has always supported me incredibly. I would like to thank my parents-in-law, Karin and Matthias Römer, who always and immediately helped when there was need.

From the bottom of my heart I would like to thank my parents—Regine and Wolfgang Gollub—who have always accompanied me with love and patience during my studies, in my professional life and in the creation of this work. They have given me constant and unconditional support and encouraged me in my decisions over all the years.

My last and most heartfelt thanks go to my husband Fabian and my children Max and Jonathan, who kept me free of obligation and supported me in an invaluable way whenever it was necessary. I am grateful for their understanding

and patience, especially during the last very intensive months when finalizing this work.

January 2020

Anna Carina Römer

Zusammenfassung

Die vorliegende Arbeit beschäftigt sich mit der Integration von Energieaspekten in die Produktionssimulation. Ziel der Arbeit ist es, die Energieverbräuche von Produktionsanlagen realitätsnah in einem Simulationsmodell abzubilden, um diese anschließend für die simulationsbasierte Optimierung der Energieeffizienz nutzen zu können und damit eine umfassende Prozessqualität im Hinblick auf den optimalen Ressourceneinsatz des Faktors Energie im Produktionsprozess sicherzustellen.

Hierzu wird zunächst ein hybrider Simulationsansatz entwickelt, der verschiedene Simulationsparadigmen in einem Modell kombiniert. Die Hybridisierung von Simulationsmodellen bietet dem Modellersteller eine große Flexibilität bei der Erfassung von Problemen, die sich gleichzeitig auf diskrete (Materialfluss) und kontinuierliche (Energiefluss) Strukturen beziehen. In einem zweiten Schritt wird das Simulationsmodell für Optimierungsexperimente genutzt. Die Grundidee hinter diesem Ansatz ist es, durch mehrere Iterationen, die verschiedene Systemkonfigurationen simulieren, eine optimale Lösung für die zu variierenden Optimierungsparameter zu finden. Die Simulation wird durch die Optimierung gestartet, liefert die Ergebnisdaten und bildet die Grundlage für eine Beurteilung des dynamischen Verhaltens des abgebildeten Produktionssystems. Auf diese Weise lassen sich optimale Parameterkonfigurationen im Hinblick auf die gestellte Zielfunktion unter Nutzung des Simulationsmodells ermitteln.

Die in dieser Arbeit entwickelte Methodik gliedert sich damit in zwei Module, ein Simulations- und ein Optimierungsmodul. Das Simulationsmodul ist in drei Komponenten unterteilt, eine Materialfluss-, eine Maschinen- und eine Energiekomponente. Damit lassen sich die Produktionsprozesse auf allen notwendigen Ebenen in einem Modell abbilden. In Anlehnung an das in der Industrie übliche Vorgehen bei der Erstellung eines Produktionssimulationsmodells wird eine

Materialflusskomponente definiert, in der die Prozesse der Produktion diskret und prozessorientiert dargestellt werden. Das Materialfluss-Modell umfasst alle elektrischen Verbraucher, die unmittelbar an den Produktionsprozessen beteiligt sind. Um die Abläufe der Arbeitsschritte der einzelnen Maschinen exakt abzubilden, wird eine agentenbasierte Maschinenlogik definiert, die unter Nutzung von Zustandsdiagrammen die Prozesse darstellen kann. Dadurch lassen sich Maschinenzustände, Zustandsänderungen sowie Zustandsdauern unterschiedlich komplexer Maschinentypen realitätsnah modellieren. Die Verbindung zum Energieverbrauchsverhalten wird über die Energiekomponente des Simulationsmoduls hergestellt. Die Energiekomponente beinhaltet die Modellierung kontinuierlicher Energielastprofile, die den einzelnen Maschinenzuständen zugeordnet werden und bei den Simulationsläufen entsprechend des Maschinenschaltverhaltens zur Ermittlung des Gesamtenergieverbrauchs der Produktionsprozesse genutzt werden.

Um die energetischen Aspekte in der Produktion nicht nur zu modellieren, sondern auch für Optimierungsszenarien zu nutzen, werden lexikographisch geordnete Zielfunktionen abgeleitet, die im Rahmen von simulationsbasierten Optimierungsexperimenten ideale Parameterkonfigurationen für den energieeffizienten Betrieb der Produktionslinien ermitteln. Der Schwerpunkt der Optimierung liegt dabei auf der Reduzierung des Gesamtenergieverbrauchs durch die Vermeidung nicht-wertschöpfender Maschinenzustände. In diesen Phasen verbrauchen die Produktionsanlagen unnötig Energie, werden aber nicht aktiv zur Wertschöpfung eingesetzt. Die Gesamtverbrauchsoptimierung zeigt auf, dass Unternehmen dieser Ressourcenverschwendungen durch ein effizientes Schalten der Anlagen entgegenwirken können, ohne große finanzielle Investitionen in neue Technologien tätigen zu müssen. Neben der Optimierung des Gesamtenergiebedarfs beinhaltet die Methodik die Möglichkeit, im Rahmen einer Lastspitzen-Optimierung die Maschinenstarts innerhalb eines definierten Zeitraumes so zu verändern, dass auftretende Spitzenlasten reduziert werden.

Die entwickelte Methodik wird zunächst in einer fiktiven Fallstudie implementiert und verfeinert, bevor sie am Beispiel eines Automobilzulieferers in der industriellen Praxis Anwendung findet. Im Rahmen dieser Praxisversuche werden verschiedene hoch aufgelöste Energiedaten getestet. Die praktische Anwendung der Methodik zeigt, dass es möglich ist, ein hybrides Simulationsmodell zur Darstellung des Energieverbrauchsverhaltens in der Produktion auf Basis historischer Verbrauchsdaten aufzubauen und in Kombination mit Prognosezahlen auch die zukünftigen Energieverbräuche mit den anstehenden Spitzenlasten und nicht wertschöpfenden Produktionsphasen sehr genau abzubilden. Aus den durchgeföhrten

Optimierungsversuchen ergeben sich damit Handlungsvorschläge zur energieeffizienten Steuerung von Maschinen, die in Produktionssituationen ähnlich des fiktiven Beispiels zu Energieverbrauchsreduzierungen von 10 % und in stark verketteten Produktionslinien zu Einsparungen von etwa 6 % führen.

Summary

This book presents a methodology for the integration of energy aspects into production simulation. The aim of this work is to realistically represent the energy consumption of production plants in a simulation model in order to be able to use it for the simulation-based optimization of energy efficiency and thus to ensure a comprehensive process quality with regard to the optimal use of the factor energy in the production process.

For this purpose, a hybrid simulation approach is developed, which combines different simulation paradigms in one single model. The hybridization of simulation models offers the model creator great flexibility in the detection of problems that are simultaneously related to discrete (material flow) and continuous (energy flow) structures. In a second step, the simulation model is used for optimization experiments. The basic idea behind this approach is to find an optimal solution for the optimization parameters being varied through several iterations to simulate different system configurations. The simulation is started by the optimization, delivers the result data and forms the basis for an evaluation of the dynamic behavior of the mapped production system. In this way, optimal parameter configurations can be determined with regard to the set target function using the simulation model.

The methodology developed in this work is thus divided into two modules, a simulation and an optimization module. The simulation module is divided into three components, a material flow, a machine and an energy component. This allows the production processes to be depicted on all necessary levels in one simulation model. Following the standard procedure in industry for the creation of a production simulation model, a material flow component is defined in which the production processes are represented discretely and process-oriented. The material flow model includes all electrical consumers that are directly involved in the

production processes. To map the work steps of the individual machines as accurate as possible, an agent-based machine logic is defined, which can represent the processes using status diagrams. This allows a realistic modeling of machine states, state changes and state durations of machine types of varying complexity. The connection to energy consumption behavior is made via the energy component of the simulation module. The energy component includes the modeling of continuous energy load profiles, which are assigned to the individual machine states and are used in the simulation runs according to the machine switching behavior to determine the total energy consumption of the production processes.

In order not only to model the energy aspects in production but also to use them for optimization scenarios, lexicographically ordered objective functions are derived, which determine ideal parameter configurations for the energy-efficient operation of the production lines in simulation-based optimization experiments. The focus of the optimization is on the reduction of the total energy consumption by avoiding non-value-adding machine states. In these phases, the production lines consume energy unnecessarily, but are not actively used to create value. The overall consumption optimization shows that companies can counteract this waste of resources by efficiently switching the machines without having to make large financial investments in new technologies. In addition to the optimization of the total energy demand, the methodology includes the possibility to change the machine starts within a defined period of time in order to reduce peak loads.

The developed methodology is first implemented and refined in a fictitious case study before it is applied to the example of an automotive supplier in industrial practice. Within the scope of these practical tests, energy data with different resolutions is tested. The practical application of the methodology shows that it is possible to build a hybrid simulation model for the representation of energy consumption behavior in production on the basis of historical consumption data and, in combination with forecast figures, to very accurately represent future energy consumption with upcoming peak loads and non-value-adding production phases. The conducted optimization experiments thus result in proposals for action for the energy-efficient control of machines, which in production situations similar to the fictitious example lead to energy consumption reductions of 10% and in strongly interlinked production lines to savings of about 6%.

Abbreviations

| | |
|-------|---|
| ABS | Agent-based Simulation |
| ATSA | Adaptive Thermo-Statistical Simulated Annealing |
| BCVTB | Building Controls Virtual Test Bed |
| CNC | Computerized Numerical Control |
| CSS | Continuous System Simulation |
| DES | Discrete Event Simulation |
| DESS | Differential Equation Specified Systems |
| DEVS | Discrete Event Specified Systems |
| DS | Dynamic Systems |
| DTSS | Discrete Time Specified Systems |
| EIE | Energy-Intensive Enterprises |
| EnPI | Energy Performance Indicator |
| ERP | Enterprise Resource Planning |
| GA | Genetic Algorithm |
| GEA | Global Energy Assessment |
| GHG | Greenhouse Gas |
| HSM | Hybrid Systems Modeling |
| IP | Interaction Points |
| IS | Information Systems |
| IT | Information Technology |
| FSM | Finite State Machine |
| KPI | Key Performance Indicator |
| LP | Linear Programming |
| LPC | Load Profile Clustering |
| MES | Manufacturing Execution System |
| MILP | Mixed Integer Linear Programming Model |

| | |
|------|---------------------------------|
| MS | Management Science |
| M&S | Modeling and Simulation |
| MTTF | Meantime to Failure |
| MTTR | Meantime to Repair |
| NLP | Nonlinear Programming |
| ODE | Ordinary Differential Equation |
| OEE | Overall Equipment Effectiveness |
| OM | Operations Management |
| OR | Operations Research |
| OTC | Over the Counter |
| PDE | Partial Differential Equation |
| PLC | Programmable Logic Controller |
| PSO | Particle Swarm Optimization |
| QP | Quadratic Programming |
| RNN | Recurrent Neural Network |
| ROI | Return of Investment |
| SA | Simulated Annealing |
| SCM | Supply Chain Management |
| SD | System Dynamics |

Symbols

| Symbol | Unit | Description |
|-----------------|------------------------|---|
| E | Joule, kWh | energy |
| E_{state} | kWh | energy required to reach an operating state (standby, idle, run time, operating) |
| ξ | | randomness of a system |
| f | | objective function |
| F | N, kg*m/s ² | force |
| g | m/s ² | gravity |
| h | m | height |
| I | A | electric current |
| k | kJ/cm ³ | machine specific constant |
| m | kg | mass |
| $m_{i_{op}}$ | kW | energy consumption of machine operation (standby, idle, warmup, ...) of machine i |
| n | | production volume |
| η_i | | degree of efficiency |
| η_{system} | | overall efficiency of a system |
| Θ | | feasible region or constraint set |
| P | kW | power |
| ρ | bar | pressure |
| ρ_{am} | bar | ambient state pressure |
| \mathbb{R}^n | | geometrical space of decision variables |
| s | mm | distance |

| Symbol | Unit | Description |
|---------------|-----------------------|---|
| t | sec | time |
| t_{warmup} | sec | warmup time |
| T | °C, K | temperature |
| T_{am} | °C | ambient state temperature |
| U | V | voltage |
| v | m/s, ms ⁻¹ | speed |
| \dot{v} | cm ³ /sec | material processing rate |
| W | J, Ws | work |
| x | | decision variable |
| X | | design space of an optimization problem |

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Initial Situation and Problem Definition | 1 |
| 1.2 | Objectives and Work Structure | 3 |
| 1.3 | Classification in the Fields of Information Systems and Research Methods | 6 |
| 2 | Simulation-Based Optimization | 9 |
| 2.1 | Modeling and Simulation | 10 |
| 2.1.1 | Continuous and Discrete Simulation | 14 |
| 2.1.2 | Hybrid Modeling and Simulation | 19 |
| 2.2 | Optimization Methods | 24 |
| 2.2.1 | Terminology in Optimization | 25 |
| 2.2.2 | Exact and Heuristic Optimization Methods | 26 |
| 2.3 | Combination of Simulation and Optimization Methods | 28 |
| 2.3.1 | Coupling and Interaction Modes of Simulation and Optimization | 30 |
| 2.3.2 | Objectives and Challenges of Simulation-based Optimization | 32 |
| 2.3.3 | Optimization Packages Interfaced With Simulation Software | 35 |
| 3 | Energy | 39 |
| 3.1 | Development of the Global Energy Market | 40 |
| 3.2 | The Concept of Energy | 41 |
| 3.2.1 | Work, Energy and Power | 41 |
| 3.2.2 | Energy Efficiency | 49 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 3.2.3 | Energy Consumption of Manufacturing Processes and Systems | 50 |
| 3.3 | Energy Costs | 57 |
| 3.4 | Energy Saving Potentials and Energy Efficiency in Manufacturing | 61 |
| 3.4.1 | Energy Saving Potentials in Manufacturing | 61 |
| 3.4.2 | Energy Performance Indicators | 63 |
| 4 | State of the Art | 67 |
| 4.1 | Selection and Evaluation of Relevant Research Approaches | 68 |
| 4.1.1 | General Limitations for the Selection of Research Approaches | 71 |
| 4.1.2 | Studies on the Optimization of the Energy Demand in Production Systems | 72 |
| 4.1.3 | Studies on Simulation-Based Modeling of the Energy Demand in Production Systems | 75 |
| 4.1.4 | Studies on Simulation-Based optimization of Energy Efficiency in Production | 80 |
| 4.2 | Comparison of Results and Discussion | 83 |
| 4.3 | Derivation of Research Demand | 87 |
| 5 | Development of a Simulation-based Methodology for Energy Efficiency Optimization | 91 |
| 5.1 | Concept Objective and Requirements | 92 |
| 5.2 | Conceptual Framework | 94 |
| 5.3 | Description of the M&S Approach | 94 |
| 5.3.1 | Production Flow Component | 97 |
| 5.3.2 | Machine Component | 100 |
| 5.3.3 | Energy Component | 104 |
| 5.3.4 | Interaction Point Definition | 106 |
| 5.4 | Description of the Optimization Approach | 109 |
| 5.4.1 | Energy Optimization Scenarios | 111 |
| 5.4.2 | Optimization Objectives | 116 |
| 5.4.3 | Optimization Parameters and Constraints | 117 |
| 5.4.4 | Interdependencies between the Simulation Model and the Optimization Process | 119 |
| 5.5 | Software Evaluation and Selection | 121 |
| 5.5.1 | Overview of Multi-method Simulators | 122 |
| 5.5.2 | Software Selection | 123 |
| 5.6 | Prototypical Implementation | 126 |

| | | |
|-------------------------|---|------------|
| 5.6.1 | Description of the Fictional Production Scenario | 126 |
| 5.6.2 | Simulation Model Details | 128 |
| 5.6.3 | Adaptions of the Simulation Model in Preparation for the Simulation-based Optimization of Energy Efficiency | 132 |
| 5.6.4 | Depiction of the Optimization Potential | 136 |
| 5.6.5 | Evaluation of the Optimization | 145 |
| 5.6.6 | Conclusions for the Practical Application of the Methodology | 152 |
| 6 | Experimental Validation of the Methodology | 155 |
| 6.1 | Presentation of the Practical Area of Application | 156 |
| 6.1.1 | Presentation of the Data Basis | 156 |
| 6.1.2 | Data Acquisition, Processing, and Validation | 159 |
| 6.2 | Simulation Model Details | 163 |
| 6.3 | Optimization Experiments | 168 |
| 6.3.1 | Optimization Parameter Adaptations | 168 |
| 6.3.2 | Simulation-based Optimization using the 1-Min Resolution Data | 169 |
| 6.3.3 | Simulation-based Optimization using the 1-sec Resolution | 174 |
| 6.3.4 | Examination of the Necessity of Combined Simulation in Practice | 178 |
| 6.3.5 | Summary and Evaluation of Simulation-based Optimization Results | 184 |
| 6.3.6 | Financial Evaluation of the Increase in Energy Efficiency | 188 |
| 6.4 | Recommendations for Action for Bosch Derived from the Simulation-based Optimization Methodology | 190 |
| 6.5 | Evaluation of the Practical Applicability of the Simulation-based Optimization Methodology | 191 |
| 7 | Summary and Outlook | 195 |
| 7.1 | Summary | 195 |
| 7.2 | Critical Appraisal of the Methodology | 197 |
| 7.3 | Outlook and Future Work | 204 |
| References | | 207 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 1.1 | Objectives and work structure | 5 |
| Figure 1.2 | Methodological system for IS and classification of this work | 7 |
| Figure 2.1 | Ways to study a system | 11 |
| Figure 2.2 | Stages of a simulation study | 12 |
| Figure 2.3 | Discrete and continuous time base in a simulation | 14 |
| Figure 2.4 | Overview of simulation paradigms | 17 |
| Figure 2.5 | Cyclic and parallel interaction mode | 22 |
| Figure 2.6 | Stages of a hybrid simulation study | 23 |
| Figure 2.7 | Local and global minima of an objective function | 26 |
| Figure 2.8 | Overview of optimization methods | 29 |
| Figure 2.9 | Different cases of sequential and hierarchical coupling of simulation and optimization | 31 |
| Figure 2.10 | Interactions between optimization and simulation model | 33 |
| Figure 3.1 | Development of the global primary energy consumption to 2008 and three GEA pathways to 2030 and 2050 | 41 |
| Figure 3.2 | Change in primary energy demand, 2016–2040 (Mtoe) ... | 42 |
| Figure 3.3 | Global growth in energy consumption by sector | 43 |
| Figure 3.4 | Producer price indices for electricity on the example of Germany | 44 |
| Figure 3.5 | Energy conversions | 45 |
| Figure 3.6 | Energy balance | 47 |
| Figure 3.7 | Energy efficiency levels | 50 |
| Figure 3.8 | Energetic correlations in manufacturing companies | 51 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 3.9 | Classification of machine states according to time and optimization aspects | 53 |
| Figure 3.10 | Measured consumption profile of a production profile of a machine | 54 |
| Figure 3.11 | Representation of exact consumption profiles and consumption profiles of a machine determined by mean value formation | 55 |
| Figure 3.12 | Coherence of operational machine and energy state | 56 |
| Figure 3.13 | Application of the LPC methodology | 58 |
| Figure 3.14 | Example of electricity composition and sample load profile | 60 |
| Figure 4.1 | Convergence of disciplines in the context of energy efficiency in producing companies | 69 |
| Figure 5.1 | Conceptual framework of the simulation-based optimization and interfaces to the real production system | 95 |
| Figure 5.2 | Overview of the hybrid simulation approach for the energy consumption model of a production | 96 |
| Figure 5.3 | Specification of the module structure | 98 |
| Figure 5.4 | Example visualization of a production flow in AnyLogic | 99 |
| Figure 5.5 | Production flow and planning parameters | 99 |
| Figure 5.6 | Production flow component | 100 |
| Figure 5.7 | Different complexity types of the machine logic | 101 |
| Figure 5.8 | Machine logic and power consumption profile with varying production quantities | 102 |
| Figure 5.9 | Production process parameters | 103 |
| Figure 5.10 | Machine component | 103 |
| Figure 5.11 | Machine-state dependent summation of single energy states to a total energy consumption | 104 |
| Figure 5.12 | Energy Component | 106 |
| Figure 5.13 | Energy profile creation depending on the events in the material flow and the resulting machine behavior ... | 107 |
| Figure 5.14 | Effects of events triggered in the machine component on the material flow | 109 |
| Figure 5.15 | Effect of machine failures triggered in the machine component on the material flow | 110 |
| Figure 5.16 | Conceptual structure of the simulation module | 111 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 5.17 | Machine logic and power consumption profile with varying idle times | 112 |
| Figure 5.18 | Energy savings through idle state avoidance | 114 |
| Figure 5.19 | Consumption peak avoidance in production lines | 114 |
| Figure 5.20 | Status and peak load optimization of a four-machine scenario | 116 |
| Figure 5.21 | The process of simulation-based optimization | 119 |
| Figure 5.22 | Conceptual model for the simulation-based optimization of energy efficiency | 120 |
| Figure 5.23 | AnyLogic's hybrid simulation engine architecture | 124 |
| Figure 5.24 | Integration of MATLAB libraries | 125 |
| Figure 5.25 | Fictional production scenario of a die-cast part processing line | 126 |
| Figure 5.26 | Machine delay calculation logic | 129 |
| Figure 5.27 | Starting and ending of production shifts | 130 |
| Figure 5.28 | Machine set up for the depiction of realistic energy load profiles | 131 |
| Figure 5.29 | Definition of internal state transitions | 131 |
| Figure 5.30 | Implementation of the individual simulation focuses in an overall model | 132 |
| Figure 5.31 | Implementation of the retention time in the machine logic | 133 |
| Figure 5.32 | 'Select machine function' logic | 134 |
| Figure 5.33 | Throughput time estimation | 135 |
| Figure 5.34 | Prepare machine functionality | 137 |
| Figure 5.35 | Objective setup of total energy consumption optimizer | 138 |
| Figure 5.36 | Parameter and model time setup for the optimization experiment | 140 |
| Figure 5.37 | Optimization experiment visualization in AnyLogic | 142 |
| Figure 5.38 | Insertion of the offset time for shifting of machine starts | 143 |
| Figure 5.39 | Depiction of the offset effect for one machine | 143 |
| Figure 5.40 | Setup of the peak optimization experiment | 145 |
| Figure 5.41 | Results of the total consumption optimization | 146 |
| Figure 5.42 | Results of the peak consumption optimization | 147 |
| Figure 5.43 | Peak optimization experiment in combination with the use of mean values for the energy consumption | 150 |
| Figure 6.1 | Bosch production lines 1 and 2 | 157 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 6.2 | Comparison of load profiles of three different product variants on machine 1 (of line 1) for a batch of 80 pieces/ 50 minutes per variant | 158 |
| Figure 6.3 | Load profile extract from the finish machine of line 2 | 161 |
| Figure 6.4 | Detailed load profile view (L2_finish) | 162 |
| Figure 6.5 | Machine logic and switching options of the Bosch production machines | 163 |
| Figure 6.6 | Simulation model of the Bosch production lines 1 and 2 | 165 |
| Figure 6.7 | Comparison of data resolutions of L1_load over eight hours/one shift | 167 |
| Figure 6.8 | Optimization parameter setup of the production machines | 169 |
| Figure 6.9 | Results of the total consumption optimizer experiment run (data resolution 1-min) | 170 |
| Figure 6.10 | First iterations of the total consumption optimizer experiment run (1-minute resolution of the energy data) | 171 |
| Figure 6.11 | Peak consumption optimizer experiment run (1-min resolution) | 172 |
| Figure 6.12 | Effects of the offset parameter on the power consumption peaks | 172 |
| Figure 6.13 | Depiction of the offset parameter effect on the machine starting time | 173 |
| Figure 6.14 | Energy consumption profile of L1_load with one data record per second | 174 |
| Figure 6.15 | Energy consumption profile of L1_load with one data record per minute | 175 |
| Figure 6.16 | Results of the total consumption optimization experiment run (1-second resolution) | 176 |
| Figure 6.17 | Result of the peak consumption optimizer experiment run (1-second resolution) | 177 |
| Figure 6.18 | Depiction of the determined offset in the visualization of the energy flow at the example of six machines | 177 |
| Figure 6.19 | Required machine setup to use energy consumption profiles | 179 |
| Figure 6.20 | Required machine setup to use calculated mean values | 180 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 6.21 | Visualization of the energetic profiles of two example machines and the total energy consumption of both lines using table functions and mean values | 182 |
| Figure 6.22 | Optimization experiment run results using mean values ... | 183 |
| Figure 6.23 | Peak optimizer experiment run for the mean value scenario | 183 |
| Figure 6.24 | Comparison of the machine state time shares of the optimization scenarios in different data resolutions | 186 |
| Figure 6.25 | Results of the simulation run (1-sec resolution optimization scenario) with the optimal parameter set of the 1-min resolution optimization experiment | 187 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table 2.1 | Comparison of DES, ABS and SD | 18 |
| Table 3.1 | Energy efficiency levels and their optimization parameters | 62 |
| Table 4.1 | Summary of the literature analysis for intersection I | 85 |
| Table 4.2 | Summary of the literature analysis for intersection II | 86 |
| Table 4.3 | Summary of the literature analysis for intersection IV | 87 |
| Table 5.1 | Process parameter of the die-casting production machines | 127 |
| Table 5.2 | Optimization parameters for the reduction of the overall energy consumption | 139 |
| Table 5.3 | Comparison of scenario key figures | 148 |
| Table 5.4 | Comparison of the use of energetic load profiles and mean values for the energy consumption | 149 |
| Table 6.1 | Overview of parts and carriers in the production line | 159 |
| Table 6.2 | Assignment of switching options to machine states | 164 |
| Table 6.3 | Overview of created simulation models | 166 |
| Table 6.4 | Comparison of the reference and the optimization scenario (1-min resolution) | 173 |
| Table 6.5 | Comparison of the reference and the optimization scenario (1-second resolution) | 178 |
| Table 6.6 | Comparison of the reference scenarios at 1-min and 1-sec resolution | 178 |
| Table 6.7 | Comparison of the mean value and the optimization scenario | 184 |