Marcia C. M. Marques Carlos E. V. Grelle *Editors*

The Atlantic Forest

History, Biodiversity, Threats and Opportunities of the Mega-diverse Forest



The Atlantic Forest

Marcia C. M. Marques • Carlos E. V. Grelle Editors

The Atlantic Forest

History, Biodiversity, Threats and Opportunities of the Mega-diverse Forest



Editors Marcia C. M. Marques Department of Botany Federal University of Paraná Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Carlos E. V. Grelle Department of Ecology Federal University of Rio de Janeiro Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

ISBN 978-3-030-55321-0 ISBN 978-3-030-55322-7 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-55322-7

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

To all the environmentalists who defended the Atlantic Forest

Foreword

For the past 30 years, the Atlantic Forest has been considered a global priority for biodiversity conservation, one of the top five Biodiversity Hotspots on our planet. Situated mostly within Brazil (but also in Argentina and Paraguay), which is one of the world's top two Megadiversity Countries (Mittermeier et al. 1997), the Atlantic Forest is truly a special part of the world, with exceptional levels of species diversity and endemism.

The numbers speak for themselves, more than 20,000 species of which at least 6,000 are endemic—a number higher than most countries. There are 2,645 non-fish vertebrates, including 384 mammal species, with at least 109 endemics, 1,025 birds with 215 endemics, 517 reptiles with 126 endemics, and 719 amphibians, of which a striking 504 are endemic (Figueiredo et al., this volume). Indeed, if the Atlantic Forest were a country, it would rank as a Megadiversity Country in its own right.

Diversity in certain groups of organisms is especially impressive. Tree diversity in certain parts of the region is among the very highest on Earth, with some early surveys stunning the scientific world, such as 454 arboreal species being surveyed in Bahia in a single hectare of forest (Thomas et al. 1998). This is a number that is matched only by a few sites on the eastern slope of the Tropical Andes, another Biodiversity Hotspot. In this volume, Zwiener et al. greatly expand on the magnificent tree diversity in the Atlantic Forest. Bromeliads and orchids are also exceptionally diverse. And, of course, our favorite group of animals, the non-human primates, are also extremely rich here, with 6 genera and 24 species, of which 21 are endemic, making this region one of the world's top primate conservation priorities.

Diversity and endemism per unit area are also exceptional in the Atlantic Forest, especially when we take into account how many species are packed into the relatively very small area that remains. Even without taking into account the widespread habitat loss that has taken place in the Atlantic Forest compared to Amazonia, its diversity in many groups of organisms is close to that of Amazonia, which is approximately five times larger.

As with the other hotspots, the Atlantic Forest has also suffered from widespread habitat loss over the centuries. It is where Brazil was first colonized and is today a major population center, not just for Brazil but for the entire continent, with São Paulo being one of the two biggest cities in the Western Hemisphere. Going back to the 1980s, estimates of the remaining natural vegetation cover were as low as 7-10% (Fonseca 1985).

We usually refer to the Atlantic Forest as the Brazilian Atlantic Forest, but it is important to note that it also extends into the moist forests of eastern Paraguay and Misiones Province in northern Argentina. That said, more than 90% of the region is found within the borders of Brazil, making its conservation very largely a Brazilian concern.

Although the global importance of the Atlantic Forest is now recognized by everyone in the conservation world, that was not always the case. If one goes back just 50 years, Brazil and its vast extent of tropical rain forest wasn't even considered a high priority in our community. It was not until the early 1970s that Amazonia began to be recognized as of global significance, especially through the leadership of Dr. Thomas E. Lovejoy, then of the World Wildlife Fund – USA, as international attention focused on the impacts of the TransAmazonian Highway, popularized by Goodland and Irwin of the World Bank in 1975. The Atlantic Forest at this time was still nothing more than an afterthought, if it was discussed at all. One of the very first papers in an international journal that called attention to the plight of the Atlantic Forest was published by one of us (Fonseca 1985), as recognized by Marques et al. (this volume).

The origins of worldwide interest in the Atlantic Forest can be traced back to 1970, and it came about because of one little monkey, the Golden Lion Tamarin (Leontopithecus rosalia). Following a couple of short articles by Clyde Hill, Curator of Mammals at the San Diego Zoo, and John Perry, Assistant Director of the National Zoo in Washington, D.C., a number of people in the conservation community started to become concerned about the declining situation of this species and its habitat in the state of Rio de Janeiro. In July 1971, one of us (Mittermeier) travelled to Brazil and met with Prof. Adelmar F. Coimbra-Filho, the pioneer of Brazilian primatology, and together with him started to publish on this species in international journals and also on the two other then-recognized species of lion tamarin, the Golden-headed Lion Tamarin (Leontopithecus chrysomelas) and the Black Lion Tamarin (Leontopithecus chrysopygus), which Coimbra had rediscovered in 1970 in the states of Bahia and São Paulo, respectively, after neither had been seen for almost 70 years. There followed a series of international conferences on these animals, the first at the National Zoo in Washington, D.C., in February 1972, with many others to follow.

At the end of the decade, Mittermeier began a decade-long survey of primates in protected areas of the Atlantic Forest, together with Coimbra, Prof. Célio Valle of the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), and Almirante Ibsen de Gusmão Câmara of the Fundação Brasileira para a Conservação da Natureza (FBCN) in Rio de Janeiro, and with the active participation of one of us (Fonseca), then a graduate student working on primates – all of it funded by the World Wildlife Fund – USA. Early on, this program focused heavily on the two endemic genera, *Leontopithecus* and the muriquis (*Brachyteles*), turning them both into flagship species comparable in value to the giant panda of China, the gorillas of Africa, and the

orangutans of Southeast Asia. What is more, this research program recognized the importance of the Atlantic Forest region as a whole, with the result that Mittermeier introduced it as a priority region in the World Wildlife Fund's Global Campaign for Primates and Tropical Forests, which began in 1982.

There followed a series of scientific and popular publications, led by the two of us but in collaboration with many other partners, in which we highlighted repeatedly the importance of this region (Coimbra-Filho and Mittermeier 1973a, b; Coimbra-Filho et al. 1975; Mittermeier and Coimbra-Filho 1977; Mittermeier et al. 1982; Fonseca 1985; Tabarelli et al. 2005).

In 1983, at a meeting of senior staff from WWF–US to set priorities for its Latin America Program, the Atlantic Forest along with the Tropical Andes were recognized as the two highest priorities in the region. This meeting was in many ways a precursor to the Forest Hotspots analysis, first published by Dr. Norman Myers 1988, in which he recognized the Atlantic Forest as one of the top 10 on Earth. Following input from a number of us, he published an update in 1990, recognizing 18 of what were then called Biodiversity Hotspots. In July 1989, Mittermeier took the Hotspots concept to the fledgling Conservation International and made it the central focus of the organization for the next 20 years. Fonseca joined Conservation International in 1990 as Executive Director of its Brazil Program, with the Atlantic Forest as the top priority. In parallel, Fonseca led the establishment of the first graduate program in Ecology, Conservation and Wildlife Management at the UFMG focusing especially on the Atlantic Forest. One of the editors of this volume, C. E. V. Grelle, received his Master's degree from that program.

Thanks to the John D. and Catharine T. MacArthur Foundation, which also chose the hotspots as its central organizing principle for its new conservation program, Conservation International was able to secure a major grant for its work in the Atlantic Forest, along with grants to several young in-country conservation organizations (e.g., SOS Mata Atlântica in São Paulo, the Fundação Biodiversitas, Belo Horizonte, and the Sociedade de Pesquisa em Vida Selvagem (SPVS), in Curitiba, among many others).

Conservation International also began to publish a series of books, with support from the Mexican cement company CEMEX, highlighting a variety of different conservation issues. The first of these was entitled *Megadiversity* (Mittermeier et al. 1997), which recognized Brazil as one of the two top countries on Earth for its biodiversity, and this was followed by a number of others, two of them, *Hotspots* (Mittermeier et al. 1999) and *Hotspots Revisited* (Fonseca et al. 2004), prominently highlighting the Atlantic Forest. These also formed the basis of two major Conservation International fund-raising campaigns, "The Campaign to Save the Hotspots," which began in the mid-1990s, and "A Future for Life," which started with the new millennium. Together they raised approximately \$2 billion.

All of these activities were instrumental in increasing international recognition of the Atlantic Forest as a truly major global conservation priority, and this continues to the present day through a very wide range activities far too numerous to cover in this brief foreword. What is especially gratifying to those of us who can trace our Atlantic Forest roots going back 40-50 years is the incredible growth of capacity in this region, including both the scientific research capacity and the conservation capacity to create and manage protected areas, at federal, state, and municipal levels, and even in terms of private protected areas (RPPNs). There is no doubt that the Atlantic Forest can be considered a conservation success story among the world's Biodiversity Hotspots, as exemplified by the recent publication, *The Atlantic Forest: Hotspot to Hopespot*, that documented an increase in forest cover to 28% percent, or 32 million hectares, of native vegetation cover (Rezende et al. 2018). The authors estimate that just the implementation of the existing legislative framework could boost the extent of forest cover to 35%.

To be sure, the region still faces many conservation challenges and we must always be cognizant of the old adage that "there are no final victories in conservation." Nonetheless, we are confident that the large and growing cadre of Brazilian researchers and conservationists will succeed in their efforts. Indeed, there is no better indication of this than the truly amazing and highly competent group of young authors that have contributed to this historic and brilliant volume.

Global Wildlife Conservation Austin, TX, USA Russell A. Mittermeier

Global Environment Facility Washington, DC, USA Gustavo A. B. da Fonseca

References

- Coimbra-Filho AF, Mittermeier RA (1973a) New data on the taxonomy of the Brazilian marmosets of the genus *Callithrix* Erxleben, 1777. Folia Primatol 20:241–264
- Coimbra-Filho AF, Mittermeier RA (1973b) Distribution and ecology of the genus *Leontopithecus* lesson, 1840 in Brazil. Primates 14(1):47–66
- Coimbra-Filho AF, Magnanini A, Mittermeier RA (1975) Vanishing gold last chance for Brazil's lion tamarins. Animal Kingdom 15(6):20–27
- da Fonseca GAB, Rylands AB, Paglia A, Mittermeier RA (2004) Atlantic Forest. In: Mittermeier RA, Gil PR, Hoffmann M, Pilgrim J, Brooks T, Mittermeier CG, Lamoreux J, da Fonseca GAB (eds) Hotspots revisited: earth's biologically richest and most endangered terrestrial ecosystems. CEMEX, Agrupación Serra Madre, Mexico, pp 84–88
- Fonseca GAB (1985) The vanishing Brazilian Atlantic Forest. Biol Conserv 34:17-34
- Goodland RJA, Irwin HS (1975) Amazon jungle: green hell to Red Desert? An ecological discussion of the environmental impact of the highway construction program in the Amazon Basin. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Mittermeier RA, Coimbra-Filho AF (1977) Conservation of the Brazilian lion tamarins (*Leontopithecus rosalia*). In: H. S. H. Prince Rainier of Monaco, Bourne G (eds) Primate conservation. Academic Press, New York, pp 59–94
- Mittermeier RA, Coimbra-Filho AF, Constable ID, Rylands AB, Valle C (1982) Conservation of primates in the Atlantic Forest region of eastern Brazil. Int Zoo Yearbook 22:2–17
- Mittermeier RA, Robles-Gil P, Mittermeier CG (eds) (1997) Megadiversity. Earth's biologically wealthiest nations. CEMEX/Agrupación Sierra Madre, Mexico

- Mittermeier RA, Fonseca GAB, Rylands AB, Mittermeier CG (1999) Atlantic forest. In: Mittermeier RA, Myers N, Robles Gil P, Mittermeier CG (eds) Hotspots: the Earth's biologically richest and most endangered terrestrial ecoregions. CEMEX/Agrupación Sierra Madre, Mexico, pp 136–147
- Rezende CL, Scarano FR, Assad ED, Joly CA, Metzger JP, Strassburg BBN, Tabarelli M, Fonseca GAB, Mittermeier RA (2018) From hotspot to hopespot: an opportunity for the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. Perspect Ecol Conserv 16:208–214
- Tabarelli M, Pinto LP, Silva JMC, Hirota M, Bedê L (2005) Challenges and opportunities for biodiversity conservation in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. Conserv Biol 19(3):695–700
- Thomas WW, Carvalho AMV, Amorim AM, Garrison J, Arbelaéz AL (1998) Plant endemism in two forests in southern Bahia, Brazil. Biodivers Conserv 7:311–322

Preface

Time to Rethink the Atlantic Forest

The object of curiosity and admiration of scientists and conservationists, the Brazilian Atlantic Forest is scientifically explored in this book. Over the 23 chapters written by 149 authors from 65 different institutions, the Atlantic Forest goes through a scanner again, updating some works already published in the last 25 years (Dean 1995; Morellato and Haddad 2000; Galindo-Leal and Câmara 2003; Metzger 2009; Eisenlohr et al. 2015). This re-reading is necessary, since both knowledge of the different dimensions of diversity and threats to biodiversity and ecosystem services remain current. Fortunately, in recent years, new tools have also been developed to map and manage the biome, opening up new opportunities for a future based on the conservation and sustainable use of nature.

The history of knowledge of the Atlantic Forest goes back to the end of the eighteenth century, with expeditions by European naturalists, and goes beyond the other centuries with a gradual structuring of research institutions in Brazil (Marques et al. 2000). Over time, a variation was also noticed in the ways of understanding the limits and sectors of the Atlantic Forest as well as the research topics, which include the most descriptive basic sciences (biogeography and systematics), ecology (populations and communities), and the most applied sciences (conservation and biodiversity) (Marques et al. 2021).

The Atlantic Forest has a long history of human interaction and transformation: the early human interactions in the Pleistocene, the actions of more modern native populations of farmers, the arrival of Portuguese colonizers and their large plantation systems, the urbanization and industrialization in mid-twentieth century, and the Anthropocene, from the twentieth century (Solórzano et al. 2021). Altogether, these activities dramatically affected the landscape of the Atlantic Forest, resulting in the highly threatened system. Considering the great environmental, biological, and occupational differences across regions of the Atlantic Forest, some particularities were marked. For example, in the northern Atlantic Forest, the vegetation was mainly converted into sugarcane fields, remaining only 13% of the original area

located mainly within particular properties (Lins-e-Silva et al. 2021). In the central Atlantic Forest, specifically the northern portion of Espírito Santo and southern Bahia states (the Hileia Baiana), 500 years of colonization and successive economic cycles (brazilwood, sugarcane, cassava flour, timber, cocoa) significantly reduced and degraded the native vegetation. However, these forests are still significant reservoirs of the regional biota that inhabits the few remaining forests and the complex mosaic of different land uses that comprise the dominant human-modified land-scapes (Faria et al. 2021). In the southern Atlantic Forest (from south Doce river), characterized by tropical dense, seasonal, and mixed forests, the degradation was marked by strong land cover changes, but still some of the largest fragments along the Serra do Mar mountain remained (Carlucci et al. 2021).

One of the most striking features of the Atlantic Forest is its megadiversity, already documented in previous studies. The updating of these data in this book shows that the diversity of some groups of organisms may be even greater than that already reported, while for other groups, a large knowledge gap still exists. For terrestrial ecosystems, the analysis of data from inventories and herbarium revealed a greater richness of tree species in the central area of the biome, but data for most species is still scarce or not yet ready for use because of bias in data collection (Zwiener et al. 2021). Similarly, the richness of epiphytes is also biased by the collection, and a greater diversity and endemism is found in the south and southeast regions of Brazil (Ramos et al. 2021). For eusocial insects (ants, bees, wasps, and termites), the compilation made by Feitosa et al. (2021) found the impressive number of 1,401 species distributed in 189 genera occurring in the Atlantic Forest; the authors point to the many gaps for specific groups and regions. Finally, the compilation of Tetrapoda occurring in the Atlantic Forest identified 2,645 species, being 719 species of amphibians, 517 species of reptiles, 1,025 species of birds, and 384 species of mammals (Figueiredo et al. 2021). This impressive fauna represents 2.8% of world's Tetrapoda species, and considering that 157 new Tetrapoda species were described in the Atlantic Forest in the last decade, mostly from poorly sampled regions or environments, the importance of the region for global biodiversity can be still higher (Figueiredo et al. 2021). For freshwater ecosystems, understood as coastal and interior freshwaters distributed in 22 hydrographic basins along the Atlantic Forest, the diversity of fishes (and other vertebrates), macroinvertebrates, zooplankton, macrophytes, and microalgae is also astonishing (Padial et al. 2021). The contrast between the demand for ecosystem services (especially drinking water and hydroelectric energy) and the susceptibility of aquatic organisms and trophic chains to environmental changes underscores the importance of increased ecological studies in these ecosystems.

Associated with this diversity in fauna and flora, a diversity of interactions between plant-pollinator, from generalist to specialist systems, represent part of the complexity of the ecosystems that compose the biome (Varassin et al. 2021).

Although it is one of the most important regions in the world in terms of biodiversity and ecosystem services, the Atlantic Forest has historically been the target of numerous threats. As almost all regions in the world, the ongoing anthropogenic climate change is becoming one of the major threats to Atlantic Forest biodiversity. Vale et al. 2021 shows that, using the predictions of eight different climate models, it is possible to project a mean temperature increase of 4.8° C to 5.6° C under a business-as-usual scenario (RCP 8.5) whereas precipitation is expected decrease in the northern and increase in southern portion of the Atlantic Forest. In fact, there a good number of studies projecting high risk of biodiversity loss in terrestrial ecosystems, but a significant knowledge gap in altitudinal, freshwater, and coastal environments (Valle et al. 2021). At a regional (biome) scale, the land-cover changes along the last 520 years led the Atlantic Forest to the current situation of low forest cover mostly distributed in small and isolated fragments composed by forests of varying ages and degradation states (Lira et al. 2021). The introduction of non-native species, that led to biotic homogenization and species losses, showed a growing pattern in spatiotemporal records and richness in the last years. This pattern was specially marked by the increased number of non-native species of plants and fishes and the increased abundance of non-native insects, that directly alter community structure and ecosystem services, and indirectly affects public health and agriculture (Vitule et al. 2021). Also, the fauna of Atlantic Forest has been strongly affected and reduced, driven mainly by habitat loss, fragmentation and degradation in terrestrial populations. In general, apex predators, other carnivores, large-bodied mammals, large herbivores (Galetti et al. 2021) and pollinators (Varassin et al. 2021) were among the most defaunated functional groups, negatively affecting key ecosystem services.

In order to reverse the effects of these threats on the biodiversity of the Atlantic Forest, it is necessary to take advantage of the windows of opportunities that are now presented. One of these opportunities is centered on the concept of ecosystem services. Pires et al. (2021), argue that Atlantic Forest provides ecosystem services for over 60% of the Brazilian population and that promoting dialogue between multiple sectors that depend on these services could leverage a sustainability agenda. Creating alternatives to finance the conservation of the Atlantic Forest is also a possibility explored by Young & Castro (2021), who list several financial instruments that could be targeted for this purpose. Actions that include encouraging sustainable productive activities, such as agroforestry systems and large-scale ecological restoration are other alternatives. According to Tubenchlak et al. (2021), there are numerous initiatives already underway for agroforestry systems in the Atlantic forest, with great potential for restoring socioecological interactions. Similarly, de Siqueira et al. (2021) presents several ways to stimulate social engagement through ecological restoration, enabling conditions to organizing people in a common view project that significantly contribute to the success of the national restoration agenda. The engagement of people is also important for ecotourism (Viveiros-de-Castro et al. 2021), for conservation actions involving governments, organized civil society and universities (Grelle et al. 2021), and for the advancement of interdisciplinary

knowledge about biodiversity, as presented by Bergallo et al. (2021). Altogether, the threats, opportunities, as well as the weaknesses and strengths of the Atlantic Forest are addressed by Grelle, Rajão & Marques (2021), which discuss the possible future of the biome.

Curitiba, Brazil Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Marcia C. M. Marques Carlos E. V. Grelle

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all the chapter authors for contributing their knowledge of the Atlantic Forest. To Springer Press, for the opportunity to prepare this work. In particular, we are very grateful to all reviewers of the chapters named below for their thorough work on the quality of the book:

Adi E. Lazos-Ruiz	Autonomus National University of Mexico, Mexico
Adriano Chiarello	University of São Paulo, Brazil
Ana Cristina Petry	Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
André A. Santanna	National Development Bank, Brazil
Camila Rezende	Brazilian Foundation for Sustainable Development, Brazil
Carlos Frederico Rocha	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Cristina Adams	University of São Paulo, Brazil
Cristina Banks-Leite	Imperial College, UK
Eduardo Velez	Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
Eric A. Fischer	Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil
Fábio R. Scarano	Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Fernanda Brum	Federal University of Paraná, Brazil
Fernando Fernández	National University of Colombia, Colombia
Fernando Mayer Pelicice	Federal University of Tocantins, Brazil
Francisca Soares Araujo	Federal University of Ceará, Brazil
Glenda Mendieta-Leiva	Philipps University of Marburg, Germany
Goetz Scchrot	PNUD, Angola
Helena Bergallo	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Hélida Cunha	State University of Goiás, Brazil
James Aronson	Centre d'Écologie Functionnelle & Evolutive / Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France
James J. Roper	Ars Artium Consultancy and Translation

Jean-Marc Hero	Griffith University, Australia
Leticia Couto Garcia	Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil
Lucas Marinho	State University of South-west Bahia, Brazil
Luiz Menini Neto	Federal University of Juiz de Fora, Brazil
Marcia C. M. Marques	Federal University of Paraná, Brazil
Marcio Verdi	CNCFlora, Rio de Janeiro Botanical Gardens, Brazil
Marcos B. Carlucci	Federal University of Paraná, Brazil
Mário L. Garbin	Federal University of Espírito Santo, Brazil
Pablo José Pena	Rio de Janeiro Botanical Gardens, Brazil
Rodrigues	
Roberto Fusco	Federal University of Paraná, Brazil
Rodrigo Bergamin	Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
Sidnei M. Thomaz	State University of Maringá, Brazil
Sidney F. Gouveia	Federal University of Sergipe, Brazil
Victor Zwiener	Federal University of Paraná, Brazil

Contents

Part I Historical and Biogeographical Aspects

1	The Atlantic Forest: An Introduction to the MegadiverseForest of South AmericaMarcia C. M. Marques, Weverton Trindade, Amabily Bohn,and Carlos E. V. Grelle	3
2	The Atlantic Forest Ecological History: From Pre-colonial Times to the Anthropocene . Alexandro Solórzano, Lucas Santa Cruz de Assis Brasil, and Rogério Ribeiro de Oliveira	25
3	The North-Eastern Atlantic Forest: Biogeographical, Historical, and Current Aspects in the Sugarcane Zone Ana Carolina B. Lins-e-Silva, Paulo Sérgio M. Ferreira, and Maria Jesus N. Rodal	45
4	The Hileia Baiana: An Assessment of Natural and HistoricalAspects of the Land Use and Degradation of the CentralCorridor of the Brazilian Atlantic ForestDeborah Faria, Jacques Hubert Charles Delabie,and Marcelo Henrique Dias	63
5	The Southern Atlantic Forest: Use, Degradation, and Perspectives for Conservation	91

6	Tree Diversity in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest:Biases and General Patterns Using DifferentSources of InformationVictor P. Zwiener, Renato A. F. de Lima, Andrea Sánchez-Tapia,Diogo S. B. Rocha, and Marcia C. M. Marques	115
7	Vascular Epiphytes of the Atlantic Forest: Diversity and Community Ecology Flavio Nunes Ramos, Sara Ribeiro Mortara, and João Pedro Costa Elias	133
8	Social Insects of the Atlantic Forest. Rodrigo Machado Feitosa, Maria Santina de Castro Morini, Aline Cristina Martins, Taís Mattoso de Andrade Ribeiro, Fernando Barbosa Noll, Eduardo Fernando dos Santos, Eliana Marques Cancello, and Joice Paulo Constantini	151
9	Tetrapod Diversity in the Atlantic Forest: Maps and Gaps Marcos de Souza Lima Figueiredo, Marcelo M. Weber, Cinthia Aguirre Brasileiro, Rui Cerqueira, Carlos E. V. Grelle, Clinton N. Jenkins, Caroline V. Solidade, Maria Tereza Chiarioni Thomé, Mariana Moncassin Vale, and Maria Lucia Lorini	185
10	Freshwater Studies in the Atlantic Forest: General Overview and Prospects. André Andrian Padial, Ana Paula Lula Costa, Claudia Costa Bonecker, Davidson Gomes Nogueira, Fabio de Oliveira Roque, Hugo José Message, Leidiane Pereira Diniz, Lorraine Lopes Cavalcante, Marcelo Alejandro Villegas Vallejos, Renata Rúbia Ota, and Thelma Alvim Veiga Ludwig	205
Part	t III Threats	
11	Land-Cover Changes and an Uncertain Future: Will the Brazilian Atlantic Forest Lose the Chance to Become a <i>Hopespot</i> ? Paula Koeler Lira, Rita de Cássia Quitete Portela, and Leandro Reverberi Tambosi	233
12	Climate Change and Biodiversity in the Atlantic Forest: Best Climatic Models, Predicted Changes and Impacts, and Adaptation Options Mariana M. Vale, Paola A. Arias, Geusep Ortega, Manoel Cardoso, Beatriz F. A. Oliveira, Rafael Loyola, and Fabio R. Scarano	253

13	Non-native Species Introductions, Invasions, and Biotic Homogenization in the Atlantic Forest Jean R. S. Vitule, Thiago V. T. Occhi, Laís Carneiro, Vanessa S. Daga, Fabrício A. Frehse, Luis A. V. Bezerra, Sandra Forneck, Hugo S. de Pereira, Matheus O. Freitas, Carla G. Z. Hegel, Vinícius Abilhoa, Maria T. Grombone-Guaratini, Jamile Queiroz-Sousa, Vânia R. Pivello, Dalva M. Silva-Matos, Igor Oliveira, Luís Felipe Toledo, Marcelo A. V. Vallejos, Rafael D. Zenni, Antonia G. P. Ford, and Raul R. Braga	269
14	Causes and Consequences of Large-Scale Defaunation in the Atlantic Forest. Mauro Galetti, Fernando Gonçalves, Nacho Villar, Valesca B. Zipparro, Claudia Paz, Calebe Mendes, Laís Lautenschlager, Yuri Souza, Paula Akkawi, Felipe Pedrosa, Letícia Bulascoschi, Carolina Bello, Anaiá P. Sevá, Lilian Sales, Luísa Genes, Fernanda Abra, and Ricardo S. Bovendorp	297
15	Pollination Systems in the Atlantic Forest: Characterisation, Threats, and Opportunities Isabela Galarda Varassin, Kayna Agostini, Marina Wolowski, and Leandro Freitas	325
Par	t IV Opportunities	
16	Atlantic Forest: Ecosystem Services LinkingPeople and BiodiversityAliny P. F. Pires, Carolina Y. Shimamoto, Maíra C. G. Padgurschi,Fabio R. Scarano, and Marcia C. M. Marques	347
17	Changing the Agriculture Paradigm in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest: The Importance of Agroforestry Fernanda Tubenchlak, Carolina Giudice Badari,	369
	Guilherme de Freitas Strauch, and Luiz Fernando Duarte de Moraes	
18		389

20	Conservation Initiatives in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest	421
	Carlos E. V. Grelle, Adriana Panhol Bayma,	
	Luciane Rodrigues Lourenço Paixão, Mariana Egler,	
	Mateus Motter Dala Senta, Clinton N. Jenkins, Alexandre Uezu,	
	Angela Pellin, Alexandre Camargo Martensen, Henrique Shirai,	
	Neluce Soares, Fernando Lima, Eduardo Fernandez, Nina Pougy,	
	Gustavo Martinelli, Carlos Alberto Mesquita, Mario Mantovani,	
	Fernando A. S. Fernandez, Marcelo L. Rheingantz, and Marcus	
	Vinicius Vieira	
21	Financing Conservation in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest Carlos Eduardo Frickmann Young and Biancca Scarpeline de Castro	451
22	Integrating Researchers for Understanding Biodiversity	
	in Atlantic Forest. Helena Godoy Bergallo, Cecília Cronemberger, Juliana Hipólito, William E. Magnusson, and Carlos Frederico Duarte Rocha	469
23	The Future of the Brazilian Atlantic Forest Carlos E. V. Grelle, Henrique Rajão, and Marcia C. M. Marques	487
Ind	ex	505

Contributors

Adriana Panhol Bayma Brazilian Ministry of the Environment, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Alexandre Camargo Martensen $\mathrm{IP}\hat{\mathrm{E}}$ – Ecological Research Institute, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Federal University of São Carlos, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

Alexandre Uezu IPÊ – Ecological Research Institute, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Alexandro Solórzano Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Aline Cristina Martins University of Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil

Aliny P. F. Pires Rio de Janeiro State University, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil Brazilian Foundation for Sustainable Development, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil Brazilian Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Campinas, SP, Brazil Brazilian Research Network on Global Climate Change, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil

Amabily Bohn Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Ana Carolina B. Lins-e-Silva Federal Rural University of Pernambuco (UFRPE), Recife, PE, Brazil

Ana Paula Lula Costa Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Anaiá P. Sevá Santa Cruz State University, Ilhéus, BA, Brazil

Anazélia M. Tedesco The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

André Andrian Padial Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Andre Monnerat Lanna Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Andrea Sánchez-Tapia Rio de Janeiro Botanical Garden, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Angela Pellin IPÊ – Ecological Research Institute, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Anna Carolina Lobo WWF-Brazil, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Antonia G. P. Ford University of Roehampton, London, UK

Beatriz F. A. Oliveira Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (FIOCRUZ), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Biancca Scarpeline de Castro Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro, Seropédica, RJ, Brazil

Calebe Mendes Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Carla G. Z. Hegel University of Brasília, Brasilia, DF, Brazil

Carlos Alberto Mesquita BVRio Institute, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Carlos E. V. Grelle Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Carlos Eduardo Frickmann Young Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Carlos Frederico Duarte Rocha State University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Carolina Giudice Badari Department of Forestry Sciences, University of São Paulo, ESALQ, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

Carolina Y. Shimamoto Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of Mato Grosso do Sul, Corumbá, MS, Brazil

Carolina Bello Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland

Caroline V. Solidade Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Cecília Cronemberger State University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Chico Mendes Biodiversity Conservation Institute, Teresópolis, RJ, Brazil

Cinthia Aguirre Brasileiro Federal University of São Paulo, Diadema, SP, Brazil

Claudia Costa Bonecker Maringá State University, Maringá, PR, Brazil

Claudia Paz Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Clinton N. Jenkins Ecological Research Institute, Nazaré Paulista, SP, Brazil IPÊ – Ecological Research Institute, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil Dalva M. Silva-Matos Federal University of São Carlos, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

Davidson Gomes Nogueira Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil

Deborah Faria Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Ilhéus, BA, Brazil

Diogo S. B. Rocha International Institute for Sustainability, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Edson L. Santiami University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Eduardo Fernando dos Santos State University of São Paulo, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil

Eduardo Fernandez Brazilian National Centre for Flora Conservation, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Eliana Marques Cancello Museum of Zoology of the University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Ernesto B. Viveiros de Castro Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation, Teresópolis, RJ, Brazil

University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

Fábio F. Corrêa Public Prosecutor's Office of Bahia State, Texeira de Freitas, BA, Brazil

Fabio R. Scarano Brazilian Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Fabio de Oliveira Roque Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil

Fabrício A. Frehse Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Felipe Feliciani WWF-Brazil, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Felipe Pedrosa Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Fernanda Abra University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

Fernanda Tubenchlak International Institute for Sustainability, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Fernando A. S. Fernandez Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Fernando Barbosa Noll State University of São Paulo, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil

Fernando Gonçalves Institute of Biosciences, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Fernando Lima IPÊ – Ecological Research Institute, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Flavio Nunes Ramos Federal University of Alfenas, Alfenas, MG, Brazil

Geusep Ortega University of Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia

Guilherme de Freitas Strauch Technical Assistance and Rural Extension Company of Rio de Janeiro (Emater-Rio), Niterói, RJ, Brazil

Gustavo Martinelli Brazilian National Centre for Flora Conservation, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Rio de Janeiro Botanic Garden Research Institute, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Helena Godoy Bergallo State University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Henrique Rajão Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Henrique Shirai IPÊ – Ecological Research Institute, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Hugo José Message Maringá State University, Maringá, PR, Brazil

Hugo S. de Pereira Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Igor Oliveira Federal University of Acre, Cruzeiro do Sul, AC, Brazil

Isabela G.Varassin Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Jacques Hubert Charles Delabie Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Ilhéus, BA, Brazil

Jamile Queiroz-Sousa São Paulo State University (UNESP), Botucatu, SP, Brazil

Jean R. S. Vitule Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

João Pedro Costa Elias Federal University of Alfenas, Alfenas, MG, Brazil

Joice Paulo Constantini Museum of Zoology of the University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Jorge Luiz do Nascimento Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation, Teresópolis, RJ, Brazil

José Marcelo Torezan Londrina State University, Londrina, PR, Brazil

Juliana Hipólito National Institute for Amazonian Research, Manaus, AM, Brazil

Kayna Agostini Federal University of São Carlos, Araras, SP, Brazil

Laís Carneiro Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Laís Lautenschlager Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Leandro Reverberi Tambosi Federal University of ABC, Santo André, SP, Brazil

Leandro Freitas Rio de Janeiro Botanical Garden, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Leidiane Pereira Diniz Maringá State University, Maringá, PR, Brazil

Letícia Bulascoschi Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Lilian Sales State University of Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Lorraine Lopes Cavalcante Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Lucas Santa Cruz de Assis Brasil Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Luciane Rodrigues Lourenço Paixão Brazilian Ministry of the Environment, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Ludmila P. de Siqueira Atlantic Forest Restoration Pact, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Luis A. V. Bezerra Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Luís Felipe Toledo State University of Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Luísa Genes Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Luiz Fernando Duarte de Moraes Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation, Embrapa Agrobiology, Seropédica, RJ, Brazil

Maíra C. G. Padgurschi Brazilian Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Campinas, SP, Brazil

University of Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Manoel Cardoso National Institute for Space Research (INPE), São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil

Marcelo Alejandro Villegas Vallejos Lactec Institutes, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Marcelo Henrique Dias Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Ilhéus, BA, Brazil

Marcelo L. Rheingantz Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Marcelo M. Weber Federal University of Santa Maria, Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil

Marcia C. M. Marques Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Marcos Bergmann Carlucci Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Marcos de Souza Lima Figueiredo Federal University of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Marcus Vinicius Vieira Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Maria Jesus N. Rodal Federal Rural University of Pernambuco (UFRPE), Recife, PE, Brazil

Maria Lucia Lorini Federal University of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Maria Santina de Castro Morini University of Mogi das Cruzes, Mogi das Cruzes, SP, Brazil

Maria T. Grombone-Guaratini Institute of Botany, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Maria Tereza Chiarioni Thomé São Paulo State University, Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Mariana Moncassin Vale Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Mariana Egler Brazilian Ministry of the Environment, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Marina Wolowski Federal University of Alfenas, Alfenas, MG, Brazil

Mario Mantovani SOS Mata Atlântica Foundation, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Mateus Motter Dala Senta Brazilian Ministry of the Environment, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Matheus O. Freitas Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Mauro Galetti Institute of Biosciences, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Department of Biology, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL, USA

Nacho Villar Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Netherlands Institute for Ecology NIOO-KNAW, Wageningen, The Netherlands

Natália C. B. Albuquerque Brazilian Forest Service, Texeira de Freitas, BA, Brazil

Neluce Soares IPÊ – Ecological Research Institute, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Nina Pougy Brazilian National Centre for Flora Conservation, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Paola A. Arias University of Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia

Paula Koeler Lira Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Paula Akkawi Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Paulo Sérgio M. Ferreira Federal University of Ceará (UFC), Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

Pedro H. S. Brancalion University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

Rafael B. Chaves Brazilian Society for Ecological Restoration, Londrina, PR, Brazil

Rafael D. Zenni Federal University of Lavras, Lavras, MG, Brazil

Rafael Loyola Brazilian Foundation for Sustainable Development (FBDS), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, GO, Brazil

Raul R. Braga Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Renata B. Bradford Acceso PanAm, Jackson, WY, USA

Renata Rúbia Ota Maringá State University, Maringá, PR, Brazil

Renato A. F. de Lima Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

Ricardo R. Rodrigues University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

Ricardo S. Bovendorp Santa Cruz State University, Ilhéus, BA, Brazil

Rita de Cássia Quitete Portela Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Rodrigo Machado Feitosa Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Rogério Ribeiro de Oliveira Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Rui Cerqueira Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Sandra Forneck Federal University of Paraná, Palotina, PR, Brazil

Sara Ribeiro Mortara Rio de Janeiro Botanical Garden, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil National Institute of the Atlantic Forest, Santa Teresa, ES, Brazil

Taís Mattoso de Andrade Ribeiro University of Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil

Tatiana M. G. Guimarães Paraíba Valley Ecological Corridor, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil

Thelma Alvim Veiga Ludwig Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Thiago V. T. Occhi Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Valesca B. Zipparro Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil Vanessa S. Daga Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Vânia R. Pivello University of São Paulo, Butanta, SP, Brazil

Victor P. Zwiener Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Vinícius Abilhoa Capão da Imbuia Natural History Museum, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Vinícius Marcilio-Silva Military School of Curitiba, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Weverton Trindade Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

William E. Magnusson National Institute for Amazonian Research, Manaus, AM, Brazil

Yuri Souza Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

Part I Historical and Biogeographical Aspects

Chapter 1 The Atlantic Forest: An Introduction to the Megadiverse Forest of South America



Marcia C. M. Marques, Weverton Trindade, Amabily Bohn, and Carlos E. V. Grelle

Abstract The Atlantic Forest, the second largest forest in South America and one of the most biodiverse biomes in the world, is also one of the most threatened and important for conservation. In this chapter, we introduce the Atlantic Forest focusing on describing the evolution of knowledge, the geographical limits, and the current proposals of sectorization in ecological units. The knowledge of the Atlantic Forest can be explained by three successive phases: (1) the science of naturalists (the late eighteenth century to the late nineteenth century), where the flora and fauna were described by European travelers; (2) the rise of science in Brazil, characterized by the organization of Atlantic Forest biodiversity in collections (1890-1985); and (3) the contemporary era (1985–2020), characterized by the publication of 8226 studies focused on 4 main topics - biogeography and systematics, conservation and biodiversity, plant-animal interaction, and populations and community. The understanding of the distribution limits of the Atlantic Forest biome (11 different proposals), as well as sectorization (4 different proposals), has been the subject of several studies and legislations, which are presented and discussed. Additionally, we present terminologies usually used to designate the Atlantic Forest as a whole, as well as its sectors, to facilitate understanding in future studies. We conclude that understanding the Atlantic Forest remains a long and endless exercise, given its complexity, increased knowledge, and continuous threats.

Electronic supplementary material: The online version of this chapter (https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-030-55322-7_1) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

M. C. M. Marques (⊠) · W. Trindade · A. Bohn Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil e-mail: mmarques@ufpr.br

C. E. V. Grelle Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil