**ELLEN WOHL** 

# RIVERS IN THE LANDSCAPE

**SECOND EDITION** 

**WILEY Blackwell** 

**Rivers in the Landscape**

# **Rivers in the Landscape**

Ellen Wohl Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO, USA

Second Edition



This second edition first published 2020 © 2020 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

*Edition History* Wiley-Blackwell (1e, 2014)

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at [http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.](http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions)

The right of Ellen Wohl to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

*Registered Office(s)* John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

*Editorial Office* 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at [www](http://www.wiley.com) [.wiley.com.](http://www.wiley.com)

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

#### *Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty*

While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

#### *Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Names: Wohl, Ellen E., 1962- author. Title: Rivers in the landscape / Ellen Wohl. Description: Second edition. | Hoboken, NJ : John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2020. | Includes bibliographical references and index. Identifiers: LCCN 2019032034 (print) | LCCN 2019032035 (ebook) | ISBN 9781119535416 (paperback) | ISBN 9781119535478 (adobe pdf) | ISBN 9781119535430 (epub) Subjects: LCSH: Rivers–Research. | Fluvial geomorphology–Research. Classification: LCC GB1201.7 .W65 2020 (print) | LCC GB1201.7 (ebook) | DDC 551.48/3–dc23 LC record available at<https://lccn.loc.gov/2019032034> LC ebook record available at<https://lccn.loc.gov/2019032035> Cover Design: Wiley Cover Image: Courtesy of Ellen Wohl

Set in 10/12pt WarnockPro by SPi Global, Chennai, India

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

# **Contents**

# **[Acknowledgements](#page-12-0)** *xi*

- **[1 Introduction](#page-14-0)** *[1](#page-14-0)*
- [1.1 Connectivity and Inequality](#page-16-0) [3](#page-16-0)<br>1.2 Six Degrees of Connection 8
- [1.2 Six Degrees of Connection](#page-21-0) *[8](#page-21-0)*
- [1.3 Rivers as Integrators](#page-24-0) *[11](#page-24-0)*
- [1.4 Organization of this Volume](#page-26-0) *[13](#page-26-0)*
- [1.5 Understanding Rivers](#page-28-0) *[15](#page-28-0)*
- [1.5.1 The Colorado Front Range](#page-28-0) *[15](#page-28-0)*
- Only Connect [26](#page--1-0)

# **[2 Creating Channels and Channel Networks](#page--1-0)** *[27](#page--1-0)*

- [2.1 Generating Water, Solutes, and Sediment](#page--1-0) *[27](#page--1-0)*
- [2.1.1 Generating Water](#page--1-0) *[27](#page--1-0)*
- [2.1.2 Generating Sediment and Solutes](#page--1-0) *[28](#page--1-0)*
- [2.2 Getting Water, Solutes, and Sediment Downslope to Channels](#page--1-0) *[30](#page--1-0)*

**v**

- [2.2.1 Downslope Pathways of Water](#page--1-0) *[30](#page--1-0)*
- [2.2.2 Downslope Movement of Sediment](#page--1-0) [39](#page--1-0)<br>2.2.3 Processes and Patterns of Water Chemist
- [2.2.3 Processes and Patterns of Water Chemistry Entering Channels](#page--1-0) *[42](#page--1-0)*
- [2.2.4 Influence of the Riparian Zone on Fluxes into Channels](#page--1-0) *[43](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3 Human Influences on Fluxes from Uplands to Channels](#page--1-0) *[46](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3.1 Climate Change](#page--1-0) *[46](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3.2 Altered Land Cover](#page--1-0) *[48](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3.2.1 Deforestation](#page--1-0) *[48](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3.2.2 Afforestation](#page--1-0) *[49](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3.2.3 Grazing](#page--1-0) *[50](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3.2.4 Crop Growth](#page--1-0) *[50](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3.2.5 Urbanization](#page--1-0) *[50](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3.2.6 Upland Mining](#page--1-0) *[51](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3.2.7 Land Drainage](#page--1-0) *[52](#page--1-0)*
- [2.3.2.8 Commercial Recreational Property Development](#page--1-0) *[52](#page--1-0)*
- [2.4 Channel Initiation](#page--1-0) *[53](#page--1-0)*
- [2.5 Extension and Development of the Drainage Network](#page--1-0) *[57](#page--1-0)*

**vi** Contents

- [2.5.1 Morphometric Indices and Scaling Laws](#page--1-0) *[58](#page--1-0)*
- [2.5.2 Optimality](#page--1-0) *[61](#page--1-0)*
- [2.6 Spatial Differentiation Within Drainage Basins](#page--1-0) *[62](#page--1-0)*
- [2.7 Summary](#page--1-0) *[64](#page--1-0)*

# **[Part I Channel Processes I](#page--1-0)** *[67](#page--1-0)*

- **[3 Water Dynamics](#page--1-0)** *[69](#page--1-0)*
- [3.1 Hydraulics](#page--1-0) *[69](#page--1-0)*
- [3.1.1 Flow Classification](#page--1-0) *[70](#page--1-0)*
- [3.1.2 Energy, Flow State, and Hydraulic Jumps](#page--1-0) *[74](#page--1-0)*
- [3.1.3 Uniform Flow Equations and Flow Resistance](#page--1-0) *[76](#page--1-0)*
- [3.1.4 Velocity and Turbulence](#page--1-0) *[86](#page--1-0)*
- [3.1.5 Measures of Energy Exerted Against the Channel Boundaries](#page--1-0) *[93](#page--1-0)*
- [3.1.6 Numerical Models of Hydraulics](#page--1-0) *[94](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2 Hydrology](#page--1-0) *[95](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2.1 Measuring Discharge](#page--1-0) *[95](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2.2 Indirectly Estimating Discharge](#page--1-0) *[96](#page--1-0)*
- 
- [3.2.3 Modeling Discharge](#page--1-0) *[103](#page--1-0)* [3.2.4 Flood Frequency Analysis](#page--1-0) *[105](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2.5 Hydrographs and Flow Regime](#page--1-0) *[106](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2.6 Other Parameters Used to Characterize Discharge](#page--1-0) *[110](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2.7 Hyporheic Exchange and Hydrology](#page--1-0) *[110](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2.8 River Hydrology in Cold Regions](#page--1-0) *[114](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2.9 Human Influences on Hydrology](#page--1-0) *[115](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2.9.1 Flow Regulation](#page--1-0) *[115](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2.9.2 River Corridor Engineering](#page--1-0) *[122](#page--1-0)*
- [3.2.10 The Natural Flow Regime](#page--1-0) *[123](#page--1-0)*
- [3.3 Summary](#page--1-0) *[124](#page--1-0)*

# **[Part II Channel Processes II](#page--1-0)** *[125](#page--1-0)*

# **[4 Fluvial Sediment Dynamics](#page--1-0)** *[127](#page--1-0)*

- [4.1 The Channel Bed and Initiation of Motion](#page--1-0) *[128](#page--1-0)*
- [4.1.1 Bed Sediment Characterization](#page--1-0) *[128](#page--1-0)*
- [4.1.2 Entrainment of Noncohesive Sediment](#page--1-0) *[129](#page--1-0)*
- [4.1.2.1 Forces Acting on a Grain](#page--1-0) *[131](#page--1-0)*
- [4.1.2.2 Grain Properties](#page--1-0) *[133](#page--1-0)*
- [4.1.2.3 Turbulence](#page--1-0) *[134](#page--1-0)*
- [4.1.2.4 Biotic Processes](#page--1-0) *[134](#page--1-0)*
- [4.1.3 Erosion of Cohesive Beds](#page--1-0) *[135](#page--1-0)*
- [4.1.3.1 Erosion of Bedrock](#page--1-0) *[135](#page--1-0)*
- [4.1.3.2 Erosion of Cohesive Sediment](#page--1-0) *[139](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2 Sediment Transport](#page--1-0) *[139](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.1 Dissolved Load](#page--1-0) *[139](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.1.1 Nitrogen](#page--1-0) *[141](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.1.2 Carbon](#page--1-0) *[141](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.1.3 Trace Metals](#page--1-0) *[143](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.1.4 Other Environments](#page--1-0) *[144](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.2 Suspended Load](#page--1-0) *[144](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.3 Bed Load](#page--1-0) *[151](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.3.1 Bed Load in Channels with Coarse-Grained Substrate: Coarse Surface Layer](#page--1-0) *[152](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.3.2 Bed Load in Channels with Coarse-Grained Substrate: Characteristics of Grain](#page--1-0) Movements *[154](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.3.3 Bed Load in Channels with Coarse-Grained Substrate: Controls on Bed-Load](#page--1-0) Dynamics *[156](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.3.4 Estimating Bed-Load Flux](#page--1-0) *[158](#page--1-0)*
- [4.2.3.5 Field Measurements of Bed Load](#page--1-0) *[161](#page--1-0)*
- [4.3 Bedforms](#page--1-0) *[163](#page--1-0)*
- [4.3.1 Readily Mobile Bedforms](#page--1-0) *[163](#page--1-0)*
- [4.3.2 Infrequently Mobile Bedforms](#page--1-0) *[167](#page--1-0)*
- [4.3.2.1 Particle Clusters](#page--1-0) *[167](#page--1-0)*
- [4.3.2.2 Transverse Ribs](#page--1-0) *[167](#page--1-0)*
- [4.3.2.3 Steep Alluvial Channel Bedforms](#page--1-0) *[168](#page--1-0)*
- [4.3.2.4 Step–Pool Channels](#page--1-0) *[169](#page--1-0)*
- [4.3.2.5 Pool–Riffle Channels](#page--1-0) *[171](#page--1-0)*
- [4.3.2.6 Bars](#page--1-0) *[175](#page--1-0)*
- [4.3.3 Bedforms in Cohesive Sediments](#page--1-0) *[175](#page--1-0)*
- [4.4 In-Channel Depositional Processes](#page--1-0) *[176](#page--1-0)*
- [4.5 Downstream Trends in Grain Size](#page--1-0) *[178](#page--1-0)*
- [4.6 Bank Stability and Erosion](#page--1-0) *[179](#page--1-0)*
- [4.7 Sediment Budgets](#page--1-0) *[184](#page--1-0)*
- [4.8 Human Influences on Sediment Dynamics](#page--1-0) *[189](#page--1-0)*
- [4.9 The Natural Sediment Regime](#page--1-0) *[193](#page--1-0)*
- [4.10 Summary](#page--1-0) *[194](#page--1-0)*

# **[Part III Channel Processes III](#page--1-0)** *[197](#page--1-0)*

## **[5 Large Wood Dynamics](#page--1-0)** *[199](#page--1-0)*

- [5.1 The Continuum of Vegetation in River Corridors](#page--1-0) *[199](#page--1-0)*
- [5.2 Recruitment of Wood to River Corridors](#page--1-0) *[201](#page--1-0)*
- [5.3 Wood Entrainment and Transport](#page--1-0) *[203](#page--1-0)*
- [5.4 Wood Deposition](#page--1-0) *[207](#page--1-0)*
- [5.5 Wood Storage](#page--1-0) *[208](#page--1-0)*
- [5.6 Wood Interactions with Water and Sediment](#page--1-0) *[212](#page--1-0)*
- [5.7 Human Influences on Wood Dynamics](#page--1-0) *[215](#page--1-0)*
- [5.8 The Natural Wood Regime](#page--1-0) *[216](#page--1-0)*
- [5.9 Summary](#page--1-0) *[218](#page--1-0)*

**viii** Contents

- **[6 Channel Forms](#page--1-0)** *[219](#page--1-0)*
- [6.1 Cross-Sectional Geometry](#page--1-0) *[220](#page--1-0)*
- [6.1.1 Bankfull, Dominant, and Effective Discharge](#page--1-0) *[220](#page--1-0)*
- [6.1.2 Width-to-Depth Ratio](#page--1-0) *[222](#page--1-0)*
- [6.1.3 Hydraulic Geometry](#page--1-0) *[223](#page--1-0)*
- [6.1.3.1 At-A-Station Hydraulic Geometry](#page--1-0) *[223](#page--1-0)*
- [6.1.3.2 Downstream Hydraulic Geometry](#page--1-0) *[225](#page--1-0)*
- [6.1.4 Lane's Balance](#page--1-0) *[226](#page--1-0)*
- [6.1.5 Complex Response](#page--1-0) *[228](#page--1-0)*
- [6.1.6 Channel Evolution Models](#page--1-0) *[228](#page--1-0)*
- [6.2 Channel Planform](#page--1-0) *[231](#page--1-0)*
- [6.2.1 Straight Channels](#page--1-0) *[232](#page--1-0)*
- [6.2.2 Meandering Channels](#page--1-0) *[233](#page--1-0)*
- [6.2.3 Wandering Channels](#page--1-0) *[238](#page--1-0)*
- [6.2.4 Braided Channels](#page--1-0) *[239](#page--1-0)*
- [6.2.5 Anabranching Channels](#page--1-0) *[244](#page--1-0)*
- [6.2.6 Compound Channels](#page--1-0) *[246](#page--1-0)*
- [6.2.7 Karst Channels](#page--1-0) *[246](#page--1-0)*
- [6.2.8 Continuum Concept](#page--1-0) *[246](#page--1-0)*
- [6.2.9 River Metamorphosis](#page--1-0) *[247](#page--1-0)*
- [6.3 Confluences](#page--1-0) *[250](#page--1-0)*
- [6.4 Bedrock Channels](#page--1-0) *[254](#page--1-0)*
- [6.5 River Gradient](#page--1-0) *[255](#page--1-0)*
- [6.5.1 Longitudinal Profile](#page--1-0) *[257](#page--1-0)*
- [6.5.2 Stream Gradient Index](#page--1-0) *[261](#page--1-0)*
- [6.5.3 Knickpoints](#page--1-0) *[262](#page--1-0)*
- [6.6 Adjustment of Channel Form](#page--1-0) *[265](#page--1-0)*
- [6.6.1 Extremal Hypotheses of Channel Adjustment](#page--1-0) *[266](#page--1-0)*
- [6.6.2 Nonlinear Behavior and Alternative States](#page--1-0) *[267](#page--1-0)*
- [6.6.3 Geomorphic Effects of Floods](#page--1-0) *[268](#page--1-0)*
- [6.7 Human Influences on Channel Form](#page--1-0) *[270](#page--1-0)*
- [6.8 Summary](#page--1-0) *[276](#page--1-0)*

# **[7 Extra-Channel Environments](#page--1-0)** *[277](#page--1-0)*

- [7.1 Floodplains](#page--1-0) *[277](#page--1-0)*
- [7.1.1 Floodplain Functions](#page--1-0) *[278](#page--1-0)*
- [7.1.2 Floodplain Hydrology](#page--1-0) *[281](#page--1-0)*
- [7.1.3 Depositional Processes and Floodplain Stratigraphy](#page--1-0) *[281](#page--1-0)*
- [7.1.4 Erosional Processes and Floodplain Turnover Times](#page--1-0) *[287](#page--1-0)*
- [7.1.5 Downstream Trends in Floodplain Form and Process](#page--1-0) *[289](#page--1-0)*
- [7.1.6 Classification of Floodplains](#page--1-0) *[290](#page--1-0)*
- [7.1.7 Human Influences on Floodplains](#page--1-0) *[290](#page--1-0)*
- [7.2 Terraces](#page--1-0) *[291](#page--1-0)*
- [7.2.1 Terrace Classifications](#page--1-0) *[292](#page--1-0)*
- [7.2.2 Mechanisms of Terrace Formation and Preservation](#page--1-0) *[295](#page--1-0)*

# Contents **ix**

- [7.2.3 Terraces as Paleoprofiles and Paleoenvironmental Indicators](#page--1-0) *[297](#page--1-0)*
- [7.3 Alluvial Fans](#page--1-0) *[300](#page--1-0)*
- [7.3.1 Erosional and Depositional Processes](#page--1-0) *[302](#page--1-0)*
- [7.3.2 Fan Geometry and Stratigraphy](#page--1-0) *[303](#page--1-0)*
- [7.3.3 Mapping, Studying, and Living on Fans](#page--1-0) *[305](#page--1-0)*
- [7.4 Deltas](#page--1-0) *[306](#page--1-0)*
- [7.4.1 Processes of Erosion and Deposition](#page--1-0) *[308](#page--1-0)*
- [7.4.2 Delta Morphology and Stratigraphy](#page--1-0) *[309](#page--1-0)*
- [7.4.3 Paleoenvironmental Records](#page--1-0) *[312](#page--1-0)*
- [7.4.4 Deltas in the Anthropocene](#page--1-0) *[313](#page--1-0)*
- [7.5 Estuaries](#page--1-0) *[314](#page--1-0)*
- [7.6 Summary](#page--1-0) *[316](#page--1-0)*

# **[8 Rivers in the Landscape](#page--1-0)** [319](#page--1-0)<br>8.1 Rivers and Topography 319

- [8.1 Rivers and Topography](#page--1-0) *[319](#page--1-0)*
- [8.1.1 Tectonics, Topography, and Large Rivers](#page--1-0) *[321](#page--1-0)*
- [8.1.2 Indicators of Relations Between Rivers and Landscape Evolution](#page--1-0) *[323](#page--1-0)*
- [8.1.3 Tectonic Influences on River Geometry](#page--1-0) *[323](#page--1-0)*
- [8.1.4 Effects of River Incision on Tectonics](#page--1-0) *[324](#page--1-0)*
- [8.1.5 Bedrock-Channel Incision and Landscape Evolution](#page--1-0) *[325](#page--1-0)*
- [8.2 Climatic Signatures](#page--1-0) *[328](#page--1-0)*
- [8.2.1 High Latitudes](#page--1-0) *[328](#page--1-0)*
- [8.2.2 Low Latitudes](#page--1-0) *[331](#page--1-0)*
- [8.2.3 Warm Drylands](#page--1-0) *[333](#page--1-0)*
- [8.3 Spatial Differentiation Along a River](#page--1-0) *[336](#page--1-0)*
- [8.4 Connectivity](#page--1-0) *[338](#page--1-0)*
- [8.5 River Management in an Environmental Context](#page--1-0) *[342](#page--1-0)*
- [8.5.1 Reference Conditions](#page--1-0) *[342](#page--1-0)*
- [8.5.2 Restoration](#page--1-0) *[344](#page--1-0)*
- [8.5.3 Instream, Channel Maintenance, and Environmental Flows](#page--1-0) *[350](#page--1-0)*
- [8.5.4 River Health](#page--1-0) *[353](#page--1-0)*
- [8.6 Rivers with a History](#page--1-0) *[355](#page--1-0)*
- [8.7 The Greater Context](#page--1-0) *[357](#page--1-0)*

**[References](#page--1-0)** *[361](#page--1-0)*

**[Index](#page--1-0)** *491*

# <span id="page-12-0"></span>**Acknowledgements**

The contents of this book reflect the contributions of many individuals. Mike Church helped to explain the evolution of thinking about bedload transport. Bill Dietrich identified pioneering discussions of bedload dynamics in G.K. Gilbert's flume experiments. Angela Gurnell helped me to more fully integrate plants into my thinking about river process and form. Theodore Endreny and Grant Meyer helped me to identify and correct errors in the first edition of the book. Natalie Kramer, Katherine Lininger, Lina Polvi, and Dan Scott provided stimulating discussions about various aspects of river science. I thank each of them and many other colleagues who have made the study of rivers such an enjoyable challenge.

# <span id="page-14-0"></span>**1**

# **Introduction**

Rivers are the shapers of terrestrial landscapes. Very few points on Earth above sea level do not lie within a drainage basin. Even points distant from the nearest channel are likely to be influenced by that channel. Tectonic uplift raises rock thousands of meters above sea level. Precipitation falling on the uplifted terrain concentrates into channels that carry sediment downward to the oceans and influence the steepness of adjacent hill slopes by governing the rate at which the landscape incises. Rivers migrate laterally across lowlands, creating a complex topography of terraces, floodplain wetlands, and channels. Subtle differences in elevation, grain size, and soil moisture across this topography control the movement of ground water and the distribution of plants and animals.

Investigators have begun to quantify the extent to which rivers influence the surrounding landscape. Stream ecologists ask, "How wide is a stream?" and address the question by using isotopic signatures to analyze food web data indicating exchanges of matter and energy between aquatic and terrestrial biotic communities (Muehlbauer et al. [2014\)](#page--1-0). Geomorphologists ask, "How large is a river?" and address the question by defining signatures – emergent properties of sets of processes acting on a river landscape – and envelopes – the dynamic penetration of a signature across the landscape (Gurnell et al. [2016b\)](#page--1-0). In each case, the answer is, "Wider and larger than surface appearances might suggest."

Throughout human history, people have settled disproportionately along rivers, relying on them for water supply, transport, fertile agricultural soils, waste disposal, and food from aquatic and riparian organisms. People have also devoted a tremendous amount of time and energy to altering river process and form. We are not unique in this respect: ecologists refer to various organisms, from beaver to some species of riparian trees, as *ecosystem engineers* in recognition of their ability to alter their environment. Humans are unique, however, in the extent to and intensity with which we alter rivers. In many cases, river engineering has unintended consequences, and effectively mitigating these consequences requires that we understand rivers in the broadest sense, as shapers and integrators of landscape.

Geomorphologist Luna Leopold once described rivers as the gutters down which flow the ruins of continents (Leopold et al. [1964\)](#page--1-0). His father, Aldo Leopold, described the functioning of an ecosystem as a "round river," to emphasize the cycling of nutrients and energy. Rivers can be thought of as having a strong unidirectional and linear movement of water, sediment, and other materials. Rivers can also be thought of as more broadly connected systems with bidirectional fluxes of energy and matter between the channels of the river network and the greater environment. This volume emphasizes the latter viewpoint.

#### **2** 1 Introduction

Rivers are not simply channels. Various phrases have been used to describe the integrated system of channels, floodplain, and underlying hyporheic zone, including "the river system," "the fluvial system," "the river ecosystem," and "the river corridor." Regardless of the exact words used, the intent is to recognize that the active channel is integrally connected to adjacent surface and subsurface areas by fluxes of material and organisms.The three legs of the tripod of physical inputs that support a river corridor are inputs of water, sediment, and large wood from adjacent uplands. Although large wood has received less attention than water and sediment inputs, the historical abundance of large wood in regions with forested uplands or floodplains, along with observations of the geomorphic effects of large wood in the few remaining natural river corridors, indicates that large wood significantly influences river process and form. The material inputs of water, sediment, and wood are redistributed within the river corridor, stored for varying lengths of time, and eventually transported to the ocean, to another long-term depositional environment (e.g. alluvial fan or delta), or – for water – back to the atmosphere or ground water.

Each of the primary inputs to a river corridor can be described in terms of natural regimes that occur in the absence of human alterations in land cover, river form, flow regulation, and the water table, and in terms of altered regimes associated with human activities. The *natural flow regime* can be characterized with respect to magnitude, frequency, duration, timing, and rate of rise and fall of water discharge (Poff et al. [1997\)](#page--1-0). Human alterations of the flow regime can be quantified using indicators of hydrologic alteration (Richter et al. [1996;](#page--1-0) Poff et al. [2010\)](#page--1-0). The *natural sediment regime* can be characterized with respect to inputs, outputs, and storage of sediment (Wohl et al. [2015b\)](#page--1-0). Because records of sediment flux analogous to those of gaged stream discharge do not exist, human alterations of the sediment regime can be inferred from the occurrence of sustained changes in river process and form that result from altered sediment dynamics. The *natural wood regime* can be characterized with respect to magnitude, frequency, duration, timing, rate, and mode of wood recruitment, transport, and storage within river corridors (Wohl et al., 2019). As with sediment, insufficient systematic records exist of wood flux in the absence of human influences to quantify changes in the natural wood regime, but the effect of human influences can be inferred from sustained changes in river process and form (e.g. Collins et al. [2012\)](#page--1-0).

The details of how materials from uplands enter a river corridor and move through it are partly governed by the spatial context of the corridor (Figure 1.1). *Context* here includes valley geometry (downstream gradient, valley-bottom width relative to active channel width), position in the network, base-level stability, and substrate erosional resistance (Wohl 2018a). Valley geometry influences the energy available for changes in river form and the space available to accommodate change. Steep river reaches typically correspond to relatively narrow valleys and coarser sediment or bedrock (Livers and Wohl 2015). Lower-gradient reaches are more likely to have wide valley bottoms relative to channel width, as well as floodplains or secondary channels. Position in the network can influence the sensitivity of a river corridor to fluctuations in relative base level: commonly, the lower portions of a river network are more likely to incise in response to relative base-level fall or aggrade in response to relative base-level rise. Base-level stability influences river corridor configuration in that a river reach may be incising or aggrading irrespective of inputs of water, sediment, and large wood because of base-level instability (e.g. Schumm 1993). Substrate erosional resistance describes the ability of the channel and floodplain substrate to resist erosional changes. Resistance derives from substrate composition (grain size, stratigraphy, bedrock lithology; e.g. Finnegan et al. 2005) and from the presence of riparian vegetation (e.g. Gurnell 2014).

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

**Figure 1.1** Schematic illustration of the primary inputs to river corridors (water, sediment, large wood) and the context in which they interact with one another and with the river form to create the integrative river corridor characteristics listed in the lower portion of the figure. (See color plate section for color representation of this figure).

Human activities can modify inputs and context. Although people typically do not alter the actual valley geometry, they do commonly alter the effective valley geometry by building levees, regulating flow and reducing flood peaks, or stabilizing the banks, each of which limits the interactions between channel and floodplain. Analogously, construction of grade controls or dams affects local base-level stability, and land drainage or bank stabilization modifies substrate erosional resistance.

Interactions between inputs and valley context create the characteristics of the river corridor listed in the lower row of Figure 1.1: spatial heterogeneity, nonlinear behavior, connectivity, resilience, and integrity. Connectivity and nonlinear behavior are introduced in this first chapter. The other concepts are covered in subsequent ones.

# **1.1 Connectivity and Inequality**

Contemporary research and conceptual models of river form and process increasingly explicitly recognize the important of connectivity. Connectivity, sometimes referred to as coupling (e.g. Brunsden and Thornes 1979), is multifaceted. *Hydrologic connectivity* can refer to the movement of water down a hillslope in the surface or subsurface, from hillslopes into channels, or along a channel network (Pringle 2001; Bracken and Croke 2007). *River connectivity* refers to water-mediated fluxes within the channel network (Ward 1997). *Sediment connectivity* can refer to the movement, or storage, of sediment down hillslopes, into channels, or along channel networks (Harvey 1997; Fryirs et al. 2007a,b; Kuo and Brierley 2013; Bracken et al. 2015). *Biological connectivity* refers to the ability of organisms or plant propagules to disperse between suitable habitats or between isolated populations for breeding. *Landscape connectivity* can refer to the movement of water, sediment, or other materials between

## **4** 1 Introduction

individual landforms such as hillslopes and channels (Brierley et al. 2006). *Structural connectivity* describes the extent to which landscape units – which can range in scale from *<*1 m for bunchgrasses dispersed across exposed soil to the configuration of hillslopes and valley bottoms across thousands of meters – are physically linked to one another. *Functional connectivity* describes the process-specific interactions between multiple structural characteristics, such as runoff and sediment moving downslope between the bunchgrasses and exposed soil patches (Wainwright et al. 2011). Using the scenario of runoff and sediment moving downslope, temporal variability (connectedness of rainfall) can create spatial variability (connectedness of flow paths) and thus control functional connectivity along the slope (Wainwright et al. 2011).

In general, connectivity describes the efficiency of material transfer between geomorphic system components such as floodplains and channels, hillslopes and river corridors, or longitudinal segments within a river network (Wohl et al. 2019a). Landscapes and individual landforms such as a delta are increasingly conceptualized as networks using the framework of graph and network theory (e.g. Kupfer et al. 2014; Heckmann et al. 2015; Passalacqua 2017). These networks are composed of compartments (e.g. hillslope, valley bottom), links (e.g. channel segments), and nodes (e.g. channel junctions), each of which exhibits connectivity at differing temporal and spatial scales.

Whatever form of connectivity is under discussion, its magnitude, duration, and extent are each important. Magnitude can be thought of as the volume of flux: Is only a trickle of water moving down a channel network, or a flood? Duration describes the time span of the connectivity: Can fish disperse along a river network throughout an average flow year, or only during certain seasons of high flow? Closely associated with duration is the idea of storage. If sediment stops moving downstream during periods of lower discharge, then it is at least temporarily stored in the streambed and banks. Large wood can be stored on a floodplain until overbank flows or bank erosion transport it back into the active channel. Extent is the spatial characteristic of connectivity: Does sediment move readily from the crest to the toe of a hillslope, but not into the adjacent channel because it is trapped and stored in alluvial fans perched on stream terraces? Research focuses on quantifying connectivity or developing indices of connectivity using tools such as high-resolution digital terrain models derived from aerial LiDAR (Cavalli et al. 2013) or direct measurements of fluxes (Jaeger and Olden 2012).

These dimensions of connectivity are important for adequately characterizing fluxes within a landscape, and for understanding how human activities alter those fluxes (Kondolf et al. 2006). Many human actions substantially reduce connectivity within a river network. Dams alter hydrologic connectivity and may effectively interrupt or eliminate connectivity of sediment and some organisms along a river (Magilligan et al. 2016). Levees and bank stabilization interrupt or prevent connectivity between the channel and the adjacent floodplain (Florsheim and Dettinger 2015). Flow diversions, in contrast, may increase connectivity between drainage networks, allowing exotic organisms to migrate with the diverted water and colonize a river network (Zhan et al. 2015). Dredging, channelization, straightening, and other activities that reduce geomorphic complexity and the storage of fine sediment and nutrients typically increase the longitudinal connectivity of rivers and associated downstream fluxes of sediment and solutes. By limiting overbank flows, however, these alterations reduce lateral connectivity between the channel and floodplain. Effective mitigation of undesirable human alterations of rivers requires understanding the details of connectivity.

Connectivity implies an inverse characteristic of disconnectivity. Disconnectivity can result from features that limit movement of material, typically by creating obstructions such as beaver dams (Burchsted et al. 2010) or by enhancing storage such as floodplains storing water during overbank flow (Lininger and Latrubesse 2016) or sediment (Wohl 2015a,b). Disconnectivity can also result from lack of sufficient energy or discharge to transport material in a temporally (Jaeger and Olden 2012) or spatially (Mould and Fryirs 2017) continuous manner.

Although connectivity is commonly regarded as a desirable characteristic, naturally occurring disconnectivity can be critically important. Natural disconnectivity can attenuate peak flows (Lane 2017), for example. It can also enhance retention of sediment and particulate and dissolved nutrients. This retention facilitates biological processing of these nutrients and improves water quality (Battin et al. 2008), as well as enhancing habitat abundance and diversity (Venarsky et al. 2018). A wide variety of metrics have been proposed to quantify the degree of (dis)connectivity for diverse materials (Table 1.1) (Wohl 2017b).



**Table 1.1** Selected examples of quantitative metrics of connectivity.

**Table 1.1** (Continued)



Source: After Wohl (2017a,b,c), Table 2.

Inextricable from connectivity is the idea of reservoirs, sinks, or storage: components of a river channel, river network, or other landscape feature in which connectivity is at least temporarily limited. Being able to quantify the magnitude and average storage time of material in flux is critical to understanding connectivity, as is being able to predict the thresholds that define the upper and lower limits of storage. Sediment moving downslope from a weathered bedrock outcrop toward a stream channel might remain in storage on a debris-flow fan for 2000 years before reaching the

stream channel, for example, so that the fan limits connectivity between the slope and channel at time spans of  $10^0$ – $10^3$  years (Fryirs et al. 2007a,b). Or, the sediment might progressively accumulate on the hillslope until a precipitation or seismic trigger causes the slope to cross a threshold of stability and fail in a mass movement that instantaneously introduces much of the sediment into the stream. Or, the sediment might move quickly downslope and into the channel as soon as it is physically detached from the bedrock outcrop, because the slope angle is too high for sediment storage.

Focusing on coarse sediment transport in streams, Hooke (2003) distinguishes five scenarios. (i) Unconnected channel reaches have local sinks for sediment and lack of transport between reaches. (ii) Partially connected reaches have sediment transfer only during large floods. (iii) Connected reaches have coarse sediment transfer during frequent floods. (iv) Potentially connected reaches are competent to transfer sediment but lack a sediment supply. (v) Disconnected reaches were formerly connected but are now obstructed by a feature such as a dam. Differentiating these scenarios facilitates recognition that most natural and engineered river systems have some degree of retention of water, sediment, solutes, and organisms, and understanding net and long-term fluxes of these quantities involves quantifying both movement and storage.

Connectivity, storage, and fluxes are thus a central component of river process and form. Connectivity does not imply that all aspects of a connected valley segment, river network, or landscape are of equal importance to fluxes of matter and energy. Biogeochemists coined the phrases "hot moment" and "hot spot." A *hot moment* describes a short period of time with disproportionately high reaction rates relative to longer intervening time periods. A *hot spot* describes a small area with disproportionately high reaction rates relative to the surroundings (McClain et al. 2003). A channel-spanning logjam provides an example of a river hot spot (Figure 1.2). The logjam can effectively trap finer sediment and organic matter that might otherwise remain in transport. By storing organic matter for even a few hours, the logjam facilitates access for microbes and macroinvertebrates, which can ingest the organic matter (Bisson et al. 1987; Beckman and Wohl 2014a; Livers et al. 2018). The logjam also creates pressure gradients that facilitate downwelling of water and solutes into the streambed, where subsurface microbial communities enhance processes such as uptake of nitrate (Fanelli and Lautz 2008; Hester and Doyle 2008). The logjam thus creates a biochemical hot spot along the river.

The concepts of hot moments and hot spots are useful because any aspect of river process or form reflects inequalities in time and space. Czuba and Foufoula-Georgiou (2017), for example, identify hot spots of geomorphic change at the scale of river networks. These hot spots result from sediment accumulation or high rates of bed shear stress. Approximately 50% of the suspended sediment discharged by rivers of the Western Transverse Ranges of California, USA comes from the 10% of the basin underlain by weakly consolidated bedrock (Warrick and Mertes 2009). Somewhere between 17 and 35% of the total particulate organic carbon flux to the world's oceans comes from high-standing islands in the southwest Pacific, which constitute only about 3% of Earth's landmass (Lyons et al. 2002). Along bedrock channels with large knickpoints, the great majority of channel incision occurs at the knickpoint.

Temporal inequalities in river networks illustrate hot moments. More than 75% of the long-term sediment flux from mountain rivers in Taiwan occurs in the span of *<*1% of the year, during typhoon-generated floods (Kao and Milliman 2008). One-third of the total amount of stream energy generated by the Tapi River of India during the monsoon season is expended on the day of the peak flood (Kale and Hire 2007).

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

**Figure 1.2** Channel-spanning logjam in the Rocky Mountains of Colorado, USA. Where logjams are not present, the stream has cobble- to boulder-size substrate, high transport capacity, and minimal storage of fine sediment and organic matter. Each logjam, in contrast, creates a backwater of lower-velocity flow that traps fine gravel, sand, and silt, as well as small logs, branches, and pine cones and needles. In the photograph, flow is from right to left. (See color plate section for color representation of this figure).

These are but a few of many examples mentioned in the remainder of this volume. Because not all moments in time or spots on a landscape are of equal importance in shaping rivers, effective understanding and management of rivers requires knowledge of how, when, and where fluxes occur.

# **1.2 Six Degrees of Connection**

Any river network or segment of a single river exists in a rich and complicated context that reflects fluxes of matter and energy between the river and the greater environment, as well as the history of these fluxes. At any given moment in time, the only fluxes that are likely to be obvious are longitudinal fluxes as water and sediment move downstream. Longitudinal fluxes, however, are only one of six degrees of connection between a river and the environment (Figure 1.3) (Wohl 2010b).

(1) The longitudinal connection is the most obvious and intuitive. Water, sediment, and solutes move downstream. Globally, rivers transport an estimated 7819 million tons of sediment to the oceans (Milliman and Syvitski 1992) and approximately 0.9 Pg (1 Pg =  $10^{15}$  g) of carbon per year (Aufdenkampe et al. 2011). Organisms move actively up- and downstream to new habitat and passively drift downstream with the current. Both European (*Anguilla anguilla*) and American (*Anguilla rostrata*) eels migrate from rivers to the Sargasso Sea off Bermuda for spawning, covering a

#### *Atmosphere-channel*

(down) precipitation, atmospheric deposition of sediment, contaminants (up) water, aquatic insects, volatiles



#### *Upstream-downstream*

(down) water, solutes, sediment, large wood, particulate organic matter, plant propagules, drift of larval insects (up) migratory fish



**Figure 1.3** Schematic illustration of the six degrees of connection between rivers and the greater landscape. The segment of channel (lighter gray) shown here is connected to: upstream and downstream portions of the river network; adjacent uplands; the floodplain; ground water; the hyporheic zone (darker gray); and the atmosphere. The photograph representing upstream–downstream connection was taken during a flood on the Paria River, a tributary of the Colorado River that enters just downstream from Glen Canyon Dam in Arizona, USA. In this view, the Paria is turbid with suspended sediment whereas the Colorado, which is released from the base of the dam, is clear. The photograph representing hillslope–channel connection shows a large landslide entering the Dudh Khosi River in Nepal. The photograph respresenting floodplain–channel connection was taken along the Rio Jutai, a blackwater tributary of the Amazon River, during the annual flood in early June. In this view, the "flooded forest" is submerged by several meters of water. The photograph representing hyporheic–channel connection shows a larval aquatic insect (macroinvertebrate) as an example of the organisms that can move between the channel and the hyporheic environment. The photograph respresenting atmosphere–channel connection shows a mayfly emerging from the river prior to entering the atmosphere as a winged adult. Source: Image courtesy of Jeremy Monroe, Freshwaters Illustrated. (See color plate section for color representation of this figure).

distance of as much as 5600 km. Numerous species of salmon (*Salmo* and *Oncorhynchus* spp.) typically travel tens to hundreds of kilometers upstream from the ocean to spawn.

(2) The lateral connection between the river channel and adjacent floodplain can operate over time spans including multiple high flows as channels migrate laterally into the floodplain via bank erosion and the floodplain migrates laterally as channel bars and islands accrete to it. The lateral connection is most obvious, however, during periods of flow with sufficient volume to overtop the banks and spread across the unchanneled valley bottom. Water, sediment, solutes, and organisms disperse from the channel onto the floodplain during the rising and peak stages of a flood, and some of these materials concentrate once more in the channel during the falling stage. High rates of primary production by photosynthetic organisms occur during the rising limb of the flood, providing food for the consumer organisms that follow the flood pulse onto the floodplain.

## 10 1 Introduction

High rates of decomposition occur during the flood peak, and the resulting nutrients concentrate back in the channel during the descending limb. Sediment moves onto the floodplain during the rising limb, typically remaining in storage within the floodplain until bank erosion returns it to the channel (Dunne et al. 1998). Tropical river ecologists refer to the regular annual fluxes between the channel and the floodplain as the *flood pulse*, a phrase now used to refer to fluxes during floods of any recurrence interval or magnitude sufficient to create overbank flow (Junk et al. 1989; Bayley 1991). *Flow pulses* – fluctuations in surface water below the bankfull level – create similar processes within secondary channels or areas of flow separation along a single, confined channel (Tockner et al. 2000).

Levees, channelization, and flow regulation have so restricted overbank flooding along most of the world's large and medium rivers that it is now easy to underestimate the spatial extent and duration of flooding once present along lowland rivers. The Amazon, by far the world's largest river and still one of the least engineered, can extend across 50 km of floodplain during the seasonal flood, which can last more than 3 months. Along smaller rivers, historic removal of instream large wood and, in the northern hemisphere, beavers has substantially reduced channel–floodplain connectivity (Jeffries et al. 2003; Burchsted et al. 2010).

- (3) The lateral connection between adjacent uplands and the river channel is more likely to be a one-way flux, with water, sediment, and solutes moving downslope at the surface and subsurface into the channel. The pathways, rates, and magnitudes of flux from the uplands typically exhibit substantial spatial and temporal variability. During an individual rainstorm, for example, water flowing across saturated ground may become a progressively more important source of runoff as infiltration capacity declines (Dykes and Thornes 2000). During the dry season, soils in the seasonal tropics can develop water repellency, which, along with an extensive network of macropores and pipes, facilitates rapid downslope transmission of runoff early in the wet season. Water repellency declines as the wet season continues, allowing infiltration to increase and runoff to decrease. By the peak of the wet season, however, saturated soils can promote rapid, abundant surface runoff (Niedzialek and Ogden 2005). Another example of temporal variability in lateral connectivity comes from rivers fed by snowmelt, which typically exhibit an ionic pulse when the release of solutes from the snowpack and the flushing of weathering products from the soil create the highest solute concentrations in the stream water at the initiation of snowmelt (Williams and Melack 1991). Mineral sediment and organic matter coming from the uplands can originate in episodic, point sources such as landslides (Hilton et al. 2008a,b) or via more diffuse, gradual erosion.
- (4) Vertical fluxes link the channel to the zone of subsurface flow immediately below the channel, with flowpaths that originate and terminate at the stream. This subsurface region is known as the *hyporheic zone*, from the Greek roots *hypo* for under or beneath and *rheo* for flow or current. Water, sediment, solutes, and small organisms such as microbes and macroinvertebrates moving between the surface and subsurface can strongly influence the volume, temperature, and chemistry of flow in the river channel, and hyporheic habitat can account for a fifth of the invertebrate production in a river ecosystem (Smock et al. 1992). The hyporheic zone can extend more than 2 km laterally from the channel in wide valleys and to depths of 10 m (Stanford and Ward 1988).
- (5) Deeper vertical fluxes between the river and the saturated zone of the ground water can also occur in both directions, with water and solutes moving into the channel in a *gaining stream* or into the ground water in a *losing stream*. Human activities can create gaining and losing streams. Ground-water withdrawal that lowers the water table sufficiently to prevent ground-water

<span id="page-24-0"></span>flow into the channel, for example, can substantially reduce stream flow in dryland rivers (Falke et al. 2011).

As in exchanges between the hyporheic zone and surface flow, exchanges between ground and surface water can influence the temperature and chemistry of river water. Solute concentrations typically increase toward saturation as ground water moves relatively slowly through sediment or bedrock (Constantz and Stonestrom 2003), so ground-water inputs can strongly influence river solute concentrations. The flow of rivers originating from large springs in carbonate terrains or landscapes with layered basalt flows, for example, can come almost entirely from ground water (Gannett et al. 2003).

Hydraulic conductivity, a measure of permeability and ground-water flow rate, can range over 12 orders of magnitude (Domenico and Schwartz 1998). Consequently, the travel times of ground water from areas of recharge to areas of discharge in springs or rivers can range from less than a day to more than a million years (Alley et al. 2002). This means that vertical connectivity between ground water and channels typically influences river dynamics over long time scales relative to hyporheic flow.

(6) The vertical connection between the river and the atmosphere can be obvious when precipitation falls directly on the river or an aquatic insect emerges from the river for the winged, terrestrial, adult phase of its life. Other fluxes involved in this connection are likely to be much less visible. Water evaporates into the atmosphere, especially from the oceans, and moves long distances before falling onto landscapes that drain into rivers. En route, the water vapor acquires very fine particulates. These particulates include dust, which may have traveled from a different hemisphere (Prospero 1999), and nitrates from vehicles, industrial emissions, and agricultural sources. The nitrates are deposited with rain and snow – and as particles and gases – in rivers hundreds of kilometers away (Heuer et al. 2000). Fine particulates also include highly toxic mercury released by vehicles and coal-burning power plants (Grahame and Schlesinger 2007). Volatile organic compounds – solvents such as tetrachloroethylene, chlorinated compounds such as chloroform, and others – volatilize from polluted river water into the air. Although essentially invisible, these fluxes are widespread and important.

Conceptualizing a river as having six degrees of connection with the greater environment emphasizes how diverse aspects of connectivity influence river process and form. This conceptualization also emphasizes the diversity of temporal and spatial scales across which connectivity occurs. River corridor science exemplifies explicit attention to areas outside of the active channel. In hydrology, for example, the *river corridor* – the active channel(s), floodplain, riparian zone, and hyporheic zone – is an increasingly common unit of study, gradually replacing a limited focus on the wetted channel (Harvey and Gooseff 2015). In a river corridor perspective, three-dimensional exchanges and the resulting biogeochemical processing and creation and maintenance of habitat are integral to supporting healthy levels of biomass, biodiversity, water quality, and other ecosystem services associated with rivers.

# **1.3 Rivers as Integrators**

Thanks to the extensive and sometimes subtle fluxes between a river and the greater environment, the river's forms and processes integrate the physical, chemical, and biotic processes – contemporary

#### 12 1 Introduction

and historical – within the environment. This may seem obvious when considering Figure 1.3, but it represents the most profound summation possible regarding rivers, because of the implications.

*If a river integrates diverse and seemingly unrelated processes within the greater environment*, for example, then attempting to manage the river or some segment of the river in isolation from those processes is absurd.

*If a river integrates* … then human activities far from the physical boundaries of the channel may strongly influence the river, as when increasing atmospheric dust transport from the deserts of the southwestern United States alters snowpack melting and the resulting spring snowmelt hydrograph and water chemistry in rivers of the Rocky Mountains (Clow et al. 2002). Another example comes from the Mississippi River, where concentrations of nitrate have increased by two to five times since the early 1900s as farmers have applied increasing quantities of nitrogen fertilizers to upland crop fields across the Mississippi's huge drainage basin. The resulting flux of nitrate down the river to the Gulf of Mexico tripled during the last 30 years of the twentieth century, resulting in massive algal blooms that cover a swath of the Gulf as big as New Jersey ( $\sim$ 20000 km<sup>2</sup>) each year, and in some years move out of the Gulf and up the eastern coast of the United States (Goolsby et al. 1999).

*If a river integrates*…then historical resource uses of which most people are now unaware may continue to strongly influence contemporary river process and form (Macklin and Lewin 2008). Meandering gravel-bedded streams in the eastern United States are typically bordered by fine-grained deposits that were formerly interpreted as self-formed floodplains. Prior to European settlement, however, these river networks consisted of small anabranching channels within extensive vegetated wetlands. These pre-colonial valley bottoms were buried by up to 5 m of slackwater sedimentation behind tens of thousands of seventeenth- to nineteenth-century milldams (Walter and Merritts 2008). The ubiquitous fine sediments are thus fill terraces that reflect ongoing adjustment as the milldams breached and the channels incised. Another example of historical human influences comes from rivers in the Carpathian Mountains of Poland. Agriculture began in the region during the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, and the increased sediment yield resulted in overbank aggradation along meandering rivers draining the mountains (Klimek 1987). When the proportion of crop lands that remained bare for some portion of the year increased with more widespread cultivation of potatoes during the second half of the nineteenth century, the further increases in sediment yield caused some of the meandering rivers to assume a braided planform that persists today.

*If a river integrates* … then altering river process and form at one point in the river network may affect other portions of the network in unforeseen ways. The two Djerdap dams on the Danube River where it flows through Romania were built in 1970 and 1984. These massive dams, along with dozens of smaller upstream dams, have reduced sediment yields to the river's delta by 70% and silica export to the Black Sea by two-thirds relative to fluxes of these materials prior to the last third of the twentieth century. The reduced fluxes have caused erosion of the delta and a shift in the Black Sea's phytoplankton communities from siliceous diatoms to nonsiliceous coccolithophores and flagellates.These changes have stimulated algal blooms and destabilized the Black Sea ecosystem (Humborg et al. 1997). Globally, humans have increased sediment supplied to and transported by rivers as a result of soil erosion, yet reduced sediment yield to the world's oceans by 1.4 billion metric tons per year because of retention behind dams (Syvitski et al. 2005). The result of this reduced coastal sediment yield has been widespread delta and near-shore erosion (Crossland et al. 2005; Yang et al. 2011).

In summary, a river integrates fluxes across a much larger and more diverse environment than the channel itself. Consequently, understanding and effectively managing river process and form is much <span id="page-26-0"></span>more challenging than is likely to be recognized if a river segment is manipulated as though it were spatially and temporally isolated.

# **1.4 Organization of this Volume**

The title of this book, *Rivers in the Landscape*, reflects the inherent connections between a river and the landscape. Landscape is defined here as the physical, chemical, and biotic environment of the *critical zone* – Earth's outer layer, from the top of the vegetation canopy to the base of the soil and ground water, which supports life. The critical zone represents the intersection of atmosphere, water, soil, and ecosystems. Recent research increasingly reminds us of what perhaps should always have been obvious: rivers do not merely flow through a landscape in isolation, but rather interact with the landscape in complex and fascinating ways. Riverine vegetation, for example, does not just increase the hydraulic resistance of overbank flow – vegetation can alter the default river planform from braiding to meandering (Tal and Paola 2007). Rivers do not flow passively down steep topography created by tectonic uplift – removal of mass through riverine erosion can increase the upward flux of molten rock and tectonic uplift (Zeitler et al. 2001).

Recognition of the connections between rivers and landscapes implies that the topics traditionally covered in a fluvial geomorphology text – hydraulics, sediment transport, river geometry – should be treated in a manner that explicitly recognizes the influences exerted on river process and form by entities beyond the channel boundaries. Consequently, this book builds from traditional understanding of rivers toward the larger, more comprehensive viewpoint.

Chapter 2 covers the development of channels and channel networks, including how water, sediment, and solutes are produced; how they move from uplands into channels; how channel heads form; and how channel networks extend across the landscape. This chapter addresses the processes by which water moves across and through unchannelized hillslopes and concentrates sufficiently to create channels.

Chapter 3 covers channel processes, with a focus on energy (hydraulics) and quantities (hydrology). Knowledge of the basic mechanics of channelized flow is integral to understanding sediment erosion, transport and deposition, and adjustment of channel form.

Chapter 4 covers the movement of sediment in channels. The discussion begins with the sediment texture of channel beds and the processes that initiate motion of noncohesive and cohesive sediment. Once sediment is mobilized from the streambed and banks, it can be transported in solution, in suspension, or in contact with the bed, and can be organized into bedforms.

Chapter 5 discusses the movement and storage of large wood in river corridors. Starting with how wood is mobilized, transported, and deposited, the discussion explores how it influences river process and form, and the effects on rivers of human alterations of wood dynamics.

Chapter 6 addresses channel form, exploring how movement and storage of water, sediment, and large wood shape channel geometry through time and space. Interactions between process and form are implicit throughout Chapters 3–5, but Chapter 6 explicitly examines feedbacks between process and form at increasingly larger spatial scales, from cross-sectional geometry, through channel planform and longitudinal gradient, to downstream trends along a river and across a river basin.

Chapter 7 summarizes the process and form of fluvially created and maintained features outside of the active channel – floodplains, terraces, alluvial fans, deltas, and estuaries. These river landforms both reflect and influence channel process and form.

# 14 1 Introduction

Chapter 8 metaphorically steps back to use the knowledge of process and form developed in the preceding chapters as a means to understand rivers in a landscape context. This chapter starts with a discussion of how topography influences the spatial distribution of river networks and energy expenditure within rivers, how rivers influence rates of landscape denudation, and the indicators used to infer relations between rivers and landscape evolution. Spatial differentiation of geomorphic process and form within river basins is discussed, and connectivity is reexamined. Distinctive river characteristics associated with high and low latitudes and arid regions provide examples of the importance of landscape context.

One of the challenges in writing a reasonably concise fluvial geomorphology text is the tremendous volume of research conducted on rivers within the past century. Scientists from diverse backgrounds in geology, geography, civil engineering, and other disciplines study river process and form via:

- direct measurements and experimental manipulations of real rivers;
- indirect measurements using remote sensing imagery from space-based (e.g. aerial photographs, satellite imagery, airborne LiDAR) and ground (e.g. ground-penetrating radar) platforms;
- physical experiments in a laboratory;
- numerical models; and
- integrations of these approaches.

Another fundamental challenge is the diversity of rivers. Water flows downslope under the influence of gravity.The basic physics are the same in any environment, but the ability to generalize beyond the most basic level is typically obscured by the local, place-specific details and history of a particular river. As fluvial geomorphology continues to develop as a discipline, there remains an underlying tension within the community between investigators who emphasize quantification as a means of identifying physical principles and mechanisms acting across a range of specific landscapes (e.g. Dietrich et al. 2003) and investigators who emphasize the use of historical and sedimentary records as a means of identifying the role of contingency and site-specific characteristics in river process and form (e.g. Phillips and Van Dyke 2016).

Until perhaps the 1960s or '70s, the great majority of river research focused on medium-sized, low- to medium-gradient, sand-bed rivers. These were the most accessible rivers for scientists living primarily in the temperate latitudes, and the foundational research conducted on these rivers gave rise to widely used conceptual models and equations for hydraulics, sediment transport, and channel geometry. As investigators have subsequently spent more time quantitatively examining rivers with steeper gradients and more resistant boundaries (gravel-bed rivers, bedrock rivers, mountain rivers) and greater hydrologic variability (seasonal tropics, drylands), as well as rivers at higher (boreal, arctic) and lower (tropical) latitudes, the ability of the foundational models and equations to adequately describe process and form across the known spectrum of rivers has become weaker. Throughout this volume, I explicitly address some of the unique characteristics of rivers beyond temperate-zone sand-bed channels.

My intent in this text is to maintain conciseness while reflecting the diversity of natural rivers and the methods of studying rivers. The references cited are not an exhaustive list, but rather a starting point that combines some foundational studies and particularly integrative or insightful recent studies.

# <span id="page-28-0"></span>**1.5 Understanding Rivers**

Recent emphasis on connectivity in landscapes and river networks illustrates the importance of conceptual models and methods of inquiry in governing the questions that scientists ask. If we view rivers as complex systems with multiple interactions between different components, we are more likely to focus on the factors that control those interactions and on ways to quantify and predict them. If we view rivers as predominantly physical systems, we are more likely to neglect the interactions among hydraulics, sediment dynamics, and aquatic and riparian organisms. Even when not explicitly recognized, our conceptual models of rivers tend to constrain the questions that we consider interesting and important and the methods we use to examine them (Grant et al. 2013). Studies of sediment transport, for example, that employ an *Eulerian* framework focus on the flux of sediment within a spatially bounded area. This is a very useful approach for developing a sediment budget, but a *Lagrangian* framework in which specific objects are tracked through time can provide more insight into actual mechanisms of sediment movement (Doyle and Ensign 2009).

A conceptual model results from assumptions about how a river functions. The conceptual model can be qualitative or quantitative. A quantitative model can be more precise than a qualitative model, but is not necessarily more accurate. Drawing on the second chapter of Leopold et al.'s (1964) fluvial geomorphology text for inspiration, the remainder of this section uses a landscape with which I am very familiar to explore the different conceptual models and approaches that investigators employ to understand river segments, river networks, and the greater landscape.

#### **1.5.1 The Colorado Front Range**

Atop the Precambrian-age crystalline rocks that form the continental divide in Colorado, you can stand shivering in the cold wind even at the height of summer. Here, 4000 m above sea level, bedrock topography crests in a series of ridges and peaks that divide water flowing west to the Pacific Ocean and water flowing east to the Atlantic (Figure 1.4). In some places, the divide is a sharp-edged ridge of bedrock and periglacial boulders with talus chutes and waterfalls. In other places, small alpine streams meander across broad, gently undulating surfaces.

Sharp or broad, the heights drop precipitously down to glacial cirques and troughs. Rivers alternate between paternoster lakes and steep cascades as they flow through subalpine conifer forests. Beyond the terminal glacial moraine, each valley continues downward, alternating between steep, narrow gorges in which the river flows turbulent and aerated and relatively wide canyons with gentler gradients along which the river flows through pools and riffles. These longitudinal alternations in valley and channel geometry reflect spatial heterogeneity in joint density associated with shear zones and differential weathering of the crystalline rocks. Wide, low-gradient valley segments correspond to zones with relatively densely spaced joints, whereas more widely spaced joints correspond to gorges and waterfalls (Ehlen and Wohl 2002; Wohl 2008; Ortega et al. 2013).

Climate grows progressively warmer and drier at lower elevations, and subalpine forest gives way to more open montane forest with more frequent wildfires and associated debris flows (Veblen and Donnegan 2005). Warm, moist masses of air moving inland from the southeast during summer are forced upward as they near the Colorado Rockies, and the water vapor being transported with the air masses cools, condenses, and falls as rain. Most of this moisture is wrung from the clouds at the lower to middle elevations of the mountains, which can experience flash floods from convective storms, as



**Figure 1.4** Landscapes and river corridors in and adjacent to the Colorado Front Range. Upper left: View east from the summit surfaces at the continental divide. The coarse blocks in the foreground are periglacially weathered boulders and bedrock. The surface drops steeply into a glaciated valley that transitions downstream (out of sight) into a fluvial valley. Upper right: View northwest from a hogback, an asymmetrical hill of sandstone and limestone strata dipping steeply to the right in this view, with an intervening valley formed in shales. Lower right: The South Platte River near Fort Morgan, Colorado, in the low-relief environment of the Great Plain. This sand-bed channel was historically much wider and had a braided planform, but flow regulation has resulted in encroachment of riparian vegetation and transformation to a single relatively narrow channel. This river heads high in the mountains. Lower left: View of smaller drainages that head on the Great Plains, here at Pawnee National Grassland. These channels have downcut within the past few decades, largely via piping erosion. (See color plate section for color representation of this figure).

well as the late-spring snowmelt floods that flow down from the highest portions of the river network each year.

At the base of the mountains on the eastern side, the rivers gradually change from boulder- to cobble-bed channels as they flow through a series of steeply tilted sedimentary rocks forming asymmetrical hills. Beyond the hills lies the gently undulating topography and steppe vegetation of the semiarid Great Plains, where sand-bed channels shrink back to a trickle after the annual snowmelt peak flow.

The dramatic topography and strong elevational contrasts in climate and vegetation dominate initial impressions of the Colorado Front Range. This leads to questions about how river process and form change moving downstream, and what factors influence this change. At a basic level, we can address these questions using empirical or theoretical approaches. *Empirical* approaches are largely inductive. In logic, to induce is to conclude or infer general principles from particular examples. In an empirical approach, data are collected and analyzed in order to establish relationships between variables. A fundamental challenge to empirical understanding of rivers lies in generalizing from empirical results defined by using a restricted database. If I measure bedload transport along a cobble-bed