

Lisa Gotto

Passing and Posing between Black and White

Calibrating the Color Line in U.S. Cinema



[transcript] FilmStudies

Lisa Gotto
Passing and Posing between Black and White

Lisa Gotto (Univ.-Prof. Dr. phil.) is Professor for Film Theory at the University of Vienna. Her research and teaching interests include film history, film theory, visual aesthetics, and digital media culture.

Lisa Gotto

Passing and Posing between Black and White

Calibrating the Color Line in U.S. Cinema

[transcript]

Originally published as: Lisa Gotto, *Traum und Trauma in Schwarz-Weiß. Ethnische Grenzgänge im amerikanischen Film*, Konstanz: UVK 2006.

Translated by Rudolph Saliba

Note on Translation: All translations from texts not originally English have been translated by Rudolph Saliba, unless otherwise noted.



The EOSC Future project is co-funded by the European Union Horizon Programme call INFRAEOSC-03-2020, Grant Agreement number 101017536

The free availability of the e-book edition of this publication was financed by the project EOSC Future.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (BY) license, which means that the text may be remixed, transformed and built upon and be copied and redistributed in any medium or format even commercially, provided credit is given to the author. For details go to <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Creative Commons license terms for re-use do not apply to any content (such as graphs, figures, photos, excerpts, etc.) not original to the Open Access publication and further permission may be required from the rights holder. The obligation to research and clear permission lies solely with the party re-using the material.

First published in 2021 by transcript Verlag, Bielefeld

© Lisa Gotto

Cover layout: Kordula Röckenhaus, Bielefeld

Cover illustration: *Shadows* (John Cassevetes, USA 1959), © Faces Distribution Corp., 5 Erin Place, Hazlet, New Jersey 07730, USA

Printed by Majuskel Medienproduktion GmbH, Wetzlar

Print-ISBN 978-3-8376-5337-3

PDF-ISBN 978-3-8394-5337-7

<https://doi.org/10.14361/9783839453377>

ISSN of series: 2702-9247

eISSN of series: 2703-0466

Printed on permanent acid-free text paper.

Contents

Acknowledgements 7

Foreword 9

Introduction 15

I. Conquered – Unconquered

THE BIRTH OF A NATION (David Wark Griffith, USA 1915) 27

THE SYMBOL OF THE UNCONQUERED (Oscar Micheaux, USA 1920) 47

II. Reflections – Shadows

IMITATION OF LIFE (Douglas Sirk, USA 1959) 79

SHADOWS (John Cassavetes, USA 1959) 123

III. Blackface – Whiteface

BAMBOOZLED (Spike Lee, USA 2000) 167

THE HUMAN STAIN (Robert Benton, USA 2003) 195

Conclusion 223

IV. Appendix

Bibliography 235

Filmography 245

Acknowledgements

This book was originally published in German as *Traum und Trauma in Schwarz-Weiß. Ethnische Grenzgänge im amerikanischen Film* (Konstanz: UVK, 2006). It has been slightly shortened and revised for the English edition.

My special gratitude goes to Rudy Saliba for his diligent and sensitive translation and for being such a smart and witty interlocutor all along the way. Many thanks also to Tony Lytle and Al Ruban of Faces Distribution Corp. for providing the cover illustration, Jan-Hendrik Müller for his detective instinct on all matters of image, Florian Schwarz for his keen eye while setting up the layout, and Sarah Sander for her careful proofreading.

Foreword

Lorenz Engell

We typically like to present new scholarship in order to emphasize that the state of research on a particular topic or object of study is sparse or outdated or that the methodology isn't satisfactory. This, of course, also applies to Lisa Gotto's major study that has now been made available in English, *Passing and Posing between Black and White: Calibrating the Color Line in U.S. Cinema*. But it doesn't capture the core character or the meaning of this work. Because at its core, it concerns the fact that the foundations of our current notions in film studies and cultural theory must be laid even deeper. There is already a plethora of studies on racism in film, transgressions of racial boundaries, and postcolonialism. But, at least for film studies, it is innovative for a study to raise not only thematic or moral but also and above all structural and epistemological questions about such a subject. This is exactly what concerns us here.

The focus of this book is not simply a study of the encoding and articulation of racial boundaries in film, specifically between black and white. Rather, these boundaries are examined in instances in which they are challenged by figures who obscure them. These figures, in the first instance, are understood as intradiegetic characters in the sense of *dramatis personae* – such as it pertains to, for example, the figure of the mulatto in Hollywood film – as well as, and this is the most notable, unusual, as aesthetic figures of the film's diegesis itself and in particular of cinematographic imaging – such as it applies to editing processes and camera work. Furthermore, such figures also extend to sociological and epistemological figurations, such as power and knowledge relations. Finally, this also always concerns figures of concept, perception, and thought.

The dissolution of boundaries thus appears not as a postmodernist theorem, but as a process and practice that has always been effective in U.S. American filmmaking. However, crucial to the heft of this study is the fact

that “blurring of boundaries” and “crossing borders” do not simply mean ending up in a desirable and politically correct state. Lisa Gotto is not interested in making a grand utopian gesture, like proclaiming an all-embracing nomadism, but rather in what she calls the “costs of blurring boundaries,” in the pain, the defeat, the downfall that is levied as a price for crossing borders at the margins of systems based on difference, a price that again and again has to be paid by only a few. The fact that discrimination and forms of violence primarily dominate in the context of racial difference does not mean that the attempts at abolishing them create fewer burdens and distribute them more equitably. This position saves Gotto from an all-too-simple taking of sides and typically untenable binary view of things as “good” or “bad.”

The films that are examined here bear eloquent witness to these complexities, to loss and pain, as well as to commixture and amalgamation. This begins with D.W. Griffith's *BIRTH OF A NATION* (USA 1915). Rarely have syntactic and symbolic forms, aesthetic and ideological features been so densely overlapped and so tightly welded together than in this film. The renowned system of Griffithian editing and framing, which can be interpreted as a binary schema of exclusion, is played out candidly in *BIRTH OF A NATION* as a system of racial difference and racist discrimination. In Griffith, the crossing of boundaries, as practiced by race mixing and borne by the figure of the mulatto, is literally exterminated, faded out in the end, made impossible as a constant threat to the basic binary schema of black and white. Insofar as *BIRTH OF A NATION* obligatorily carries out the formatting of the Hollywood-type narrative film on a massive scale, it can be said – without, in any case, being the last word on it – that U.S. American narrative cinema is rooted in racial discrimination. Instead of deducing a moral devaluation from this, Gotto's study consistently questions the costs of symbolic order and the types of currency in which these are levied, and the costs of the empirical persons who are asked to pay them. This constitutes the political position that is at the basis of this work.

Gotto finds the antidote to Griffith in Oscar Micheaux's film *THE SYMBOL OF THE UNCONQUERED* (USA 1920). She situates this film first within black minority cinema, so-called “all black movies”, which were made by all-black crews and marketed to black audiences. Micheaux also deals with a failing mixed existence beyond the schemata of black and white, and he also portrays the activities of the Ku Klux Klan, albeit from the perspective of black characters who are being persecuted. The confrontation ends also well, only with an inverted omen: the Klan is defeated. Micheaux even uses certain methods of narration, like cross-cutting and the “last-minute rescue,” that are faithful

to the standard set by Griffith. Nevertheless, the inverse formula is not the only thing that separates the two films. Unlike Griffith, Micheaux doesn't address biology – that is, sexual union – as the decisive variable of transference between the races but economy, that is, the economic advancement of the black man. And, unlike Griffith, Micheaux employs diverse genre and narrative figurations whose subcomponents he combines. All of this takes place in an asymmetrical, shaky film style, a practice of incongruence that uses what is available – namely, the hegemonic system of forms à la Griffith – as well as appropriates it for its own purposes. As a result, something like a crossing of boundaries at the margins of the film's system of symbols becomes discernible in Micheaux's style.

In Douglas Sirk's *IMITATION OF LIFE* (USA 1959), Gotto sees quite a different approach from that of classical films. This film as well deals with the failure of a racial crossover. But this failure is captured in forms that no longer draw on an ostensibly natural or naturalizing essentialism that presupposes the black/white difference as one based on biology and endowed by nature. Sirk's language of forms no longer understands itself to be a mere reenactment of a fixed schema present in the social and historical world outside of itself. On the contrary, Sirk emphasizes the stylization and aestheticization of – and shows the artificiality and fabricated nature of – his world of forms and figures. Of particular note here are the elements of coloration and framing. Following the analysis presented here, in Sirk, film is not the imitation of life; it is also certainly not the inverse, that is, something that predetermines or acts as a model for life. In Sirk, when “passing” fails yet again, his stylization of this failure remains as a kind of aesthetic result. Once again, as previously seen in Griffith, what counts is that whatever the characters in the film suffer, the film itself gains as profit; with the major difference that, in the process, Sirk argues not logically and ontologically but aesthetically. Perhaps this is even hinting at a perspective that believes in reconciliation through aesthetics.

John Cassavetes' contemporaneous film *SHADOWS* (USA 1959), although having already been thoroughly interpreted by film theorists, is surprisingly rarely interpreted in the context of racial difference. Here, Gotto's study in fact fills a gap. In doing so, it sets up Cassavetes as a counterpoint to Sirk. It focuses neither on the inclusion of crossing racial boundaries in the film's world of forms, its pictorial stylization, nor on its failure and decline, but on the almost documentary exploration of the objects of racial differentiation, namely the body, their relations to each other, their possibilities and articulations.

The demands of coherency are so diminished that the obligatory foundations of narrative cinema collapse underfoot. The cinema of Cassavetes therefore attempts to return to a state prior to the conventions of Griffith's schematic representation.

The analysis is rounded out by looking at two films at the turn of the millennium. Spike Lee's *BAMBOOZLED* (USA 2000) introduces a new essential feature into the discussion of blurring racial boundaries, that is, the interrogation of the cultural-historical framework within which cinema is able to dig into a transformation of racial antagonism – and its transgression. In doing so, with the minstrel show, Lee brings to light a disturbing entanglement of symbolic order and physical violence. The formal basic elements of the minstrel show are not only deeply rooted in various developments of Western culture, but in a narrower, literal sense, they are even patterned piece-by-piece on the ritual of lynching. Thus, even here, there is a delayed reaction to the simple filmic racism of Griffith; just as the theme of the mask also points to the use of the mask in Cassavetes. The reference point of a racist culture, therefore, is death. Behind the mere differentiation constantly looms a mortal threat which those who are discriminated against can only accept and assimilate into death rituals such as masking and dancing. Consequently, Lee makes transgressing boundaries fail once again, however, he orchestrates this failure as a downfall in an explosion of violence that he simultaneously exposes as a cinematic staging pattern. The highlight of the film, however, lies not in the sheer explosion of violence but rather in how it reflects on movement. Repetition and recurrence of movement on the one hand, and its incessant running in the background on the other hand, are considerably exhibited by Lee and thereby accentuated as the two decisive characteristics of how cinema conceives of movement. A continual running time and its unending repeatability and reproductivity are superimposed like a second layer onto the narrative.

Robert Benton's film adaptation of Philip Roth's *THE HUMAN STAIN* (USA 2003) orbits around the topic of white and whiteness. Here is where Gotto's study most obviously departs from analyzing characters and subject matter. It ultimately turns decisively in a media-critical direction. Along with Richard Dyer, Gotto adheres to the unique connection between photographic media and brightness and whiteness; to white as the standard form of light and as the "neutral position" of lighting. She clearly shows that Benton's film strives for a type of grammar and aesthetic of filmic whiteness beyond all diegesis. This is, at the same time, the film's return to the basic qualities of light and visibility that make it possible in the first place. Nevertheless, this does

not amount to a writing off of photographic media as products essentially saturated with racism. The problem is of course not the photochemical light sensitivity of the material, rather, it arises first from the operation of clear-cut distinction (to paraphrase Karen Barad, it is our cuts that make our epistemic objects and, beyond that, ourselves), and second from the metaphorical ascriptions of epistemic and moral values to black and white, or even of ontological features like nothingness and allness.

Therefore, the costs of blurring boundaries, which Gotto addresses at the outset, seem to regress to the medium that levies them. The black/white contrast, to whose formation film has contributed so much, cannot simply be resolved or subjected to a clever deconstruction or a self-annulment. But by attempting to understand and analyze itself and its racist entanglements, film can in any case contribute to visualizing the costs and limits of blurring boundaries.

The six films discussed do not, however, simply make up a corpus of six different, complementary attempts at the articulation and formation of the problem of overcoming racial boundaries. Rather, they attest to the fact that the cinematic modeling of racial contrast, by means of its exceedance in the three historical sections that the study lays out, follows various self-conceptions of the medium of film. Thus, the first section from 1915 to 1920 concerns the self-assertion and implementation of film as a discursive system of symbols with a clear orientation toward narrative conventions. The development of a fixed canon of differentiation in the form of codified shot sizes, editing rhythms, and image details, is the prerequisite for the hegemony that film would exercise as a cultural form in its classical phase. Whatever does not fit in here must be excluded. On the contrary, the second section, the phase of film's modernization around 1960, concerns the differentiation between film's referentiality to itself and to things outside of itself. Modern film acknowledges that whatever it reflects is placed in relation to itself as a medium and reflected in this way. Thus, modern film does not simply depict situations – or the illusion of them – but all the while depicts its own relation to these situations. Following Jean-Louis Comolli, modern film can be seen as an observation not of reality but of reality-becoming-film. Finally, the film of the turn of the millennium, by that time itself having become a minority and sometimes precarious cultural practice, at least one among many others, negotiates its own development and fabrication as a cultural product; and, at the same time, together with its narratives and problems, it questions the epistemological, semiotic, and also technical presuppositions upon which it

rests itself. Specifically, this becomes clear in the examples analyzed via the basic category of movement in Lee – here, the close connection between the body mechanics of tap dancing and that of image transport in the film apparatus – and the basic category of light in Benton – here, in particular, in the composition of white as the color of light that in itself is not visible.

In this way, Gotto's path of investigation simultaneously and impressively illustrates the path from traditional film studies, which deals with concepts of character psychology, motif analysis, and forms of representation and style, to a conception in the direction of media studies, which reveals the very media concepts of film. However, this thread of argumentation does not just run parallel to the so-called "contentual" or "ideology-critical" that concerns itself with how racism is formatted in film. Both approaches are also not interwoven, as in a neat form-content debate, but they consistently prove themselves to be aspects of one and the same line of argument, which, again for that very reason, is one of media politics in the narrowest sense.

In any case, the following study throws the doors wide open to further considerations. It is not only furnished with an outstanding film studies encyclopedia and methodology, it has also crossed the line toward a film studies that articulates itself in terms of media theory, which reaches far beyond the typical, purely content-oriented discourse, in which the "portrayal of a theme in the film" is typically addressed. It has opened many a great chasm in its comprehensive cultural-historical investigations and its readings of the individual aspects of its subject, and it has found deep, racist foundations in seemingly innocent contexts. What begins as a question about the representation of the mulatto in film, in the end thoughtfully reflects on film – and even then not only on film but on writing and, from a broader perspective, on the shaping of the world in general – as a unity of its possibilities on the one hand and as a structure of the conditions that it imposes and to which it itself nevertheless remains subjected on the other hand. On these terms, Lisa Gotto has revealed racism in a unique, intelligent, and simultaneously disturbing way. Whether from here – and even if it is highly unlikely – a passage into the freedom of the possible, of a *tabula rasa*, or – to use an expression from Spencer Brown – of an "unmarked space" is conceivable, and what the price would be for such a thing, is something even she must leave open-ended at the moment.

Introduction

In light of migrations within a globalized world, border crossings by now seem to have become a widespread, little noticed, even self-evident phenomenon. The great promise connected to the prospect of a world without borders remains nevertheless questionable when, beyond the unlimited, forms of irritation and a lack of orientation make their presence known. The utopian proclamation of having overcome barriers reaches its limit where it is confronted with the costs of blurring boundaries. Where boundaries are crossed, where the undermining of difference as a vague mixing ratio comes to light, an uncertainty takes hold whose threatening nature results from, if nothing else, the undermining of meaningful distinctions. This unsettling potential becomes evident in a constellation that brings the relationship between the body and the forms of institutional power to the forefront – in a mixture that makes the connection of the physical to social power relations as well as their representation as identity guidelines apparent. In a context in which race is understood as a traditional measure of demarcation, the transgression of established boundaries plays a decisive role – and it does this all the more, the clearer the apparently insurmountable spheres are divorced from each other. This is particularly true for the opposition of black and white; for a binary pattern whose particular significance results from its function as a symbolic grid and cultural value system, but which also has far-reaching implications for the construction and interpretation of racial identity.

In engaging with the question of racial boundaries and their transgression, this study focuses on the dichotomy of black and white in the U.S. American context – on the one hand, because it can be seen as paradigmatic from a sociocultural perspective and, on the other hand, because it has produced particularly sharp confrontations and attempts at demarcation. The use of the terms “black” and “white” has substantial consequences for the construction

of racial identities. Here, one should emphasize the concept's binary opposition, which, on the one hand, functions as a point of reference and, on the other hand, can also be exploited as a form of discipline within a racist social order. The desire for differentiation remains a foundational need in the search for meaning, just as the necessity for distinction constitutes an indispensable requirement for conceptual thought. From a societal point of view, it is nevertheless important to note that the marking of the Other also often serves to defame the Other – and that the more the possibility of distinction appears to be threatened, all the more strongly this contouring is asserted.

A figure that makes this connection particularly obvious is the *tragic mulatto*. This refers to the depiction of a biracial character that draws on a long tradition in American cultural history. The term “mulatto” has its origin in the animal world: it developed as a derivation of “mule,” that is, a cross between a horse and a donkey. The derivation from zoology makes it obvious that the term is assigned pejoratively but, at the same time, it also points to the problem of making a mixing ratio conceptually comprehensible. Already here, one can sense a telling perplexity that results from the problematic identity of the “half-breed” because it is not clearly definable. The etymology of the word “mulatto” brings out the mixing ratio inherent in the term but, at the same time, also illustrates its constructed character, for the term has never been used as a designation of identity that sees the concepts of black and white as equal in value. Far more common, rather, was the practice of viewing the “mulatto” as a member of the black race, in other words, to integrate him into that same binary schema that he actually transcends. Werner Sollors notes that this approach stood in the way of forming a separate category of ‘mixed’: “What makes the situation even more complicated is the fact that, given the way in which ‘mulatto identity’ has often been considered as a (not representative) part of ‘black identity’, mixed-race self-images have in many cases been ‘themed away.’”¹

1 Werner Sollors, *Neither Black Nor White: Thematic Explorations of Interracial Literature* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1997), 232. Remarkably, this practice has remained until today, as the title of Donald Bogle's classic study shows: *Toms, Coons, Mulattoes, Mammies, and Bucks. An Interpretative History of Blacks in American Film* (New York: Continuum, 1997 [1973]). Strikingly, Bogle includes the hybrid “mulatto” in the history of the representation of blackness as a matter of course without commenting on this problematic decision. It is likely that Bogle adopted a characterization of black stereotypes that had been undertaken much earlier, namely Sterling Allen Brown's *The Negro in American Fiction and Negro Poetry and Drama* (New York: Atheneum, 1978 [1937]). Al-

In relation to the characterization of biracial identities, this lack of awareness can also be traced back to a social consensus that decisively rejected the introduction of a third category beyond the two poles of black and white. Joel Williamson, in his study *New People: Miscegenation and Mulattoes in the United States*, points to the fact that American census forms constantly required a choice between the options “black” and “white” as racial categories, and that this practice was hardly modified over long stretches of history.² The title of Williamson’s study mentions the crucial component for the mixing ratio of the two races: the phenomenon of “miscegenation.” The term derives etymologically from the Latin verb “miscere” as a term for mixing but, in its contextual usage, first and foremost means race mixing through sex. The fear of precisely this form of border crossing can be characterized as the most stable component of racist discourse, so that the effect or the embodiment of that undesired process, namely the “mulatto”, became the extreme of racial hatred. The phobia of miscegenation can be traced back to the fear of diffuse intermixture, that is, to a fear that once again clearly demonstrates the conception of two clearly separated areas that are regarded as pure. Richard Dyer emphasizes: “If races are conceptualised as pure (with concomitant qualities of character, including to hold sway over other races), then miscegenation threatens that purity.”³ For Benedict Anderson, the pathological fear of contaminative race mixing illustrates racism’s constitutive characteristic as well as its definitive criterion of distinction: “[N]ationalism thinks in terms of historical destinies, while racism dreams of eternal contaminations.”⁴

The term “miscegenation” is imbued with a derogatory bias similar to that of the term “mulatto.” In their connection to racist forms of rhetoric, both terms point to a conception of identity that is aware of difference and informed by hierarchy. Many critics have pointed to the fact that the use of such loaded terms is problematic, since it implies an acceptance of racist premises. Lola Young, for example, argues: “All language related to the conjunction of sexual and racial difference is problematic: miscegenation, mulatto, half-caste, mixed race, interracial and so on all carry with them the

though Bogle does not mention Brown, his alignment with Brown’s model of dividing the representation of black characters into six basic types is quite clear.

- 2 See Joel Williamson, *New People: Miscegenation and Mulattoes in the United States* (New York: Free Press, 1980).
- 3 Richard Dyer, *White* (London, New York: Routledge, 1997), 25.
- 4 Benedict Anderson, *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism*, Revised Edition (New York: Verso, 2006), 149.

stigma of racist discourses, suggesting as they do an acceptance of the precepts of separate, biologically determined racial group.”⁵ The question of what possibilities there are to escape the pejorative connotations of a vocabulary shaped by racism has been answered in several different ways. While some scholars suggest that we should not use the terms themselves at all and instead revert to auxiliary constructions, others emphasize the subversive potential of an oppositional strategy that could confront the originally degrading intention with its own inversion. It remains to be said that the use of a term like “mulatto” can in no way claim a transhistorical validity, for it is dependent on the specific connection to each respective differing contextual condition, on different situational frameworks and loci of articulation. This basic premise also underlies the use of historically loaded terms in this study. Behind this is the view that the use of historically pejorative terms can be justified with clear reference to their conceptual context, which is why their mention will not be avoided. Without doubt, words and concepts can participate in a history of discrimination; without doubt, too, every moment of their use suggests that we are still caught up in supposedly past ideological systems. However, it is precisely the examination of those ideological solidifications that promises an approach enabling us to consider the “mulatto” as the basis of a publicized fiction. In other words: the term “mulatto” says little about the human subject behind it but makes way for numerous inferences about the cultural reflexes that invent and invoke the term. The attempt to designate a complex mixing ratio can thus be considered, beyond the original intent, as its own form of distortion.

As an aesthetic motif, the mulatto topic found its way into American literature in the nineteenth century. In addition to individual minor characters in the anti-slavery literature of the early nineteenth century, James Fenimore Cooper’s *The Last of the Mohicans* (1826) is considered the first major treatment. However, a wide dissemination of the mulatto motif occurred only in the transition to the twentieth century, in which a shift in perspective can be observed. While the focus was initially on the depiction of interracial relationships as a reminiscence of the motif of forbidden love, the interest now shifted to their offspring. Central to the staging of the *tragic mulatto* as a literary figure is the thematization of the interracial psyche, whose inner turmoil is staged as a painful burden and an unresolvable dilemma – in any case, nowhere is there

5 Lola Young, *Fear of the Dark: ‘Race’, Gender and Sexuality in the Cinema* (New York: Routledge, 1996), 87.

a discussion of a liberating experience of blurring boundaries. The most important works of this phase, which reaches into the 1930s, are: Charles W. Chesnutt, *The House Behind the Cedars* (1900), James Weldon Johnson, *The Autobiography of an Ex-Colored Man* (1912), both of Nella Larsen's novels, *Quicksand* (1928) and *Passing* (1929), as well as Jessie Fauset, *Plum Bun: A Novel Without a Moral* (1929). One of the central issues in the literary tradition of the *tragic mulatto* is the topic of rival bloodlines. This nuance can be traced back to a regulation enforced during slavery: the so-called "one-drop rule." The rule states that according to law, every American with a single drop of "black blood" is counted as a "colored" person. The topos of fusing bloodlines saliently shows a linkage to the postulate of the purity of races as well as to the fear of contamination. There is also an apparent tendency toward hierarchization connected to such purism, insomuch that the descendants of black ancestors were categorized according to the degree of their blood admixtures. This was manifested in newly constructed terms like "quadroon" or "octoroon", with which persons with a quarter or an eighth of "black blood", respectively, were designated. It should be emphasized that the demand for differentiation and demarcation can be related not only to the dominance of the white ruling class but that the stigmatization of the "half-breed" was also widespread within the black community. As an effect of the desire for a regulation that creates order, evaluative categorizations broke ground interracially as well as intraracially. This process was propelled by the taxonomic efforts within scientific research, as well as in its attempts to physiologize the difference and thereby to provide scientific evidence for it – whereby also here, one can observe an early focus on the study of blood as the primary criterion of race. Against this background, the unification of the irreconcilable represented a transgression of the black-white boundary that was to be opposed, repelled and averted. Where it could no longer be prevented, its effects were regarded as a highly problematic constellation.

The "mulatto" as the embodiment of that constellation, thus, stands for the conflict par excellence: the undifferentiated in differentiation. The literary staging of the mulatto character is markedly oriented in this direction, as the term *tragic mulatto* already implies. The attribute "tragic" clearly expresses the dilemma of the hybrid because the mulatto's situation is tragic in relation to the struggle between two antagonistic forces, as well as with regard to the inevitability of the threat and the insolubility of the painful conflict awaiting him. Werner Sollors emphasizes "that the literature of American slavery, in that respect much like Greek tragedy, dwells on the paradox, the

oxymoron.”⁶ It is precisely this form of contradictoriness, as an agonizing fate and inescapable experience of limitation, that the figure of the *tragic mulatto* externalizes.

According to Hortense J. Spillers, the mulatto topic appears to have dissipated by the end of the nineteenth century:

“In an inventory of American ideas, the thematic of the ‘tragic mulatto/a’ seems to disappear at the end of the nineteenth century. [...] It is as though both the dominant and dominated national interests eventually abandoned the vocation of naming, perceiving, and explaining to themselves the identity of this peculiar new-world invention.”⁷

Here Spillers is mistaken. The portrayal of mixed-race characters in no way comes to a halt at the end of the nineteenth century, on the contrary. On the one hand, it experienced continued use as a literary motif into the twentieth century (we may refer here to the aforementioned novels by Larsen and Fauset) and, on the other hand, it underwent a significant media-specific modulation. This is because the new medium of cinematography not only adopts the motif of the *tragic mulatto* well-known in and popularized by literature but shifts its aesthetic staging in another direction. What is crucial here – and this is where the concern of this study begins – is that the engagement with racial identity is closely bound to the visual processes of sight and recognition, as well as to its media conditions. Cinematography shifts the implications of racial themes from the discourse on blood, as an internalization, to the visualization of physiognomy, as an externalization. Just as well, the instability of racial classification is addressed in film as a threatening form of destabilization. Nonetheless, this fragility is established differently, that is, as the scrutiny of visual epistemology. The instability elicited by the shift in the boundaries of visibility manifests itself in a form of radicality that transcends the typical model of identity confusion. A hybrid whose race is not visually verifiable not only eludes categorization but also subverts the function of sight as a negotiation of power relations. In the context of a culture whose discursive tradition associates visual metaphors with man’s access to knowledge and insight, what thwarts the discerning gaze must be negotiated as an imminent

6 Sollors, *Neither Black Nor White*, 244.

7 Hortense J. Spillers, “Notes on an alternative model – neither/nor,” in *The Difference Within: Feminism and Critical Theory*, ed. Elizabeth A. Meese, 165-187 (Amsterdam: Benjamins, 1989), 165.

threat. The visual terminology that is so consistently expressed in the Western cultural tradition, conveys the connotation of knowledge and insight as being connected to vision. The conception of identity can also be assigned to this grid of visually conditioned certification – and this is true particularly in relation to the question of racial identity. Claudia Benthien has pointed to the fact that “the differentiation of ‘races’ by means of skin color is a classification that radically relies on visibility and that establishes itself exclusively in the gaze.”⁸ The unsettling potential of the mulatto, which makes modes of perception that seem to have become self-evident appear doubtful, can be placed in precisely this frame of reference. This is due to the fact that what is actually unrepresentable, the in-between of a mixing ratio that replaces the logic of either/or reasoning with a vague both/and, is presented to the gaze on the surface of the mulatto’s body – but simultaneously escapes knowing-willing seeing and unambiguous identifications.

Here, the desire of the detective gaze, the wish for unobstructed access to the world and to knowledge, comes up against a barrier that resists what is actually to be brought to light. In this sense, the vague mixing ratio of the biracial figure can be described as perception’s blind spot, as a form of crossing racial boundaries that also simultaneously represents the infiltration of established concepts of knowledge. In the tradition of Western discourse relying on visibility, the process of identification is closely connected to the localizing of the visible. The resistance of the visually elusive object correspondingly stands for an unbalanced relationship between sight and power that not only challenges the stability of conventional categorizations but also of perception per se. Nevertheless, the mulatto always remains a figure that is being looked at, that is not capable of escaping the detecting gaze. With regard to the cinematic representation of the mulatto, this applies in several ways, because here is where various constellations of sight converge. It must be kept in mind that visual positions, such as the investigating gazes of intradiegetic film characters, the recording gaze of the camera, and the interpreting gaze of the film audience, do not exist as single categories that can be easily separated from one another but rather form a *mélange* in which each specific relation, context, or even the perspective on a context can develop. This, in turn, calls attention to the moments of crossover that arise in the act of seeing, when the relation between Self and Other is expressed. For this

8 Claudia Benthien, *Im Leibe wohnen: Literarische Imagologie und historische Anthropologie der Haut* (Berlin: Berlin Verlag, 1998), 169.

reason, this study's point of departure does not primarily involve examining the history of the *tragic mulatto* motif but questions a crossing of boundaries that also translates to visual perception through and with racial transgression. The perception of the Other is thereby understood as a different way of perceiving: as a movement around an in-between, around an interval, around an unclassifiable nuance.

Since its inception, American cinema has grappled with the constellation of identity and difference in a certain way – that is, by reflecting on racial concepts that not only concern visual representation of the Other but also an interrogation of its own media conditions. The fact that American film concerns itself so extensively with the unbalanced relation between black and white is neither coincidental nor trivial to state – it has much more to do with negating boundaries that pertain to the medium itself. That the engagement with identity and difference in American cinema was closely tied to the question of race from its start is, for example, evident in the titles of Thomas Alva Edison's early short films such as *NEGRO DANCERS* (USA 1895) or *DANCING DARKEY BOY* (USA 1897). In subsequent years, the mulatto motif became a preferred element of staging and a popular subject, for example in *IN SLAVERY DAYS* (Otis Turner, USA 1913) and *THE OCTOROON* (Sidney Olcott, USA 1913). In many respects, the ultimately groundbreaking success was that of David Wark Griffith's film *THE BIRTH OF A NATION* (USA 1915), which presents the problem of crossing boundaries as an essentially American phenomenon and clearly connects this constellation to racial difference. The question of the affinity between cinematic innovation and racial representation that emerges in Griffith's oeuvre marks the beginning of this study. Along with it, the following films are also examined: *THE SYMBOL OF THE UNCONQUERED* (Oscar Micheaux, USA 1920), *IMITATION OF LIFE* (Douglas Sirk, USA 1959), *SHADOWS* (John Cassavetes, USA 1959), *BAMBOOZLED* (Spike Lee, USA 2000) and *THE HUMAN STAIN* (Robert Benton, USA 2003).

Obviously, an analysis limited to six films cannot assert any comprehensive representation, which is why the criteria of choosing the films will be mentioned here. Based on the fact that cinema negotiates the phenomenon of racial border crossing in varying ways across different time periods and cultural settings, the point of reference for the present selection consists in the approach to address significant developmental tendencies not exhaustively but in the form of historical points of crystallization. These are reflected in the arrangement of the film examples into three phases. The first points to the early history of American film, the second to the cinematic modernism

of the late 1950s, and the third to the post-classical cinema of the turn of the millennium. Each section includes both Hollywood mainstream films and independent cinema productions in order to both show the range of variation in the topic and to open up a comparative space that allows for a broad spectrum of discussion. Additionally, it should be noted that two of the film directors, namely Oscar Micheaux and Spike Lee, are persons of color, while all the others are white. This reference seems appropriate; not only because all of the filmmakers work in a context that does not allow the question of racial identity to be considered an undecided one, but also because both Oscar Micheaux and Spike Lee designate and position themselves as Black artists. Both do this out of an oppositional understanding of Black cinema that tries to set a self-confident alternative against the dominant white culture. Not least, the structural, institutional, and systemic challenges associated with the formation of Black cinema remain to be considered here as a context – which will be discussed in detail in the respective sections.

The selected films are each exemplary for certain aspects of the field of investigation that converge at a higher level in the question of the conditions and effects of racial boundary crossings in American film. The cinematographic medium is therefore conceived of as a sphere of negotiation of the positions of Self and Other, a sphere that always especially brings to light the moment of unsettledness associated with racial transgression when it engages with its own media specific boundaries. The confrontation of various terrains connected to the crossing of boundaries spans all areas of the medium of film. The encounter of the poles of black and white in the process forms a dichotomous structure of thinking and seeing that can neither be dissolved nor suspended but likely be shifted. Accordingly, the different elements of the discourse on crossing racial boundaries function as distinct qualities involved in a heterogeneous field of complexly linked categories.

Along with this, it should be noted that the realms of Self and Other are not conceivable as characteristics but only as relations: they have no meaning *per se* but, in a reciprocal relation, continuously produce new meanings. In the interplay of seeing and being seen, the relations between Self and Other are articulated as perpetually new negotiations. The challenge now is to understand and investigate that process – while always keeping boundaries in mind.

