

Vladislav Golyanik

Robust Methods for Dense Monocular Non-Rigid 3D **Reconstruction** and **Alignment of Point** Clouds



Robust Methods for Dense Monocular Non-Rigid 3D Reconstruction and Alignment of Point Clouds Vladislav Golyanik

Robust Methods for Dense Monocular Non-Rigid 3D Reconstruction and Alignment of Point Clouds



Vladislav Golyanik Computer Graphics D4 Max Planck Institute for Informatics Saarbruecken, Germany

Vom Fachbereich Informatik der Technischen Universität Kaiserslautern zur Verleihung des akademischen Grades Doktor der Ingenieurwissenschaften (Dr.-Ing.) genehmigte Dissertation

Datum der wissenschaftlichen Aussprache: 20. November 2019 Dekan: Prof. Dr. Stefan Deßloch Vorsitzender der Promotionskommission: Prof. Dr. Hans Hagen Erster Berichterstatter: Prof. Dr. Didier Stricker Zweiter Berichterstatter: Prof. Dr. Reinhard Koch Dritter Berichterstatter: Prof. Dr. Antonio Agudo

Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, 2019 D386

ISBN 978-3-658-30566-6 ISBN 978-3-658-30567-3 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-30567-3

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH, part of Springer Nature 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer Vieweg imprint is published by the registered company Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Abraham-Lincoln-Str. 46, 65189 Wiesbaden, Germany

Acknowledgments

I am deeply and sincerely thankful to my doctoral supervisor Didier Stricker, the head of the Augmented Vision Department at the German Research Centre for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI) and a professor at the University of Kaiserslautern. He has always supported me in my research intentions, appreciated my independent work and strategically contributed to my development. He has also encouraged me to complete a research internship in a company.

The AV group has celebrated its tenth anniversary in August 2018. Its warm and collaborative environment has, without doubt, contributed to the accomplishment of this work. Therefore, I would like to acknowledge all colleagues and visiting researches of the AV group, who have been working in the AV group from 2014 until 2018, including my office mates, Adytia Tewari, Jilliam Maria Diaz Barros and Sarvenaz Salehi. A special thank goes to Leivy Michelly Kaul and Keonna Cunningham, for helping me to struggle through the office jungles and plenty of formalities.

I am deeply thankful to Bertram Taetz who was my postdoctoral colleague during my first months at DFKI. It was a unique experience to work with him which has effected my mindset in a way that I began to perceive computer vision in the continuous domain and from the perspective of applied mathematics. Since that time, I highly appreciate working with excellent mathematicians. I am deeply thankful to Gerd Reis who has often been helping me with improving paper drafts. Discussions with him have always been inspiring and rewarding.

During my sabbatical at NVIDIA Research in Santa Clara, I had the great fortune to work with Robert Maier who was an intern at NVIDIA Research at the same time as me, and Matthias Nießner who has been a visiting assistant professor at Stanford Univerity back then. I am thankful to my supervisors Kihwan Kim and Jan Kautz from NVIDIA Research for giving me the opportunity to work on a challenging topic and sharing the ideas. Moreover, working with them has helped me to strengthen my leadership qualities.

I am deeply thankful to all my further co-authors: Gabriele Bleser, Torben Fetzer, Soshi Shimada, Mitra Narsi, Oliver Wasenmüller, Jan C. Peters, Aman Shankar Mathur, Sk Aziz Ali, Mohammad Dawud Ansari, Tomonari Yosida, Kiran Varanasi, André Jonas and Christian Theobalt. I have enjoyed working with all of you, and I have learned from all of you. Furthermore, I would like to thank Stella Graßhof, Hanno Ackermann, Bodo Rosenhahn, Jörn Ostermann, Antonio Agudo, Francesc Moreno-Noguer, Daniel Cremers, Willi Frieden, Gabriele Steidl, Yongzhi Su, Alain Pagani, Norbert Schmitz, Marco Paladini, Deqing Sun and Thomas Breuel for collaborations, fruitful discussions and advice.

Last but not least, I am genuinely and sincerely grateful to my parents and my family. Without their patience and support, endurance and apprehension this work would have been barely possible. At all times, the music of Ludwig van Beethoven was helping me to make decisions and served as an inexhaustible source of patience, persistence and inspiration.

Vladislav Golyanik

Contents

| Li | st of | Figures | 5 | XV |
|----|-------|----------|---|------|
| Li | st of | Tables | | XIX |
| AI | ostra | ct | | XXI |
| Ζι | usam | menfas | sung X | XIII |
| 1 | Intr | oductio | on | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Monoc | ular Non-Rigid Dynamic 3D Reconstruction | 2 |
| | 1.2 | Point S | et Registration | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Scope | of the Thesis | 4 |
| | 1.4 | Overvi | ew of the Contributions | 6 |
| | 1.5 | Thesis | Structure | 9 |
| | | 1.5.1 | Supporting Publications | 10 |
| 2 | Pre | liminari | es | 13 |
| | 2.1 | Compu | ter Vision Primer | 13 |
| | | 2.1.1 | Perspective and Orthographic Projections | 13 |
| | | 2.1.2 | Problem Classification in the Sense of Hadamard | 15 |
| | | 2.1.3 | Inverse Problems in Computer Vision | 15 |
| | | 2.1.4 | Non-Linear Least Squares | 17 |
| | | | 2.1.4.1 Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm | 18 |
| | | | 2.1.4.2 Huber Norm | 19 |
| | 2.2 | From S | parse Rigid SfM to Sparse NRSfM | 19 |
| | | 2.2.1 | Eigenvalue Decomposition of a Matrix | 19 |
| | | 2.2.2 | Singular Value Decomposition | 21 |
| | | 2.2.3 | Rigid Structure from Motion by Factorisation | 22 |
| | | 2.2.4 | Non-Rigid Structure from Motion by Factorisation with | |
| | | | Low-Rank Subspace Model | 25 |
| | | | | |

| | 2.2.5 | Parametrisation of Rotations | 27 |
|-----|--|---|---|
| | | 2.2.5.1 Axis-Angle Representation | 28 |
| | | 2.2.5.2 Quaternions | 29 |
| | 2.2.6 | Finding a Closest Rotation Matrix to a Given A | 31 |
| | 2.2.7 | Optical Flow Estimation | 31 |
| | 2.2.8 | Multiframe Optical Flow with Subspace Constraints and | |
| | | Occlusion Handling | 32 |
| 2.3 | Local F | Refinement and Probabilistic Approaches for Point Set Regis- | |
| | tration | | 35 |
| | 2.3.1 | Estimation of an Optimal Transformation | 35 |
| | 2.3.2 | Iterative Closest Point | 36 |
| | 2.3.3 | <i>N</i> -Body Simulations | 37 |
| | | 2.3.3.1 Acceleration Techniques for <i>N</i> -body Simulations | 39 |
| | 2.3.4 | Gaussian Mixture Models and Expectation-Maximisation | 39 |
| | | 2.3.4.1 Gaussian Mixture Models | 40 |
| | | 2.3.4.2 Expectation-Maximisation Algorithm | 41 |
| | 2.3.5 | Coherent Point Drift | 41 |
| Rev | view of | Previous Work | 43 |
| 3.1 | Non-Ri | igid Structure from Motion | 43 |
| | 3.1.1 | Approaches to Monocular Non-Rigid Surface Recovery | 45 |
| | 3.1.2 | Previous Work in Non-Rigid Structure from Motion | 46 |
| | | 3.1.2.1 Dense Non-Rigid Structure from Motion | 48 |
| 3.2 | Point S | et Registration | 49 |
| | 3.2.1 | Scope of Point Set Registration | 50 |
| | 3.2.2 | Previous Work in Rigid Point Set Registration | 52 |
| | 3.2.3 | Previous Work in Non-Rigid Point Set Registration | 54 |
| Sca | lable D | ense Non-Rigid Structure from Motion | 57 |
| 4 1 | Scalabl | le NRSfM with Semidefinite Programming | 58 |
| | 411 | Introduction | 58 |
| | | 4 1 1 1 Contributions | 60 |
| | 412 | Related Work | 60 |
| | 413 | Accelerated Metric Projections (AMP) Approach | 61 |
| | | 4.1.3.1 Coefficient Splitting | 63 |
| | | 4132 Constraints in the Unified Form | 63 |
| | | 4133 Constraints on the Traces | 66 |
| | | 4134 Constraints on the Combined Matrix Y | 66 |
| | 4.1.4 | Implementation | 68 |
| | | 4.1.4.1 CSDP Solver | 69 |
| | 2.3 Rev 3.1 3.2 Sca 4.1 | 2.2.5 2.2.6 2.2.7 2.2.8 2.3 Local F tration 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 Review of 3.1 Non-Ri 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.2 Point S 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 Scalable D 4.1 Scalable 4.1.1 4.1.2 4.1.4 | 2.2.5 Parametrisation of Rotations |

| | | 4.1.5 | Experime | ents | - 70 |
|---|-----|----------------|---|---|--|
| | | | 4.1.5.1 | Quantitative Evaluation | 70 |
| | | | 4.1.5.2 | Qualitative Results on Real and Rendered Image | |
| | | | | Sequences | 72 |
| | | | 4.1.5.3 | Discussion | 74 |
| | | 4.1.6 | Conclusi | on | 74 |
| | 4.2 | Scalabl | le NRSfM | with Few Prior Assumptions | 74 |
| | | 4.2.1 | Introduct | ion and an Overview of Contributions | 74 |
| | | 4.2.2 | Related V | Work | 75 |
| | | 4.2.3 | Scalable | Monocular Surface Reconstruction Approach | 75 |
| | | | 4.2.3.1 | Problem Formulation | 76 |
| | | | 4.2.3.2 | Smooth Shape Trajectory | 77 |
| | | | 4.2.3.3 | Non-Rigid Shape Recovery | 78 |
| | | 4.2.4 | Experime | ental Results | 79 |
| | | | 4.2.4.1 | Dense Datasets with Ground Truth | 80 |
| | | | 4.2.4.2 | Evaluation on Sparse Datasets | 82 |
| | | | 4.2.4.3 | Evaluation on Dense Datasets | 83 |
| | | | 4.2.4.4 | NRSfM Challenge 2017 | 86 |
| | 4.3 | Conclu | sion | | 88 |
| 5 | Sha | pe Pric | ors in Der | nse Non-Rigid Structure from Motion | 89 |
| | 5.1 | Static S | Shape Prior | r for Explicit Occlusion Handling | 90 |
| | | 5.1.1 | Motivatio | on and Contributions | 90 |
| | | 5.1.2 | Related V | Work | 90 |
| | | 5.1.3 | Variation | al Approach with a Shape Prior (SPVA) | 92 |
| | | | 5.1.3.1 | Per Sequence Shape Prior | 93 |
| | | | 5.1.3.2 | Per Frame Shape Prior | 97 |
| | | | 5.1.3.3 | Per Pixel Per Frame Shape Prior | 97 |
| | | 5.1.4 | Obtaining | g Shape Prior | 98 |
| | | | 5.1.4.1 | Occlusion Tensor Estimation | 98 |
| | | | 5.1.4.2 | Total Intensity Criterion | 100 |
| | | 5.1.5 | Experime | ents | 100 |
| | | | 5.1.5.1 | Evaluation Methodology | 102 |
| | | | 5.1.5.2 | Experiments on Synthetic Data | 105 |
| | | | 5.1.5.3 | Experiments on Real Data | 108 |
| | | | | | |
| | 5.2 | Intrinsi | c Dynami | c Shape Prior for Dense NRSfM | 113 |
| | 5.2 | 5.2.1 | c Dynamie Related V | c Shape Prior for Dense NRSfM Work | 113 114 |
| | 5.2 | 5.2.1 5.2.2 | c Dynamic Related V The Prop | c Shape Prior for Dense NRSfM Work osed Approach with Dynamic Shape Prior | 113 114 115 |
| | 5.2 | 5.2.1 5.2.2 | c Dynamic Related V The Prop 5.2.2.1 | c Shape Prior for Dense NRSfM Work wosed Approach with Dynamic Shape Prior Obtaining Dynamic Shape Prior (DSP) | 113 114 115 117 |

| | | | 5.2.3.1 | Evaluation Methodology | 119 |
|---|-----|---------|-------------|---|-----|
| | | | 5.2.3.2 | Evaluation of CMDR Disjointly from DSPR | 121 |
| | | | 5.2.3.3 | Self- and Cross-Convergence Tests | 122 |
| | | | 5.2.3.4 | Influence of the MSGD Parameters | 125 |
| | | | 5.2.3.5 | Joint Evaluation of Flow and DSPR | 126 |
| | | | 5.2.3.6 | Experiments with Real Data and Applications . | 127 |
| | 5.3 | Conclu | ision | | 132 |
| 6 | Coł | erent l | Depth Fie | elds with High Dimensional Space Model | 135 |
| | 6.1 | Depth | Fields in N | IRSfM | 136 |
| | | 6.1.1 | Motivatio | on and Significance of Depth Fields | 136 |
| | | 6.1.2 | Contribu | tions | 136 |
| | | 6.1.3 | Related V | Work | 137 |
| | | 6.1.4 | Coherence | y Term | 138 |
| | | 6.1.5 | Coherent | Depth Fields (CDF) Approach | 139 |
| | | 6.1.6 | Experime | ents | 142 |
| | | | 6.1.6.1 | Synthetic Sequences and Joint Evaluation with | |
| | | | | MFOF | 144 |
| | | | 6.1.6.2 | Real Sequences | 146 |
| | 6.2 | High D | Dimensiona | I Space Model for NRSfM | 148 |
| | | 6.2.1 | Motivatio | on | 148 |
| | | 6.2.2 | Contribu | tions | 149 |
| | | 6.2.3 | Related V | Work | 150 |
| | | | 6.2.3.1 | Localised Modelling | 150 |
| | | | 6.2.3.2 | Compressed and Compact Representations | 150 |
| | | | 6.2.3.3 | Coarse-to-Fine Recovery | 151 |
| | | | 6.2.3.4 | Geometry Lifting | 151 |
| | | 6.2.4 | High Dir | nensional Space Model (HDSM) | 151 |
| | | | 6.2.4.1 | Considerations in the Rigid Case | 152 |
| | | | 6.2.4.2 | Considerations in the Non-Rigid Case | 153 |
| | | | 6.2.4.3 | HDSM and Other Deformation Models | 154 |
| | | 6.2.5 | Lifted Co | bherent Depth Fields with HDSM | 155 |
| | | | 6.2.5.1 | Lifting-Compression of S | 156 |
| | | | 6.2.5.2 | Decompression-Expansion of the Lifted Geo- | |
| | | | | metry | 158 |
| | | | 6.2.5.3 | Solution Initialisation | 159 |
| | | 6.2.6 | Experime | ental Results | 159 |
| | | | 6.2.6.1 | Synthetic Face Sequences | 160 |
| | | | 6.2.6.2 | Real and Naturalistic Image Sequences | 161 |
| | 6.3 | Conclu | ision | | 162 |

| 7 | Mo del | nocular | ^r Surface | Regression with Learned Deformation Mo- | 165 |
|---|-----------|----------|----------------------|--|-----|
| | 7.1 | Archite | ecture of th | he Hybrid Deformation Model Network (HDM- | |
| | | Net) | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 167 |
| | | 7.1.1 | Loss Fun | ctions | 168 |
| | 7.2 | Datase | t and Train | ning | 173 |
| | 7.3 | Geome | etry Regres | ssion and Comparisons | 173 |
| | 7.4 | Conclu | iding Rem | arks | 179 |
| 8 | Pro | babilist | ic Point | Set Registration with Prior Correspondences | 181 |
| | 8.1 | Rigid I | Point Set R | Registration with Prior Correspondences | 183 |
| | | 8.1.1 | Extended | Coherent Point Drift (ECPD) in General Form . | 185 |
| | | 8.1.2 | Rigid Ex | tended Coherent Point Drift (R-ECPD) | 186 |
| | | 8.1.3 | Evaluatio | on | 188 |
| | | | 8.1.3.1 | Experiments with Synthetic Data | 188 |
| | | | 8.1.3.2 | Experiments with Real Data | 189 |
| | | | 8.1.3.3 | The Stadium Dataset | 190 |
| | 8.2 | Non-R | igid Point | Set Registration with Prior Correspondences | 192 |
| | | 8.2.1 | Related V | Work | 193 |
| | | 8.2.2 | Non-Rig | id Extended Coherent Point Drift (ECPD) | 195 |
| | | 8.2.3 | Impleme | ntation | 197 |
| | | | 8.2.3.1 | Coarse-To-Fine Strategy with Correspondence | |
| | | | | Preserving Subsampling | 198 |
| | | 8.2.4 | Evaluatio | on | 201 |
| | | | 8.2.4.1 | Experiments with Synthetic Data | 201 |
| | | | 8.2.4.2 | Experiments with Real Data | 204 |
| | | 8.2.5 | Proof of | the Proposition | 208 |
| | 8.3 | An Ap | plication in | n a Pipeline for Human Appearance Transfer | 211 |
| | | 8.3.1 | Related w | work | 212 |
| | | 8.3.2 | The Prop | osed Framework | 213 |
| | | | 8.3.2.1 | A 3D Human Body Template | 213 |
| | | | 8.3.2.2 | Overview of the Framework | 214 |
| | | | 8.3.2.3 | Landmark Extraction | 214 |
| | | | 8.3.2.4 | Post-Processing | 216 |
| | | | 8.3.2.5 | Handling Variety in Hand Poses | 216 |
| | | 8.3.3 | Experime | ental Results | 217 |
| | | | 8.3.3.1 | Experiments with Real Data | 218 |
| | | | 8.3.3.2 | A System for Treatment of Social Pathologies . | 219 |
| | 8.4 | Summa | ary and Co | nclusion | 221 |

| 9 | Poi | nt Set | Registrat | ion Relying on Principles of Particle Dyna- | |
|----|------|-----------|-------------|---|-----|
| | mic | s | | | 225 |
| | 9.1 | Rigid (| Gravitation | al Approach (GA) with Second-Order ODEs | 225 |
| | | 9.1.1 | Gravitati | onal Approach | 228 |
| | | | 9.1.1.1 | Gravitational Potential Energy | 230 |
| | | | 9.1.1.2 | Rigidity Constraints | 231 |
| | | | 9.1.1.3 | Acceleration Techniques | 233 |
| | | 9.1.2 | Evaluatio | on | 235 |
| | | | 9.1.2.1 | Experiments on Synthetic Data | 235 |
| | | | 9.1.2.2 | Experiments on Real Data | 238 |
| | | | 9.1.2.3 | Experiments on SLAM Datasets | 239 |
| | | | 9.1.2.4 | Discussion | 245 |
| | 9.2 | Accele | rated Grav | vitational Approach with Altered Laws of Physics | 245 |
| | | 9.2.1 | The Enha | anced Particle Dynamics Based Gravitational Ap- | |
| | | | proach . | | 247 |
| | | | 9.2.1.1 | Acceleration with a Barnes-Hut Tree | 247 |
| | | | 9.2.1.2 | Local Enhancement with Spherical Coordinates | 250 |
| | | | 9.2.1.3 | Handling Varying Point Densities | 251 |
| | | | 9.2.1.4 | Energy Minimisation | 251 |
| | | 9.2.2 | Experime | ental Evaluation | 252 |
| | | | 9.2.2.1 | Quantitative Evaluation | 252 |
| | | | 9.2.2.2 | Evaluation with Real-World Data | 259 |
| | 9.3 | Gravita | tional Ap | proach for Non-Rigid Point Set Registration | 260 |
| | | 9.3.1 | Non-Rig | id Gravitational Approach (NRGA) | 261 |
| | | | 9.3.1.1 | Modified <i>N</i> -Body Problem | 263 |
| | | | 9.3.1.2 | Distributed Locally Multiply-Linked Policy | 263 |
| | | | 9.3.1.3 | Coherent Collective Motion Regulariser | 265 |
| | | | 9.3.1.4 | Algorithm and Complexity Analysis | 266 |
| | | 9.3.2 | Experime | ental Evaluation | 266 |
| | | | 9.3.2.1 | Evaluation Methodology and Datasets | 267 |
| | | | 9.3.2.2 | Experimental Results on Synthetic Data | 269 |
| | | | 9.3.2.3 | Experimental Results with Qualitative Interpreta- | -07 |
| | | | <i>y</i> | tion | 270 |
| | 9.4 | Conclu | sion | | 272 |
| 10 | Арг | olication | 1s to Sce | ne Flow Estimation | 275 |
| | 10.1 | Scene I | Flow from | Monocular Image Sequences | 275 |
| | | 10.1.1 | Monocul | ar Scene Flow as an Emerging Field | 276 |
| | | 10.1.2 | MSF and | INRSfM in the Continuous Domain | 279 |
| | | 10.1.3 | The NRS | SfM-Flow Framework | 283 |

| 10.1.4 Evaluation | 285 |
|---|-----|
| 10.1.5 Conclusion | 289 |
| 10.2 RGB-D Multiframe Scene Flow with Piecewise Rigid Motion | 290 |
| 10.2.1 Motivation, Preliminaries and Contributions | 290 |
| 10.2.2 Previous and Related Works in the Area of RGB-D Scene | |
| Flow Estimation | 292 |
| 10.2.3 Multiframe Scene Flow (MSF) with Piecewise Rigid Moti- | |
| on | 294 |
| 10.2.3.1 Multiframe Formulation | 299 |
| 10.2.4 Energy Optimisation | 301 |
| 10.2.4.1 Energy Initialisation and Settings | 303 |
| 10.2.5 Experimental Evaluation | 304 |
| 10.2.5.1 Experiments on Synthetic Data | 305 |
| 10.2.5.2 Experiments on Real Data | 308 |
| 10.2.6 Discussion | 308 |
| 10.2.7 Conclusion | 312 |
| 11 Summary, Conclusions and Outlook | 313 |
| 11.1 Future Directions | 315 |
| Bibliography | 317 |
| Publication List | 349 |

List of Figures

| 2.1 | Energy function of the multiframe optical flow approach | - 33 |
|------|--|------|
| 2.2 | NRSfM reconstructions of a human heart | 34 |
| 3.1 | Depth ambiguity in NRSfM | 44 |
| 3.2 | Motion and deformation cues in NRSfM | 48 |
| 4.1 | Runtimes of AMP on the synthetic flag sequence | 64 |
| 4.2 | Qualitative evaluation of AMP | 69 |
| 4.3 | Results of AMP on the face sequence | 72 |
| 4.4 | Results of AMP on the heart sequence | 73 |
| 4.5 | Comparison of the normalised mean 3D error (log scale) | 80 |
| 4.6 | Qualitative evaluation of SMSR | 81 |
| 4.7 | Qualitative comparison of MP, CSF1, CSF2, PTA, VA and our | |
| | SMSR (Actor1 Sparse) | 83 |
| 4.8 | Qualitative evaluation of MP, PTA, CSF1, VA and our SMSR | |
| | (synthetic faces) | 84 |
| 4.9 | Visualisation of the 3D motion fields recovered by SMSR | 85 |
| 4.10 | Qualitative evaluation of SMSR | 87 |
| 5.1 | An overview of the SPVA pipeline | 92 |
| 5.2 | Exemplary frames from the modified flag sequences | 101 |
| 5.3 | Plots of the total intensity function | 102 |
| 5.4 | Quantitative evaluation of MFSF + SPVA in different modes | 103 |
| 5.5 | Quantitative evaluation on the flag sequence | 103 |
| 5.6 | Rigid initialisation and the shape prior (overlay) | 104 |
| 5.7 | Exemplary frames of the <i>hashtag</i> sequence | 104 |
| 5.8 | Qualitative results of SPVA in comparison to other pipeline com- | |
| | binations | 107 |
| 5.9 | Experimental results on the <i>heart</i> sequence | 109 |
| 5.10 | Experimental results on the <i>face</i> sequence | 110 |

| 5.11 | Experimental results on the ASL sequence | 111 |
|------|---|------|
| 5.12 | Results on the ASL sequence with correspondence correction | 112 |
| 5.13 | Results of the <i>self-convergence</i> and <i>cross-convergence</i> tests | 120 |
| 5.14 | Reconstruction of SMSR on the perturbed point tracks | 122 |
| 5.15 | Results of the experiments with MSGD parameters | 124 |
| 5.16 | Convergence patterns observed in the self- and cross-convergence | |
| | tests | 125 |
| 5.17 | The new <i>actor mocap</i> sequence | 127 |
| 5.18 | A real image sequence and non-rigid 3D reconstructions thereof. | 128 |
| 5.19 | Exemplary reconstructions of CDF and DSPR on noisy point tracks | s129 |
| 5.20 | Application of DSPR in heart bypass surgery with reoccurring | |
| | deformations | 130 |
| | | |
| 6.1 | Explanation of the coherency term | 138 |
| 6.2 | Exemplary reconstructions by VA, AMP and our CDF on the new | |
| | sequence | 143 |
| 6.3 | Evolution of reconstructed occluded regions for different σ | 144 |
| 6.4 | CDF reconstruction of the laparoscopic sequence | 146 |
| 6.5 | Examples of shaded surfaces reconstructed by CDF | 147 |
| 6.6 | An overview of the main idea | 149 |
| 6.7 | e_{3D} and \mathfrak{c} as functions of \mathfrak{E} | 158 |
| 6.8 | Visualisations of final permutation matrices Π and series of Φ | 159 |
| 6.9 | Reconstructions of several frames of the synthetic face by VA, MP, | |
| | TB and L-CDF | 160 |
| 6.10 | Exemplary reconstructions of real and synthetic image sequences | 163 |
| 7.1 | Reconstruction of an endoscopically textured surface | 166 |
| 7.2 | An overview of the HDM-Net architecture | 169 |
| 7.3 | Encoder and decoder of HDM-Net | 170 |
| 7.4 | Contour loss | 171 |
| 7.5 | Camera poses used for the dataset generation | 171 |
| 7.6 | The pattern of the training and test datasets | 173 |
| 7.7 | Selected reconstruction results on endoscopically textured surfaces | 174 |
| 7.8 | The effect of the isometry prior | 175 |
| 7.9 | Qualitative comparisons of the results of HDM-Net, AMP, VA and | |
| | Yu <i>et al.</i> | 177 |
| 7.10 | Exemplary reconstructions of HDM-Net on real images | 178 |
| 7.11 | Graphs of e_{3D} for HDM-Net | 178 |

| 8.1 | Embedding of prior correspondences into probabilistic point set | |
|------|---|-----|
| | registration | 182 |
| 8.2 | Rigid point cloud registration with prior matches | 184 |
| 8.3 | Rigid registration of <i>Lion</i> dataset | 190 |
| 8.4 | Registration of partially overlapping shapes | 191 |
| 8.5 | Non-rigid registration of point sets representing arms in different | |
| | poses | 193 |
| 8.6 | Non-rigid registration of a 2D "Fish" dataset | 199 |
| 8.7 | Acceleration scheme of ECPD | 200 |
| 8.8 | Results of the ECPD experiment with SINTEL dataset | 203 |
| 8.9 | Comparison of the registration results of CPD and ECPD | 205 |
| 8.10 | Registration of the "woman with a scarf" dataset | 205 |
| 8.11 | Non-rigid registration of the "man with a hood" dataset | 206 |
| 8.12 | An overview of the human appearance transfer framework | 211 |
| 8.13 | A full-body 3D human template | 213 |
| 8.14 | Extraction of the body landmarks | 215 |
| 8.15 | Avoiding hand flattening | 216 |
| 8.16 | Accuracy evaluation of the proposed approach | 218 |
| 8.17 | Results on the FAUST dataset | 220 |
| 8.18 | Registration result (a template with 10^4 points) | 220 |
| 8.19 | The proposed framework in the treatment of social pathologies . | 222 |
| 9.1 | Point set registration with the gravitational approach | 226 |
| 9.2 | Registration results of ICP, CPD and our GA on data with clustered | |
| | outliers | 227 |
| 9.3 | Registration results on <i>Stanford bunny</i> | 236 |
| 9.4 | Registration results on data with uniformly distributed and Gaus- | |
| | sian noise | 237 |
| 9.5 | Results on data with structured outliers and missing parts | 238 |
| 9.6 | Experiment with prior correspondences as applied to image regis- | |
| | tration | 240 |
| 9.7 | Selected point clouds converted from the depth maps | 241 |
| 9.8 | Results of the experiment on the Stanford 3D datasets | 242 |
| 9.9 | Depth maps involved in the experiment | 243 |
| 9.10 | Results of the experiment on the CoRBS dataset | 244 |
| 9.11 | An overview of the proposed BH-RGA approach | 246 |
| 9.12 | Clusters fetched during alignment (<i>clean-500</i> experiment) | 249 |
| 9.13 | RMSE as a function of the point perturbation magnitude index | |
| | (<i>U256</i> and <i>G256</i>) | 255 |
| 9.14 | Fragment comparison after the alignment (<i>sleeping2</i>) | 256 |

| Runtime evaluation metrics as the functions of the threshold γ | 257 |
|---|--|
| Examples of reprojected 3D flows obtained by BH-RGA | 257 |
| Alignment of partially overlapping real-world data | 259 |
| Usage of the law of universal gravitation for non-rigid point set | |
| alignment | 261 |
| Two main steps of NRGA | 262 |
| Visualisation of point trajectories during alignment, with and | |
| without CCM regulariser | 265 |
| Quantitative results of NRGA on several datasets | 268 |
| Handling of missing data | 269 |
| Qualitative results of NRGA, CPD, GMMReg and NR-ICP on | |
| human face scans | 271 |
| The experiment with real data with per-point distance error | 272 |
| | |
| Results of NRSfM-Flow on the <i>human face</i> sequence | 276 |
| Overview of NRSfM-Flow | 280 |
| Geometric interpretations | 282 |
| Experimental results on the <i>barn owl</i> sequence | 286 |
| Examples of Poisson reconstructions | 286 |
| Experimental results on the SINTEL dataset | 287 |
| Results on the <i>heart</i> and <i>music notes</i> sequences | 288 |
| A high-level overview of the proposed MSF appoach | 291 |
| An overview of the main related works | 293 |
| An overview of the main components of the proposed energy | 296 |
| Projective ICP term | 297 |
| Segmentation transfer from the reference frame to three other | |
| frames (<i>alley1</i>) | 300 |
| Experimental results on the SINTEL <i>alley1</i> and <i>bandage1</i> | 302 |
| Experimental results on a static scene observed by a moving cam- | |
| era (SINTEL <i>sleeping2</i>) | 303 |
| Results on the Bonn multibody dataset | 304 |
| Results on several real datasets (<i>Chairs, Pile of Boxes</i> and <i>Board</i>) | 306 |
| Segmentation transfer on the Bonn watering can sequence | 307 |
| The order of frames used in the remaining figures | 308 |
| Additional visualisations of the <i>alley1</i> sequence | 309 |
| Additional visualisations of the <i>bandage1</i> , <i>sleeping1</i> and <i>shaman2</i> | |
| sequences | 310 |
| Additional visualisations of the <i>mountain1</i> , <i>sleeping2</i> and <i>shaman3</i> | |
| sequences | 311 |
| | Runtime evaluation metrics as the functions of the threshold γ . Examples of reprojected 3D flows obtained by BH-RGA Alignment of partially overlapping real-world data Usage of the law of universal gravitation for non-rigid point set alignment |

List of Tables

| 1.1 | List of supporting publications | 10 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 1.2 | List of conference proceedings with abbreviations | 11 |
| 4.1 | e_{3D} for benchmark datasets (sparse) | 82 |
| 4.2 | e_{3D} for benchmark datasets (dense) | 84 |
| 4.3 | e_{3D} for the modified benchmark dataset | 85 |
| 5.1 | RMSE of different algorithmic combinations | 108 |
| 5.2 | Runtimes of different algorithm combinations | 112 |
| 5.3 | Parameters of the proposed approach | 112 |
| 5.4 | RMSE of several methods (synthetic faces) | 120 |
| 5.5 | Quantitative comparison of CMDR to several other methods | 121 |
| 5.6 | Compression ratios | 131 |
| 6.1 | RMSE of VA, AMP and the proposed CDF on the actor dataset | 142 |
| 6.2 | Average RMSE on the occluded flag sequences | 145 |
| 6.3 | Joint average RMSE and <i>s</i> on the synthetic faces | 146 |
| 6.4 | A non-exhaustive list of symbols used in the section | 152 |
| 6.5 | Joint average e_{3D} and σ_e for the <i>synthetic faces</i> | 160 |
| 7.1 | Comparisons of per-frame runtime <i>t</i> , e_{3D} and σ | 176 |
| 7.2 | Comparison of 3D error for different textures | 176 |
| 7.3 | Comparison of 3D error for different illuminations | 176 |
| 7.4 | Comparison of effects of loss functions | 177 |
| 8.1 | Speedup of ECPD ("woman with a scarf") | 207 |
| 8.2 | Speedup of ECPD ("man with a hood") | 208 |
| 8.3 | The parameters for the core steps of the proposed pipeline | 217 |
| 9.1 | Summary of the qualitative evaluation of the compared methods . | 253 |
| 9.2 | RMSE and σ in U256 and G256 experiments | 254 |

| 9.3 | Comparison of E-CPD [123] and BH-RGA with prior matches | 254 |
|------|--|-----|
| 10.1 | Core equations of the framework relating NRSfM and MSF | 282 |
| 10.2 | Comparison between scene flow projections and the ground truth | |
| | optical flow | 306 |
| 10.3 | Comparison of average runtimes of MSF and SRSF | 306 |

Abstract

An accurate acquisition and processing of 3D point cloud data is an active research area in computer vision encompassing various unsolved problems. The thesis at hand addresses the jointly studied domains of dense non-rigid 3D reconstruction from monocular image sequences and point set registration under rigid as well as non-rigid transformations. Monocular non-rigid 3D reconstruction, which is in the focus of this work — known as non-rigid structure from motion (NRSfM) — relies on weak assumptions about the feasible deformation modes imposed on top of the motion and deformation cues. NRSfM and non-rigid point set registration are highly ill-posed problems in the sense of Hadamard.

The proposed dense NRSfM methods address the broad range of research questions including occlusion handling, scalability, interactive yet accurate processing as well as dense structure compression. For the occlusion handling and dealing with inaccurate point tracks, we propose a shape prior obtained on-the-fly and a new spatial regulariser — the coherency term. We also introduce a new model for NRSfM, which allows representing the recovered structure compactly.

The proposed point set registration methods aim at the enhanced registration accuracy for noisy data and samples with clustered outliers. For that reason, we embed prior correspondences into probabilistic point set registration and introduce a previously unexplored class of methods relying on principles of particle dynamics with simulated gravitational forces.

The thorough experimental evaluation confirms the efficiency and high accuracy of the proposed methods as well as the validity of the new ideas. By using the new principles, we advance the state of the art in dense monocular non-rigid 3D reconstruction and alignment of noisy point sets. Applications of the proposed NRSfM methods include (but are not limited to) 3D recovery and analysis of human and animal faces, endoscopic scenes and various other deformable surfaces. The proposed point set registration methods can be applied in robotics, automotive driving, face and shape recognition, and other areas. Apart from the abovementioned applications, we show how both method classes can be used for human appearance transfer, multiframe scene flow estimation from RGB-D as well as monocular image sequences. The developed methods offer numerous avenues for further investigation.

Zusammenfassung

Die genaue Eingabe und Verarbeitung von 3D Punktwolken ist ein aktives Forschungsfeld im maschinellen Sehen, das viele ungelöste Probleme umfasst. Die vorliegende Doktorarbeit befasst sich mit den in Zusammenwirkung erforschten Bereichen der dichten nicht-starren 3D Rekonstruktion aus monokularen Bildsequenzen, mit sowohl starren als auch nicht-starren Punktwolkenregistrierung. Die monokulare 3D Rekonstruktion, die im Fokus dieser Arbeit steht und die als nichtrigide Struktur aus Bewegung (NRSaB) bekannt ist, wertet, einerseits, Bewegungen und Deformationen aus, und, andererseits, verknüpft diese mit den zusätzlichen Annahmen und Vorwissen über die Szene und die Art der zulässigen Zustände.

Die eingeführten Verfahren zur dichten NRSaB gehen auf mehrere offene Fragen ein, und zwar auf die Behandlung von Verdeckungen, die Skalierbarkeit und die Anpassungsfähigkeit auf unterschiedliche Szenarien und Größenordnungen der Szenen, interaktive und präzise Verarbeitung, sowie die Komprimierung dichter 3D Geometrie. Zwecks der Behandlung von Verdeckungen und fehlerhafter Punktkorrespondenzen werden Verfahren mit dem am Anfang einer Bildsequenz gewonnenen Formvorwissen sowie einem neuen räumlichen Kohärenz Regularisierer vorgestellt. Darüber hinaus, leiten wir ein neues NRSaB Verfahren her, das die gewonnene Geometrie in eine kompakte Repräsentation überführt.

Die entwickelten Verfahren zur Punktwolkenregistrierung verfolgen das Ziel, verrauschte und partielle Eingabedaten mit höherer Präzision zu verarbeiten als die Vorgängermethoden. Dementsprechend schlagen wir vor, die im Vorfeld hergestellten Korrespondenzen ins probabilistische Framework für die Punktwolkenregistrierung zu integrieren, und, zweitens, präsentieren wir eine neue und bisher unerforschte Verfahrensklasse, welche die Teilchenbewegungen unter virtuellen Schwerkräften simuliert.

Durch gründliche und zahlreiche Experimente ist es uns gelungen, die Geltung der neuen Ideen sowie die Präzision und Robustheit der entwickelten Verfahren zu bestätigen. Dank der neuen Prinzipien und Verfahren waren wir imstande, den Stand der Technik in beiden Bereichen der monokularen nicht-rigiden 3D Rekonstruktion sowie Punktwolkenregistrierung zu verbessern. Zu den Anwendungen neuer NRSaB Verfahren zählen die 3D Rekonstruktion von Menschen, Tieren und endoskopischer Aufnahmen sowie die Erfassung dünner Strukturen unterschiedlicher Herkunft. Die entwickelten Verfahren zur Punktwolkenregistrierung können unter anderem in Robotik, selbstfahrender Fahrzeugtechnik sowie Gesichts- und Formerkennung angewendet werden. Neben der erwähnten Gebieten wird in dieser Arbeit gezeigt, wie die beiden Verfahrensklassen zwecks der Übertragung des äußeren Erscheinungsbildes von Menschen sowie der Berechnung vom Szenenfluss aus Tiefenkamerabildern und monokularen Bildern angepasst werden können. Ferner, bieten die entwickelten Verfahren verschiedene Wege und Möglichkeiten zur Verbesserung und Weiterentwicklung, auf die am Schluss eingegangen wird.



1 Introduction

NE of the objectives of computer vision is an accurate sensing of the real world and robust processing of the acquired data. Along with the material properties, knowledge of 3D geometry is a key component of complete scene description. 3D machine perception is the foundation for multiple applications which involve scene replication, scene understanding, localisation as well as a realistic superimposition of virtual contents, among others.

There are multiple sensors which come into question while designing a visionbased system including time-of-flight cameras, stereo cameras, lidars and sonars. A lightweight alternative to those is a single monocular camera. The advantages of a monocular camera are different designs and form-factors, affordability, relatively low electric energy consumption but also pervasiveness in modern electronic devices and wide acceptance in society. There are monocular cameras embedded in augmented reality glasses, helmets, mobile phones and tablets. Monocular cameras are central components in endoscopic surgery systems, surveillance systems, person identification systems, unmanned aerial and underwater vehicles, mobile robots, rovers for planetary explorations and autonomous cars. Thus, methods using monocular cameras for 3D sensing are of high relevance in a broad variety of systems and applications. Moreover, techniques for processing and analysis of the recovered raw 3D representations — often point sets and point clouds — are increasingly gaining relevance.

3D reconstruction is an extensively studied inverse problem in computer vision consisting in the recovery of the depth dimension of a scene lost during the imaging (together, the scene geometry), from single or multiple views. *Point set registration* is a computer vision problem of recovery the transformations aligning one or multiple point sets (raw 3D representations or 3D reconstructions) into a common coordinate frame or deforming the point sets so that their appearances match.

Depending on the available input and in many practical situations, 3D reconstruction can also be an ill-posed problem in the sense of Hadamard. Thus, 3D reconstruction from a single image is ill-posed, as multiple 3D scenes can result in the same 2D image. Additional prior knowledge is required to disambiguate the reconstruction such as a known object class, symmetry prior or a geometric prior. Starting from two views, additional constraints can be used ranging from epipolar geometry prior and trilinear constraints to the consistency constraints over multiple

[©] The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to

Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH, part of Springer Nature 2020

V. Golyanik, Robust Methods for Dense Monocular Non-Rigid

³D Reconstruction and Alignment of Point Clouds,

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-30567-3_1

views. Moreover, the rigidity assumption disambiguates the problem well, and impressive results were achieved in 3D reconstruction under the rigidity assumption, both from multiple views and the sequences of monocular views.

If several different views of the same scene at the same time frame are available, the subclass of the techniques is referred to as *multiple view geometry reconstruction*. In contrast, if multiple monocular views of the scene over several time frames are available, the subclass of the techniques is called *structure from motion* (SfM). In a general context, the input data corresponds to an image sequence, and some methods operate on a set of tracked points over the input views. The difference between multiple view geometry and structure from motion under rigidity is often subtle. In many cases, the techniques can be applied interchangeably, though the information about whether the cameras are static or moving can be advantageous (*e.g.*, motion blur prone to a moving camera can be accounted for). Compared to multi-view reconstruction, SfM often assumes smaller frame-to-frame displacements, as those observed in a video sequence. Video sequences also allow for stronger priors such as temporal smoothness.

Apart from predominantly static environments and sceneries, our surroundings are inhabited by living species including ourselves which move and deform. Besides, there are rigidly moving manmade instruments and products violating the assumption about the static and conserved ambience. Thus, capturing and processing of dynamic scenes is a core capability of robust vision-based systems.

1.1 Monocular Non-Rigid Dynamic 3D Reconstruction

The situation changes considerably, if the rigidity assumption does not hold anymore, *i.e.*, the scene undergoes non-rigid deformations. In the case of multiple views, the observations are captured at the same moment of time, and the geometry is still related by spatial rigidity between the views. If captured at different time frames and with different camera poses, the scene is observed in different states and the temporal rigidity does not hold anymore. The class of methods assuming non-rigid scenes over a temporal sequence of views is specified as *non-rigid structure from motion* (NRSfM). In NRSfM, the camera is moving, whereas the scene is moving and deforming. Similar to rigid SfM, the input of NRSfM is a set of tracked points over the available views.

Though NRSfM is a highly ill-posed problem which is sometimes said to be equivalent to the reconstruction from a single view, additional constraints can help to disambiguate it. *Real-world objects do not deform arbitrarily and rather follow a* *certain deformation pattern.* The deformation pattern is also often associated with periodicity, which implies that the scene states are repeated in a temporally-disjoint manner. Moreover, an average or middle state can be distinguished among all observed states. Additionally, it is more probable that the states in neighbouring frames are more similar than states in frames that are temporally further apart.

There is a substantial difference between single view rigid reconstruction and NRSfM which has crystallised out. In the single view rigid reconstruction, it is valid and common to assume a specific object class, and supervised learning methods are often applied. NRSfM, in contrast, assumes that no prior shape information about the observed scene is available, and solely relies on motion and deformation cues to obtain 3D surface reconstructions from monocular image sequences. This makes NRSfM capable of handling equally well — depending on the accuracy of correspondences — thin surfaces of different kinds (flags, sails, *etc.*), human and animal faces, clothes and body tissues in medical contexts.

Several new method classes have emerged which constrain the context of NRSfM, such as those assuming an accurate reconstruction of at least one of the frames in the sequence (template-based methods) and those assuming a pre-defined deformation model but different material properties.

1.2 Point Set Registration

When 3D surface recovery is complete, there are multiple ways how the dynamic reconstruction can be processed and analysed. One of the essential pre-processing steps is changing the reference frame or pose of the reconstruction for the further comparison, deformation transfer or recognition. This operation can be performed with *rigid point set registration* if the orientation of the reference frame or object is known. The comparison and deformation transfer can be accomplished with *non-rigid point set registration*.

The objective of point set registration is to align two or several point sets, *i.e.*, to recover a transformation which registers a *template* point set to an unaltered *reference*. A point set is an unordered set of coordinates (2D or 3D), with no further information available. As a representation of a shape, it can contain noise and clustered outliers, and some parts can be missing. Point set registration should not be confused with mesh registration methods (meshes are more complete shape representations consisting of points, triangles, normals *etc.*). 3D reconstructions obtained with NRSfM often represent point sets.

In the rigid case, the transformation is parametrised by the variables of rigid body motion with six degrees of freedom (three for rotation and three for translation). During a rigid transformation, no deformation is happening, and all distances between the points are preserved. Transformation of every point is given by the same rotation and translation. In the non-rigid case, due to deformations, distances between the points are not preserved, and the transformation is described by a general per-point displacement field. As monocular deformable reconstruction, *non-rigid point set registration relies on prior knowledge that real-world objects and scenes do not deform arbitrarily but rather follow some deformation rules and patterns.* One of the most commonly used and reasonable constraints in non-rigid point set registration is the topology the preserving constraint which states that point topology must be preserved despite the distances between the points are changing. It prevents intersections between the displacements, and, as a consequence, self-intersections of the surfaces represented by the points sets (though, point sets can also represent volumetric structures). Similarly to NRSfM, non-rigid point set registration is an ill-posed problem in the sense of Hadamard.

Despite the progress in point set registration which enabled various practical applications, one of the central research questions in point set registration remains improvement of the robustness to noise and disturbing effects in the data (missing parts and clustered outliers). Moreover, processing of large point sets is an ever-relevant problem (in other words, point sets containing one-two orders of magnitude more points than what is considered as a standard nowadays; the contemporary standard in NRSfM is around 30*k* points). It is addressed with faster hardware, parallelisation as well as data structures for acceleration. In contrast to methods for processing of synthetic 3D data (computer graphics), methods for processing of raw sensor inputs have to cope and consider noise and incompleteness of the data.

3D reconstruction and point set registration exhibit similarities. Thus, common types of assumptions and constraints can be applied to disambiguate them (*e.g.*, rigidity assumption and shape priors), and for handling non-rigid deformations, regularisation of displacement fields is required. In this thesis, the study of 3D reconstruction and point set registration is conducted jointly. As will be shown throughout the thesis, both related research fields facilitate and enrich each other with ideas. Point set registration provides tools for 3D reconstruction (algorithmic and evaluation tools), 3D reconstruction provides data for optimal evaluation of point set registration, and multiple concepts can be borrowed from one field to another one (regularisation of the displacement fields).

1.3 Scope of the Thesis

This thesis focuses on robust methods for dense monocular non-rigid 3D reconstruction from uncalibrated views and alignment of point cloud data. The methods for dense monocular non-rigid 3D reconstruction should not assume that the calibration is known, though if it is known, the algorithms could optionally use the calibration parameters. Moreover, the new approaches should reconstruct the scene per-point densely, and, optionally, allow sparse reconstruction. The requirements to the new methods include robustness to self- and external occlusions, scalability, higher accuracy and lower runtime compared to the existing methods. Some of the requirements are not necessary facilitating towards the other ones, *i.e.*, it is more challenging to develop a scalable, accurate and fast method at the same time. Efficient NRSfM methods in conjunction with robust methods for dense correspondences would enable new applications based on commodity hardware.

Thanks to the point set registration, the reconstructed scenes can be compared to some reference data or the recovered appearance can be transferred to some other representations usable in different application scenarios. Thus, both method classes can be used in a single 3D reconstruction and processing pipeline.

There is also another reason to study the fields of monocular 3D reconstruction and point cloud alignment jointly. Even though the underlying methods pursue different goals and assume different input data, both fields are still related to each other, so that cross-fertilisation and exploitation of synergies is possible. Thus, non-rigid registration can help in the joint evaluation of NRSfM and correspondence establishment approaches, as will be shown in §5. Moreover, due to the handling of deformable structures in both algorithm classes, we proposed a new spatial regulariser (coherency constraint, §6) for NRSfM which was previously used exclusively in non-rigid point set registration.

The work at hand was also inspired by the maturing research area of augmented reality. Augmented reality is an interdisciplinary research field on the intersection of computer vision, computer graphics and hardware systems (which include material science, physics, mechatronics and electronics). The goal of augmented reality is to extend and enhance the perceived reality through useful virtual contents. Virtual contents should be realistic and indistinguishable from the real ones. Along with the realistic rendering, accurate placement of virtual contents is one of the quality factors. The acquisition of geometry of deformable objects with efficient methods for processing of the reconstructions is highly relevant for augmented reality as well. Both method classes addressed in this thesis — NRSfM and point set registration — can be used in augmented reality systems in a pipeline for 3D reconstruction and data processing with a single monocular moving camera.

1.4 Overview of the Contributions

The primary subject of the dissertation is dynamic 3D reconstruction of non-rigidly deforming scenes from monocular image sequences as well as processing of point sets. The main considered algorithm classes are non-rigid structure from motion (NRSfM) and point set registration (PSR). NRSfM is a highly ill-posed inverse problem. The input of NRSfM is a set of point tracks over several unsynchronised and uncalibrated views, and the objective is the recovery of the observed non-rigid 3D geometry. Thus, NRSfM uses motion and deformation cues as well as additional weak prior assumptions about the type of valid deformations for 3D recovery. In PSR, the inputs are two point sets with a different number of points, and the objective is the alignment of those into a common reference frame (in the rigid case) or the recovery of the displacements and correspondences non-rigidly aligning the inputs (in the non-rigid case). The two fields were studied jointly and complemented each other.

NRSfM and Monocular Surface Recovery

In the field of NRSfM, the thesis features the following contributions:

- First, a new dense variational NRSfM technique for handling large occlusions and inaccuracies in the data was proposed *Shape Prior Variational Approach* (SPVA). SPVA estimates a shape prior from several first unoccluded frames of the sequence on-the-fly and guides the reconstruction by the occlusion tensor. The occlusion tensor is computed from the initial dense flow fields and indicates occlusion probabilities for every frame. The method allows for the reconstruction of scenes where large occlusions are expected (*e.g.*, in medical contexts). The method is parallelisable and is implemented on a GPU. The experimental results show the state-of-the-art accuracy on challenging sequences for which a shape prior can be obtained.
- Second, a new method with an intrinsic dynamic shape prior for 3D reconstruction and compression of sequences with temporally-disjoint rigidity is introduced. Temporally-disjoint rigidity occurs in most real video sequences, *i.e.*, the phenomenon of state reoccurrence. The repeating states can be separated by an arbitrary number of other states and can reappear in different poses. Our *Dynamic Shape Prior Reconstruction* (DSPR) approach takes advantage of temporally-disjoint rigidity and allows for dense reconstructions with low latencies. Experiments demonstrate that DSPR can operate on inaccurate correspondences.

- Third, a new spatial regulariser the *coherency term* for dense NRSfM is proposed which has allowed handling of large occlusions without a shape prior. The coherency term was adopted from the motion coherence theory. Before, the coherency term was used in non-rigid point set registration. We have shown how to minimise energy with the coherency term in the context of NRSfM.
- Fourth, we have addressed the problem of structure compressibility in the sense
 of data compression theory in the context of NRSfM and proposed a new *High-Dimensional Space Model* (HDSM) for NRSfM. In HDSM, non-rigid geometry in
 3D is encoded as multiple projections of a single high dimensional structure onto
 different 3D subspaces. The proposed representation in combination with the
 factorisation-based (decoupled) formulation for camera pose and shape recovery
 allows compressing the structure in the high dimensional space. The resulting
 method encompassing handling of inaccurate point tracks with the coherency term
 and structure compression is known as *Lifted Coherent Depth Fields* (L-CDF).
- Fifth, we propose a new fast technique for dense NRSfM Accelerated Metric *Projections* (AMP) — which allows to factorise dense batches of point tracks in seconds on a CPU. At the moment of publication, AMP was the fastest dense NRSfM method delivering high reconstruction accuracy. We have shown in AMP how to minimise a quadratic function on a set of orthonormal matrices using an efficient semidefinite programming solver. The method allows an arbitrary reshuffling of the per-frame measurements which can be advantageous in the cases when temporal information cannot be maintained.
- Sixth, we have addressed the question of scalability in the context of NRSfM. The core characteristic of the resulting robust NRSfM technique *Scalable Monocular Surface Reconstruction* (SMSR) is the steady high accuracy across a large variety of dense and sparse datasets with reasonable runtime and linear scalability w.r.t. the number of points. In SMSR, the camera pose is updated with singular value thresholding and proximal gradient techniques, whereas the surface is estimated by alternating direction method of multipliers.
- Seventh, we found a new way to regress non-rigid geometry with a trained encoder-decoder deep neural network. In the *Hybrid Deformation Model Network* (HDM-Net), the deformation model is learned from synthetic data in a supervised manner. Among contributions of HDM-Net is a new way to perform a convolution on a point set instead of a volumetric representation, an isometric loss and a contour loss. Moreover, the inference of a surface with over 5k points takes around 5 ms. Results on real images demonstrated the potential of the proposed architecture for augmented reality applications.