

# Researching People and the Sea

**Methodologies and Traditions** 

Edited by
Madeleine Gustavsson · Carole S. White
Jeremy Phillipson · Kristen Ounanian

## Researching People and the Sea

"I have been waiting long for such a book. Universities train students in how to carry out social research under ideal circumstances, with the syllabus full of textbook-recipes from which to choose from. Yet, students are often ill-prepared for what they find when trying to implement the research techniques they have learned to the real world. It is therefore important to know what previous researchers have experienced when in the field, and what they had to do to generate their data. In this important book, authors share the lessons they have learned the hard way in order to succeed. In particular, it better equips us for what to expect when entering the world of people who draw their livelihoods from the sea. This reflection helps us, as researchers, to deal with the emotional stress that ensues when things do not work out exactly as planned, which they seldom do."

—Svein Jentoft, Professor Emeritus, Norwegian College of Fishery Science, UiT—The Arctic University of Norway

"The book answers the long-standing question about the role of social sciences in marine resources and ocean governance. With transformation and innovation being asked of all scientists today to address the increasing complexity of challenges facing the society, the book provides the best evidence of how well-equipped social science, and interdisciplinary, researchers are in tackling them. Unlike other books on social science research methods, this volume offers rare insights about what works, and as importantly, what doesn't, with thoughtful reflections from trained and experienced researchers, many of them female, early career scholars. For those who like to dismiss social sciences as 'soft', the book will change your mind. For those thinking that social science research is easy, you will think twice. For those looking for new ways of approaching societal problems and doing research, the book will delight and surprise you, and will encourage you to enjoy the new journey."

—Ratana Chuenpagdee, Professor, Too Big To Ignore (TBTI) Global Partnership for Small-Scale Fisheries Research and Memorial University "A 'must read' item in the reading list for research training programmes for social scientists of all hues—important, not only as a crucial part of basic training, but also as a timely intervention in the current debates over the expansion of social sciences into new areas."

-David Symes, Reader Emeritus, University of Hull

"Marine social sciences occupy the interstitial spaces between the established social sciences (such as sociology, anthropology and human geography), the marine natural sciences (including oceanography and marine biology) and the professional sciences (for example: law, policy and management). The thirteen essays in this book span the straits separating discipline-based text-book methods and the realities of conducting and interpreting interdisciplinary methodology. This is research as social practice, enriched by candid reflection on errors, insights and course-corrections. The authors and editors provide a valuable aid to those navigating this knowledge-archipelago, whether as graduate students, professors or marine spatial planners. It will be especially useful to orientate the many researchers who enter the field from the marine natural sciences. To those working in interdisciplinary teams or commissioning marine social research, it will help build understanding and respect for those who are mapping the myriad relationships between sea and society."

—Edward H. Allison, Professor, Research Chair for Equity and Justice in the Blue Economy, WorldFish, Penang, Malaysia and Research Director, Nippon Foundation Ocean Nexus Center, Earthlab, University of Washington Madeleine Gustavsson
Carole S. White
Jeremy Phillipson • Kristen Ounanian
Editors

# Researching People and the Sea

**Methodologies and Traditions** 



Editors
Madeleine Gustavsson
Ruralis – Institute for Rural and Regional
Research
Trondheim, Norway

Jeremy Phillipson Centre for Rural Economy Newcastle University Newcastle upon Tyne, UK Carole S. White Global Environmental Justice Group University of East Anglia Norwich, UK

Kristen Ounanian Centre for Blue Governance Aalborg University Aalborg, Denmark

ISBN 978-3-030-59600-2 ISBN 978-3-030-59601-9 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-59601-9

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

Chapters 2 and 3 are licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). For further details see licence information in the chapters.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG. The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

#### **Preface**

This book is the culmination of a two-year collaboration, beginning with a call for contributions launched in the late summer of 2018 to take part in a workshop the following year at the biannual MARE (Centre for Maritime Research) conference in Amsterdam. The workshop was the first in the twenty-year history of the conference to give dedicated attention to the methodological practices of its burgeoning marine social science community. During a well-attended conference day, with four consecutive sessions focused on 'Researching people and the sea', eighteen authors presented early versions of their papers and received feedback from a panel of discussants. Subsequently, the chapters were developed by authors and editors through several iterative rounds of revisions and, in the end, fifteen reflexive chapters have come to fruition in the pages of this volume.

This collaboration was born to rectify the long-standing and surprising absence of a comprehensive publication focused on the explicit discussion of social science methods and methodologies in fisheries and marine research. Such an absence in the literature can be particularly noticeable to students and researchers who may be new to this area of research, and is something we have been conscious of through our own careers as postgraduate students, postdoctoral researchers and now supervisors and research project leaders. To attend to this gap, the volume seeks to provide a novel space to reflexively and openly share experiences and lessons

#### vi Preface

learnt from the field. It is particularly relevant to social science researchers and students seeking to embark on research into people and the sea and to policy makers, practitioners and scientists wishing to understand the social dimension of the marine and coastal environments. We hope the book will encourage other authors and publishers to bring further attention to methodological practices and training needs within the marine social sciences.

The editorial team would like to thank the support of Publisher Rachael Ballard and Editorial Assistant Jo O'Neil for their continuous support during the development of this volume. We are indebted to the anonymous reviewers of the original book proposal, to our original discussants at the MARE workshop, and to David Symes for his, as ever, expert critique of our introductory and concluding chapters. Nor would the volume have seen the light of day without the contributions of our authors who, without exception, responded positively and efficiently to our editorial inputs and reviews of draft chapters. This book project had no specific funding; however, we wish to gratefully acknowledge the support of the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) under grant refs ES/R00580X/1, ES/R010404/1 and ES/S007024/1 which supported some of our time to work on this publication.

Trondheim, Norway Norwich, UK Newcastle upon Tyne, UK Aalborg, Denmark July 2020 Madeleine Gustavsson Carole S. White Jeremy Phillipson Kristen Ounanian

## **Contents**

Part	I Introduction	1
1	Researching People and the Sea—Setting the Scene Carole S. White, Madeleine Gustavsson, Jeremy Phillipson, and Kristen Ounanian	3
Part	II Experiences from the Field: Adapting Methods, Practices and Reflexivity	21
2	Naked Methodology: Baring It All for a Realistic Account of Marine Social Science Kristen Ounanian	23
3	Attending to the Rhythms of the Sea, Place and Gendered Cultures in Interviewing Fishers and Fishing Families  Madeleine Gustavsson	47
4	Towards an Ethic of Care Within Fisheries Social Research Natalie Ross	71

5	Safety, Ethics and Trust: Reflecting on Methodological Challenges in Fisheries Research Hannah Chiswell, Julie Urquhart, Nick Lewis, Jasmine Black, Paul Courtney, and Matt Reed	91
Part	III Windows into Particular Methods: Innovations and Traditions	113
6	Addressing Low Rates of Attendance Within Fisher Focus Groups: Reflections from Inshore Fisheries Research in England Rebecca Korda, Tim Gray, Dot Kirk-Adams, and Selina Stead	115
7	Exploring the Relationship Between Local Ecological Knowledge and Technology Through Participant Observation Onboard Fishing Vessels Jeremy Anbleyth-Evans	137
8	Ecosystems, Communities and Canoes: Using Photovoice to Understand Relationships Among Coastal Environments and Social Wellbeing Ana Carolina Esteves Dias and Derek Armitage	159
9	Using Photographs in Coastal Research and Engagement: Reflections on Two Case Studies Merryn Thomas, Erin Roberts, Nick Pidgeon, and Karen Henwood	181
10	Applications of Archival Sources and Historical Methodologies in Interdisciplinary Coastal and Marine Research Alanna Casey	209

	Contents	ix
Par	t IV Translating Across Disciplines and Policy	237
11	Integrating Social and Ecological Research on the Impacts of Offshore Wind Farms in North America Talya ten Brink, Tracey Dalton, and Julia Livermore	239
12	Imagining the Coast: A Mixed Methods Approach to Elicit Perceptions and Conflicts on the West Coast of Ireland Maria Pafi, Wesley Flannery, and Brendan Murtagh	259
13	Blending Environmental Humanities and Policy Studies: A Narrative Analysis Approach to Hybrid Scholarship on the Coast Anna S. Antonova	285
14	Reflections on Methodological Tensions in Doing Qualitative Research at the Science-Policy-Community Interface Ruth Brennan	309
Par	tV Conclusion	323
15	Discerning Expertise in Researching People and the Sea Kristen Ounanian, Jeremy Phillipson, Madeleine Gustavsson, and Carole S. White	325
Ind	ex	339

#### **Notes on Contributors**

Jeremy Anbleyth-Evans is a geographer and anthropologist carrying out a postdoctoral research fellowship at the University of Los Lago, Chile. Specialised in fisheries, aquaculture and conservation, his work concentrates on ecological, geographic and economic inclusion at the marine coastal nexus. His most recent papers consider local ecological knowledge, participatory marine spatial planning, fisheries, citizen science, indigenous rights and epistemologies in Chile and Britain.

Anna S. Antonova works at the Rachel Carson Center for Environment and Society at LMU Munich as director of environmental humanities development. Her research brings together humanities and social science approaches to examine social, environmental and policy change in the contemporary European context, particularly in coastal landscapes. She was previously a Marie Skłodowska-Curie research fellow as part of the ENHANCE network at the University of Leeds, where she wrote her dissertation on the conflicting narratives about environment and society emerging from the Yorkshire North Sea and Bulgarian Black Sea coastlines.

**Derek Armitage** is a professor at the University of Waterloo and studies the human dimensions of environmental change (local to global) and emerging forms of environmental governance, with a particular focus on aquatic systems (freshwater and coastal/marine).

**Jasmine Black** is a research assistant in the Countryside and Community Research Institute, University of Gloucestershire, UK. She is working with land and sea management practitioners, publics and policy makers to create more sustainable ways of producing food and enhancing above and below ground biodiversity. She is experienced in face-to-face interviews with these stakeholders across Europe and in Japan.

**Ruth Brennan** is a marine social scientist at Trinity Centre for Environmental Humanities, School of Histories and Humanities, Trinity College, specialising in ethnographies of coastal communities and environmental governance at the arts-science-policy interface. Brennan's research offers insights into different ways marine and coastal spaces are conceptualised by users, managers and human-environment interactions, how this relates to natural resource governance challenges and what it means for community engagement.

**Alanna Casey** works for the California Coastal Commission in San Francisco, USA. Her research links modern climate change with historical coastal perspectives and management patterns.

**Hannah Chiswell** is a rural social scientist in the Countryside and Community Research Institute, University of Gloucestershire, UK. Chiswell has a particular interest in the decision-making and behaviours of farmers and fishers in response to policy. She is a QSR certified NVivo (qualitative analysis software) 'expert' and is experienced in a range of methodologies including face-to-face interviews and surveys on complex and sensitive topics.

**Paul Courtney** is Professor of Social Economy in the Countryside and Community Research Institute, University of Gloucestershire, UK. His research interests centre on social value and the development of psychosocial indicators and related methods to capture the impacts of public, private and third-sector activities on society—and on the health, happiness and resilience of individuals and communities.

**Tracey Dalton** is Professor of Marine Affairs at the University of Rhode Island. Her research covers a variety of topics, all involving human interactions with marine and coastal environments. She holds a BS in

Chemistry from Boston College and a PhD in Environmental Science with a policy specialisation from the University of Massachusetts Boston.

**Ana Carolina Esteves Dias** is a PhD candidate at the University of Waterloo (Canada) and studies the effects of environmental change to the wellbeing of coastal communities and implications to Marine Protected Area governance.

**Wesley Flannery** is a senior lecturer in the School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's University Belfast. His research focuses on issues of power and participation in marine and coastal planning.

**Tim Gray** is Emeritus Professor of Political Thought at Newcastle University, UK. He switched his focus from political thought to environmental and marine politics about 20 years ago, and has co-authored 7 books and over 70 papers, as well as co-supervising 24 PhD students, on these topics. He continues to supervise and research in a part-time capacity as a senior research investigator, mainly using qualitative methods. He is particularly interested in stakeholder participation in marine environmental decision-making processes.

Madeleine Gustavsson is a researcher at Ruralis—Institute for Rural and Regional Research in Trondheim, Norway. Before joining Ruralis, she was a research fellow at the University of Exeter (UK), holding an Economic and Social Research Council New Investigator fellowship, researching the changing lives of women in small-scale fishing families in the UK and Newfoundland, Canada. More broadly, her research focuses on marine, coastal and rural issues drawing on social science methods to understand the lifeworlds of people living and working with the sea.

**Karen Henwood** is a professor in social sciences in the School of Social Sciences, Cardiff University. She has research interests spanning the social science of risk and identity studies. As a qualitative research specialist, her work draws on in-depth, in-situ, longitudinal methods, using a range of lenses to create a more dynamic understanding of how people live with socio-cultural and environmental change.

**Dot Kirk-Adams** is an experienced and qualified community organiser and trainer running Let's Co-organise which is based in the south east of England. She works with grassroots projects in Brighton and the UK, offering expertise as an associate trainer and trustee of national charity Community Organisers. She organises Brighton and Hove Community Land Trust's Housing Hub. Her main area of interest is supporting community-led housing activism though her background is in language study and international work.

Rebecca Korda is a senior marine adviser for Natural England and is investigating how power and relationship mapping techniques used by community organisers can be transferred to fisheries. She holds a PhD with Newcastle University examining the internalised resilience strategies of the English small-scale fleet. Her interest in this area is particularly focused on how agencies can strive to improve fisher's participation in their marine environmental decision-making processes. She has over ten years' experience working not only for fisheries management agencies directly but also within an advisory capacity.

**Nick Lewis** is a research assistant in the Countryside and Community Research Institute, University of Gloucestershire, UK. Lewis has a wealth of experience across a diverse range of research topics, including both agricultural and fisheries research. He is experienced in survey design, quantitative data analysis and interviewing in the social sciences.

**Julia Livermore** is a supervising marine biologist with the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management's (RIDEM) Division of Marine Fisheries. She oversees the RIDEM's portion of offshore wind permitting and conducts analysis on the effects of wind development on biological resources and fisheries. She studied biology and environmental studies at Bowdoin College and received a Master's of Coastal Environmental Management from Duke University.

**Brendan Murtagh** is Professor of Urban Planning in the School of the Natural and Built Environment, Queen's University Belfast. He has researched and written widely on contested places, participatory practice and social economics.

**Kristen Ounanian** is an associate professor at the Centre for Blue Governance at Aalborg University, Denmark. Her research interests centre on coastal communities in transition, spanning topics such as fisheries dependence, cultural heritage and impacts of fisheries policies and Blue Economy initiatives. Ounanian completed dual doctoral degrees at Aalborg University and the University of Rhode Island, comparing coastal communities in northern Denmark and New England.

**Maria Pafi** is a PhD student in the School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's University Belfast. Her research focuses on coastal landscapes, coastal tourism and contestation and it is funded by the Cullen Fellowship of the Marine Institute of Ireland.

Jeremy Phillipson is Professor of Rural Development at the Centre for Rural Economy at Newcastle University, UK. As Director of the National Innovation Centre for Rural Enterprise, Phillipson's research interests span the development needs of rural economies and fishing communities, processes of expertise exchange within rural land management, and on the integration of social and natural sciences in resource management. Early in his career he was the researcher and network manager of the European Social Science Fisheries Network.

**Nick Pidgeon** is Director of the Understanding Risk Research Group at Cardiff University and Professor of Environmental Risk. His research looks at public engagement, communication of, and decision-making for environmental and technological risks.

**Matt Reed** is a sociologist in the Countryside and Community Research Institute, University of Gloucestershire, UK, with research interests in how and why social change takes place around food. He is working with farmers, fishers and citizens investigating how together they can produce change in socio-ecological systems.

**Erin Roberts** is an interdisciplinary social science researcher at Cardiff University, whose research interests lie in the affective and emotional connections between people and place, how these relationships relate to health and wellbeing, and their role in shaping socio-ecological transitions.

**Natalie Ross** is a scholar in feminist social geography and psychoanalysis. Her research focuses on fisheries policy making and the everyday lives of people living and working in fishing communities. She recently taught as a lecturer at the University of Manchester on feminist research methodologies and everyday geographies.

**Selina Stead** is Head of the Institute of Aquaculture at the University of Stirling and the UK government's Chief Scientific Advisor for the Marine Management Organisation. Stead's research specialises in international marine food security with a particular emphasis on fisheries and aquaculture. She has published widely on marine science policy, coastal governance, biodiversity conservation and marine protected areas.

Talya ten Brink is a marine policy and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) specialist with the Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). She researches marine and coastal socio-ecological systems. Her chapter was prepared while she was a PhD candidate in the Department of Marine Affairs at the University of Rhode Island, and was funded by a Rhode Island Sea Grant Fellowship. She holds a Masters of Science (Dipl. Ing.) in Urbanism at Delft University of Technology, Netherlands, and studied Landscape Architecture and Ecological Restoration at the University of Washington, Seattle.

**Merryn Thomas'** interdisciplinary research, at Cardiff University, focuses on public perceptions of environmental issues and human-nature relationships. Her recent work on the CoastWEB project used in-depth 'mobile interviews' to better understand the connections between coastal habitats and human health and wellbeing.

**Julie Urquhart** is an environmental social scientist in the Countryside and Community Research Institute, the University of Gloucestershire, UK. Urquhart is interested in human-environment relationships in natural resource management, particularly in fisheries, forestry and agriculture. She is an interdisciplinary researcher with a focus on research that has applied policy relevance and the public understanding of environmental challenges.

**Carole S. White** is a research fellow in the Global Environmental Justice Group at the University of East Anglia, UK, and a senior social researcher at the UK Government Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

# **List of Figures**

Fig. 3.1	A typical interview location by a fishing cove	54
Fig. 8.1	Steps of Photovoice	163
Fig. 8.2	Canoe as an ecosystem service and symbol of social wellbe-	
	ing. (a) Three locals from Almada competing as a group in a	
	canoe race (photograph by Odaury Carneiro, submitted by a	
	research participant from Almada). (b) Collaboration	
	between three fishers taking the canoe out of the water at	
	Almada (photograph by Odaury Carneiro, submitted by a	
	research participant from Almada). (c) Three fishers return-	
	ing from the sea with their canoe at Almada (photograph by	
	Odaury Carneiro, submitted by a research participant from	
	Almada). (d) Canoe race at Almada (photograph by an adult	
	woman from Almada). (e) Canoe painting process at	
	Picinguaba (photograph by a young man from Picinguaba).	
	(f) Canoe representing Puruba bass fishing close to a river	
	mouth (photograph by an adult man form Puruba). (g)	
	Panoramic picture from a member of Almada of paired	
	canoes for canoe race (Sete Fontes Beach) (photograph by	
	Odaury Carneiro, submitted by a research participant from	
	Almada). (h) Teenagers and children (photograph by an	
	adult woman from Almada). (i) Participating in a canoe race	
	at Almada (photograph by an adult woman from Puruba)	168

#### xx List of Figures

Fig. 9.1	Selected images from the SeaChange Exhibition © Merryn	
	Thomas	187
Fig. 9.2	The SeaChange exhibition © Merryn Thomas	187
Fig. 9.3	Different levels of engagement offered different insights in	
	the CoastWEB photo elicitations. From left to right: lighter	
	touch engagement where participants used solely stack	
	photographs, through to the most involved level where	
	participants went out purposely to take photographs for the	
	project. (Dedicated photographs)	190
Fig. 9.4	Bill's archival photograph of Cocklers on Laugharne marsh.	
Ü	(Reproduced with kind permission from the archives of	
	Lady Emma McGrigor)	192
Fig. 9.5	Stack photograph of Pendine beach © Merryn Thomas	194
Fig. 9.6	Stack photograph of a marsh at sunrise © Merryn Thomas	195
Fig. 9.7	Severn Crossing at Aust © Merryn Thomas. Camera: Canon	
O	EOS 5D Mark II; Lens: EF24–105 mm f/4 L IS USM; ISO:	
	250; Focal length: 24 mm; Exposure: 1/40 sec at f/16;	
	Captured on 30th September 2014, 17:20 pm	200
Fig. 10.1	A portion of coastline in Colonial National Historical Park	
	before (left) and after (right) the space was graded	
	by Civilian Conservation Corps workers in 1935 ('Narrative	
	Reports Concerning CCC Work in NPS Areas' 1935)	225
Fig. 10.2	Raised munition supports in a casemate at Fort Pickens in	
	2016	227
Fig. 11.1	Map of the BIWF Demersal Fish Trawl Study Area, where	
	APE refers to the Area of Primary Effect (impact), REFE	
	refers to the Reference East area (control), and REFS refers	
	to the Reference South area (control)	244
Fig. 12.1	Tourist experiences (adapted from: Pafi, Flannery and	
	Murtagh 2020)	270
Fig. 12.2	Example of integration across QUAL-QUAN showing how	
	tourist typologies resonate at the local level	271
Fig. 12.3	The final research design	273
Fig. 13.1	Gergana Mitova reads a plaque in the 'Symbiotic' exhibition	
	on the history of salt on the Bulgarian Black Sea coast, near	
	Burgas. The exhibition was recommended to me by a	
	participant and its textual materials helped inform my	
	understanding of other participants' narratives of salt flats	293

## **List of Tables**

Table 7.1	Participant observation of fishing techniques	142
Table 10.1	Case study site selection criteria	216
Table 10.2	Archives visited and collections referenced	218
Table 12.1	Overview of methods	268

## **List of Boxes**

Excerpts from Dissertation Proposal, Written in January 2013	25
Full Vignette Text Displaying All Possible Dimensions	
and Levels	27
Recommendations for Safe and Ethical Research in the	
Fisheries Industry	108
	and Levels Recommendations for Safe and Ethical Research in the

# Part I

# Introduction



1

# Researching People and the Sea—Setting the Scene

Carole S. White, Madeleine Gustavsson, Jeremy Phillipson, and Kristen Ounanian

## 1.1 Setting the Scene

Attention to and interest in the world's marine environment and resources has grown both politically and academically over the past decade. Oceans and coasts have risen to the top of international and national government

C. S. White  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Global Environmental Justice Group, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK e-mail: Carole. White@uea.ac.uk

#### M. Gustavsson

Ruralis – Institute for Rural and Regional Research, Trondheim, Norway e-mail: madeleine.gustavsson@ruralis.no

#### J. Phillipson

Centre for Rural Economy, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK e-mail: jeremy.phillipson@newcastle.ac.uk

#### K. Ounanian

Centre for Blue Governance, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark e-mail: kristen@plan.aau.dk

#### 4 C. S. White et al.

agendas. They have sparked the interest and imaginations of researchers and the public at large. The prolific number of conferences, courses, initiatives and networks dedicated to understanding and managing interactions of people and the sea is testimony to this trend. As the community of researchers engaged in what is often referred to as the 'marine social sciences' grows, there is an ever-present need for training and capacity building in both theoretical and methodological practices.

Recognising that in the social sciences context is everything, this book focuses on the particularities and challenges of applying social science approaches to the study of people and the sea. Now that calls for social science expertise are increasingly being made and heard, there is concomitant imperative for marine social science capacity to be nurtured and developed. At the heart of this need are methodological practices: *how* to design and undertake research in this context. After all, it is through these practices that knowledge is generated, new theory is developed and evidence is constructed that informs policy and practice. This edited book's primary contribution, therefore, rests on its in-depth assessment of social scientific practices within the marine and coastal realm. As many of us recognise, articulating the issue to be addressed is one of the first steps in any research endeavour.

A dual discourse has recently emerged, where coastal and marine ecosystems are perceived as both needing protection and as spaces for sustainable development and economic growth (Arbo et al. 2018; Bennett 2019). Arguments for marine conservation, motivated by the globally urgent challenges of biodiversity loss and climate change (e.g. UNFCCC 1992; UN Convention on Biological Diversity 2004; IPCC 2019; Garcia and Rice 2020), sit alongside growing enthusiasm for the continued development of tourism, deep-sea mining and marine energy and the potential they offer for revenue generation from previously untapped resources (e.g. European Commission 2012). It is therefore a crucial time for the social sciences to become more engaged and contribute to answering vital questions that concern people and the sea, as highlighted by the recent 'Manifesto for Marine Social Sciences' (Bavinck and Verrips 2020). Understanding how people use, relate to and interact with coastal and

marine environments has never been so important, with social scientists able to bring into view a host of vital considerations pertaining to issues of inequality, wellbeing, social relations, gender, governance, values and knowledge, inter alia.

However, the study of people's interactions with the sea is certainly nothing new. Such interactions have long been addressed in the social sciences, particularly in fisheries (Symes and Hoefnagel 2010; Urquhart et al. 2011), where a long tradition of work exists among anthropologists (e.g. Tunstall 1962; McCay 1978; Acheson 1981), sociologists (e.g. Neis 1992), political scientists (e.g. Kooiman 2005) geographers (e.g. Symes 2006) and others. However, with most government and research institutions by default focussing on fisheries and the marine domains as technical and biological concerns, it has followed that the findings and conclusions generated by the natural or economic sciences have dominated evidence-based policy discourse. It has fallen to social scientists themselves to argue for their important contribution to knowledge, to demonstrate their value and legitimacy, in an attempt for their work to be recognised and respected alongside natural scientists and economists (Jentoft et al. 1998; Symes 1999; Urquhart et al. 2014). The tide has now started turning in this respect. As the UN Decade for the Oceans starts (2021-2030), there are calls for new understandings, approaches and solutions to be developed that will address the complexities and unknowns of the marine world (Bennett 2019; Claudet et al. 2020) and with this, a recognition of the need for social science expertise and interdisciplinary research collaboration involving social scientists (Phillipson and Symes 2013).

Amid the urgency for answers or policy solutions, and substantive input from the social sciences, is also a need for critical reflection on how social science knowledge is produced, in what can be a rewarding, but challenging field of study. There is real risk that without essential training, experience and learning on methodological practices, the opportunity to grow and strengthen the contribution of the marine social sciences will be missed. Worse still, 'light touch' methodological approaches may be implemented to serve agendas that conflict with rigorous and critically informed social science approaches. They risk misusing or co-opting

social science to justify instrumental interventions around regulation and behaviour change for conservation or other purposes (McKinley et al. 2020).

However, responding to an increased demand for social sciences in marine topics is not enough. This must be matched with a development in capacity within the social sciences, and in equipping social scientists to engage effectively in wider interdisciplinary collaborations, if they are to address the real-world challenges facing society. To a large extent, that development in capacity starts with research design and methodology. Learning from and innovating methodological practices is vital for social scientists, whose research methods in themselves impact and create social realities (Moon and Blackman 2014; Flyvbjerg 2012). However, practical experiences in deploying social science approaches and methods in researching people and the sea have rarely come under the spotlight. Methodological learnings, including the successes, trials and tribulations in conducting research and using methods in this context, are only occasionally discussed or published (Moon et al. 2019). Typically, they remain hidden away in field notes and unpublished doctoral manuscripts, with the opportunity for shared learning and capacity building that comes from doing research thereby missed.

Although institutional challenges remain, the demand for social science expertise is growing and broadening to encompass a wider range of marine, coastal and maritime challenges, sectors and policies. As Arbo et al. (2018) suggest, the need to build on the fisheries social science tradition and re-orientate and strengthen capacity to critically engage in a concerted focus on marine sustainability and blue growth has never been greater. It is more than ever necessary to take stock and give space for reflection and shared learning about how social scientists generate and produce research insights, with a view to better equipping researchers working in this growing field.

# 1.2 Methodological Challenges: Key Questions and Themes

To develop and grow the social science contribution to our understanding of people and the sea, the challenge is not only to expand the horizons of our field of enquiry, but also ensure that we build essential capacity that includes methodological learning and innovation. Over the course of our careers, we each develop a methodological toolbox accompanied with research skills. These research skills include reflexivity and adaptability, which are built through experience, training and learning from carrying out research. In this volume our aim is to take a rare step in bringing together and sharing that learning from a range of research topics and geographical locations. Our exploration of the traditions and practices of researching people and the sea is guided by three themes. The first theme embraces reflections on 'doing social research', including the process of data collection in the field. The second theme delves into case examples of specific methods to highlight how these are innovated or adapted in specific research contexts. The third theme picks up on increased calls for interdisciplinary work and policy engagement when studying people and the sea. A consistent thread that runs throughout the book is that of 'innovation' in practices, methods and approaches.

Our first theme is entitled 'Experiences from the Field: Adapting Methods, Practices and Reflexivity'. It focuses on the experiences of doing fieldwork, and the innovation and flexibility required during the process of data collection. In other words, this theme explores innovation in research practice. Through this theme we ask, 'What are the challenges and experiences of doing social science fieldwork in marine and fisheries settings?' Conducting social science in these settings can be particularly challenging—especially when it comes to the practicalities of doing fieldwork and making choices in relation to the myriad of potential methodological approaches. The process of fieldwork can be messy in nature, requiring the researcher to be adaptable and flexible, in response to what they experience and observe. This is where the researcher innovates and realigns their chosen pathway.

For instance, practical or ethical considerations may come to the surface only when the fieldwork has begun. Such types of challenges may be familiar to those researching the social and occupational practices of fishing and fishing communities, though even in this context methodological insights are rarely brought to the surface. Many coastal fishing communities are isolated, hard to reach and suspicious of outsiders, particularly when mistrust of governing and research institutions has evolved over time. Building rapport and trust is therefore crucial, as well as finding ways to ask questions with care and sensitivity. The positionality of the researcher is also an important consideration in fieldwork: How will the researcher be perceived and viewed by those they are researching? How will this influence how people respond and behave and, as a consequence, the research findings? Furthermore, doing fieldwork in coastal and marine settings is often associated with a large degree of uncertainty associated with the changing environment and weather, that those who depend on the sea experience day in, day out. Where and when can the researcher best engage with those they are interested in studying? Much of this may be beyond the realm of the researcher's control, and they must find their own ways to manage the unknown and how this may interact with their research plans. In this first theme of the book, we therefore focus on the experiences of fieldwork, and in particular on the reflexivity and adaptability that accompanies any scientific endeavour. In addition to the peculiarities of working in a marine context, this theme reflects on the common issues experienced through planning and conducting field research; including issues around research design, positionality, ethics, safety and ensuring that the resources available can match the scope of the research.

Our second theme focuses on innovation through particular case study research methods. We explore how innovation occurs in both what could be considered 'conventional' social research methods, and through those that have taken inspiration from other disciplines. 'Windows into Particular Methods: Innovations and Traditions', asks 'How can innovation in social research methods generate new insights and knowledge?' Here, we emphasise that creativity and learning in designing and, critically, deploying methods can be used to generate new knowledge and insights. Innovative approaches and methods are increasingly called for

by funders, with the implicit motivation of finding new insights rather than reproducing tried and tested 'traditional' methods that may yield the same or 'already known' results. While radical methodological innovation is undoubtedly welcome, it is equally significant to consider the vital innovation necessary in those 'everyday' research practices when researchers immerse themselves in the field. Innovation in methods can therefore be realised in multiple ways (Taylor and Coffey 2008). In this theme, we highlight two approaches to introducing innovation into methods: firstly, adapting conventional social science methods in-situ (i.e. in the field), in order to generate new ways of thinking about or doing research, and the necessary creative adaptation of methods in their context. Secondly, innovation through creating new methods or adapting methods from other disciplines, and applying them to the marine or fisheries domains.

Finally, the third theme concerns 'Translating Across Disciplines and Policy', which involves innovation in communicating research and exchanging expertise. It concerns the hybridity required when conducting interdisciplinary research and when engaging with policy. We ask 'How can knowledge be translated across disciplines and into policy?' In addition to the increasing role and call for social sciences alongside contributions from marine and fisheries science, interdisciplinary research has become more popular and is emphasised frequently as a requirement in many funding calls. Furthermore, there are demands from funders for research to deliver policy impact and to evidence this.

Interdisciplinary perspectives offer the possibility of improving understanding of major socio-technical challenges in the marine and coastal environment, in order to find solutions and new insights into complex problems. There has been more and more cross-fertilisation of ideas from different disciplines within the social sciences and increasingly with those from the arts and humanities. Yet these trends come with their risks and challenges for the social sciences, which need to be recognised and addressed, particularly in learning to speak to and engage with disciplines that are epistemologically different and in ensuring an 'upfront' rather than 'end-of-pipe' role within the research design (Lowe et al. 2013).

A further 'translation' problem comes with attempting to apply the research we conduct into policy. This often presents a challenge for many

researchers who are faced with the communication and language barriers that exist between academia and other institutions with a mandate to implement the necessary change that may be highlighted through research (Ota and Just 2008). Publishing and communicating research findings are not enough—a sustained effort to engage with policy at crucial times is often necessary in order to build trust and translate research effectively in such a way that it has potential to impact policy. However, constraints on researchers mean that this is a further challenge that can only be tackled effectively with development of skills and dedicated resource.

## 1.3 Introducing the Book Chapters

The book brings together thirteen accounts and reflections on studying people and the sea in order to address the gap between what is learned from social science methods textbooks, guides and anthologies, and the conditions encountered in the field and the planning, troubleshooting and manoeuvring often required for success. Some chapters provide insights on the deployment of methods in the specific context of studying people and the sea, some fold in experiential insights and others wrestle more with interdisciplinary work and expectations of social science. The overall aim of the collection is to focus attention on *how* research on people and the sea is conducted, what knowledge is produced through this process and how this work is embedded in place-specific, discipline, institutional and policy contexts.

# 1.3.1 Experiences from the Field: Adapting Methods, Practices and Reflexivity

This theme includes four chapters which focus on 'in the field' experiences from doing fieldwork in fishing communities. Each chapter engages directly with *how* to use and adapt well-established qualitative methods while in the field in response to matters that arise, particularly within a fisheries context. The authors reflect on both the successes and failures that come with *doing* research. As each chapter highlights, it is through