

The Urban Book Series

Lauren Andres  
Amy Y. Zhang *Editors*

# Transforming Cities Through Temporary Urbanism

A Comparative International Overview

 Springer

# The Urban Book Series

## Editorial Board

Fatemeh Farnaz Arefian, University of Newcastle, Singapore, Singapore, Silk Cities & Bartlett Development Planning Unit, UCL, London, UK

Michael Batty, Centre for Advanced Spatial Analysis, UCL, London, UK

Simin Davoudi, Planning & Landscape Department GURU, Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK

Geoffrey DeVerteuil, School of Planning and Geography, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

Andrew Kirby, New College, Arizona State University, Phoenix, AZ, USA

Karl Kropf, Department of Planning, Headington Campus, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK

Karen Lucas, Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Marco Maretto, DICATeA, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

Fabian Neuhaus, Faculty of Environmental Design, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

Steffen Nijhuis, Architecture and the Built Environment, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

Vitor Manuel Araújo de Oliveira , Porto University, Porto, Portugal

Christopher Silver, College of Design, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

Giuseppe Strappa, Facoltà di Architettura, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Roma, Italy

Igor Vojnovic, Department of Geography, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

Jeremy W. R. Whitehand, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Claudia Yamu, Department of Spatial Planning and Environment, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

The Urban Book Series is a resource for urban studies and geography research worldwide. It provides a unique and innovative resource for the latest developments in the field, nurturing a comprehensive and encompassing publication venue for urban studies, urban geography, planning and regional development.

The series publishes peer-reviewed volumes related to urbanization, sustainability, urban environments, sustainable urbanism, governance, globalization, urban and sustainable development, spatial and area studies, urban management, transport systems, urban infrastructure, urban dynamics, green cities and urban landscapes. It also invites research which documents urbanization processes and urban dynamics on a national, regional and local level, welcoming case studies, as well as comparative and applied research.

The series will appeal to urbanists, geographers, planners, engineers, architects, policy makers, and to all of those interested in a wide-ranging overview of contemporary urban studies and innovations in the field. It accepts monographs, edited volumes and textbooks.

**Now Indexed by Scopus!**

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/14773>

Lauren Andres · Amy Y. Zhang  
Editors

# Transforming Cities Through Temporary Urbanism

A Comparative International Overview

 Springer

*Editors*

Lauren Andres   
Bartlett School of Planning  
UCL Faculty of the Built Environment  
University College London  
London, UK

Amy Y. Zhang  
Department of Planning and Environmental  
Management, School of Environment,  
Education and Development  
The University of Manchester  
Manchester, UK

ISSN 2365-757X

The Urban Book Series

ISBN 978-3-030-61752-3

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61753-0>

ISSN 2365-7588 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-030-61753-0 (eBook)

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Preface

As any academic book, this piece results from the convergence of multiple research journeys, which led editors and authors to unpack temporary processes of transformation affecting cities across the world. This book is also more than this and testifies from various personal and professional encounters, which led individuals to identify common interests on this theme of “temporary urbanism”, namely non-permanent and transient processes and uses that affect urban spaces. Despite a growing interest from researchers, students and practitioners, there are still very limited resources currently available on “temporary urbanism”. There are also very scattered understandings of how temporary urbanism is expressed globally to enable unpacking the diversity and complexity of its forms and features. As we will discuss later in chapter one, this book aims to fill both gaps and is the first academic output offering such a wide-spread geographical coverage of this research area.

We are writing this preface at a time when all countries are fighting the COVID-19 pandemic and face-to-face communications have been—hopefully temporarily—mostly replaced by virtual encounters. By essence, the nature of research and how ideas emerge rest upon the ability of individuals to talk, to debate, to conduct fieldwork, to explore sites across the world and to work together. Such social encounters are currently challenged, which hinders the capacity of producing transformative actions and research. And as we narrate further below, this book is the outcome of the convergence of experiences and encounters, nurtured by circulation of ideas, international fieldworks and conferences. When the prospect of this book emerged, back in 2018, we never imagined that we would face such challenging times and it is with nostalgia that we think about those convergences and encounters that made this book possible and write the lines below.

The idea for this book originated from the two editors working at that time in the same institution in the UK, at the University of Birmingham, and sharing very similar research interests, from an early stage of their careers, in distinct geographical contexts, along with international career trajectories.

Lauren Andres was trained as an urban geographer and planner in France. She started developing a keen interest towards derelict, abandoned buildings and sites, initially in France and in Switzerland, and further on in mainland Europe, that were either awaiting transformation or being slowly re-used through temporary cultural

and artistic uses. There was a fascination here for what is often referred as “non-spaces” and for how such distinct places were still significantly impacting immediate surroundings. Such impact occurred through the distinct materiality of those spaces (as physical footprints and memories of previous everyday activities) and thanks to alternative uses developed on those sites triggered by the creative initiatives of actors who saw here potential rather than emptiness. Temporary urbanism at that time was mainly characterised by incremental and bottom-up transformations. While crossing the English channel, and joining the UK Higher Education, and while temporary urbanism started to feed into planned strategy of transformations, this scientific appeal towards the diverse expressions of temporariness in cities spreads towards wider thematic and geographic horizons constructed around new research collaborations and encounters allowing to deepen and enlarge the apprehension of temporariness, of the ‘in-between’ and their processes of transformation, in the United Kingdom, Eastern Europe, South and East Africa, Brazil and more recently Lebanon.

Amy (Yueming) Zhang is an urban geographer trained in both China and in the USA. She works at the intersection of urban, economic and cultural geographies, and her research has been driven by the question of how urban transformations are shaped by political—economic processes that are both globally connected and locally situated. Applying this focus, her earlier research looked into commodification of urban land and land-centred urban politics in China. Built upon this experience, she turned her attention to the leasing and re-use of post-industrial land and properties in her next research project. In particular, she focused on cases where artists’ temporary uses of empty post-industrial spaces cultivated grassroots artist communities and were later turned into long-term, institutionalised, arts districts. Researching this project not only drew her attention to the temporality of place-making but also enabled her to observe the rising awareness of the role of creative uses in urban regeneration in China, including engaging with temporary creative uses. Her research trajectory thus continues onto the topic of temporary urbanism. Furthermore, building upon scholarship of postcolonial urban theories, comparative urban studies and policy mobilities, she expanded her interest towards similar phenomena that occur across different geographical areas and contexts (such as employing temporary creative uses for urban regeneration) assessing how the latest are shaped by particular dynamics and how such examination can inform further understanding of the issue and research topic.

As a result of those shared and complementary research interests, we organised two sessions at the annual conference of the American Association of Geographers (AAG), back in April 2018 in New Orleans. Through those sessions, we identified half of the contributors of this edited collection: Stevens, Mc Ardle, Maeder, Garcia, Pinard, Mackinnon, Bródy and McCarthy. Research collaborations and networks allowed identifying the other contributors: Bakare et al., Moawad, Topuzovski, Crump and Rodrigues et al. To select authors, key attention was given to how each contribution would allow complementing and enlarging both thematically, theoretically and geographically existing debates as to shape the research agenda for temporary urbanism. We were also committed to giving voice to a range of approaches and

insights, provided by both academic scholars, at different stages of their careers, and practitioners.

To date, there have been far too many distinct and diverse concepts looking at how temporary uses, projects and initiatives are occurring in spaces and cities; now, such processes are universal and happen all around the world, in different ways, driven by various mechanisms, actors, and with various impacts. The concept of temporary urbanism provides an overarching framework allowing a shared understanding of such research object, i.e. urban temporariness and temporary processes of transformations. This is where we believe that this book will have a key role in informing students', scholars' and practitioners' work in the future, particularly those in search of comparative and geographically diverse insights.

London, UK  
Manchester, UK

Lauren Andres  
Amy Y. Zhang



# Acknowledgements

An edited collection is a team effort and we would like hereafter to recognise and address a warm thank you to the persons and institutions below.

First of all, this book would not have been possible without all our contributors, so many thanks to all of them for their help, due diligence and patience in addressing all our requests.

We would also like to thank our publisher, Springer, and particularly Juliana Pitanguy, for accepting our proposal in the first place and Sanjiev Mathiyazhagan for his support into the production process.

We also acknowledge the fantastic work of Diane Bowden in proofreading the manuscript very thoroughly.

Finally, we would like to thank our respective institutions, the Bartlett School of Planning at University College London and the Department of Planning and Environmental Management at the University of Manchester for their continuous support.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction—Setting-Up a Research Agenda for Temporary Urbanism</b> .....	<b>1</b>
	Lauren Andres and Amy Y. Zhang	
<b>Part I Reframing and Reconceptualising Temporary Urbanisms</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Temporariness Takes Command: How Temporary Urbanism Re-Assembles the City</b> .....	<b>13</b>
	Quentin Stevens	
<b>3</b>	<b>The Temporality Within Temporary Urbanism: Listening to Rhythms and Timespace</b> .....	<b>29</b>
	Rachel Mc Ardle	
<b>4</b>	<b>Artistic Events as Planning Practice: Hybridisation, Expectations, and Pitfalls in Three Swiss Case Studies</b> .....	<b>43</b>
	Thierry Maeder	
<b>5</b>	<b>Informality and Temporary Urbanism as Defiance: Tales of the Everyday Life and Livelihoods in Sub-Saharan Africa</b> .....	<b>61</b>
	Hakeem Bakare, Stuart Denoon Stevens, and Lorena Melgaço	
<b>6</b>	<b>Temporary Forms of Urbanism in Contested Urban Spaces in Lebanon: The Case of Dbayeh Camp</b> .....	<b>73</b>
	Paul Moawad	
<b>7</b>	<b>Political Protest, Temporary Urbanism and the Deactivation of Urban Spaces</b> .....	<b>89</b>
	Tihomir Topuzovski and Lauren Andres	

**Part II Unwrapping the Complexity and Diversity of Temporary Urbanisms**

**8 Reimagining Urban Planning: From Institution to Innovation—A Comparative Exploration of Temporary Urbanism and the Future of City-Making** ..... 107  
Liz Crump

**9 The Usefulness of Temporary Use: Narratives from Santiago’s Contemporary Urban Practices** ..... 127  
Marisol García

**10 Developing ‘Transient Urbanism’ as a New Urban and Real Estate Strategy: The Case of the French National Railway Company (SNCF)** ..... 141  
Juliette Pinard

**11 Activated Alleyways: Mobilising Clean and Safe Dwelling in Business Improvement Areas** ..... 155  
Debra Mackinnon

**12 Debating Temporary Uses and Post-crisis Rationales in Barcelona and Budapest** ..... 171  
Luca Sára Bródy

**13 Address Urban Regeneration Challenge with Temporary Creative Uses: The Case of Beijing’s Dashilar Area** ..... 187  
Amy Y. Zhang

**14 Exploring Urban Regeneration Through Temporary Uses in Central São Paulo, Brazil** ..... 199  
Lucelia Rodrigues, Joana Carla Soares Gonçalves,  
Renata Tubelo, Nicole Porter,  
Parham Mirzaei Ahranjani, Peter Kraftl, Lauren Andres,  
Ranny Loureiro Xavier Nascimento Michalski,  
Roberta Consentino Kronka Mülfarth, and Leonardo Monteiro

**15 Temporary Urbanism in a Public Park: The Case of Postman Square, Milwaukee, Wisconsin** ..... 215  
Linda McCarthy

**16 Conclusion: Transforming Cities Through Temporary Urbanisms** ..... 231  
Amy Y. Zhang and Lauren Andres

# Chapter 1

## Introduction—Setting-Up a Research Agenda for Temporary Urbanism



Lauren Andres and Amy Y. Zhang

**Abstract** Temporary urbanism can be defined as processes, practices and policies of and for spatial adaptability, allowing the transformation of a space in perceived need of transition, and thus impacting the surrounding socio-economic urban environments. There are three types of temporary urbanisms: bottom-up, top-down and hybrid. Having said that, this concept is still not widely used in academia and in practice for various reasons. This includes: the ephemeral and hence not permanent nature of temporary urbanisms hindering the sustainability of its memories; its preconceptions initially perceived as favoring conflicts over permanent uses of space; an overaccumulation of work and interests towards temporariness resulting in too many words and concepts looking at the same research objects; and finally its complexity and diversity making it hard to characterise and unpick. This chapter sets up the research agenda for temporary urbanism insisting on its relevance for various contexts, both in the Global North and the Global South.

**Keywords** Temporary urbanism · Diversity · Complexity · Research agenda · Adaptability · Global north · Global south

### 1.1 What is Temporary Urbanism?

For several decades, claims for the need for more malleability and flexibility in the making and design of urban spaces have been voiced internationally; these principles have been considered, if not embraced, by practitioners, politicians, scholars, artists, creators and many others, in the way they think about the production of the city and how urban spaces are thought through, shaped and reshaped. Amongst

---

L. Andres (✉)

Bartlett School of Planning, UCL Faculty of the Built Environment, University College London, Central House, 14 Upper Woburn Place, London WC1H 0NN, UK  
e-mail: [L.Andres@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:L.Andres@ucl.ac.uk)

A. Y. Zhang

Department of Planning and Environmental Management, School of Environment, Education and Development, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK  
e-mail: [yueming.zhang@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:yueming.zhang@manchester.ac.uk)

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

L. Andres and A. Y. Zhang (eds.), *Transforming Cities Through Temporary Urbanism*, The Urban Book Series, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61753-0\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61753-0_1)

several features of this malleability, ‘temporary’ uses or projects have been playing an increasingly key role. Initially, artists and those who could be widely referred to as ‘creators’ were at the forefront of informal and often illegal temporary occupations of buildings and spaces. Architects and urban designers then started to explore temporary uses in the making of the city, particularly of temporary structures, temporary installations or temporary features.

Overall, turning to temporary uses has been a response to specific needs—need for physical space as a form of expression, need for experimentation, need for alternatives, need to respond to gaps and emptiness in the urban environment through temporary solutions and need for emergency solutions (e.g. housing). As a result, a significant amount of temporary uses, projects, interventions, of various scales and lengths, has spread in cities. This non-exhaustively includes indoor temporary uses within physical structures from temporary art spaces, workshops, pop-up shops, to larger projects including temporary theatres and cultural spaces, mixed-use facilities, often in containers (i.e. boxpark-types) and more recently temporary housing (e.g. PLACE Ladywell in London), to outdoor temporary uses (e.g. temporary gardens, temporary playgrounds and gyms, temporary cafes) and events (e.g. festivals, outdoor cinema, etc.).

However, this concept of temporary uses has been mainly applied to the Northern context even if the uses as laid out above have spread into the Global South (and we will demonstrate this further in this book). So far, most of the literature looking at temporariness in the city (outside of ‘informality’) has been focusing on so-called developed countries (see for example Bishop and Williams 2012; Iveson 2013; Tonkiss 2013; Andres 2013; Finn 2014; see Madanipour 2017, 2018, and Andres et al. 2019 for an exception), specifically Europe, North America and Australia. Little is known about experiences set up outside of those contexts, hence ignoring the strong connection between temporariness and informality (Andres et al. 2019).

What has also been missing though in the recognition of the importance of temporary uses in cities is an overarching concept allowing the cohesion of different ways of thinking, shaping, implementing and learning from temporary initiatives. Madanipour (2018)’s book on *Cities in time: temporary urbanism and the future of the city* has been decisive in finally elevating this concept of ‘temporary urbanism’ (see also Andres et al. 2019, Andres and Kraftl 2021). We follow here this trend and define temporary urbanism as processes, practices and policies of and for spatial adaptability, allowing the transformation of a space in perceived need of transition, and thus impacting the surrounding socio-economic urban environments (Andres and Kraftl 2021).

By doing so, and as we will explain further below, this book advances the reflexion into how temporary urbanism is shaping cities across the world. It adopts an international overview to deepen the understandings of how temporary uses and projects participate in the transformation of urban environments and what this means, for research and for practice, in various contexts. It is worth noting that our reflexion stops prior to the COVID-19 pandemic and hence excludes insights into how meanwhile uses and adaptability have been spreading in cities across the Globe during the pandemic (refer to Andres, 2021 for initial thoughts).

## 1.2 Temporary Use and Flexibility in the Built Environment

The Italian architect Bernardo Secchi used to argue that ‘cities have always been exposed to dereliction: new developments have always been built on the traces of old ones, partly using its remains. Abandon, reuse and substitution have always marked the transition between the key eras of urban history’ (Secchi 2000, n. p.). What is clear is that temporary urbanism is associated with such transitions—whether economic, social or political changes. Now, those transitions have been associated with the transformation encountered by cities in the twentieth and twenty-first centuries that led to differently scaled phases of urban renewal (Talen 2012; Oswald et al. 2017). However, temporary urbanisms have a longer history as cities across different periods have been constantly built and rebuilt (Andres 2008; Pinol 2003) and characterised by diverse temporary uses and projects (Lydon and Garcia 2015; Oswald et al. 2017).

There are three types of temporary urbanism:

- *Bottom-up temporary urbanism*, which sits outside any formal planning frameworks and hence is led by individuals or collectives (e.g. artists, activists, community members) (Andres and Kraftl 2021). Those uses often sit within a context of weak planning (Andres 2013), a context where no formal and planned transformation can occur (due to various financial, economic, planning deadlocks). Weak planning is permissive and characterised by its lack of coordination, strategic guidelines, clear objectives and control by any higher authority (Andres 2013). Such bottom-up temporary urbanism is strongly connected to ‘everyday’ needs and hence gaps (Temel and Haydn 2006; Oswald et al. 2017). It can include a wide range of temporary uses from squatting to temporary uses connected to the informal economy (e.g. temporary street shops) to more pacified and community-led projects (e.g. temporary playgrounds, temporary gardens), hence promoting out-of-the-box thinking which challenges formal planning arrangements in contexts of transition (Tonkiss 2013).
- *Top-down temporary urbanism*, which reflects latest trends in neoliberal planning and development, supported by recent changes in the global economy, alongside new technologies, flexible working practices and the advent of knowledge economies (Bishop and Williams 2012; Oswald et al. 2017; Bishop 2015, 2019). Here, temporary urbanism is planned and constructed by those who hold the power in decision-making (i.e. landowners, developers, local authorities). Temporary initiatives are not merely seen as informal responses to urban challenges but form part of more formal reimaginings of cities and neighbourhoods, within wider strategies and visions of urban transformations (Andres and Kraftl 2021).
- *Hybrid temporary urbanism*, which highlights the variable nature of temporary urbanisms and its complexity. A significant amount of small-scale temporary projects rests upon processes of bricolage and improvisation amongst key stakeholders, both those holding power in the decision-making and those able to envision and deliver such initiatives. This means that boundaries between top-down and bottom-up are blurred. It is about local empowerment and adaptability in the

process of making spaces and making those spaces viable and liveable, within a win-win situation for all.

To date though, the concept of temporary urbanism is still not widely used in academia and in practice. There are four main reasons explaining this.

First, because of its *ephemerality*. Temporary urbanism is by essence not permanent but also highly localised. The traces it leaves on a space rely on the ability of the temporary instigators and users to perpetuate and sustain its memories, which is highly problematic. Temporary urbanism is an alternative and small-scale form of urban transformations, which also makes it very complex and diverse (as we note below) and hence any attempts of engaging with it further and comparing how it is deployed have been difficult to date. Madanipour (2017, p. 12) summarises this tension when he argues that ‘temporary urbanism is based on events that seem to be random, outside of the normal rhythm of things, disrupting the settled habits of society and disregarding the routines that regulate everyday life’.

Second, temporary uses and projects have generated a lot of *preconceptions*. As per its historical connection with illegal artistic occupations and hence squats, for a long time, temporary uses have been reluctantly tolerated, especially by landowners and local authorities. The temporary nature of the uses or occupations was perceived as a source of conflicts due to temporary users then attempting to make them permanent, thus hindering any other processes of formal transformation envisaged for a space or area. The evolution of temporary urbanism towards planned, top-down forms of economic, social and urban transformations shifted around those preconceptions.

Third, there has been an *overaccumulation of work and interests towards temporariness* from both research and practice; this resulted in too many words and concepts looking at the same research objects but through diverse terms, and various analytical angles, with each individual and team wanting to create its own recipe (both theoretically and conceptual). Typically, the literatures are replete with examples of ‘insurgent and guerrilla’ (Hou 2010), ‘pop-up’, ‘DIY’ and ‘tactical’ (Iveson 2013; Finn 2014; Lydon and Garcia 2015) and more general ‘temporary’ uses of space (Groth and Corijn 2005; Andres 2013; Bishop and Williams 2012).

Finally, because of its *complexity and diversity*, temporary urbanism is hard to characterise and unpick. Bishop and Williams (2012) framed out this complexity with five main criteria: temporary uses can be formal and/or informal; legal and/or illegal; planned and/or spontaneous; long-lasting and/or short-term; and, financed in diverse ways. Another layer of complexity is formed by the addition of geographic and context-specific diversity, which hence emphasises the need to reflect upon the processes of urban transformation of temporary urbanism internationally to set up the research agenda for the future research on such a topic.

### 1.3 A Research Agenda for Temporary Urbanism

Building upon the four challenges of temporary urbanism, as a commonly termed object of research and practice of urban and place-making, there is a need to compile and reflect upon various attempts to reframe and reconceptualise temporary urbanism. This has to be achieved through two main paths of enquiry: *reframing and reconceptualising temporary urbanisms* (uncovered in the first section of this book) and *unwrapping the complexity and diversity of temporary urbanisms* (discussed in the second section of the book).

Temporary urbanism allows a reinterrogation of the role of temporalities and non-permanence into the place-making process and hence in the production and reproduction of cities, including the adaptability of the existing spaces and production of new spaces.

First, apprehending those processes requires the *mobilisation of new frames of thoughts, to move beyond the existing conceptions and disciplinary siloes*. This includes questioning how permeability and assemblage can be reinterpreted to assess the role of temporary urbanism in designing and changing spaces (Chap. 2). To do so, Stevens interrogates the contemporary idea and practice of temporary use and emphasises that time is not just a passive backdrop against which the city is built up. Time is an actant: its properties materially influence other actants that it comes into contact with. Its speed, its texture and its durations all influence other actors in the city, shaping what they want and what they do. Temporariness is an actor with specific aims, needs and effects, which define specific kinds of ‘building events’. Temporary urbanism makes space immutable, and temporariness helps temporary uses, people, regulations and materials to resist challenges.

Temporary urbanism allows new ways of thinking about time and space and specifically queries how to better unwrap temporariness with the rhythms of cities and urban spaces (Chap. 3). Here, Mc Ardle refers to how the temporal combined with the spatial can be brought together to better understand the continuous process of transformation of cities and particularly its unfinished and living character. Drawing upon the example of a temporary culture-artistic event (the Dublin Biennial), she argues that paying attention to artistic, non-economic timespaces of the city enables urban scholars to understand the city from non-capitalistic perspectives and thus engage with the inherent fluidity of cities.

Such a form of temporary urbanism also directly challenges standard forms of planning and allows opening the disciplinary boundaries of the profession to account for more innovative methods; this raises a key question on how the temporary artistic form of temporary urbanism affects professional practices (Chap. 4). This question is decrypted by Maeder who through the example of temporary uses in Geneva unwraps the condition of innovation in urban planning methods in the context of event-driven temporary urbanism and calls for a rethinking of the modalities of collaborations between artists and urban planners in the case of artistic events used as planning tools.



Second, reframing and reconceptualising temporary urbanism rests upon *extending common areas of queries of temporariness to other fields where the temporary merges with other forms of non-permanence*. This is particularly important to unpick the complexity and diversity of temporary urbanism and specifically its richness in terms of geography, context and processes of temporary transformation. This includes reflecting on the relationship between temporary urbanism and informality, going beyond the existing studies on insurgent urbanisms and highlighting the extent to which temporariness in the Global South context testifies from a permanent state of rejection and exclusion (Chap. 5). To do so, Bakare, Denoon Stevens and Melgaco study temporary urban settlements in sub-Saharan Africa and demonstrate how such settlements, even if considered as temporary, are actually permanent and lead to various forms of urbanisms which are unwanted, often dangerous and rejected by the state.

Focusing on alternative forms of temporariness raises attention towards temporary housing, settlements and specifically camps set up to provide, in principle, temporary shelters for populations who fled away from their homes; such forms of temporariness allow interrogating the role of impermanence and permanence in a wider state of limbo. This has direct implications in the understanding given to temporary urbanisms here and particularly how the waiting is constitutive of temporary urbanism (Chap. 6). Moawad, through the example of the Dbayeh camp in Beirut, highlights how camps can be transformed from being a temporary monovalent urban archipelago to a polyvalent permanent one, while remaining ostracised. As an extra-territorial space, temporary urbanism is expressed through waiting where hope, desire, subordination, stillness, productivity and longing for 'home' are translated into space. Hence, the camp within this urban sprawl and density turns into a space-in-waiting, an isolated and stigmatised urban archipelago.

Finally, such reconceptualisation of temporary urbanism raises questions about the nature of its process of transformation and the extent to which it relates only to giving new uses and meaning to change and temporal projects or can also aim to transform and reject the existing uses in a situation of protests. Hence, can temporary urbanism not only activate but also deactivate space (Chap. 7)? Topuzovski and Andres, looking at the colourful revolution in Skopje (Republic of Macedonia), develop the concept of deactivation through colourisation of buildings and monuments as a way to modify their meanings and symbols; they demonstrate how artistic means can be involved in civil movements and initiatives and feature the development of temporary spaces of resistance.

Pushing this research agenda forward also means securing a *better understanding of the complexity and diversity of temporary urbanism, including a dialogue between various experiences both in the Global North and in the Global South. It looks at the implications of temporary urbanism in the delivery of planning and considers how and by whom cities are governed and transformed*.

Temporary urbanism indeed testifies from various process, mechanisms and approaches towards urban making and hence connects both research and practice. Temporary urbanism is not about certainty or about the 'planned'. By essence, it

*questions traditional models of planning and development and provides an alternative for when the latest models cannot be achieved.* This, of course, raises a range of questions.

As argued above, temporary urbanism is not new and has been characteristic of cities for centuries; nevertheless, it has never been accepted as a standard practice of urban making. Is it then time to consider temporary urbanisms as a universal mechanism to address urban complexity and experimentation (Chap. 8)? Crump here mobilises the cases of London and Santiago (Chile) to examine the emergence of temporary urbanism in both capital cities and assess the impacts and prospects of temporary uses in relation to formal planning processes. She argues that temporary urbanism in both contexts is a valuable complementary practice to spatial planning for finding opportunity in complex and evolving urban conditions. Recommendations for planning practice are here identified, stressing the importance of temporary urbanism for urban planners and designers.

Temporary urbanism also raises wider questions in contexts where urban planning is not well represented as a profession. Such a dilemma is reinforced when cities are characterised by a mix of formal and informal as well as planned and unplanned. Interestingly enough, even in such urban contexts, temporary uses have been elevated as a commonly used practice which raises question about how temporary urbanism is instrumentalised (Chap. 9). Pursuing the discussion, started in Chap. 8 on temporary projects in Santiago, Garcia deconstructs how temporary practices have an ambiguous character as they use incremental learning and experimentation as a means to demonstrate possible changes, thus moving the limits of the production of public spaces; at the same time, such uses are used instrumentally and conceived as palliative solutions to urban problems, linked to scarcity of resources, absence of appropriate planning regulatory frameworks for engaging with creativity in practice and lack of ambition to deliver changes outside of political timeframes.

Reflecting on what temporary urbanism means for cities and how it has been now widely adopted by key stakeholders highlights that vision; strategies and urban development mechanisms have changed and will continue to change. How is temporary urbanism leading to alternative and transitional forms of urban development involving a reconfiguration of skills and knowledge about urban making (Chap. 10)? Pinard, assessing temporary occupations carried out by a large landowner and real estate player in France, details how temporary urbanism is here used as a new mode of action to support broader strategic policies. She demonstrates how this sits within a wider process of learning through experimentation influenced by a growing interest in transient urbanism.

Finally, the wide acceptance of temporary urbanism as an alternative model of development testifies how temporary uses have been perceived as activators and hence value providers. Now, what is the dark side of this neoliberal interpretation of temporary urbanism? Can all spaces, even the most forgotten, be transformed by temporary initiatives? If those spaces were used before as temporary shelters, what is the wider impact of temporary urbanism in fostering social exclusion (Chap. 11)? Mackinnon looks at the activation of alleyways, through temporary uses, within Business Improvement Areas in Vancouver (Canada). Sitting with a wider strategy

of 'clean and safe', beautification and place-making, temporary urbanism and design here participate in capturing public spaces for corporate use, with the view of tackling crime and disorder, and hence getting rid of any forms of temporary occupations (e.g. homeless shelters).

Now, temporary urbanism is about *urban transformations, at various scales; it is about people and the process through which actors and stakeholders engage in urban making*. This, of course, raises significant questions about *how cities and urban spaces are governed*. This is a very topical agenda noting the significant momentum for top-down temporary urbanism which raises questions of how non-decision makers and specifically citizens are included in the process of urban production. As such, what opportunities are offered to citizens to participate in temporary urbanism initiatives led by local governments on public spaces (Chap. 12)? Bródy uses the case of Barcelona and Budapest to demonstrate how vacancy and hence temporary uses have become a visible and politically significant issue, playing a key role in determining how cities respond to both local and wider global challenges. She unfolds how such projects respond to post-crisis demands and are attached to values of social justice and equity.

Here, context is the key in researching the trajectory of temporary urbanism, and this trajectory is influenced by both space and people. This is important in three ways which all question the translation of localities into general models of temporary practice, hence raising awareness about challenges and limitations.

First, there is still little understanding of how temporary urbanism can be instrumentalised by local authorities and decision makers, specifically when adopted for urban regeneration by city governments in non-Western and/or Global South contexts (Chap. 13). Zhang here uses the example of Beijing, China, to discuss how such temporary uses can sit outside of contexts of economic austerity and financial crises and participate in the wider strategy of creative urban regeneration. She demonstrates that while temporary creative uses and the resulting culture-led regeneration can play a role in pressuring local residents to move out of the area and open up more spaces for creative and cultural uses, they fail to demonstrate the expected effectiveness in drawing individuals and businesses into the creative and cultural sectors.

Second, the transformational potential of temporary urbanisms on local people is context-dependent (Chap. 14). Rodrigues et al. look at the case of temporary uses in Sao Paulo (Brazil) and demonstrate how a temporary urbanism approach can contribute to the reactivation of particularly challenging, degraded areas that are near to central areas of a city; the authors note that such interventions, especially in the Global South context, must be designed and managed in response to the needs of each place, respecting the complexities of each neighbourhood and their residents.

Finally, temporary urbanism is not a ready-made solution, even if its elevation as a creative alternative to vacancy during downturns may make it appear as such, particularly for local authorities and developers. What are then the hidden barriers behind the implementation of temporary urbanisms (Chap. 15)? Linda McCarthy ends this discussion by looking at the City of Milwaukee, which, while open to temporary urbanism efforts, has not been proactive in promoting temporary urbanism, partly due to limitations of personnel and budget. She here provides a counterexample to the

overall positive discourse around temporary urbanism, in the North, and demonstrates that in addition to the adjustment of regulations to accommodate temporary uses, attention also needs to be given to budget allocation, particularly when temporary urbanism is delivered by local authorities.

Reflecting on those new directions taken by debates on temporary urbanism, in the conclusion (Chap. 16), we bring together the ideas and arguments from the contributors of this edited collection and highlight two key conceptual themes across the chapters. First, we emphasise that the chapters collectively demonstrate a variety of ways of conceptualising and utilising the ‘temporary’, and by extension, more in-depth and nuanced understanding of time and temporality in cities. Secondly, building upon the first theme, we argue that various conceptualisations of time, temporality and temporariness presented in these chapters allow us to examine further the meaning and function of temporary urbanisms for urban planning, governance and politics.

## References

- Andres L (2008) *La ville mutable. Mutabilité et référentiels urbains: les cas de Bouchayer- Viallet, de la Belle de Mai et du Flon*. Phd thesis, Université Pierre Mendès France, Grenoble
- Andres L (2013) Differential spaces, power-hierarchy and collaborative planning: a critique of the role of temporary users in shaping and making places. *Urban Studies* 50(4):759–777
- Andres L (2021) The importance of temporary urbanism in deconstructing cities and shaping the post-pandemic city research agenda. *The Large Glass* (in press)
- Andres, L, Kraftl, P (2021) New directions in the theorisation of temporary urbanisms: adaptability, activation and trajectory. *Progress in Human Geography* (in press)
- Andres L, Bakare H, Bryson J, Khaemba W, Melgaco L, Mwaniki G (2019) Planning, temporary urbanism and citizen-led alternative-substitute place-making in the global south. *Regional Studies*. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00343404.2019.1665645>
- Bishop P, Williams L (2012) *The temporary city*. Routledge, London
- Bishop P (2015) From the subversive to the serious. *Counterpoint* 235(03):136–141
- Bishop P (2019) *Urban design in the fragmented city*. Rob Roggema. *Contemporary urban design thinking, The Australian approach*. Springer, Cham, pp 71–94
- Finn D (2014) DIY urbanism: implications for cities. *J Urbanism: Int Res Placemaking Urban Sustain* 7(4):381–398
- Groth J, Corijn E (2005) Reclaiming urbanity: Indeterminate spaces, informal actors and urban agenda setting. *Urban Studies* 42(3):503–526
- Hou J (ed) (2010) *Insurgent public space: guerrilla urbanism and the remaking of contemporary cities*. Routledge, London
- Iveson K (2013) Cities within the city: do-it-yourself urbanism and the right to the city. *Int J Urban Reg Res* 37(3):941–956
- Lydon M, Garcia A (2015) *A tactical urbanism how-to*. In: Lydon M, Garcia A (eds) *Tactical urbanism*. Island Press, Washington, DC
- Madanipour A (2017) *Cities in time: temporary urbanism and the future of the city*. Bloomsbury, London
- Madanipour A (2018) Temporary use of space: Urban processes between flexibility, opportunity and precarity. *Urban Studies* 55(5):1093–1110
- Oswalt P, Overmeyer K, Misselwitz P (2017) *The power of temporary*. DOM Publishers, Berlin
- Pinol J-L (2003) *Histoire de l’Europe Urbaine, T1: de l’Antiquité au 18ème siècle*. Le Seuil, Paris
- Secchi B (2000) Une réécriture permanente, in *Le monde des débats*, October 2000, pp 28–29

- Talen E (2012) Zoning and diversity in historical perspective. *J Plann Hist* 11:330–347
- Temel R, Haydn F (eds) (2006) *Temporary urban spaces: concepts for the use of city spaces*. Birkhauser, Basel
- Tonkiss F (2013) Austerity urbanism and the makeshift city. *City* 17(3):312–324

**Part I**  
**Reframing and Reconceptualising**  
**Temporary Urbanisms**

# Chapter 2

## Temporariness Takes Command: How Temporary Urbanism Re-Assembles the City



Quentin Stevens

**Abstract** What defines certain transformations of urban spaces as ‘temporary’ or ‘tactical’, and what gives them fresh validity and value? This chapter draws upon Assemblage Thinking and Actor-Network Theory to focus on the role of temporariness in shaping urban development. It explores time in relation to the production and use of the urban environment. It shows how temporariness defines and enables specific relationships to the many actors and forces that shape cities. Before, during and after ‘temporary use’ people, money, regulations and materials are won over to it. Temporariness avoids and withstands challenges; it adapts. These dynamics are explored in terms of various benefits and impacts that temporary urbanism can have for other actors and longer-lasting forms of urban development. This characterisation of temporary urbanism and its networks of interdependence link it to wider critiques of neoliberalism, modernist masterplanning, and historic preservation. This examination of today’s temporary urbanism highlights two paradoxical dynamics that constantly influence the form of cities. Firstly, temporary urbanism, for all its claimed ephemerality, constantly establishes new, durable relationships and has broad and enduring effects. Secondly, all urban spaces are more-or-less impermanent assemblages of materials which are constantly being adjusted to meet changing resources and needs.

**Keywords** Actor-Network theory · Neoliberalism · Creative industries · Long-term uses · Benefits

### 2.1 Introduction

Is temporary urbanism really any different to regular urbanism? And if so, what is special about its temporariness? Cities rise and cities fall, as do skyscrapers, freeways and open space designs. All urban spaces are more-or-less impermanent assemblages of materials, people, technologies and concepts. All of them can be, and eventually

---

Q. Stevens (✉)

School of Architecture and Urban Design, RMIT University, GPO Box 2476, Melbourne, VIC 3001, Australia

e-mail: [quentin.stevens@rmit.edu.au](mailto:quentin.stevens@rmit.edu.au)

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

L. Andres and A. Y. Zhang (eds.), *Transforming Cities Through Temporary Urbanism*, The Urban Book Series, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61753-0\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61753-0_2)

are, disassembled. Nothing lasts forever. Time is always a factor in the city's development, whether people consider time in terms of temporariness, 'design life', planned obsolescence, amortisation, land banking or long-term visions, and time is always limited. Jacobs et al. (2012, p. 128) suggest that rather than reifying the built environment as a fixed reality, we should consider even the largest and ostensibly most permanent built forms as a series of 'building events' which are 'always being 'made' or 'unmade', always doing the work of holding together or pulling apart', and which in all cases eventually come to an end. In this context, what is distinctive about the current trend of 'temporary' uses and 'temporary' physical interventions in urban spaces is that they are consciously conceived and presented as being very temporary. Recognition and constant reinforcement of their very limited duration is crucial to their coming-to-be, what they are and how they work. This chapter uses Assemblage Thinking and Actor-Network Theory to explore the contemporary idea and practice of temporary use. The chapter emphasises that time is not just a passive backdrop against which the city is built up. Time is an actant: its properties materially influence other actants that it comes into contact with. Its speed, its texture and its durations all influence other actors in the city, shaping what they want and what they do. Temporariness is an actor with specific aims, needs and effects, which define specific kinds of 'building events'.

One major strand of recent research into temporary uses has focused on how influential they are in cities' development, pointing to their long-term impacts, as catalysts for lasting changes to spaces and to their planning, development and management processes (Oswalt et al. 2013; Lydon and Garcia 2015). That focuses on temporariness creating something permanent. This chapter seeks to overcome a valorisation of duration. It explores how, within short-term urban design projects, temporariness creates, extends and stabilises specific networks of making and care, and relationships to other actors and forces, without necessarily fixing these for a long time. The chapter explores how the labelling of particular transformations and uses of urban spaces as 'temporary' can be a means of making them immutable, by durably aligning and associating these urban design projects with a network of other actors, forces and interests, assembling a set of relationships that support, stabilise, defend and rely on it. Before, during, and after the existence of a temporary use, people, regulations and materials are won over to its benefits. Its temporariness helps it to resist challenges.

This view may initially seem paradoxical. Temporary uses and tactical actions are typically presented and reified as ways of loosening up urban spaces and urban development processes. They are argued to help in ending droughts of capital flow, sidestepping burdensome regulations, overcoming bureaucratic inertia and breaking existing legal deadlocks. They seem to be oriented towards change and to counteracting other urban practices and policies that are seen as rigid, slow and outdated (Lydon and Garcia 2015). But like any urban design initiative, temporary uses gain power and develop 'traction' by becoming aligned with a range of agents and forces that support them. These actors can include concrete and bolts, but also land titles, planning ordinances, market demand and ideas.