

Anjan Giri  
Rukmani Mohanta *Editors*

# Workshop on Frontiers in High Energy Physics 2019

FHEP 2019

# **Springer Proceedings in Physics**

Volume 248

## Indexed by Scopus

The series Springer Proceedings in Physics, founded in 1984, is devoted to timely reports of state-of-the-art developments in physics and related sciences. Typically based on material presented at conferences, workshops and similar scientific meetings, volumes published in this series will constitute a comprehensive up-to-date source of reference on a field or subfield of relevance in contemporary physics. Proposals must include the following:

- name, place and date of the scientific meeting
- a link to the committees (local organization, international advisors etc.)
- scientific description of the meeting
- list of invited/plenary speakers
- an estimate of the planned proceedings book parameters (number of pages/articles, requested number of bulk copies, submission deadline).

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/361>

Anjan Giri · Rukmani Mohanta  
Editors

# Workshop on Frontiers in High Energy Physics 2019

FHEP 2019

 Springer

*Editors*

Anjan Giri  
Physics Department  
IIT Hyderabad  
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Rukmani Mohanta  
School of Physics  
University of Hyderabad  
Hyderabad, Telangana, India

ISSN 0930-8989

ISSN 1867-4941 (electronic)

Springer Proceedings in Physics

ISBN 978-981-15-6291-4

ISBN 978-981-15-6292-1 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-6292-1>

© Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2020, corrected publication 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

*Dedicated to our parents.*

# Organization

The Conference on Frontiers in High Energy Physics 2019 (FHEP 2019) was jointly organized by the University of Hyderabad and IIT Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.

## International Advisory Committee

B. Ananthanarayan	IISc, Bengaluru
Sukanta Bose	IUCAA, Pune
Mu-Chun Chen	UC, Irvine
Sridhara Dasu	University of Wisconsin
Amol Dighe	TIFR, Mumbai
Anjan Giri	IIT Hyderabad
Shaaban Khalil	ZCST, Giza
Anupam Mazumdar	University of Groningen
Rukmani Mohanta	University of Hyderabad
Raghavan Rangarajan	Ahmedabad University
S. Uma Sankar	IIT Bombay
Louis Strigari	Texas A&M University
L. Sriramkumar	IIT Madras
Karim Trabelsi	LAL, Paris
Urjit Yajnik	IIT Bombay

## Local Organizing Committee

Shantanu Desai	IIT Hyderabad
Anjan Giri	IIT Hyderabad Convener
E. Harikumar	University of Hyderabad
Rukmani Mohanta	University of Hyderabad- Co-Convener
Narendra Sahu	IIT Hyderabad
Soma Sanyal	University of Hyderabad

# Preface

In continuation of the practice of publishing the proceedings for conferences covering the latest development, we decided to publish the proceedings for the Frontiers in High Energy Physics 2019 (FHEP 2019). Apart from the excellent talks by the invited speakers, and presentation of new results and discussions, publication of the proceedings constitutes an important aspect of any conference, and the conference under consideration (FHEP 2019) is in no way different. It is very important that we spread the scientific ideas, invited talks, new results, contributed talks, and presentations during the meeting through the proceedings of the conference which will be available to all, not just the conference participants. Beginning researchers and scientists who are working in other related areas will find the present volume very interesting and useful in the sense that most aspects of High Energy Physics currently discussed are nicely covered with interesting articles by experts in the field.

Frontiers in High Energy Physics (FHEP 2019) conference is an outcome of many similar conferences held in the last few years, and from now onward it is going to be held every year at different places. Around 130 physicists and researchers, including many from outside India, participated in FHEP 2019 to discuss the latest advancements in the fields of interest. The area of High Energy Physics is going through an important and crucial phase in the sense that we have understood well the electroweak sector and discovered the last elusive particle, the Higgs boson, but there is no future direction. It actually opens up an ocean of opportunities to hypothesize and test new ideas. Interestingly, observations in the last few decades in this sector not only confirmed to the predictions of the framework of the standard model but also showed there is no evidence against it. Experimental results in the neutrino sector provide us the clue that there is much more unexplored which may give us the clue to many aspects of the Universe we live in. Experiments in the domain of Astroparticle Physics educated us with the hint that most of the total energy budget of the Universe is believed to be Dark Matter or Dark Energy. Accelerator-based Collider experiments allowed us to recreate the Universe at very early stages of evolution (we get to know about the Physics in the very hot and dense state supposed to be prevailing in the very early



Universe, the Quark Gluon Plasma, and the Physics of Heavy Ions). The High Energy experiments are providing us inputs about the Physics related to the standard model and possibly some information/hint beyond it (also known as Energy frontier). Similarly, experiments in Flavor Physics and Neutrino Physics (termed as Intensity frontier) are believed to be very helpful to obtain precision results in this sector and hopefully decipher indirectly the Physics beyond the standard model. Moreover, the observation of Gravitational waves by the LIGO and then also by the Virgo Collaborations confirm to the Century-old prediction by Einstein, where two super-massive Black Holes collide, and as a result the Gravitational waves are produced which eventually are detected by earth-based experiments, as mentioned above. In addition, there are many space-based experiments in Astrophysics and Cosmology which started providing us important information regarding the Universe at large scales (this area is also known as Cosmic Frontier). Needless to mention, there are many ongoing experiments and many future experiments planned in all the three frontiers which cover Physics from the smallest scale to the largest scale possible. Eventually, the development in these frontiers will lead us to the future in Science, Technology, and societal applications. The most important aspect of this conference is that it covered Physics topics associated with the Cosmic, Energy, and Intensity frontiers in one single platform. It is very important that Scientists working in one area should know the development and new ideas discussed and being developed in different related areas for the advancement in the right direction. We truly believe that the topic discussed during the conference and the articles published in these proceedings will be very useful to many in the fields across disciplines and will give impetus to new ideas and interesting developments.

The conference FHEP 2019 was held at the University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India, during 14–17 October, 2019, which was jointly organized by the University of Hyderabad and IIT Hyderabad. This volume includes manuscripts from both invited and contributed talks and poster contributions from Gravitation and Cosmology, Neutrino and Dark Matter, Beyond the Standard Model and Collider Physics, QCD and Heavy Ion Physics, and Flavor Physics. Some new results are also presented, including the review talks on the new developments during the past year covering almost all areas of High Energy Physics. The articles in this volume are very nicely written which gives a reader in this area regarding the status, latest results and possible new directions. The book is intended for both young as well as advanced researchers of the field who are actively following the exciting time that we are going through when we are expecting something new to show up either at the energy frontier or maybe at the intensity and cosmic frontiers.

Hyderabad, India

Anjan Giri  
Rukmani Mohanta

# Acknowledgements

Organizing a conference like FHEP 2019 (Conference on Frontiers in High Energy Physics 2019) and bringing out the proceedings of the conference is undoubtedly a difficult job, and it would not have been possible to do so without the support and active participation of our colleagues and many students in both the organizing Institutes. The cooperation we received from the Advisory Committee members during the organization of the conference was truly exemplary. We thank all the members of the Advisory Committee from the bottom of our heart for their help in making FHEP 2019 a grand success. We take this opportunity to specially thank Urjit Yajnik, Sukanta Bose, Raghavan Rangarajan, S. Uma Sankar, L. Sriram Kumar, Sridhar Dasu, Karim Trabelsi, and other members in the Advisory committee regarding various organizational aspects including their help in suggesting possible invited speakers and the perfect balance between various topics discussed during the conference which made FHEP 2019 an enjoyable and fruitful meeting. We thank all the members of the Local Organizing Committee for their constant support and encouragement for organizing the conference smoothly. We would also like to thank the members of our Editorial board for their constant support in reviewing the abstracts, finalizing the programs, and finally reviewing the proceedings' drafts. Our special thanks to Narendra Sahu and E. Hari Kumar for innumerable discussions and suggestions during the process for all the support extended to us at critical times. We must also take this opportunity to thank our colleagues in School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, and Department of Physics, IIT Hyderabad. It was indeed a pleasure to work with you all!

The success of a conference depends largely on the exciting discussion, the mesmerizing lectures from the experts, and the fruitful discussions. We would like to express our sincere thanks to all our renowned invited speakers, presenters, and the rest of the enthusiastic participants for making FHEP 2019 a very successful conference. We were lucky to have a large pool of research scholar volunteers who worked relentlessly during the conference. It would have been a Herculean task to organize this event without the active support of our students, in particular, Suchismita Sahoo, Mitesh Behera, Rudra Majhi, Atasi Ray, Aishwarya Bhatt, Dinesh Singha, Akshay Chatla, Manas Mohapatra, Subhasmita Mishra, Seema

Choudhury, Lopamudra Nayak, Rashmi Dhamija, Vishnu Rajagopal, Haritha CP, Sovan Sau, Abhishek Saha, and Karthik Jain M. Thank you all very much for providing the helping hand during the entire duration of preparation till the conclusion. We would also thank the Vice-Chancellor, University of Hyderabad, and the Director, IIT Hyderabad, for their constant support and encouragement and the staff members both at the School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, and Department of Physics, IIT Hyderabad for providing the assistance whenever needed. Needless to say, the conference would not have been possible without the active and financial support from University of Hyderabad and IIT Hyderabad.

We would like to express our sincere thanks to Dr. Loyola D'Silva, Publishing Editor (Springer Nature, Singapore) and Ms. Shalini Monica C., Project Coordinator (Springer Nature, India) for constantly working with us from the beginning to bring out this volume.

Hyderabad, India

Anjan Giri  
Rukmani Mohanta

# Contributions

Abhisek Saha	University of Hyderabad
Abhishek Mathur	Raman Research Institute
Abhishek Naskar	Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata
Agnivo Sarkar	IIT Gandhinagar
Ahmed Rizwan	NIT Surathkal
Aishwarya Bhatta	University of Hyderabad
Ajit Srivastava	Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar
Akshay Chatla	University of Hyderabad
Aman Awasthi	IIT Bombay
Ananya Mukherjee	Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad
Anjan Giri	IIT Hyderabad
Anju Dahiya	University of Delhi
Antonio De Felice	YITP, Kyoto University
Archita Bhattacharyya	Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata
Arvind Kumar Mishra	Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad
Atasi Ray	University of Hyderabad
Barilang Mawlong	University of Hyderabad
Bhawna Gomber	University of Hyderabad
Bipin Sonawane	Amity University, Mumbai
Chayan Majumdar	IIT Bombay
Christopher Hearty	University of British Columbia/IPP
Debabrata Chandra	Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata
Debasis Sahu	F.M. University, Balasore
Debasish Majumdar	SINP, Kolkata
Debika Kangsha Banik	Dibrugarh University
Dibyendu Nanda	IIT Guwahati
Dinesh Kumar Singha	University of Hyderabad
Diptimoy Ghosh	IISER Pune
Harikumar E.	University of Hyderabad
Gagan Mohanty	TIFR, Mumbai
Haritha C. P.	University of Hyderabad

Harleen Dahiya	NIT Jalandhar
Himanshu Verma	IIT Bombay
Itishree Sethi	IIT Hyderabad
Jyotirmoi Borah	IIT Guwahati
Kajal Samanta	IIT Guwahati
Kalpna Bora	Gauhati University
Karthik Jain M.	University of Hyderabad
Kartik Joshi	IISER Mohali
Khusboo Dixit	IIT Jodhpur
L. Sriramkumar	IIT Madras
Lopamudra Nayak	IIT Hyderabad
Madhurima Pandey	SINP, Kolkata
Manas Mohapatra	IIT Hyderabad
Michael Ratz	University of California, Irvine
Mitesh Kumar Behera	University of Hyderabad
Mu-Chun Chen	University of California, Irvine
M. Sivakumar	University of Hyderabad
N. Sushree Ipsita	IIT Hyderabad
N. Nimai Singh	Manipur University
Narendra Sahu	IIT Hyderabad
Navdeep Kaur	NIT Jalandhar
A. Naveena Kumar	NIT Surathkal
Neelu Mahajan	GGDSD College, Chandigarh
Nilakshi Das	NIT Silchar
Nimmala Narendra	IIT Hyderabad
Nishita Desai	TIFR, Mumbai
Nitin Joshi	IIT Ropar
Nur Jaman	CTP, Jamia Millia Islamia
Prabhat Ranjan Pujahari	IIT Madras
Pravata Mohanty	TIFR, Mumbai
P. K. Suresh	University of Hyderabad
Priyanka Kumar	Gauhati University
Priyanka Sarmah	IIT Bombay
Raghavan Rangarajan	Ahmedabad University
Raghunath Sahoo	IIT Indore
Rajeev N.	NIT Silchar
Rashmi Dhamija	IIT Hyderabad
Rathin Adhikari	CTP, Jamia Millia Islamia
Richa Arya	Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad
Rijeesh Keloth	IIT Hyderabad
Rishav Roshan	IIT Guwahati
Ritu Aggarwal	Savitribai Phule Pune University
Rudra Majhi	University of Hyderabad
Rukmani Mohanta	University of Hyderabad
Salil Joshi	University of Hyderabad

Sarath N.	Cochin University of Science & Technology
Satvir Kaur	NIT Jalandhar
Seema Choudhury	IIT Hyderabad
Shantanu Desai	IIT Hyderabad
Shibasis Roy	IMSc Chennai
Shrihari Gopalakrishna	IMSc Chennai
Shriniketan Acharya	University of Hyderabad
Shivaramakrishna Singirala	IIT Indore
Siddhartha Karmakar	IIT Indore
Soma Sanyal	University of Hyderabad
Soumen Nayak	University of Hyderabad
Sourav Dey	Tel Aviv University, Israel
Sourov Roy	IACS, Kolkata
Sovan Saul	University of Hyderabad
Sruthilaya M.	University of Hyderabad
Subhasmita Mishra	IIT Hyderabad
Subhendra Mohanty	Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad
Subhendu Rakshit	IIT Indore
Suchismita Sahoo	Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad
Sudhanwa Patra	IIT Bhilai
Sudhir Vempati	IISc, Bengaluru
Suhail Khan	CTP, Jamia Millia Islamia
Sukanya Bhattacharya	Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad
Sukanta Bose	IUCAA, WSU
Suman Kumbhakar	IIT Bombay
Supriya Senapati	IIT Bombay
Swayamshree Senapatil	University of Hyderabad
Takaaki Nomura	KIAS
Tanmay Kumar Poddar	Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad
Tony Pinhero	Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata
Urjit Yajnik	IIT Bombay
Varun Sharma	University of Wisconsin Madison (US)
Vishnu Rajagopal	University of Hyderabad
Wolfgang Altmannshofer	UC Santa Cruz

# Contents

## Part I Gravitation and Cosmology

<b>1</b>	<b>Re-visiting Gravitational Wave Events with Pulsars as Weber Detectors</b> . . . . .	<b>3</b>
	Ajit M. Srivastava	
<b>2</b>	<b>Effective Chemical Potential in Spontaneous Baryogenesis</b> . . . . .	<b>11</b>
	Raghavan Rangarajan	
<b>3</b>	<b>PAAI in the Sky: Towards a Particulate Mechanism for Dark Energy and Concordant Dark Matter</b> . . . . .	<b>23</b>
	R. B. MacKenzie, M. B. Paranjape, and U. A. Yajnik	
<b>4</b>	<b>The Phenomenology of Modified Gravity Models</b> . . . . .	<b>33</b>
	Antonio De Felice	
<b>5</b>	<b>Unique Contributions to the Scalar Bispectrum in ‘Just Enough Inflation’</b> . . . . .	<b>39</b>
	H. V. Ragavendra, Debika Chowdhury, and L. Sriramkumar	
<b>6</b>	<b>Flavons and Baryogenesis</b> . . . . .	<b>49</b>
	Mu-Chun Chen	
<b>7</b>	<b>Spontaneous Baryogenesis in Quintessential Inflation</b> . . . . .	<b>59</b>
	Nur Jaman	
<b>8</b>	<b>Primordial Black Holes from Warm Inflation</b> . . . . .	<b>67</b>
	Richa Arya	
<b>9</b>	<b>Criticality of Charged AdS Black Hole with a Global Monopole</b> . . . . .	<b>75</b>
	A. Naveena Kumar, C. L. Ahmed Rizwan, and K. M. Ajith	
<b>10</b>	<b>Effect of Global Monopole on the Microscopic Structure of RN-AdS Black Hole</b> . . . . .	<b>81</b>
	C. L. Ahmed Rizwan, A. Naveena Kumar, and K. S. Ananthram	

<b>11</b>	<b>Diffusion Coefficients and Constraints on Hadronic Inhomogeneities in the Early Universe</b> . . . . .	87
	Sovan Sau and Soma Sanyal	
<b>12</b>	<b>Interacting Quintessence Model and Accelerated Expansion of the Universe</b> . . . . .	93
	Debasis Sahu and Bibekananda Nayak	
<b>13</b>	<b>Quantisation of <math>\kappa</math>-Deformed Klein–Gordon Field</b> . . . . .	99
	E. Harikumar and Vishnu Rajagopal	
<b>Part II Collider and Physics Beyond the Standard Model</b>		
<b>14</b>	<b>Recent Results in Small Systems from CMS</b> . . . . .	107
	Prabhat R. Pujahari	
<b>15</b>	<b>Dark Sector Searches at the CMS Experiment</b> . . . . .	113
	Varun Sharma	
<b>16</b>	<b>Dark Matter Searches at the CMS Experiment</b> . . . . .	123
	Bhawna Gomber	
<b>17</b>	<b>Beyond MET: Long-Lived Particles at the LHC</b> . . . . .	131
	Nishita Desai	
<b>18</b>	<b>UV Origin of Discrete Symmetries</b> . . . . .	139
	Michael Ratz	
<b>19</b>	<b>Pathways to Unification with Vector Like Fermions</b> . . . . .	149
	Biplob Bhattacharjee, Ashwani Kushwaha, Pritibhajan Byakti, and Sudhir K. Vempati	
<b>20</b>	<b>Higgs Vacuum Stability with Vector-Like Fermions</b> . . . . .	165
	Shrihari Gopalakrishna	
<b>21</b>	<b>Phenomenology of Two Higgs Doublet Model with Flavor Dependent <math>U(1)</math> Symmetry</b> . . . . .	175
	Takaaki Nomura	
<b>22</b>	<b>Signatures of GW from an Extended Inert Doublet Model</b> . . . . .	183
	Debasish Majumdar, Avik Paul, and Biswajit Banerjee	
<b>23</b>	<b>Relaxed Constraints on Masses of New Scalars in 2HDM</b> . . . . .	193
	Siddhartha Karmakar	
<b>24</b>	<b>Charged Higgs Discovery Prospects</b> . . . . .	199
	Baradhvaj Coleppa, Agnivo Sarkar, and Santosh Kumar Rai	
<b>25</b>	<b>Some Compelling Overview of Charged Particle Multiplicity Distribution in <math>pp</math> Collisions at the LHC</b> . . . . .	205
	Ritu Aggarwal and Manjit Kaur	



<b>26 Polarization of Z Boson as a Probe of Anomalous Gauge-Higgs Couplings</b> . . . . .	213
Priyanka Sarmah, Kumar Rao, and Saurabh D. Rindani	
<b>Part III Astroparticles, Neutrinos and Dark Matter</b>	
<b>27 Astronomical Probes of Ultra Light Dark Matter</b> . . . . .	221
Subhendra Mohanty and Tanmay Kumar Poddar	
<b>28 Extragalactic Neutrinos: A Window to New Physics</b> . . . . .	229
Siddhartha Karmakar, Sujata Pandey, and Subhendu Rakshit	
<b>29 UV-IR Freeze-In of a Fermionic Dark Matter and Its Possible X-Ray Signature</b> . . . . .	237
Anirban Biswas, Sougata Ganguly, and Sourov Roy	
<b>30 Recent Results from GRAPES-3 Observatory</b> . . . . .	247
P. K. Mohanty	
<b>31 Dark Matter Mass in Extra <math>U(1)</math> Gauge Model</b> . . . . .	257
Imtiyaz Ahmad Bhat and Rathin Adhikari	
<b>32 <math>0\nu\beta\beta</math> Signature in LRSM with Higgs Bidoublet and Doublets</b> . . . . .	265
Chayan Majumdar, Sudhanwa Patra, Supriya Senapati, and Urjit A. Yajnik	
<b>33 Sterile Neutrino in Minimal Extended Seesaw with <math>A_4</math> Flavour Symmetry</b> . . . . .	273
Kalpana Bora and Neelakshi Sarma	
<b>34 Origin of Dark Matter and Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe in an <math>A_4</math> Flavor Symmetric Neutrino Mass Model</b> . . . . .	281
Ananya Mukherjee	
<b>35 eV Scale Sterile Neutrino and Dark Matter Phenomenology in <math>A_4 \times U(1)_{B-L}</math> Model</b> . . . . .	289
Subhasmita Mishra, Mitesh Behera, Rukmani Mohanta, Sudhanwa Patra, and Shivaramakrishna Singirala	
<b>36 Type III Seesaw and Two-Component Dark Matter in <math>U(1)_{B-L}</math> Model</b> . . . . .	297
Anirban Biswas, Debasish Borah, and Dibyendu Nanda	
<b>37 <math>\Delta(27)</math> Flavor Model Within Type-II Seesaw and Associated Phenomenology</b> . . . . .	305
Itishree Sethi and Sudhanwa Patra	

<b>38</b>	<b>Mass and Life Time of Heavy Dark Matter Decay into IceCube PeV Neutrinos</b> . . . . .	<b>311</b>
	Madhurima Pandey, Debasish Majumdar, Ashadul Halder, and Shibaji Banerjee	
<b>39</b>	<b>Constraints on Ultra Light Dark Matter from Compact Binary Systems</b> . . . . .	<b>317</b>
	Tanmay Kumar Poddar, Subhendra Mohanty, and Soumya Jana	
<b>40</b>	<b>Viscous Dark Matter and Its Implication for 21 cm Signal</b> . . . . .	<b>321</b>
	Arvind Kumar Mishra	
<b>41</b>	<b>Implications of Neutrino Mixing Data on Hierarchical Texture 2 Zero Mass Matrices</b> . . . . .	<b>329</b>
	Neelu Mahajan	
<b>42</b>	<b>Study of Texture Zeros of <math>M_\nu^{4\times 4}</math> in Minimal Extended Seesaw Mechanism</b> . . . . .	<b>337</b>
	Priyanka Kumar and Mahadev Patgiri	
<b>43</b>	<b>Effects of Nonstandard Interactions on Coherence in Neutrino Oscillations</b> . . . . .	<b>343</b>
	Khushboo Dixit and Ashutosh Kumar Alok	
<b>44</b>	<b>Lorentz Invariance Violation and Long Baseline Experiments</b> . . . . .	<b>349</b>
	Rudra Majhi, C. Soumya, and Rukmani Mohanta	
<b>Part IV QCD and Heavy Ion Physics</b>		
<b>45</b>	<b>Do Proton + Proton Collisions at the LHC Energies Produce Droplets of Quark-Gluon Plasma?</b> . . . . .	<b>357</b>
	Raghunath Sahoo	
<b>46</b>	<b>Parton Distributions and Spin Structure of Hadrons</b> . . . . .	<b>365</b>
	Harleen Dahiya	
<b>47</b>	<b>A Study of Transverse Single Spin Asymmetry in Hadroproduction and Electroproduction of <math>J/\psi</math></b> . . . . .	<b>373</b>
	Bipin Sonawane, Anuradha Misra, and Vaibhav Rawoot	
<b>48</b>	<b>Shear Viscosity and Vorticity Patterns in Relativistic Heavy Ion Collisions</b> . . . . .	<b>379</b>
	Abhisek Saha and Soma Sanyal	
<b>49</b>	<b>The 3-D Structure of Kaon in Light-Cone Quark Model</b> . . . . .	<b>387</b>
	Satvir Kaur and Harleen Dahiya	

**50 Quark Wigner Distribution and GTMD of Pion Using Soft-Wall AdS/QCD Wavefunctions** . . . . . 393  
 Navdeep Kaur and Harleen Dahiya

**Part V Heavy Flavour Physics**

**51 Global Fits of B Decay Anomalies** . . . . . 401  
 Wolfgang Altmannshofer

**52 Beam-Constrained Vertexing for B Physics at the Belle II Experiment** . . . . . 411  
 S. Dey and A. Soffer

**53 New Physics Solutions for  $b \rightarrow c\tau\bar{\nu}$  Anomalies After Moriond 2019** . . . . . 417  
 Suman Kumbhakar, Ashutosh Kumar Alok, Dinesh Kumar, and S. Uma Sankar

**54 Analysis of  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$  Decay Modes** . . . . . 425  
 Suchismita Sahoo and Rukmani Mohanta

**55 Effect of New Physics in  $\bar{B} \rightarrow \rho\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$  Decay Process** . . . . . 431  
 Atasi Ray, Aishwarya Bhatta, and Rukmani Mohanta

**56 Exploring Lepton Flavor Universality Violation in  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^* l\nu$  Decay** . . . . . 439  
 Nilakshi Das and Rupak Dutta

**57 Model Independent Study of LFUV in  $\Sigma_b \rightarrow \Sigma_c\tau\nu$  and  $\Omega_b \rightarrow \Omega_c\tau\nu$  Baryonic Decays** . . . . . 445  
 N. Rajeev, Rupak Dutta, and Suman Kumbhakar

**58 Test of LFU in  $B \rightarrow K\ell\ell$  Decays at Belle** . . . . . 451  
 S. Choudhury

**59 Search for the Decay  $B_s^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$  at  $\Upsilon(5S)$  Resonance Using Belle Detector** . . . . . 457  
 Jyotirmoi Borah and Bipul Bhuyan

**60 New Physics Effects on  $B_s \rightarrow K^+K^-$  Decay Mode** . . . . . 463  
 Manas K. Mohapatra

**61 Analysis of  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  Transition in VQM Model** . . . . . 467  
 Aishwarya Bhatta and Swayamshree Senapati

**Correction to: UV Origin of Discrete Symmetries** . . . . . C1  
 Michael Ratz

# Contributors

**Rathin Adhikari** Centre for Theoretical Physics, Jamia Millia Islamia (Central University), New Delhi, India

**Ritu Aggarwal** Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, India

**C. L. Ahmed Rizwan** Department of Physics, National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NITK), Mangaluru, India

**K. M. Ajith** Department of Physics, National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NITK), Mangaluru, India

**Wolfgang Altmannshofer** Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, USA

**K. S. Ananthram** Department of Physics, National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NITK), Mangaluru, India

**Richa Arya** Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, India;  
Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gandhinagar, India

**Biswajit Banerjee** Astroparticle Physics and Cosmology Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

**Shibaji Banerjee** Department of Physics, Kolkata, India

**Mitesh Behera** University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

**Imtiyaz Ahmad Bhat** Centre for Theoretical Physics, Jamia Millia Islamia (Central University), New Delhi, India

**Aishwarya Bhatta** School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

**Biplob Bhattacharjee** Centre for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

**Bipul Bhuyan** Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam, India

**Anirban Biswas** School of Physical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Kolkata, India

**Kalpna Bora** Gauhati University, Guwahati, Assam, India

**Debasish Borah** Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati, Assam, India

**Jyotirmoi Borah** Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam, India

**Pritibhajan Byakti** Centre for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India;

Department of Physics, Pandit Deendayal Upadhyaya Adarsha Mahavidyalaya (PDUAM) Eraligool, Karimganj, Assam, India

**Mu-Chun Chen** Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

**S. Choudhury** Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Telangana, India

**Debika Chowdhury** Department of Theoretical Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

**Baradhvaj Coleppa** Physics Discipline, Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

**Harleen Dahiya** Department of Physics, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar National Institute of Technology, Jalandhar, India

**Nilakshi Das** National Institute of Technology Silchar, Silchar, India

**Antonio De Felice** Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwakecho, Sakyo Ward, Kyoto, Japan

**Nishita Desai** Department of Theoretical Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

**S. Dey** Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

**Khushboo Dixit** Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur, Jodhpur, India

**Rupak Dutta** National Institute of Technology Silchar, Silchar, India

**Sougata Ganguly** School of Physical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Kolkata, India

**Bhawna Gomber** University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

**Shrihari Gopalakrishna** Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMSc), Chennai, India;

Homi Bhabha National Institute (HBNI), Anushaktinagar, Mumbai, India

**Ashadul Halder** Department of Physics, Kolkata, India

**E. Harikumar** School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

**Nur Jaman** Centre for Theoretical Physics, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India

**Soumya Jana** Département de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève, 1211 Gen ève 4, Switzerland

**Siddhartha Karmakar** Discipline of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Simrol, Indore, India

**Manjit Kaur** Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

**Navdeep Kaur** Department of Physics, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar National Institute of Technology, Jalandhar, India

**Satvir Kaur** Department of Physics, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar National Institute of Technology, Jalandhar, India

**Dinesh Kumar** University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India;  
National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland

**Priyanka Kumar** Cotton University, Guwahati, Assam, India

**Ashutosh Kumar Alok** Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur, Jodhpur, India

**Arvind Kumar Mishra** Theoretical Physics Division, Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad, India;  
Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

**Santosh Kumar Rai** Regional Center for Accelerator-based Particle Physics, Harish-Chandra Research Institute, HBNI, Prayagraj, Allahabad, India

**Suman Kumbhakar** Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India

**Ashwani Kushwaha** Centre for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India;  
INFN-Sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Napoli, Italy

**R. B. MacKenzie** Groupe de physique des particules, Département de physique, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada

**Neelu Mahajan** Department of Physics, G.G.D.S.D College, Chandigarh, India

**Rudra Majhi** University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

**Chayan Majumdar** Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India

**Debasish Majumdar** Astroparticle Physics and Cosmology Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

**Subhasmita Mishra** IIT Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

**Anuradha Misra** Department of Physics, University of Mumbai, Mumbai, India

**Rukmani Mohanta** School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

**P. K. Mohanty** Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

**Subhendra Mohanty** Theoretical Physics Division, Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, India

**Manas K. Mohapatra** Indian Institute of Technology, Kandi, Hyderabad, India

**Ananya Mukherjee** Theoretical Physics Division, Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

**Dibyendu Nanda** Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati, Assam, India

**A. Naveena Kumara** Department of Physics, National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NITK), Mangaluru, India

**Bibekananda Nayak** P. G. Department of Applied Physics and Ballistics, Fakir Mohan University, Balasore, Odisha, India

**Takaaki Nomura** School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS), Seoul, Republic of Korea

**Madhurima Pandey** Astroparticle Physics and Cosmology Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

**Sujata Pandey** Discipline of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Simrol, Indore, India

**M. B. Paranjape** Groupe de physique des particules, Département de physique, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada

**Mahadev Patgiri** Cotton University, Guwahati, Assam, India

**Sudhanwa Patra** Department of Physics, IIT Bhilai, GEC Campus, Raipur, India

**Avik Paul** Astroparticle Physics and Cosmology Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

**Tanmay Kumar Poddar** Theoretical Physics Division, Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, India

**Prabhat R. Pujahari** Physics Department, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, TN, India

**H. V. Ragavendra** Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India

**Vishnu Rajagopal** School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

**N. Rajeev** National Institute of Technology Silchar, Silchar, India

**Subhendu Rakshit** FHEP 2019, Hyderabad, India;  
Discipline of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Simrol, Indore, India

**Raghavan Rangarajan** School of Arts and Sciences, Ahmedabad University, Ahmedabad, India

**Kumar Rao** Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India

**Michael Ratz** Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

**Vaibhav Rawoot** Amity School of Applied Sciences, Amity University Mumbai, Mumbai, India

**Atasi Ray** School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

**Saurabh D. Rindani** Theoretical Physics Division, Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad, India

**Sourov Roy** School of Physical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Kolkata, India

**Abhisek Saha** University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

**Raghunath Sahoo** Discipline of Physics, School of Basic Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore, India

**Suchismita Sahoo** Department of Physics, Central University of Karnataka, Kalaburagi, India

**Debasis Sahu** P. G. Department of Applied Physics and Ballistics, Fakir Mohan University, Balasore, Odisha, India

**S. Uma Sankar** Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India

**Soma Sanyal** University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

**Agnivo Sarkar** Physics Discipline, Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

**Neelakshi Sarma** Gauhati University, Guwahati, Assam, India

**Priyanka Sarmah** Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India

**Sovan Sau** University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

**Supriya Senapati** Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India

**Swayamshree Senapati** University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India



**Itishree Sethi** Department of Physics, IIT Hyderabad, Kandi, India

**Varun Sharma** University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

**Shivaramakrishna Singirala** IIT Indore, Indore, India

**A. Soffer** Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

**Bipin Sonawane** Amity School of Applied Sciences, Amity University Mumbai, Mumbai, India

**C. Soumya** Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India

**L. Sriramkumar** Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India

**Ajit M. Srivastava** Institute of Physics Sachivalaya Marg, Bhubaneswar, India

**Sudhir K. Vempati** Centre for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

**U. A. Yajnik** Physics Department, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India

**Part I**  
**Gravitation and Cosmology**

# Chapter 1

## Re-visiting Gravitational Wave Events with Pulsars as Weber Detectors



Ajit M. Srivastava

**Abstract** Many gravitational wave (GW) signals have been detected by LIGO and Virgo. These waves reached earth directly from their respective sources. We consider the possibility that, when these waves travel to different pulsars causing (tiny) transient deformations in the pulsar shape, then the resultant transient change in the pulsar moment of inertia may be detectable by the extremely precisely measured pulsar signals. This is especially likely when the signal frequency is in resonance with some neutron star oscillation mode. In this situation, the pulsars will act as a remotely stationed Weber gravitational wave detector. This technique also allows us to detect past GW events where the direct signals were missed. We have considered various GW events, for example different supernova events as recorded in astronomical records, and have determined specific pulsars whose signals should carry the imprints of these GW events reaching earth in near future.

**PACS** 97.60.Gb · 95.55.Ym · 04.80.Nn · 26.60.+c

### 1.1 Introduction

Detection of gravitational waves (GW) by LIGO and Virgo has allowed us to observe remarkable events of coalescing black holes (BH) as well as neutron stars. We discuss a new class of GW detectors [1]. We consider the deformations caused by the gravitational wave (GW) passing through a pulsar. This leads to variation in its moment of inertia affecting spin rate of the pulsar as well as its pulse profile. Careful monitoring of extremely precisely measured pulses from the pulsars can reveal the arrival of GW signals on those pulsars. The effect will be most pronounced at resonance. The pulsars thus act as remotely stationed Weber detectors of gravitational waves with their signals being monitored on earth [1]. A very important use of this technique will be in detection of those GW events whose direct GW signal reached earth in

---

A. M. Srivastava (✉)

Institute of Physics Sachivalaya Marg, Bhubaneswar 751005, India  
e-mail: [ajit@iopb.res.in](mailto:ajit@iopb.res.in)

© Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2020

A. Giri and R. Mohanta (eds.), *Workshop on Frontiers in High Energy Physics 2019*, Springer Proceedings in Physics 248,  
[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-6292-1\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-6292-1_1)

past. Knowing the GW source, and the locations of different pulsars allows us to predict when the imprints of that particular GW event can be seen on the specific pulsar signal in future. This allows for re-visiting the same source again and again via different pulsars giving us opportunity to make detailed investigations of that GW source, along with the properties of the relevant pulsar interiors. It is known that a typical supernova event can be a powerful source of GW emission [5]. With the location and date of these events known, our technique allows us to directly observe that specific supernova event, in some sense allowing us to visit past events. Clearly there will be numerous GW events (supernova events, merger events etc.) which are not even identified by any known records. Continuous monitoring of pulsar signals for such transient perturbations can reveal existence of such GW sources.

The most crucial element underlying this proposal is extreme accuracy with which pulsar signals are monitored on earth. We will start by discussing the basic features of the pulsar signals in the next section. We will also briefly recall our earlier work [2] where it was proposed that this extreme precision of pulsar observations can be used to monitor density fluctuations occurring inside pulsar cores, e.g. those occurring during a phase transition. We will also discuss that these density fluctuations can lead to rapidly changing quadrupole moment of the pulsar leading to GW emission. In subsequent section we will then discuss the response of the neutron star (NS) to external GWs and show that it can act as a Weber detector at resonance. We will then discuss specific past GW events and make predictions of specific dates on which such past GW events can be seen imprinted on different pulsar signals.

## 1.2 Pulsars and Phase Transitions

We start by recalling basic properties of a pulsar which is a rapidly rotating neutron star. Neutron stars typically form in supernova explosions. Their masses are typically in the range of 1–2 solar mass, and radius about 10–15 km. Central density of NS can be as high as 5–10 times the nuclear equilibrium density of  $0.16/\text{fm}^3 \simeq 10^{14}$  grams/cm<sup>3</sup>. It is believed that there is a superfluid phase of nucleons in the interior of neutron stars. Observational evidence for this superfluid nucleonic phase arises from pulsar observations. Pulsars are rapidly rotating neutron stars, detected by their periodic pulses (electromagnetic waves), which are beamed emission from the magnetic poles of the neutron star. Superfluid phase in the pulsar interior allows for vortex lattice to form. These vortices are pinned at the interface with the pulsar crust. Many pulsars show the phenomenon of glitches which is a rapid increase in the rotation speed of the pulsar, followed by a slow relaxation. The most consistent explanation for these glitches is in terms of vortex depinning from crust. We mention here that there have been observations of anti-glitches (sudden slowing down of pulsar) which cannot be accounted for by this vortex-depinning mechanism.

Pulsar timings are extremely precisely measured, indeed they are the best clocks available in space. For example, the pulsar J0437-4715 has a pulse time period  $P = 0.005757451936712637$  sec. This is known with the error of  $1.7 \times 10^{-17}$  sec. We

use this incredible precision for detecting changes occurring in the configuration of a neutron star. We have argued that this extreme accuracy of pulsar timings can be used to probe various phase transitions occurring inside the pulsar core, for example transitions to exotic phases of QCD, or nucleonic superfluidity [2]. We used association of phase transitions with density fluctuations which inevitably arise during phase transitions. Importantly, the statistical properties of the density fluctuations crucially depend on the nature of the phase transition. Any density fluctuations in the neutron star will have observational effects. It will affect its moment of inertia (MI) and quadrupole moment  $Q$  which can be detected by precision measurements of pulse shape/timing. Note that these changes in MI and  $Q$  can have both signs,  $+$  and  $-$ . Random density fluctuations will lead to changes in all components of MI tensor. Changes in the diagonal components of MI will result in rapid changes in the rotation of pulsar. As density fluctuations dissipate away, leading to a uniform new phase in the core, some part of change in MI will be restored, but not fully. This is exactly the pattern of glitches and anti-glitches where often only few percent of the change in rotation is recovered. Also, as we find changes of both  $+$  and  $-$  sign in MI, glitches and anti-glitches are both naturally accommodated in this picture. Importantly, there has to be also transient change in the off-diagonal components of MI and  $Q$ . These are distinctive predictions of our model. Changes in off-diagonal components will lead to wobbling of star (on top of any present initially). This will lead to modulation of pulse intensity as the direction of radiation emission wobbles. We have made estimates of the changes in MI and  $Q$  for specific models, e.g. first order phase transition with specific bubble sizes, formation of QCD  $Z(3)$  strings/domain walls, as well as formation of superfluid vortices in a nucleon superfluid phase transition. We estimate fractional changes of various components of MI and  $Q$  caused by density fluctuations in these cases. Due to large range of distance scales involved (from relevant correlation lengths to pulsar core size), one needs to extrapolate the results. With these limitations we expect fractional changes in various moment components of order ranging from  $10^{-14}$  to  $10^{-10}$ .

An important implication of these density fluctuation is that rapid changes in quadrupole moment  $Q$  will lead to gravitational waves. We get a small value of  $Q/I$  arising from density fluctuations of order  $10^{-10}$  which is much smaller than the value of  $10^{-6}$  typically invoked from structural deformation in a neutron star. However, it is more than compensated by the very short time scale of microseconds when gravitational wave (GW) power is calculated as GW power is proportional to the square of third time derivative of the quadrupole moment. Fastest time scale for conventional mechanism of gravitational wave emission is milliseconds (from pulsar rotation), with the largest values of  $Q/I$  of order  $10^{-3}$ . In our case, for phase transitions,  $Q/I$  is very tiny, of order  $10^{-10}$ . However, here the time scale is at most microseconds. In fact, for topological defect induced density fluctuations, the time scale can be much shorter as initial defect network coarsens very fast. This very short time scale can lead to powerful GW bursts even for such tiny changes in  $Q/I$  thereby providing a new source of gravitational radiation.

### 1.3 Pulsars as Weber Detectors

Now we discuss changes in pulsar due to external influence. A gravitational wave passing through a pulsar will cause (very) tiny deformations in the pulsar shape, affecting its rotation. The effect will be most pronounced at resonance and may be detectable by accurate observations of the pulsar signal. We will argue below that resonance is likely with pulsar equation of state and tidal deformability constrained by recent BNS merger event. The pulsar, thus, acts as a remotely stationed Weber detector of gravitational waves whose signal can be monitored on earth [1].

Consider a pulsar under influence of external gravitational waves (GW), coming, say, from a merger event far away. For simplicity, we take the equilibrium configuration of the pulsar to be spherical. Under the influence of external gravitational wave, the pulsar will undergo quadrupolar deformations. Deformation of neutron star in the Tidal field  $E_{ij}$  of the gravitational wave is given by

$$Q_{ij} = -\lambda_d E_{ij}. \quad (1.1)$$

$E_{ij}$  is the tidal field of the external GW and  $\lambda_d$  is the tidal deformability given by  $\lambda_d = \frac{2}{3}k_2 \frac{R^5}{G}$ .  $k_2$  is known as the second Love number. Recent BNS mergers have put constraints on the value of  $k_2$  to be in the range  $k_2 \simeq 0.05 - 0.15$  [3].  $E_{ij} = R_{i0j0}$  ( $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$  being the Riemann curvature tensor) can be written in terms of GW strain amplitude for a specific polarization in the transverse traceless (TT) gauge. For a GW with wavelength  $\lambda$ , denoting the strain  $h_+$  for the + polarization by  $h$ , the amplitude of resulting  $E_{ij}$  is given by

$$E_{xx} = -E_{yy} = \frac{2\pi^2 hc^2}{\lambda^2}, \quad (1.2)$$

For simplicity, we take the initial NS configuration to be spherically symmetric, and the deformation to be ellipsoidal, with the dimension in the direction of GW propagation remaining unchanged. Then using (1.1) and (1.2), we get the change in the moment of inertia of the NS to be [1]

$$\frac{\Delta I_{xx}}{I} = -\frac{\Delta I_{yy}}{I} \simeq \frac{k_2}{3} \frac{R^3 c^2}{GM\lambda^2} 20h \quad (1.3)$$

Here  $M$  is the mass of NS and  $R$  is its equilibrium radius. We will use sample values  $M = M_{Sun}$  and  $R = 10$  km. Highest sensitivity will be reached for smallest values of  $\lambda$  (we mention that the above equations are valid for static case, this requires  $\lambda$  to be much larger than NS radius. Range of frequencies we consider are below kHz, so this approximation holds).

As a typical astrophysical source of GW, we take binary neutron Star (BNS) merger, such as the one detected by LIGO/Virgo. The highest value of GW frequency being about 1 kHz, and we use  $k_2 = 0.1$  as a sample value. This gives  $\frac{\Delta I_{xx}}{I} = 10^{-2}h$ .