

Juan F. Barrera

Beyond IPM: Introduction to the Theory of Holistic Pest Management

Sustainability in Plant and Crop Protection

Volume 15

Series Editor

Aurelio Ciancio, Sezione di Bari, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche Istituto per la Protezione delle Piante, Bari, Italy

The series describes new perspectives recently developed in pest and disease management, based on innovative tools emerging from basic and applied research. The volumes will aim at interested readers involved in plant protection and crop management, for whom soil biodiversity, crop sustainability and, in general, organic approaches are fundamental. Different cropping systems will be treated by researchers involved in cutting edge studies worldwide. A number of basic issues including sustainability, life-cycle assessment, evolution, plant nutrition and organic cropping technologies will provide a common framework, within which different components of the crop production cycle will be focused on. These will range from roots and endophytes to pest and disease control, through the management of soil microbiome and fertility. These issues will be examined at the field and crop levels, including the effects of invasive species and climate changes on agroecosystems. Recent advancements in massive sequencing will represent the basis of dedicated volumes, dealing with transcriptomics and related approaches. They will illustrate the potentials and benefits of extensive DNA and RNA data analyses and studies, for practical purposes in crop protection, disease management and food production.

Contributions on any of the above cited topics are welcome. Potential Editors proposing a new volume are requested to contact the Series Responsible Editor and provide a short CV (400 words max) listing a selection of their most significant publications. In order to broaden the base of contributors and avoid redundancies, only one volume per Editor is allowed. Exceptionally, in case of many contributed chapters, a two-issues volume can eventually be considered.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13031>

Juan F. Barrera

Beyond IPM: Introduction to the Theory of Holistic Pest Management

 Springer

Juan F. Barrera
El Colegio de la Frontera Sur
Tapachula, Chiapas, Mexico

ISSN 2567-9805 ISSN 2567-9821 (electronic)
Sustainability in Plant and Crop Protection
ISBN 978-3-030-43369-7 ISBN 978-3-030-43370-3 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43370-3>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG.
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

To my wife, Mara

*To my daughter, Mara Alejandra, and my
sons, Jupiter Raúl, Víctor Hugo, and
Juan Gerardo*

*To my parents, Júpiter Barrera Flores (RIP)
and Norma Gaytán Aguirre (RIP)*

Foreword by Aurelio Ciancio

In the present volume, the author proposes and describes a new paradigm in pest management. This is the Holistic Pest Management (HPM) approach of which the author is a firm and enthusiastic supporter and fine scientific investigator. HPM basic concept relies on placing the farmer's well-being at the center of any pest management action. This is deployed through the identification and application of several parameters and variables for risk assessment and socioeconomic analyses. The HPM theory by itself is fascinating, not only because it affords the problem of linking pest management to environmental protection but mainly because of its focus. Attention is in fact given to the farmer and social aspects of crop production rather than to the crop productivity alone, considering income and yields as a way (and not as a goal) to receive a benefit from a more socially equal agriculture.

A number of questions, however, arise from the adoption of this perspective. In particular, can the holistic approach be applied to any socio-agrosystem? Moreover, may a new determinism in socio-ecology provide a suitable new philosophy capable to sustain, through its practical application, a crowded planet? Can we leave apart many aspects of complexity not yet considered in HPM, including the effect of chaotic and fast changes of food webs (including climate effects), and at which scales can HPM be applied?

The author is aware of these aspects and correctly starts his exposition by providing data on global agricultural ecosystems, including crop production, emerging issues in plant protection, and socioeconomic scenarios that may determine the success or failure of the management options chosen. This is followed by a historical review of conventional IPM through an epistemological and updated approach, which is useful both for students and researchers. In the following chapters, more details on HPM are progressively added, moving the reader from theory to practice, by means of a detailed methodology description, with the help of many graphic illustrations, and description of the author's own experience.

As a direct consequence of the HPM paradigmatic shift, new fields of study also emerge. The integration of factors external to the farm but directly involved in the decision-making process concerning the pest requires a perspective based on a rural anthropology and sociology. New studies will be needed on the impact of social

organizations including state structures, (micro-)credit providers, multinationals, and markets, as well as on the role of predatory or marginal agriculture. All these aspects lead us to consider again the complexity of the world agriculture and the uncertainties linked to such a fascinating approach.

The new perspectives emerging by the paradigmatic shift herein proposed need to be consolidated and tested in the future. When passing from theory to reality, more practical examples will be needed to test HPM and to get a better comprehension of its implications and value. One of the recurrent questions posed, “why a pest is a pest,” will surely deserve more analyses. It calls for studies integrating the evolutionary biology of species with the effects of anthropic changes such as deforestation, intensive agriculture, and monoculture, finally considering globalization and trade.

The volume is rich in citations, and the text is well written, correlated and supported by a comprehensive description of the work of many investigators, analyzed in detail. The author has the merit of offering the reader a new way of thinking and seeing at an old problem. He has to be acknowledged for dedicating such an effort to the divulgation of this new perspective and methodological approach, which will undoubtedly raise interest in the future, by promoting further research and applications.

IPSP, CNR
Bari, Italy

Aurelio Ciancio

Foreword: Holistic Pest Management

by Pablo Liedo

Humankind has suffered the effects of pests since ancient times. Since then, control methods were sought and developed to suppress pest populations and reduce their damage. From the mid-1940s of the last century, through advances in synthetic chemistry, highly effective and cheap insecticides were developed. Their impact was such that pests were considered history of the past. The negative effects on the environment and beneficial organisms, the accumulation of toxic waste, and the emergence of resistance and secondary pests were phenomena that were not given importance. The consequence of dependence on pesticides was, in the best scenario, the search for more effective chemicals with a lower impact on the environment. This represented a significant increase in costs. At the other extreme, the abuse of insecticides resulted, in some cases, in an irreparable deterioration of nature and even in the loss of human lives due to poisoning.

Then, in the 1960s, it was concluded that it was necessary to use all available methods to prevent the damage of pests, leaving the use of insecticides as a last resort. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) became not only the desirable strategy but even a school of thought, the paradigm.

The concept of IPM and its philosophy has been widely accepted to such an extent that today there are IPM associations and many universities offer specialties or postgraduate degrees in this field. Many countries have identified it as the basis of their human, animal, and plant public health policies. Organizations such as FAO and WHO have adopted it as the strategy to address pests and disease vectors. This wide acceptance is due to the fact that the concept implies the optimization of resources, minimizing externalities or unwanted side effects. Put simply, IPM means “doing the right thing.” Who could oppose this philosophy?

However, the question is not whether the concept is accepted or not but rather if it is applied or is only theory and good intentions.

There is evidence demonstrating the effectiveness of IPM, suppressing pests, reducing their damage, and minimizing the application of pesticides. However, there is also ample evidence that this strategy is not applied or adoption has been slow or that IPM are short-lived. What are the reasons for this?

It is from this reflection and field experience, when developing the technology for the integrated management of the coffee berry borer, that the idea of Holistic Pest Management (HPM) was brewing in Juan Barrera. The need for a paradigm shift puts human being at the center of a participatory decision-making system for pest management. As the name implies, its main characteristic is the holistic approach.

This book represents the culmination of an intellectual effort of 15 years, accompanied by a constant and close experience, experimentation, and discussion with rural producers, students, and fellow researchers. It starts with a review of the IPM concept, its background, and limitations. It raises and justifies the need for the holistic approach and its theoretical support in the science of complexity. In this volume, Barrera describes the methods for application and implementation of HPM, which have been tested and evaluated. Finally, he analyzes the perspectives and challenges of the new approach. I trust it will be a work of transcendence, not only in the field of pest management but also in the fields of agroecology, science, and education.

For me, it is an honor to foreword this book by my colleague and friend Juan Barrera, who has been trained at the IPM school under one of its founders in Mexico, Dr. Dieter Enkerlin. Over three decades, I have had the privilege of interacting with him in different projects and facets. I have always admired his high level of commitment and dedication, which has led him to fulfill his goals and objectives. This book is a clear example of that.

Tapachula, Chiapas, Mexico
December 2019

Pablo Liedo

Preface

About 15 years ago, we asked ourselves why many Mexican coffee growers, particularly smallholders, did not use—or did so to a minimum—the integrated management of coffee berry borer (*Hypothenemus hampei*), the most important coffee crop pest worldwide. At that time, we deduced that this was due in large part to the fact that the control programs and campaigns were aimed at reducing the level of infestation but paid very little attention to reducing producer vulnerability and increasing their response capacity toward this pest.

When deepening the analysis of this situation, we found that the problem did not seem to be limited to Mexico, not even to coffee crop, that is, it was a much more widespread problem than previously thought. Therefore, we concluded that Integrated Pest Management (IPM) followed a typically reductionist strategy by focusing on the pest and detaching itself from the problems associated with other components of the crop production system, including the producers themselves.

When reviewing the literature on the subject, we found more criticism in other parts of the world, which made us suspect that something was lacking in IPM. The concordance of our ideas with those of other researchers reinforced our efforts to find a holistic approach to pest management decisions. As a starting point, we took two central ideas: first, the actions should always put the farmer at the center of the system, and, second, management should consider both pests and other system components. We have called this approach “Holistic Pest Management” (HPM). In order to publicize these concepts and the development of their advances, in this book, I present an introduction to the theory of HPM, a new paradigm that goes beyond IPM. It is not a matter of renaming or redefining the IPM but of replacing it.

After the introductory chapter (Chap. 1), where the foundations that justify proposing an approach to “go beyond IPM” are laid, the nature of IPM is first reflected upon (Chap. 2). As we enter IPM analysis, it will be seen that this is a decision-making system that promotes actions that lead to pest management under a reductionist approach. Hence, IPM reductionism appears as the main cause of its anomalies.

Subsequently, we will see that for a realistic management of pests, a holistic approach to them is essential (Chap. 3). At this point, we will venture into the philosophy of holism and the concepts related to complex systems management; we will exhaust the issue by analyzing some of the main alternatives to IPM that have emerged in the last 30 years.

In the succeeding chapters, the HPM is addressed. First, it begins with the concept, principles, and theory that underpin it (Chap. 4); next, the description of methods continues, emphasizing the procedures for calculating and interpreting the Holistic Risk Index (HRI)—the cornerstone of HPM—and how it relates to resilience (Chap. 5). Then, step-by-step and with some examples, the methodology to implement HPM is described (Chap. 6).

A final section (Chap. 7) compares IPM and HPM vis-à-vis to clear up their differences, including cases of HRI application in fields other than pest management, and finally the challenges of HPM.

I have no doubt that this book will be useful for both theorists and pest management practitioners. The book will even be of interest to those who study and manage complex systems from other disciplines.

Tapachula, Chiapas, Mexico
December 2019

Juan F. Barrera

Acknowledgments

My efforts to think and act holistically have been stimulated by more than 30 years of contact with coffee producers in Chiapas, Mexico. In particular, my thanks to Productores de Café La Central (PROCACEN) for their friendship and teachings. I appreciate the trust and collaboration of producers of the Centro de Agroecología San Francisco de Asís (CASFA), the Grupo de Asesores de Producción Orgánica y Sustentable S.C. (GRAPOS), and the Federación Indígena Ecológica de Chiapas (FIECH). Also, my recognition goes to the owners of coffee farms: Hamburgo, La Chiripa, Argovia, Linda Vista, Rancho La Esperanza, and Alianza.

Over the years, many ideas expressed herein about HPM were nurtured with the opinions and suggestions of Ramón Jarquín, Jürgen Pohlan, William Gamboa (RIP), Manuel Parra, Balente Herrera, Javier Valle, Jaime Gómez, Christiane Junghans, and Julio S. Bernal.

A special thanks goes to Balente Herrera, Jürgen Pohlan, Ramón Jarquín, Jaime Gómez, Christiane Junghans, Lorena Soto, Eduardo Bello, Erin Estrada, Vincenzo Bertolini, Miguel Ángel Castillo, Ernesto Sánchez, Graciela Huerta, and Isidra Ocampo, members of the Grupo de Investigación de ECOSUR en Zonas Cafetaleras (GIEZCA), with whom I have shared research projects, links with producers, and trainings of human resources since 2002, which have been an inspiration for the development of complex thinking.

With Edwin Castellanos, Ana Lucía Solano, Helda Morales, Hallie Eakin, Catharine Tucker, and Rafael Díaz, members of the “Cambios Globales y Café” project, I found the ideal interdisciplinary niche to implement some methods with a holistic approach.

In the company of Pablo Liedo and several generations of students of El Colegio de la Frontera Sur (ECOSUR) Doctoral Seminar on Pest Management, from 1998 to date, we review, comment, and discuss books and articles on various topics of Integrated Pest Management (IPM); thanks to this, the need to propose a paradigm shift germinated in me.

I want to thank Joel Herrera, Enrique López Pascacio, and Javier de la Rosa for their friendship and for their invaluable technical support in the field. I also appreciate the support received, at various times during the development of HPM, from

Javier Valle (statistical data analysis), Higinio López (analysis in Geographic Information Systems), Enoc Cabrera (Communication and Information Technologies), Raúl Cuevas (preparation of organic fertilizers), and Manuel Figueroa (linking with farmers).

Among those of my students who have dedicated their research thesis on pest management with producers, source of information for the HPM, I have to thank Ramón Jarquín, Héctor Segura, Lissette Rodríguez, Patricia Velazco, Julia Angélica Zavala, Walter Pereira, Carolina Reyes, and Luis Alberto Sumuano. I also thank my students Alejandra Serrano and Ariana Román for their support in data capture and ordering.

Much of the conceptual and methodological work on HPM arose from the following projects that were carried out between 2002 and 2018: “Bioecología y manejo de plagas del café en el Soconusco y Sierra de Chiapas” (Fundación Produce Chiapas, Mexico); “Promoting Organic Coffee Production in Chiapas Through Pest Management, Agronomic, and Economic Research” (Texas A&M University and Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico); “Plan Estatal de Manejo Agroecológico del Café en Chiapas” (Comisión para el Desarrollo y Fomento del Café en Chiapas, Mexico); “Effective Adaptation Strategies and Risk Reduction Towards Economic and Climatic Shocks: Lessons from the Coffee Crisis in Mesoamerica” (Inter-American Institute for Global Change Research); “Desarrollo sustentable de zonas cafetaleras” (Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico); “Fomento de la diversificación productiva en una organización de pequeños productores de café de Chiapas, México” (Peace Corps and ECOSUR, México); “Innovación socioambiental para el desarrollo en áreas de alta pobreza y biodiversidad de la frontera sur de México” (Fondo Institucional de Fomento Regional para el Desarrollo Científico, Tecnológico y de Innovación, Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico); “Small Coffee Producers and Adaptive Options for a Changing Climate: The Risks and Challenges of Certification for Ecosystem Services” (Inter-American Institute for Global Change Research); “Diseño, construcción, equipamiento y puesta en marcha de un Centro Estatal de Innovación y Transferencia de Tecnología para el Desarrollo de la Caficultura Chiapaneca” (Fondo Mixto Chiapas- Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico); and “Innovación socioambiental en zonas cafetaleras para la reducción de la vulnerabilidad” (ECOSUR, Mexico).

All these projects were carried out with the support of ECOSUR, the institution that has sheltered me for more than 35 years, and with which I am infinitely grateful.

I want to thank Pearson Education, Inc., New York, for allowing me to use Fig. 2.1; Island Press, Washington, DC for using Fig. 2.4; and Taylor and Francis Group, LLC, by using Figs. 5.4, 5.8, 6.2, 6.4, 6.5, 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, and 6.10, and Tables 7.1 and 7.2.

I also want to thank Dr. Aurelio Ciancio, Series Editor; Mr. Prasad Gurunadham, Project Co-ordinator (Books) for Springer Nature; and Mrs. Rathika Ramkumar,

Project Manager, Content Solutions, SPi Global, for their empathy and support during planning and editing this book.

I thank Jaime Gómez for his support in reviewing the first draft in Spanish of this book.

Finally, I am very grateful to Juan Gerardo Barrera Covarrubias for his wise comments to improve the first English version of this book.

Contents

1	Introduction: Beyond IPM.	1
1.1	Introduction	2
1.2	Three Anomalies of the Current Paradigm	3
1.2.1	Low Adoption Rates of IPM	3
1.2.2	Pest Losses	4
1.2.3	Alternative Strategies to IPM	5
1.3	Beyond IPM	6
1.4	Conclusion	6
	References	6
2	The Nature of Integrated Pest Management	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Evolution of IPM	10
2.2.1	Supervised Insect Control	10
2.2.2	Integrated Pest Control	11
2.2.3	Integrated Pest Management	11
2.3	Analysis of IPM Definitions	12
2.4	IPM and Pesticides	16
2.5	Criticisms to IPM	17
2.5.1	Substitution of Supplies	17
2.5.2	Law of the Minimum	18
2.5.3	Action Thresholds	18
2.6	Some Alternative Approaches to IPM	19
2.6.1	Biologically Intensive IPM	19
2.6.2	Agroecology and Pest Management	20
2.6.3	Ecologically Based Pest Management	20
2.6.4	Total System Approach	20
2.6.5	Integrated Management of Biodiversity	21

2.6.6	Integrated Crop Management	21
2.6.7	Integrated Production	22
2.6.8	Crop Health	22
2.7	The Adaptive Renewal Cycle of Pest Management	23
2.8	Conclusion	28
	References	28
3	The Need for a Holistic Approach to Pest Management	31
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Concepts and Implications of the Holistic Approach	32
3.2.1	Reductionism	34
3.2.2	Holism	34
3.2.3	The Systemic Conception	35
3.2.4	The Complexity	36
3.2.5	System Limits	37
3.2.6	The Holistic Goal	38
3.3	Interaction Between System Elements	38
3.4	Socio-environmental Systems of Family Farm	39
3.5	Think and Act Holistically	41
3.6	Conclusion	43
	References	43
4	Theoretical Support of Holistic Pest Management	47
4.1	Introduction	48
4.2	Origin	49
4.2.1	Allan Savory and Holistic Management	50
4.2.2	Miguel A. Altieri and Agroecology	50
4.2.3	Edgar Morin and Complex Thinking	51
4.3	How Does HPM Work?	51
4.3.1	Concept	52
4.3.2	Objective	53
4.3.3	Strategy	53
4.3.4	Goal	53
4.3.5	Principles	53
4.4	Pest Control Technology	54
4.5	Considerations for Developing a Holistic Approach	55
4.5.1	The Human Being as the Center of Holistic Thought and Action	56
4.5.2	First Improve Revenue (Pests Later)	58
4.5.3	The Organization of Producers	58
4.5.4	Self-Management, the Best Inheritance	59
4.5.5	Participation: Problems of All, Actions by All	60
4.5.6	Knowledge Society	60
4.5.7	Agricultural Production and Conservation of Natural Resources	61
4.5.8	Preventing Is Better Than Curing	62

- 4.5.9 Fair Trade, Affordable Prices 62
- 4.5.10 Joining the Links in the Chain 63
- 4.5.11 Well-Paid Work, Insured Labor 63
- 4.5.12 An Example 63
- 4.6 The Risk as a Cornerstone of the HPM 64
- 4.7 Conclusion 66
- References 66
- 5 Methods for Analysis of Holistic Pest Management 71**
 - 5.1 Introduction 72
 - 5.2 Integration and Training of the Work Team 73
 - 5.2.1 The Work Team 73
 - 5.2.2 Training 74
 - 5.3 The System, Its Limits, Components and Interactions 77
 - 5.3.1 Brainstorming 77
 - 5.3.2 Prioritization of Problems 77
 - 5.3.3 Structural Analysis 78
 - 5.4 Work Plan 81
 - 5.4.1 General Objective 81
 - 5.4.2 Specific Objectives 83
 - 5.4.3 Strategies 83
 - 5.5 Decision-Making and Monitoring Systems 83
 - 5.5.1 Decision Making System 84
 - 5.5.2 Monitoring System 91
 - 5.6 Risk and Resilience 93
 - 5.7 Conclusion 97
 - References 97
- 6 Implementing Holistic Pest Management 103**
 - 6.1 Introduction 104
 - 6.2 Holistic Management Strategy for Pest Organisms 104
 - 6.3 Critical Path to Assess the System 105
 - 6.3.1 Evaluate the Initial State of the System 106
 - 6.3.2 Make a Participatory Diagnosis 106
 - 6.3.3 Identify the Problems 107
 - 6.3.4 Evaluate the System 107
 - 6.3.5 Define the Indicators 107
 - 6.3.6 Calculate the HRI and Its Components 107
 - 6.3.7 Define the Magnitude of Risk 107
 - 6.3.8 Develop the Decision-Making Process 108
 - 6.3.9 Define the Work Plan and Adaptation and Mitigation
Actions 108
 - 6.3.10 Evaluate the Effect of Management on the Initial
State of the System 108
 - 6.4 Steps to Implement the HPM 108
 - 6.4.1 Establishment of the Working Group 109