Signaling and Communication in Plants

P. Vidhyasekaran

Plant Innate Immunity Signals and Signaling Systems

Bioengineering and Molecular Manipulation for Crop Disease Management



Signaling and Communication in Plants

Series Editor

František Baluška, IZMB, Department of Plant Cell Biology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Nordrhein-Westfalen, Germany

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/8094

P. Vidhyasekaran

Plant Innate Immunity Signals and Signaling Systems

Bioengineering and Molecular Manipulation for Crop Disease Management



P. Vidhyasekaran Department of Plant Pathology Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

 ISSN 1867-9048
 ISSN 1867-9056 (electronic)

 Signaling and Communication in Plants
 ISBN 978-94-024-1939-9
 ISBN 978-94-024-1940-5 (eBook)

 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-1940-5
 ISBN 978-94-024-1940-5
 ISBN 978-94-024-1940-5

© Springer Nature B.V. 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature B.V. The registered company address is: Van Godewijckstraat 30, 3311 GX Dordrecht, The Netherlands

Contents

1	Intro	duction	1		
	1.1	Signals and Signaling Systems Involved in Activation			
		of Plant Innate Immune System	4		
	1.2	Bioengineering Technologies to Activate Plant Immunity			
		Signaling Systems for Management of Crop Diseases	5		
	1.3	Molecular Manipulation of Plant Immunity Signaling			
		Systems Using Abiotic or Biotic Elicitors for Management			
		of Crop Diseases	8		
	Refe	rences	13		
2	Manipulation of Calcium Ion Influx—Mediated Immune				
	Signa	aling Systems for Crop Disease Management	23		
	2.1	Ca ²⁺ Signaling Components	24		
	2.2	Bioengineering G-Proteins for Plant Disease Management	26		
	2.3	Engineering Glutamate-Gated Ca ²⁺ Channel for Plant			
		Disease Management	28		
	2.4	Engineering H ⁺ -ATPase for Plant Disease Management	29		
	2.5	Molecular Manipulation of H ⁺ -ATPase Proton Pump			
		by Laminarin for Crop Disease Management	30		
	2.6	Manipulation of H ⁺ -ATPase Using Chitosan Commercial			
		Formulations	33		
	2.7	Engineering Annexins for Crop Disease Management	33		
	2.8	Bioengineering Calmodulin Genes to Promote Immune			
		Responses for Plant Disease Management	36		
	2.9	Engineering CBP60g Calmodulin-Binding Proteins			
		for Disease Management	38		

	2.10		ering Calcium-Dependent Protein Kinase Genes				
			p Disease Management	38			
	2.11		lation of Ca ²⁺ -Dependent Signaling Pathway				
			min \mathbf{B}_1	40			
	Refer	ences		41			
3	Manipulation of Reactive Oxygen Species, Redox and Nitric Oxide Signaling Systems to Activate Plant Innate Immunity for Crop						
	Disea		agement	51			
	3.1		exity of ROS-Redox-NO Signaling System	53			
	3.2		lation of ROS Signaling System Using Benzothiadiazole				
		(BTH)	for Crop Disease Management	57			
		3.2.1	BTH Triggers Oxidative Burst and Accumulation				
			of ROS Through Phospholipid Signaling	57			
		3.2.2	BTH Triggers Accumulation of ROS Through				
			Action of Peroxidases and Superoxide Dismutases	59			
		3.2.3	BTH May Trigger Accumulation of ROS Through				
			Suppression of ROS-Degrading Enzymes	59			
		3.2.4	Fine-Tuning of Accumulation of ROS by BTH	60			
		3.2.5	BTH Activates NPR1 by Inducing ROS-Mediated				
			Redox Signaling.	61			
		3.2.6	BTH Primes the Plants for Faster and Stronger				
			Production of ROS	62			
		3.2.7	Manipulation of Peroxidases by BTH for Crop Disease				
			Management	63			
		3.2.8	BTH Induces Several Host Plant Defense Responses				
		220	Downstream of ROS Signaling	66			
		3.2.9	Management of Fungal Diseases in Crop Plants	70			
		2 2 10	by Triggering Immune Responses Using BTH	70			
		3.2.10	Management of Oomycete Diseases of Crop Plants	72			
		3.2.11	by Triggering Plant Immune Responses Using BTH Management of Bacterial Diseases in Crop Plants	12			
		5.2.11	by Triggering Plant Immune Responses Using BTH	72			
		3.2.12	Management of Virus Diseases in Crop Plants by	12			
		3.2.12	Triggering Plant Immune Responses Using BTH	73			
		3.2.13	Management of Phytoplasma Diseases of Crop Plants	15			
		5.2.15	by Triggering Plant Immune Responses Using BTH	75			
		3.2.14	Management of Parasitic Plants by Manipulation	15			
		5.2.14	of ROS Signaling System Using BTH	76			
	3.3	Manipu	lation of ROS and Redox Signaling Systems Using	10			
	0.0		vin to Promote Plant Immunity Potential for Crop				
			Management	79			
	3.4		lar Manipulation of ROS-Mediated Redox Signaling	. ,			
			Using Menadione Sodium Bisulphite for Crop Disease				
			ement.	81			
		0					

Contents

3.5		ement of Crop Diseases Using Thiamine Through			
		Ilation of ROS Signaling System	82		
3.6	Manipulation of ROS and Redox Signaling Systems Using				
		ides to Activate Plant Immune Signaling System			
	for Cro	p Disease Management.	83		
	3.6.1	Management of Crop Diseases Using Lactofen			
		Through Singlet Oxygen-Mediated ROS Signaling			
		System	83		
	3.6.2	Management of Crop Diseases Using Trifluralin			
		Through Manipulation of ROS-Mediated Redox			
		Signaling System	83		
	3.6.3	Management of Crop Diseases Using Glufosinate			
		Ammonium Through Manipulation of ROS-Signaling			
		System	84		
3.7	Manage	ement of Crop Diseases Using Giant Knotweed			
		Through Activation of ROS Signaling System	85		
		ılation of ROS Signaling System Using β-Aminobutyric			
		or Crop Disease Management	87		
	3.8.1	BABA Triggers ROS Production and Activates			
		Plant Immune Responses	87		
	3.8.2	BABA Primes NADPH Oxidase-Dependent ROS			
		Production and Induces Disease Resistance	87		
	3.8.3	ROS Homeostasis May Regulate Primed Immune	0.		
	0.0.0	Responses	88		
3.9	Manin	Ilation of ROS Signaling System Using Phosphorous	00		
		unds for Crop Disease Management	90		
	3.9.1	Potassium Phosphonate Triggers ROS Signaling	10		
	0.0012	System-Mediated Plant Defense Responses	90		
	3.9.2	K_2 HPO ₄ Triggers ROS Signaling in Plant Immune	10		
	5.7.2	System	93		
3.10	Reactiv	ve Oxygen Species Generators as Plant Innate	10		
0.110		ity System Activators for Crop Disease Management	93		
3.11	Manipulation of ROS and Redox Signaling System Using				
5.11	Microbes to Trigger Immune Responses for Crop Disease				
	Management				
	3.11.1	Pseudomonas aeruginosa Induces ISR by Triggering			
	5.11.1	ROS Signaling System	94		
	3.11.2	Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS374r Induces			
	5.11.2	ROS-Mediated Disease Resistance	95		
	3.11.3	Serratia plymuthica Primes Plants for Enhanced	22		
	5.11.5	Attacker-Induced Accumulation of ROS and Triggers			
		ISR	96		
		101χ	20		

	3.11.4 Bacillus mycoides Elicits Systemic Induced Resistance			
	by Triggering ROS Production	97		
	3.11.5 Bacillus pumilus Triggers ROS-Mediated Induced			
	Systemic Resistance	97		
3.12	Manipulation of ROS Signaling by Silicon to Activate			
Plant Innate Immune Responses				
3.13	Bioengineering Cysteine-Rich Receptor-Like Kinase (CRK)			
	Genes to Activate ROS-Modulated Plant Immune Responses			
	for Disease Management	00		
3.14	Bioengineering Lectin Receptor Kinase (LecRK) Genes			
	to Activate ROS-Modulated Plant Immune Responses			
	for Disease Management	02		
3.15	Engineering Peroxidase Gene to Activate ROS-Mediated			
		04		
3.16	Bioengineering Superoxide Dismutase to Activate			
	ROS-Mediated Immune Signaling for Disease Management 1	05		
3.17 Engineering Glucose Oxidase Gene to Trigger ROS				
	Production for Management of Crop Diseases 1	07		
3.18	Manipulation of NO Signaling System to Activate Plant			
	Immune Responses for Disease Management 1	09		
	3.18.1 Manipulation of S-Nitroso Glutathione Reductase			
	(GSNOR) for Plant Disease Management 1	09		
	3.18.2 Engineering Mammalian Nitric Oxide Synthase			
	Gene for Crop Disease Management 1	11		
	3.18.3 Manipulation of NO Signaling by Sodium			
	Nitroprusside for Crop Disease Management 1	12		
Refe	ences	12		
Bioe	gineering and Molecular Manipulation of Mitogen-Activated			
	es to Activate Plant Innate Immunity for Crop Disease			
	• •	37		
4.1	0	<u>39</u>		
4.2	Engineering Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK)			
	Genes to Enhance Plant Immune Responses by Triggering			
	1	40		
4.3	Engineering Mitogen-Activated Kinase Kinase (MAPKK)			
	Genes to Activate ROS Signaling System for Management			
		43		
4.4	Engineering MAPK/MAPKK Genes to Activate Salicylate			
		45		
	Signating System for Humdement of Discuses	.5		

4

	4.5	eering MAPK Genes for Management of Pathogens					
		by Act	tivating JA Signaling System	146			
		4.5.1	MPK4 Genes	146			
		4.5.2	MK1 Gene from Capsicum Annuum	149			
	4.6	Engine	eering MAPK Genes to Activate Salicylate-Jasmonate-				
		Ethyle	ne Signaling Network for Crop Disease Management	149			
		4.6.1	MAPKs Activate Plant Hormone Signaling Network	149			
		4.6.2	Cotton GhMPK16 Gene	151			
		4.6.3	Cotton GhMPK2 Gene	151			
	4.7	Molect	ular Manipulation of MAPK Genes Which Negatively				
		Regula	te SA Signaling System for Crop Disease				
		Manag	ement	153			
		4.7.1	Manipulation of Arabidopsis MPK4 Gene	153			
		4.7.2	Manipulation of GmMPK4	154			
		4.7.3	Manipulation of OsMPK6 Gene	155			
	4.8	Molect	ular Manipulation of SIPK-WIPK Genes Expression				
		for Cro	pp Disease Management	155			
	4.9		ular Manipulation of EDR1, a MAPKK Kinase				
			nt Disease Management	157			
	4.10	Manip	ulation of TIPK Gene Using Trichoderma				
		for Cro	p Disease Management	159			
	Refer	ences .		160			
5	Bioengineering and Molecular Manipulation of Salicylic Acid						
5	Signaling System to Activate Plant Immune Responses						
			ease Management	169			
	5.1		ic Acid Signaling System Activates Local Resistance,	107			
	5.1		nic Acquired Resistance, and Transgenerational Systemic				
			e Resistance	171			
	5.2		gineering Genes to Trigger SA Biosynthesis	1/1			
	5.2		ccumulation for Crop Disease Management.	172			
		5.2.1	Bioengineering Genes Encoding Enzymes Involved	1,2			
		0.2.1	in SA Biosynthesis.	172			
		5.2.2	Engineering SA Signaling Regulator Protein Genes	1,2			
		0.2.2	Involved in SA Production for Crop Disease				
			Management	174			
		5.2.3	Engineering RNA-Binding Protein Gene to Activate				
			SA Biosynthesis Pathway	175			
		5.2.4	Engineering Calmodulin-Binding Protein Gene to				
			Trigger SA Biosynthesis for Disease Management	176			
		5.2.5	Engineering WRKY Transcription Factor Genes to				
			Activate SA Biosynthesis Genes for Crop Disease				
			Management	178			

		5.2.6 Engineering Gene Encoding WIPK-Activated			
		Transcription Factor to Increase Accumulation			
		of SA for Crop Disease Management	179		
		5.2.7 Engineering Ubiquitin-Proteasome Pathway			
		Genes to Trigger SA Accumulation for Crop			
		Disease Management	179		
	5.3		183		
		5.3.1 NPR1 Is a Key Component in SA-Triggered SAR	183		
		5.3.2 Engineering Arabidopsis NPR1 Gene in Crop Plants			
		for Disease Management.	184		
		5.3.3 Engineering NPR1-like Genes for Crop Disease			
		Management	187		
	5.4	Manipulation of NPR1 Gene Expression by Synthetic			
		Chemicals to Trigger Systemic Acquired Resistance (SAR)	190		
		5.4.1 Benzothiadiazole (BTH) Induces SA-Dependent			
		SAR	190		
		5.4.2 N-Cyanomethyl-2-Chloroisonicotinamide (NCI)			
		Activates NPR1-Dependent Defense Responses	197		
		5.4.3 Tiadinil (TDL) Activates NPR1 Gene to Induce			
		SAR	197		
		5.4.4 SV-03 Activates NPR1 Gene to Induce SAR	198		
	5.5	Molecular Manipulation of SA Signaling System by			
		Probenazole for Crop Disease Management	198		
	5.6		201		
	5.7	Manipulation of SA Signaling System Using Plant-Derived			
			203		
		5.7.1 Azelaic Acid	203		
			203		
		5.7.3 Burdock Plant Oligosaccharide Product	204		
	5.8	N-Acyl-L-Homoserine Lactones (AHLs)–Producing Bacteria			
		Induce SA-Dependent Systemic Resistance	204		
	5.9	Activation of SA-Dependent Signaling System by			
		Rhizobacteria for Management of Crop Diseases	205		
	5.10	Manipulation of SA Signaling System Using Yeast Elicitor			
		for Disease Management	205		
	Refer	rences	206		
6	Riger	ngineering and Molecular Manipulation of Jasmonate			
U		aling System to Activate Plant Immune System for Crop			
		ase Management.	223		
	6.1	Jasmonate Signaling System Triggers Local and Induced			
	0.1		224		
	6.2	Bioengineering Genes Encoding Enzymes in JA Biosynthesis	227		
	0.2		225		
		1 uuiwuy	440		

Contents

		6.2.1 Enzymes Involved in JA Biosynthesis Pathway	225
		6.2.2 Engineering Lipoxygenase Genes to Develop Disease-	
		Resistant Plants	226
		6.2.3 Engineering Allene Oxide Synthase Gene to Trigger	
		JA Production for Crop Disease Management	228
	6.3	Manipulation of Genes Encoding Enzymes Involved in JA	
		Biosynthesis Using Alkamide	229
	6.4	Molecular Manipulation of Lipoxygenase Enzyme Involved	
		in JA Biosynthesis by Chitosan for Crop Disease	
		Management	231
	6.5	Bioengineering for Production of Arachidonic Acid in Plants	
		to Activate JA Biosynthesis Pathway Genes for Disease	
		Management	232
	6.6	Manipulaion of JA-Dependent Signaling System Using	
		Hexanoic Acid for Plant Disease Management	234
	6.7	Manipulation of Jasmonic Acid Signaling Pathway Using	
		Ulvan for Crop Disease Management	235
	6.8	Engineering Transcription Factor Genes to Manipulate JA	
		Signaling System for Crop Disease Management	236
	6.9	Manipulation of JA Signaling System Using Microbes	
		for Crop Disease Management	237
		6.9.1 Trichoderma asperellum	237
		6.9.2 Trichoderma virens	238
		6.9.3 Trichoderma harzianum	238
		6.9.4 Pseudomonas putida BTP1	238
	Refe	rences	240
7	Bioer	ngineering and Molecular Manipulation of Ethylene Signaling	
		em for Crop Disease Management	249
	7.1	Ethylene Signaling System Triggers Local and Induced	
		Systemic Resistance	250
	7.2	Molecular Manipulation of Ethylene Biosynthesis Pathway	
		for Crop Disease Management.	251
	7.3	Engineering ERF Genes to Manipulate Ethylene Signaling	
		System for Crop Disease Management	251
	7.4	Bioengineering <i>EIN2</i> Gene to Activate Ethylene Signaling	
		System for Crop Disease Management	257
	7.5	Molecular Manipulation of Ethylene-Dependent Signaling	
		System Using Microbes for Crop Disease Management	258
		7.5.1 Rhizobacteria	258
		7.5.2 Trichoderma	260
		7.5.3 Pythium oligandrum	261
	Refer	rences	262

Chapter 1 Introduction



Abstract Several signals and signaling systems are involved in activation of plant immune system. Early and robust activation of plant immunity signaling systems triggers strong defense responses against pathogens. Enhancing disease resistance through altered regulation of these signaling systems has been shown to be an attractive technology for management of crop diseases. This book describes various bioengineering and molecular manipulation techniques to activate calcium ion influx-mediated immune signaling system, reactive oxygen species signaling system, nitric oxide signaling system, MAPK signal transduction system, salicylate (SA) signaling system, jasmonate (JA) signaling system, and ethylene signaling system. Ca²⁺ signaling system involves voltage-dependent Ca²⁺-permeable ion channels, cyclic nucleotide-gated channels, glutamate receptor-like ion channels, calcium transporters, calcium ion pumps, carriers, and Ca²⁺ efflux channels, Bioengineering gene encoding glutamate receptor-like ion channel protein has been found to be a useful technology to develop disease resistant plants. The transgenic plants expressing the H⁺-ATPase proton pump show enhanced resistance against viral, bacterial, and oomycete pathogens. Annexin is a Ca²⁺-permeable transporter. The transgenic tobacco plants expressing the annexin gene show enhanced disease resistance. Calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) are Ca²⁺ sensor proteins in transducing differential Ca²⁺ signatures activating complex downstream responses. Transgenic plants overexpressing calcium-dependent protein kinase gene show enhanced disease resistance. Several G-protein genes have been cloned and used for engineering to develop transgenic plants expressing enhanced resistance against bacterial, fungal, and viral diseases. Cysteine-rich receptor-like kinases (CRKs) are connected to redox and ROS signaling. Transgenic plants overexpressing CRK genes show enhanced disease resistance by triggering enhanced ROS production. L-type lectin receptor kinases (LecRKs) have been exploited to develop transgenic diseaseresistant plants. These transgenic plants show enhanced production of ROS and trigger defense responses against pathogens. Peroxidases in the cell wall can generate apoplastic H₂O₂ and transgenic plants overexpressing peroxidase gene show

enhanced disease resistance. Super oxide dismutase gene has been engineered to activate ROS-mediated immune signaling for disease management. Fungal glucose oxidase gene has been engineered to develop disease-resistant plants. Expression of the fungal glucose oxidase gene leads to elevated production of H₂O₂ in the transgenic plants resulting in increased disease resistance. Nitric oxide (NO) signaling system can also be manipulated for disease management. GSNOR (S- nitroso glutathione reductase) has been exploited using antisense strategy to develop transgenic plants expressing resistance against oomycete and bacterial pathogens. Mitogenactivated protein kinases (MAPKs) are important components in the plant immune signal transduction system and they transduce extracellular stimuli into intracellular transcription factors. Technologies have been developed to utilize appropriate MAPK genes for developing disease-resistant plants. Plants do not have much endogenous SA and by increasing the SA content, defense genes can be activated. The endogenous SA level can be increased by engineering ICS, IPL, PAD4, AtRBP-DR1, OsWRKY13, OsWRKY89, and SGT1 genes and the transgenic plants overexpressing these genes show enhanced accumulation of SA and disease resistance. NPR1 gene is a master regulator of the SA-mediated induction of systemic acquired resistance (SAR). NPR1 gene has been exploited to develop disease-resistant transgenic plants. Genes encoding phospholipases, lipoxygenases (LOXs), allene oxide synthase (AOS), allene oxide cyclase (AOC), and OPDA reductase (OPR) have been cloned and engineered to enhance jasmonate (JA) biosynthesis and JA accumulation activates plant immune system. Arachidonic acid isolated from microbes is an elicitor of plant defense responses. Bioengineering technology has been developed to make the plants themselves to produce arachidonic acid. The arachidonic acid-containing transgenic plants show increased levels of jasmonic acid. Developing transgenic plants constitutively producing arachidonic acid may be a potential approach to activate JA pathway for management of plant diseases. Some transcription factor genes have been engineered to manipulate JA signaling system for crop disease management. Under natural conditions endogenous ethylene content is very low in plants and its level is not sufficient to induce defense gene expression. Increase in ethylene biosynthesis induces enhanced defense responses. Transgenic rice lines overexpressing ACC synthase gene, OsACS2, have been generated and these transgenic plants show increased levels of endogenous ethylene and disease resistance. Several biotic and abiotic elicitors have been successfully utilized to activate the plant immune system for management of crop diseases. Laminarin manipulates the proton pump and triggers defense responses. Chitosan treatment inactivates H⁺-ATPase resulting in membrane depolarization, which is involved in increasing Ca²⁺ influx. Chitosan has been found to be highly effective in inducing resistance against oomycete, fungal, viral, and bacterial diseases. Thiamine treatment triggers Ca²⁺ influx and induces Ca2+-induced protein kinase C (PKC) activity. It effectively controls bacterial, fungal, and viral diseases of crop plants by activating Ca²⁺ signaling system. BTH (benzo[1,2,3]thiadiazole-7-carbothioc acid S-methyl ester) is the most successfully developed commercial compound to manipulate ROS signaling system for management of viral, bacterial, and phytoplasma diseases and parasitic plants. Riboflavin is another compound which can be used to manipulate ROS and redox signaling system.

Menadione sodium sulphite (MSB) induces systemic resistance by activating redox signaling systems. The herbicide lactofen targets protoporphyrinogen oxidase, which in turn causes singlet oxygen generation. Singlet oxygen is involved in triggering ROS-mediated signaling system. Lactofen application provides significant control of fungal and oomycete diseases. Trifluralin, a dinitroaniline herbicide, induces disease resistance against several pathogens by manipulating redox signaling system. Glufosinate ammonium is a nonselective herbicide. It activates ROS-dependent SA signaling system and induces resistance against pathogens. Milsana activates ROSmediated signaling system and is highly effective in controlling powdery mildew diseases in crop plants. β-Aminobutyric Acid (BABA) has been shown to induce disease resistance against various pathogens by triggering ROS production. Potassium dihydrogen phosphate induces systemic resistance by inducing a rapid generation of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide. Silicon is another potential tool to enhance defense responses by activating ROS signaling system. Manipulation of nitric oxide (NO) signaling by sodium nitroprusside (SNP) may be another potential approach to trigger plant immune system for effective crop management. Treatment of plants with BTH triggers SA signaling and causes the induction of a unique physiological state called "priming". BTH activates SA-dependent SAR in many crops and has been found to be useful in management of several crop diseases caused by oomycetes, fungi, bacteria, and viruses. N-cyanomethyl-2-chloroisonicotinamide (NCI) is another potential chemical that activates NPR1-dependent SA signaling system. CMPA (3-chloro-1-methyl-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylic acid) is another compound, which activates SA signaling pathway. Tiadinil (3,4-dichloro-N-(2cyanophenyl)-1.2-thiazole-5-carboxamide) is another potential chemical, which triggers SA signaling pathway by activating NPR1 gene expression. Probenazole and its metabolite BIT intervene in SA signaling system at SA accumulation stage as well as at NPR1 stage to trigger resistance against pathogens. BABA induces priming in the SAR induction pathway. The descendants of primed plants exhibit next-generation systemic acquired resistance. Azelaic acid stimulates the production of AZ11, a protein which helps prime the plant to build up its immunity by generating additional SA. An oligosaccharide product obtained from burdock (Arctium lappa) plant triggers production of methyl salicylate involved in SA signaling system and confers disease resistance. Yeast elicitor treatment activates SA signaling system and induces resistance against oomycete, fungal, and bacterial pathogens in many crop plants. Priming for JA-dependent defenses using hexanoic acid appears to be an effective tool for management of crop diseases. Ulvan is a potential activator of JA signaling pathway. Alkamides are fatty acid amides, which are commonly present in plants. N-isobutyl decanamide, the most highly active alkamide, has been shown to be a potential tool to manipulate enzymes involved in JA biosynthesis pathway. SA, JA, and ethylene signaling systems can be activated by using different rhizobacteria

Keywords Ca^{2+} ion channels $\cdot H^+$ - ATPase proton pump \cdot Annexin \cdot G-protein genes $\cdot ROS \cdot NO \cdot MAPK \cdot NPR1 \cdot gene \cdot Chitosan \cdot Laminarin \cdot Thiamine <math>\cdot BABA \cdot BTH \cdot Probenazole \cdot SAR \cdot ISR$

and the rhizobacteria trigger "induced systemic resistance".

1.1 Signals and Signaling Systems Involved in Activation of Plant Innate Immune System

Plants are endowed with innate immune system, which has a high potential to detect and fight against viral, bacterial, oomycete, and fungal pathogens and protect the crop plants against wide range of diseases (Vidhyasekaran 2002, 2004, 2007a, b, 2014, 2015, 2016). The plant innate immune system is a sleeping system in unstressed healthy plants (Vidhyasekaran 2014). Specific signals are needed to activate the "sleeping" immune system. My earlier books (Vidhyasekaran 2014, 2015, 2016) described in detail the various signals and signaling systems involved in activating the sleeping giant. The first book (Vidhyasekaran 2014) describes the function of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs)/Microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) as primary signals involved in activation of the sleeping plant immune system. PAMPs directly bind to plant pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and the PAMP-PRR complex activates the plant immune system. This book (Vidhyasekaran 2014) describes the PAMP-PRR signaling complex and signal transduction system. Several second messengers are involved in delivering the information generated by the PAMP-PRR signaling complex to the proteins which decode/interpret signals to initiate defense gene expression. Calcium ion is an important second messenger. Calcium signatures are recognized by calcium sensors to transduce calcium-mediated signals into downstream events. G-proteins act as molecular switches in signal transduction system. MAPK cascades transduce extracellular stimuli into intracellular responses. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and nitric oxide (NO) also act as second messengers in transmitting the PAMP signal (Vidhyasekaran 2014).

My second book (Vidhyasekaran 2015) describes plant hormone signaling systems including salicylate (SA), jasmonate (JA), ethylene (ET), abscisic acid (ABA), auxins, gibberellins, and brassinosteroids signaling systems involved in activation of the sleeping immune systems. Two forms of induced resistance, systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and induced systemic resistance (ISR) are recognized. SA signaling system is involved in SAR, while JA/ET signaling system is involved in ISR. This book (Vidhyasekaran 2015) also describes the plant hormones-modulated priming, histone memory for information storage gene priming, chromatin remodeling in priming, DNA methylation in trans-generational SAR, mobile signal complex, signal receptor complex, JAZ proteins, cross-talk between hormones, and phosphorelay signaling systems. My third book (Vidhyasekaran 2016) describes various bioengineering and molecular manipulation techniques to switch on PAMP-PRR signaling complex. Early and robust activation of PAMP-PRR signaling complex triggers strong defense responses. Bioengineering PRRs is a potential technology to awaken the quiescent plant innate immunity for effective management of crop diseases. The present book describes various bioengineering and molecular manipulation techniques to activale calcium ion influx-mediated immune signaling system, reactive oxygen species, redox and nitric oxide signaling systems, MAPK signal transduction system, SA, JA, and ET signaling systems for crop disease management.

1.2 Bioengineering Technologies to Activate Plant Immunity Signaling Systems for Management of Crop Diseases

Plant innate immunity can be activated by different biotic or abiotic elicitor signals (Vidhyasekaran 2014). The plant immune system uses several second messengers to encode information generated by biotic or abiotic elicitors and deliver the information to proteins which decode/interpret signals and initiate defense gene expression (Hwang and Hwang 2011: Vidhvasekaran 2014). Calcium ion is an important intracellular second messenger. It acts as a signal carrier and the calcium signaling is modulated by specific "calcium signatures". These calcium signatures result from the concerted action of channels, pumps, and carriers that shape temporally and spatially defined Ca²⁺ elevations (Vidhyasekaran 2014). Cellular Ca²⁺ signals are decoded and transmitted by a tool kit of Ca²⁺ binding proteins that relay this information into downstream responses (Vidhyasekaran 2014). Several genes involved in the calcium ion influx-mediated immune signaling system have been exploited for management of crop diseases. Genes encoding calmodulin-binding protein (Wan et al. 2012), G-proteins (Li et al. 2005; Thao et al. 2007), glutamate receptor-like ion channel protein (Kang et al. 2006), H⁺-ATPase proton pump (Abad et al. 1997; Pontier et al. 2002), calcium dependent protein kinase (CDPK) (Geng et al. 2013), and annexin (Jami et al. 2008) have been bioengineered to develop disease-resistant plants.

ROS signaling network plays a central role in launching the defense response (Vidhvasekaran 2014). NPR1, the transcriptional regulatory cofactor, is activated by redox signaling in plants and NPR1 is involved in triggering defense responses. NPR1 gene has been used to develop transgenic plants using bioengineering technologies (Chern et al. 2001; Friedrich et al. 2001; Makandar et al. 2006). Cysteinerich receptor-like kinases (CRKs) are connected to redox and ROS signaling (Vidhyasekaran 2014). Transgenic plants overexpressing CRK genes show enhanced disease resistance by triggering enhanced ROS production (Bourdais et al. 2015; Yeh et al. 2015). L-type lectin receptor kinases (LecRKs) have been exploited to develop transgenic disease-resistant plants. These transgenic plants show enhanced production of ROS and trigger defense responses against pathogens (Huang et al. 2014). Peroxidases in the cell wall can generate apoplastic H₂O₂ at neutral to basic pH in the presence of reductants in plant cells. It is possible to generate transgenic plants overexpressing peroxidase gene to overproduce peroxidase resulting in enhanced ROS accumulation. These transgenic plants show enhanced disease resistance (Choi et al. 2007). Super oxide dismutase gene has been engineered to activate ROS-mediated immune signaling for disease management (Guevara-Olvera et al. 2012; Rietz et al. 2012). Fungal glucose oxidase gene has been engineered to develop disease-resistant plants. Expression of the fungal glucose oxidase gene leads to elevated production of H₂O₂ in the transgenic plants resulting in increased disease resistance (Felcher et al. 2003; Maruthasalam et al. 2010). Nitric oxide (NO) signaling system can also be manipulated for disease management. GSNOR (S-nitroso glutathione reductase)

has been exploited using antisense strategy to develop transgenic plants expressing resistance against oomycete and bacterial pathogens (Rustérucci et al. 2007). Nitric oxide synthase (NOS) has been used to develop transgenic plants. The mammalian NOS isolated from rat brain has been shown to be a potential tool to develop transgenic plants expressing resistance against a wide range of pathogens (Altamiranda et al. 2008; Chun et al. 2012).

Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) are important components in the plant immune signal transduction system and they transduce extracellular stimuli into intracellular transcription factors (Vidhyasekaran 2014). Technologies have been developed to utilize appropriate *MAPK* genes for developing disease-resistant plants. Bioengineering specific *MAPK* genes has been shown to induce disease resistance by triggering phosphorylation of transcription factors (Cheong et al. 2003). Some *MAPK* genes have been shown to regulate SA-mediated systemic acquired resistance (SAR) (Vidhyasekaran 2015). The cotton MAPK gene *GhMPK7* and the maize MAPK gene *ZmSIMK1* activate SA signaling system and transgenic plants overexpressing these genes show enhanced disease resistance (Shi et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2014).

Some MAPK genes (*BnMPK4* and *MK1*) trigger the JA-mediated signaling system and these genes have been exploited to develop transgenic plants expressing enhanced resistance against necrotrophic pathogens (Wang et al. 2009). The cotton MAPK genes *GhMPK16* and *GHMPK2* activate SA, JA and ET signaling complex and these genes have been engineered to develop disease-resistant transgenic plants (Zhang et al. 2011). Some mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (*MAPKK*) genes have also been exploited to activate immune responses for crop disease management (Shen et al. 2010). Some MAPK genes negatively regulate the defense responses and these genes also have been exploited to develop disease-resistant plants by knocking-out these *MAPK* genes (Liu et al. 2011). MAPK gene can also be manipulated to trigger the immune responses by using the biocontrol agent *Trichoderma asperellum*. A MAPK, designated as *Trichoderma*-induced MAPK (TIPK), has been identified and characterized in the *Trichoderma*- induced disease-resistant cucumber plants. The *TIPK* gene has been cloned and cucumber plants overexpressing the *TIPK* gene show resistance against pathogen (Liu et al. 2011).

Salicylic acid (SA) signaling system is the most important signaling system activating plant innate immunity (Vidhyasekaran 2014, 2015, 2016). Plants do not have much endogenous SA. It has been suggested that by increasing the SA content, defense genes can be activated and diseases can be controlled (Vidhyasekaran 2015). Increased synthesis and accumulation of salicylic acid in plants result in increased expression of defense genes conferring resistance against pathogens. SA may be synthesized through the isochorismate pathway (Vidhyasekaran 2015). Isochorismate synthase (ICS) and isochorismate pyruvate lyase (IPL) are the key enzymes involved in biosynthesis of SA. SA is synthesized from chorismate, the end product of the shikimate pathway. Chorismate is converted by ICS to isochorismate, which is subsequently cleaved by IPL to yield SA (Vidhyasekaran 2015). The genes encoding ICS and IPL cloned from two different bacteria have been exploited to develop transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing both *ICS* and *IPL* genes. These transgenic plants show

enhanced accumulation of SA. The transgenic tobacco plants which show high accumulation of SA, show enhanced disease-resistance (Verberne et al. 2000). Several SA signaling regulator proteins are involved in SA production pathway. PAD4 is a key regulator protein acting at upstream of SA. PAD4 is required for amplification of weak signals to a level sufficient for activation of SA signaling. *PAD4* gene has been exploited to develop disease-resistant wheat plants (Makandar et al. 2015).

RNA-binding proteins (RBP) play important roles in post-transcriptional gene regulation by controlling splicing, polyadenylation, mRNA stability, RNA trafficking, and translation (Pallas and Gomez 2013; Marondedze et al. 2016). A RBP from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, AtRBP-defense related 1 (AtRBP-DR1), has been shown to be involved in plant immune responses. The *AtRBP-DR1* gene was cloned and exploited for developing disease-resistant plants. Transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants overexpressing *AtRBP-DR1* were developed (Qi et al. 2010). These transgenic plants show higher mRNA levels of *SID2*. The *SID2* gene encodes an isochorismate synthase, which is required for producing SA during immune responses (Wildermuth et al. 2001). Activation of the SA pathway by *AtRBP-DR1* overexpression was fully dependent on *SID2* (Qi et al. 2010). Overexpression of *AtRBP-DR1* led to high accumulation of SA and these transgenic plants show enhanced resistance to the bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 (Qi et al. 2010).

A camodulin binding protein, CBP60g, has been shown to be involved in activating SA biosynthesis (Wang et al. 2009; Vidhyasekaran 2015). *CBP60g* has been shown to bind to the promoter region of *SID2* (Wang et al. 2011). Transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants overexpressing *CBP60g* gene were developed and these transgenic plants showed elevated SA accumulation and increased expression of defense genes (Wan et al. 2012). The transgenic plants showed enhanced resistance against the bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* (Wan et al. 2012).

Several transcription factors are known to take part in the regulation of SA signaling pathway (Vidhyasekaran 2015, 2016). The transcription factor genes *OsWRKY13* (Qiu et al. 2007, Cheng et al. 2015), *OsWRKY89* (Wang et al. 2007), and *NtWIF* (Waller et al. 2006) have been engineered to develop disease-resistant plants. Ubiquitin-proteasome pathway can be manipulated to trigger SA signaling system for crop disease management (Vidhyasekaran 2015). Transgenic tobacco plants expressing a ubiquitin-variant with Lys to Arg exchange in position 48 (ubr48) were developed (Becker et al. 1993). These transgenic tobacco plants showed enhanced resistance against *Tobacco mosaic virus* (Becker et al. 1993). The transgenic plants expressing the ubiquitin variant ubr78 contained elevated levels of SA compared to the control plants (Conrath et al. 1998). *SGT1* genes are required for SA accumulation and they have been engineered to develop disease resistant plants (Wang et al. 2008; Zhou et al. 2008).

NPR1 gene is a master regulator of the SA-mediated induction of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) (Vidhyasekaran 2015). *NPR1* gene has been engineered to develop several transgenic crop plants including rice, tomato, citrus, carrot, and strawberry (Feng et al. 2011; Le Henanff et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2012; Dutt et al. 2015; Silva et al. 2015; Boscariol-Camargo et al. 2016; Molla et al. 2016; Joshi et al. 2017).

Jasmonic acid and their derivatives (JA) are important signal molecules detected in a wide spectrum of plant species (Vidhyasekaran 2015). They are involved in activation of plant immune system. The concentrations of JA in healthy unperturbed plant tissues are very low. Increased concentrations of JA are needed to activate the plant immune system and to induce "Induced systemic resistance (ISR)". JA concentration can be increased by enhancing the activities of the enzymes involved in JA biosynthesis. The key enzymes involved in the biosynthesis involve phospholipases, lipoxygenases (LOXs), allene oxide synhases (AOS), allene oxide cyclase (AOC), and OPDA reductase (OPR) (Vidhyasekaran 2015). The genes encoding these enzymes have been cloned and engineered to enhance the JA biosynthesis and JA accumulation activates plant immune system (Mei et al. 2006; Mene-Saffrané et al. 2003; Hwang and Hwang 2010; Hou et al. 2018). The transcription factor genes *OsMYC2* and *VvWRKY1* have been engineered to manipulate JA signaling system for crop disease management (Marchive et al. 2013; Uji et al. 2016).

Under natural conditions endogenous ethylene content is very low in plants and its level is not sufficient to induce defense gene expression (Vidhyasekaran 2015; Ravanbakhsh et al. 2018). Increase in ethylene biosynthesis induces enhanced defense responses. Hence several attempts were made to induce ethylene biosynthesis in plants for disease management. Transgenic rice lines overexpressing ACC synthase gene, *OsACS2*, have been generated and these transgenic plants show increased levels of endogenous ethylene. The transgenic lines overexpressing *OsACS2* show increased resistance to the rice blast pathogen *Magnaporthe oryzae* and the rice sheath blight pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani* (Helliwell et al. 2013).

ERF belonging to the APETELA2 (AP2)/ETHYLENE RESPONSIVE ELE-MENT BINDING PROTEIN (EREBP) transcription factor family is the important group of transcription factors functioning downstream in ethylene signaling system (Vidhyasekaran 2016). Several *ERF* genes have been engineered to develop diseaseresistant plants (Dong et al. 2015; Xing et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018). EIN2 is a membrane protein that acts as the central regulator of ethylene signaling pathways. The rice plants overexpressing *OsEIN2* show enhanced resistance against the rice blast pathogen *Magnaporthe oryzae* (Yang et al. 2017).

1.3 Molecular Manipulation of Plant Immunity Signaling Systems Using Abiotic or Biotic Elicitors for Management of Crop Diseases

Several biotic and abiotic elicitors have been developed as commercial formulations to activate the plant immune system and induce disease resistance. Chitosan has been found to be highly effective in inducing resistance against oomycete, fungal, viral, and bacterial diseases (Algam et al. 2010; El-Mohamedy et al. 2014; Sunpapao and Ponsuriya 2014). Chitosan treatment inactivates H⁺-ATPase resulting in membrane depolarization, which is involved in increasing Ca²⁺ influx (Amborabé et al. 2008).

Thiamine treatment triggers Ca^{2+} influx and induces Ca^{2+} -induced protein kinase C (PKC) activity. Thiamine treatment effectively controls bacterial, fungal, and viral diseases of crop plants by activating Ca^{2+} signaling system (Ahn et al. 2005).

Many attempts have been made to manipulate the ROS signaling system to trigger host defense responses. BTH (benzo[1,2,3]thiadiazole-7-carbothioc acid S-methyl ester) is the most successfully developed commercial compound to manipulate ROS signaling system for management of viral, bacterial, and phytoplasma diseases and parasitic plants, which are difficult to be controlled by traditional chemical control methods (Walters et al. 2005; Mandal et al. 2008). BTH induces accumulation of ROS through activation of different signaling pathways (Faize et al. 2004; Cavalcanti et al. 2006; Lanteri et al. 2008). The induced ROS triggers several downstream events inducing expression of several defense genes (Faize et al. 2004; Deepak et al. 2006; Faoro et al. 2008; Schreiber and Desveaux 2008). BTH has been shown to induce several genes with potential roles in establishing reducing conditions following the oxidative burst induced by it (Faize et al. 2004; Deepak et al. 2006; Faoro et al. 2008). Thiol-based redox signaling has been suggested to contribute to the activation of a primed state in BTH-treated plants (Kużniak et al. 2014). BTH treatment, which induces redox conditions, activates NPR1 (for non-expresser of PR gene 1) and induces resistance against pathogens (Chern et al. 2001; Zhu et al. 2003). NPR1 gene is a master regulator of the systemic acquired resistance (SAR) in plants. NPR1 enhances the binding of transcription factors to the promoters of pathogenesis-related (PR) defense genes for activation (Chern et al. 2008; Mukherjee et al. 2010). BTH may activate the plant immune system by triggering accumulation of ROS, which may enhance the expression of NPR1, the key regulator of the long-lasting broad-spectrum defense responses. BTH treatment effectively controls several fungal, oomvcete, bacterial, phytoplasma, and viral diseases in wheat, rice, barley, potato, bean, cucumber, lettuce, sunflower, oilseed rape, sugarcane, strawberry, Japanese pear, sugarbeet, blackgram, red clover and chrysanthemum (D'Amelio et al. 2010; Venkatesan et al. 2010; Romanazzi et al. 2013; Oliveira and Nishijima 2014).

Riboflavin is another compound which can be used to manipulate ROS and redox signaling system (Dong and Beer 2000). It induces H_2O_2 production. Riboflavin induces priming of defense responses and triggers systemic resistance against pathogens (Saikia et al. 2006). Menadione sodium sulphite (MSB) is a water-soluble addition compound of vitamin K₃. It is a ROS generator, readily undergoing cellmediated one-electron reduction, producing superoxide radicals (O_2^-) and H_2O_2 (Hassan and Fridovich 1979). MSB treatment induces systemic resistance by activating redox signaling systems (Borges-Pérez and Fernandez-Falcon 1996). Some herbicides have been shown to act as plant innate immunity system activators. The herbicide lactofen targets protoporphyrinogen oxidase, which in turn causes singlet oxygen generation. Singlet oxygen is involved in triggering ROS-mediated signaling system. Lactofen application provides significant control of fungal and oomycete diseases (Graham 2005). Trifluralin, a dinitroaniline herbicide, induces disease resistance against several pathogens by manipulating redox signaling system (Bolter et al. 1993). Glufosinate ammonium is a nonselective herbicide. It activates ROS-dependent SA signaling system and induces resistance against pathogens (Ahn

2008). Milsana (Reynoutria sachalinensis formulation) activates ROS-mediated signaling system and is highly effective in controlling powdery mildew diseases in crop plants (Randoux et al. 2006). β-Aminobutyric Acid (BABA) has been shown to induce disease resistance against various pathogens by triggering ROS production. BABA-induced resistance is mostly based on priming of defense responses rather than on the direct activation of these defense responses. BABA has been shown to prime *RbohD* gene, which encodes a NADPH oxidase potentially involved in ROS production (Dubreuil-Maurizi et al. 2010; Pastor et al. 2013). Potassium dihydrogen phosphate induces systemic resistance by inducing a rapid generation of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide (Orober et al. 2002). Potassium phosphonate triggers ROS signaling system-mediated plant defense responses by rapidly releasing superoxide around the point of infection (Daniel and Guest 2006). Oxycom is a commercially available chemical containing reactive oxygen species. It acts as a plant innate immunity activator. Applications of Oxycom triggers plant immune system downstream of ROS (Blee et al. 2004). Several bacterial and fungal biocontrol agents have been shown to induce systemic resistance (ISR) against several plant pathogens in various crop plants. Some of the rhizobacteria activate the plant innate immune system by triggering the ROS signaling system. Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS374 is a potential tool to trigger ROS signaling system and confer resistance against pathogens (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2008). Serratia plymuthica ICI270, primes leaves for enhanced attacker-induced accumulation of ROS. It induces accumulation of ROS in leaves and induces systemic resistance (De Vleesschauwer and Höfte 2009). Bacillus mycoides elicits ISR by triggering ROS production. Silicon is another potential tool to enhance defense responses by activating ROS signaling system (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2009). Silicon treatment significantly alters the activity of lipoxygenase (LOX), which catalyzes the direct oxygenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids and produces O_2^- . Several silicon-based formulations are available for management of crop diseases (Sun et al. 2010). Manipulation of nitric oxide (NO) signaling by sodium nitroprusside (SNP) may be another potential approach to trigger plant immune system for effective crop management. SNP is a NO generator (Kobeasy et al. 2011; Thuong et al. 2015). SNP treatment triggered ROS and SA signaling systems (Thuong et al. 2015). Sodium nitroprusside applied as foliar spray effectively triggered host defense responses against Peanut mottle virus in peanut (Kobeasy et al. 2011).

Treatment of plants with BTH triggers SA signaling and causes the induction of a unique physiological state called "priming" (Camañes et al. 2012; Slaughter et al. 2012) BTH induces histone modifications, which may be involved in the gene priming (Jaskiewicz et al. 2011). The expression of the *WRKY* genes is enhanced in BTH-treated plants. BTH triggers NPR1-dependent chromatin modification on WRKY promoters to activate defense gene expression (Jaskiewicz et al. 2011). BTH activates SA-dependent SAR in many crops and has been found to be useful in management of several crop diseases caused by oomycetes, fungi, bacteria, and viruses. N-cyanomethyl-2-chloroisonicotinamide (NCI) is another potential chemical that activates NPR1-dependent SA signaling system. NCI activates SAR by stimulating the site between SA and NPR1. NCI has been found to be effective in inducing resistance against *Tobacco mosaic virus* (TMV), *P. syringae* pv. *tabaci*, and *Oidium lycopersici* in tobacco and *Magnaporthe oryzae* in rice (Nakashita et al. 2002; Yasuda 2007).

CMPA (3-chloro-1-methyl-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxylic acid) is another compound, which activates SA signaling pathway. It acts in the SA signaling pathway between SA production and NPR1 activity. It protects rice from infection by rice blast pathogen Magnaporthe oryzae and bacterial blight pathogen Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. It enhances resistance of tobacco to Pseudomonas syringae pv. tabaci and Oidium sp. (Nakashita et al. 2003; Nishioka et al. 2005; Yasuda et al. 2003). Tiadinil (3,4-dichloro-N-(2-cyanophenyl)-1,2-thiazole-5-carboxamide) is another potential chemical, which triggers SA signaling pathway by activating NPR1 gene expression. It induces resistance against various fungal, bacterial, and viral diseases in tobacco and is practically used to control rice blast disease (Yasuda et al. 2004, 2006; Yasuda 2007). Probenazole (3-allyloxy-1,2-benzisothiazole-1,1-dioxide) and its metabolite 1,2-benzisothiazole-3 (2H)-one 1,1-dioxide (BIT, saccharin) are potential plant defense activators and both of them are known to induce SA accumulation and activate SA signaling system (Schreiber and Desveaux 2008). Probenazole/BIT intervenes in SA signaling system at SA accumulation stage as well as at NPR1 stage to trigger resistance against pathogens (Yoshioka et al. 2001; Park et al. 2007, 2009). The nonprotein amino acid β-aminobutyric acid (BABA) induces broad-spectrum resistance in a range of crops. BABA induces priming in the SAR induction pathway. The descendants of primed plants exhibit next-generation systemic acquired resistance (Slaughter et al. 2012). SA signaling system can also be activated using plant-derived products. Azelaic acid, a natural compound found in several plants, is a signal molecule triggering plant defense responses. Azelaic acid does not directly induce defense responses, but confers on the plants the ability to mount a faster and stronger defense response if and when the plant is attacked again. It does this by increasing the production of SA. Azelaic acid stimulates the production of AZ11, a protein which helps prime the plant to build up its immunity by generating additional SA (Jung et al. 2009). AHO (3-acetonyl-3-hydroxyoxindole) isolated from the extracts of Strobilanthes cusia is an activator of SA signaling system. When tobacco plants are treated with AHO, SA accumulates in the leaf tissues and induces disease resistance (Li et al. 2008). An oligosaccharide product obtained from burdock (Arc*tium lappa*) plant triggers production of methyl salicylate involved in SA signaling system and confers disease resistance (He et al. 2006). N-Acyl-L-homoserine lactones (AHLs)-producing bacteria, which induce SA-dependent systemic resistance, have been shown to be potential tools for management of crop diseases (Schuhegger et al. 2006). Some of the rhizobacterial strains activate the plant innate immune system by triggering SA signaling system and they are widely used for management of crop diseases (De Meyer et al. 1999; Zhang et al. 2002; Tjamos et al. 2005). SA signaling system can be activated by some MAMPs (for Microbe-associated molecular patterns) for effective crop disease management. The MAMP yeast elicitor treatment activates SA signaling system and induces resistance against oomycete, fungal, and bacterial pathogens in many crop plants (Raacke et al. 2006; Tosun 2007).

Hexanoic acid is a nine carbon dicarboxylic acid that acts as an inducer of plant defenses by means of a priming mechanism (Vicedo et al. 2009). Priming results in a faster and stronger induction of defense mechanisms after pathogen attack (Conrath 2011; Po-Wen et al. 2013). Hexanoic acid primes JA biosynthesis pathway (Vicedo et al. 2009). Priming for JA-dependent defenses using hexanoic acid appears to be an effective tool for management of crop diseases (Djami-Tchatchou et al. 2017). Ulvan, a sulfated polysaccharide product isolated from green algae belonging to the *Ulva* genus is a potential activator of JA signaling pathway (Jaulneau et al. 2010). Ulvan treatment induces elevation of JA content in *Medicago truncatula*. It also induces the expression of well-known jasmonic acid-responsive genes including lipoxygenase, hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins, proline-rich proteins, defensin and wound-induced protein (Jaulneau et al. 2010). Ulvan treatment induces biosynthesis of jasmonoyl-isoleucine (Staswick and Tiryaki 2004), which is involved in defense signaling (Wasternack and Hause 2013). Ulvan spraying has been shown to control several foliar diseases (de Freitas and Stadnik 2012, 2015; de Freitas et al. 2015).

Alkamides are fatty acid amides, which are commonly present in plants (Méndez-Bravo et al. 2011). N-isobutyl decanamide, the most highly active alkamide, has been shown to be a potential tool to manipulate enzymes involved in JA biosynthesis pathway. Alkamide treatment enhances the expression of genes encoding enzymes for jasmonic acid biosynthesis. It enhances the expression of lipoxygenase genes (*LOX2* and *LOX3*), allene oxide synthase gene (*AOS*), allene oxide cyclase2 gene (*AOC2*), and OPDA reductase3 (*OPR3*) gene. The alkamide has great potential to combat pathogens by triggering JA biosynthesis pathway (Méndez-Bravo et al. 2011).

Chitosan has been developed as a potential activator of JA signaling system to induce defense responses for crop disease management (Bueter et al. 2013). Chitosan triggers lipoxygenase activity and induces accumulation of jasmonic acid (Doares et al. 1995; Rakwal et al. 2002). Chitosan activates plant innate immune system and controls several crop diseases (Prapagdee et al. 2007; Li et al. 2009; Dafermos et al. 2012).

JA signaling system can be manipulated using some microbes for crop disease management. Trichoderma spp. are known to be involved in triggering 'induced systemic resistance' (ISR) in many plants (Harman et al. 2004; Vidhyasekaran 2004; Shoresh et al. 2010: Mathys et al. 2012; Martinez-Medina et al. 2013; Harel et al. 2014). Trichoderma asperellum T 203 induced systemic resistance against the foliar bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. lachrymans and reduced the angular leaf spot symptom development (Yedidia et al. 2003). The Trichoderma strain induced the expression of Lox1 encoding lipoxygenase (LOX), the key enzyme in LOX pathway which is involved in biosynthesis of JA (Shoresh et al. 2005). It also induced the lipoxygenase pathway gene encoding hydroperoxide lyase (HPL) (Yedidia et al. 2003). Trichoderma virens is a commercially formulated biocontrol agent and it is effective in the control of Rhizoctonia solani, Sclerotium rolfsii, and Pythium spp. in several crop plants (Mukherjee and Kenerley 2010). It induces resistance against several crop diseases by activating JA signaling system (Djonovic et al. 2006). Pseudomonas putida strain BTP1 treatment primes tomato plants to activate two key enzymes of lipoxygenase (LOX) pathway, lipoxygenase (LOX) and

lipid hydroperoxidase (LHP) after challenge inoculation with the pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* (Akram et al. 2008). *P. putida* BTP1 induces ISR in bean against the gray mold pathogen *B. cinerea* (Ongena et al. 2002) and in cucumber against the root rot oomycete pathogen *Pythium aphanidermatum* (Ongena et al. 1999, 2000).

Ethylene signaling system can be activated by using different rhizobacteria (Leeman et al. 1995a, b; Ran et al. 2005a, b; Spencer et al. 2003). *Trichoderma asperellum* triggers ISR against several pathogens. Ethylene signal transduction pathway has been shown to be involved in the ISR induced by *T. asperellum* (Shoresh et al. 2005). *Pythium oligandrum* is a biocontrol agent which controls several soil-borne fungal and bacterial pathogens (Benhamou et al. 1997; Picard et al. 2000; Takenaka et al. 2003; Hase et al. 2006). *P. oligandrum* treatment induces biosynthesis of ethylene (Hase et al. 2006). *P. oligandrum* also activates ethylene signaling pathway. Activation of the ethylene-dependent signaling pathway is accompanied by increased expression of genes encoding ethylene receptors and ethylene-responsive transcription factors (Hase et al. 2006). Collectively these studies suggest that enhancing disease resistance through altered regulation of plant immune signaling systems will be an attractive technology for management of crop diseases.

References

- Abad MS, Hakimi SM, Kaniewski WK, Rommens CMT, Shulaev V, Lam E, Shah D (1997) Characterization of acquired resistance in lesion mimic transgenic potato expressing transgenic potato expressing bacterio-opsin. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 10:635–645
- Ahn I-P, Kim S, Lee Y-H (2005) Vitamin B1 functions as an activator of plant disease resistance. Plant Physiol 138:1505–1515
- Ahn I-P (2008) Glufosinate ammonium-induced pathogen inhibition and defense responses culminate in disease protection in *bar*-transgenic rice. Plant Physiol 46:213–227
- Akram A, Ongena M, Duby F, Dommes J, Thonart P (2008) Systemic resistance and lipoxygenaserelated defence response induced in tomato by *Pseudomonas putida* strain BTP1. BMC Plant Biol 8:113
- Algam SAE, Xie G, Li B, Yu S, Su T, Larsen J (2010) Effects of *Paenibacillus* strains and chitosan on plant growth promotion and control of *Ralstonia* wilt in tomato. J Plant Pathol 92:593–600
- Altamiranda EAG, Andreu AB, Daleo GR, Olivieri FP (2008) Effect of β-aminobutyric acid (BABA) on protection against *Phytophthora infestans* throughout the potato crop cycle. Aust Plant Pathol 37:421–427
- Amborabé B-E, Bonmort J, Fleurat-Lessard P, Roblin G (2008) Early events induced by chitosan on plant cells. J Expt Bot 59:2317–2324
- Becker F, Buschfeld E, Schell J, Bachmair A (1993) Altered response to viral infection by tobacco plants perturbed in ubiquitin system. Plant J 3:875–881
- Benhamou N, Rey P, Chérif M, Hockenhull J, Tirilly Y (1997) Treatment with the mycoparasite *Pythium oligandrum* triggers induction of defense-related reactions in tomato roots when challenged with *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*. Phytopathology 87:108–122
- Blee KA, Yang KY, Anderson AJ (2004) Activation of defense pathways: synergism between reactive oxygen species and salicylic acid and consideration of field applicability. Eur J Plant Pathol 110:203–212

- Bolter C, Brammall RA, Cohen R, Lazarovits G (1993) Glutathione alterations in melon and tomato roots following treatment with chemicals which induce disease resistance to Fusarium wilt. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 42:321–336
- Borges-Pérez A, Fernandez-Falcon MJ (1996) Utilization of compositions which contain menadione for the stimulation of plant metabolism in order to induce their resistance to pathogen and pest and/or accelerate their blooming, Patent WO 96/28026
- Boscariol-Camargo RL, Takita MA, Machado MA (2016) Bacterial resistance in *AtNPR1* transgenic sweet orange is mediated by priming and involves *EDS1* and *PR2*. Trop Plant Pathol 41:341–346
- Bourdais G, Burdiak P, Gauthier A, Nitsch L, Salojärvi J, Rayapuram C, Idänheimo N, Hunter K, Kimura S, Merilo E, Vaattovaara A, Oracz K, Kaufholdt D, Pallon A, Anggoro DT, Glów D, Lowe J, Zhou J, Mohammadi O, Puukko T, Albert A, Lang H, Ernst D, Kollist H, Brosche M, Durner J, Borst W, Collinge DB, Karpiński S, Lyngkjær MF, Robatzek S, Wrzaczek M, Kangasjarvi J (2015) Large-scale phenomics identifies primary and fine-tuning roles for CRKs in responses related to oxidative stress. PLoS Genet. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005373
- Bueter CL, Specht CA, Levitz SM (2013) Innate sensing of chitin and chitosan. PLoS Pathog 9(1):e1003080
- Camañes G, Pastor V, Cerezo M, Garcia-Andrade J, Vicedo B, Garcia-Agustin P, Flors V (2012) A deletion in NRT2.1 attenuates Pseudomonas syringae-induced hormonal perturbation, resulting in primed plant defenses. Plant Physiol 158:1054–1066
- Cavalcanti FR, Resende MLV, Santos Lima JPM, Silveira AG, Oliveira JTA (2006) Activities of antioxidant enzymes and photosynthetic responses in tomato pre-treated by plant activators and inoculated by *Xanthomonas vesicatoria*. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 68:198–208
- Chen X-K, Zhang J-Y, Zhang Z, Du B-B, Qu S-C (2012) Overexpressing *MhNPR1* in transgenic Fuji apples enhances resistance to apple powdery mildew. Mol Biol Rep 39:8083–8089
- Cheng H, Liu H, Deng Y, Xiao J, Li X, Wang S (2015) The WRKY45-2 WRKY13 WRKY42 transcriptional regulatory cascade is required for rice resistance to fungal pathogen. Plant Physiol 167:1087–1099
- Cheong YH, Moon BC, Kim JK, Kim CY, Kim MC, Kim IH, Park CY, Kim JC, Park BO, Koo SC, Yoon HW, Chung WS, Lim CO, Lee SY, Cho MJ (2003) BWMK1, a rice mitogen-activated protein kinase, locates in the nucleus and mediates pathogenesis-related gene expression by activation of a transcription factor. Plant Physiol 132:1961–1972
- Chern M-S, Fitzgerald H, Yadav RC, Canlas PE, Dong X, Ronald PC (2001) Evidence for a diseaseresistance pathway in rice similar to the *NPR1*-mediated signaling pathway in Arabidopsis. Plant J 27:101–113
- Chern M, Canlas PE, Ronald PC (2008) Strong suppression of systemic acquired resistance in *Arabidopsis* by NRR is dependent on its ability to interact with NPR1 and its putative repressive domain. Mol Plant 1:552–559
- Choi HW, Kim YJ, Lee SC, Hong JK, Hwang BK (2007) Hydrogen peroxide generation by the pepper extracellular peroxidase CaPO2 activates local and systemic cell death and defense response to bacterial pathogens. Plant Physiol 145:890–904
- Chun HJ, Park HC, Koo SC, Lee JH, Park CY, Choi MS, Kang CH, Baek D, Cheong YH, Yun DJ, Chung WS, Cho MJ, Kim MC (2012) Constitutive expression of mammalian nitic oxide synthase in tobacco plants triggers disease resistance to pathogens. Mol Cells 34:463–471
- Conrath U (2011) Molecular aspects of defence priming. Trends Plant Sci 16:524-531
- Conrath U, Klessig DF, Bachmair A (1998) Tobacco plants perturbed in the ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation system accumulate callose, salicylic acid, and pathogenesis-related protein 1. Plant Cell Rep 17:876–880
- D'Amelio R, Marzachi C, Bosco D (2010) Activity of benzothiadiazole on chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma ('*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris') infection in daisy plants. Crop Protection 29:1094–1099
- Dafermos NG, Kasselaki AM, Goumas DE, Spantidakis K, Eyre MD, Leifert C (2012) Integration of elicitors and less-susceptible hybrids for the control of powdery mildew in organic tomato crops. Plant Dis 96:1506–1512

- Daniel R, Guest D (2006) Defence responses induced by potassium phosphonate in *Phytophthora* palmivora-challenged Arabidopsis thaliana. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 67:194–201
- de Freitas MB, Stadnik MJ (2012) Race-specific and ulvan-induced defense responses in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) against *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum*. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 78:8–13
- de Freitas MB, Stadnik MJ (2015) Ulvan-induced resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* against *Alternaria brassicicola* requires reactive oxygen species derived from NADPH oxidase. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 90:49–56
- de Freitas MB, Ferreira LG, Hawerroth C, Duarte MER, Noseda MD, Stadnik MJ (2015) Ulvans induce resistance against plant pathogenic fungi independently of their sulfation degree. Carbohyd Polym 133:384–390
- De Meyer G, Audenaert K, Höfte M (1999) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 7NSK2-induced systemic resistance in tobacco depends on *in planta* salicylic acid accumulation but is not associated with PR1a gene-expression. Eur J Plant Pathol 105:513–517
- De Vleesschauwer D, Höfte M (2009) Rhizobacteria induced systemic resistance. Adv Bot Res 51:223–281
- De Vleesschauwer D, Djavaheri M, Bakker PAHM, Höfte M (2008) *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS374r-induced systemic resistance in rice against *Magnaporthe oryzae* is based on pseudobactin-mediated priming for a salicylic acid-repressable multifaceted defense response. Plant Physiol 148:1996–2012
- De Vleesschauwer D, Chernin L, Höfte MM (2009) Differential effectiveness of *Serratia plymuthica* IC1270-induced systemic resistance against hemibiotrophic and necrotrophic leaf pathogens in rice. BMC Plant Biol 9:9
- Deepak SA, Ishii H, Park P (2006) Acibenzolar-S-methyl primes cell wall strengthening genes and reactive oxygen species forming/scavenging enzymes in cucumber after fungal pathogen attack. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 69:52–61
- Djami-Tchatchou AT, Ncube EN, Steenkamp PA, Dubery IA (2017) Similar, but different; structurally related azelaic acid and hexanoic acid trigger differential metabolomic and transcriptomic responses in tobacco cells. BMC Plant Biol 17:227
- Djonovic S, Pozo MJ, Dangott LJ, Howell CR, Kenerley CM (2006) Sm1, a proteinaceous elicitor secreted by the biocontrol fungus *Trichoderma virens* induces plant defense responses and systemic resistance. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 19:838–853
- Doares SH, Syrovets T, Weiler EW, Ryan CA (1995) Oligogalacturonides and chitosan activate plant defensive genes through the octadecanoid pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:4095–4098
- Dong H, Beer SV (2000) Riboflavin induces disease resistance in plants by activating a novel signal transduction pathway. Phytopathology 90:801–811
- Dong L, Cheng Y, Wu J, Cheng Q, Li W, Fan S, Jiang L, Xu Z, Kong F, Zhang D, Xu P, Zhang S (2015) Overexpression of *Gm*ERF5, a new member of the soybean EAR motif-containing ERF transcription factor, enhances resistance to *Phytophthora sojae* in soybean. J Exp Bot 66:2635–2647
- Dubreuil-Maurizi C, Trouvelot S, Frettinger P, Pugin A, Wendehenne D, Poinssot B (2010) β-Aminobutyric acid primes an NADPH oxidase-dependent reactive oxygen species production during grapevine-triggered immunity. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 23:1012–1021
- Dutt M, Barthe G, Irey M, Grosser J (2015) Transgenic citrus expressing an *Arabidopsis NPR1* gene exhibit enhanced resistance against Huanglongbing (HLB; Citrus greening). PLoS ONE 10(9):e0137134. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137134
- El-Mohamedy RSR, Abdel-Kareem F, Jabnoun-Khiareddine H, Daami-Remadi M (2014) Chitosan and *Trichoderma harzianum* as fungicide alternatives for controlling Fusarium crown and root rot of tomato. Tunisian J Plant Prot 9:31–43
- Faize M, Faize L, Koike N, Ishizaka M, Ishii H (2004) Acibenzolar-S-methyl-induced resistance to Japanese pear scab is associated with potentiation of defense responses. Phytopathology 94:604–612
- Faoro F, Maffi D, Cantu D, Iriti M (2008) Chemical-induced resistance against powdery mildew in barley: the effects of chitosan and benzothiadiazole. Biocontrol 53:387–401

- Felcher KJ, Douches DS, Kirk WW, Hammerschmidt R, Li W (2003) Expression of a fungal glucose oxidase gene in three potato cultivars with different susceptibility to late blight (*Phytophthora* infestans Mont. deBary). J Am Soc Hort Sci 128:238–245
- Feng J-X, Cao L, Li J, Duan C-J, Xue-M Luo, Le N, Wei H, Liang S, Chu C, Pan Q, Tang J-L (2011) Involvement of *OsNPR1/NH1* in rice basal resistance to blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Eur J Plant Pathol 131:221–235
- Friedrich L, Lawton K, Dietrich R, Willitis M, Cade R, Ryals J (2001) NIM1 overexpression in Arabidopsis potentiates plant disease resistance and results in enhanced effectiveness of fungicides. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 14:1114–1124
- Geng S, Li A, Tang L, Yin L, Wu L, Lei C, Guo X, Zhang X, Jiang G, Zhai W, Wei Y, Zheng Y, Lan X, Mao L (2013) *TaCPK2-A*, a calcium-dependent protein kinase gene that is required for wheat powdery mildew resistance enhances bacterial blight resistance in transgenic rice. J Exp Bot 64:3125–3336
- Graham MY (2005) The diphenylether herbicide lactofen induces cell death and expression of defense-related genes in soybean. Plant Physiol 139:1784–1794
- Guevara-Olvera L, Ruiz-Nito ML, Rangel-Cano RM, Torres-Pacheco I, Rivera-Bustamante RF, Muňoz-Sánchez CI, González-Chavira MM, Cruz-Hernandez A, Guevara-González RG (2012) Expression of a germin-like protein gene (*CchGLP*) from a germinivirus-resistant pepper(*Capsicum chinense* Jacq.) enhances tolerance to germinivirus infection in transgenic tobacco. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 78:45–50
- Harel YM, Mehari ZH, Rav-David D, Elad Y (2014) Systemic resistance to gray mold induced in tomato by benzothiadiazole and *Trichoderma harzianum* T39. Phytopathology 104:150–157
- Harman GE, Howell CR, Viterbo A, Chet I, Lorito M (2004) Trichoderma species-opportunistic, avirulent, plant symbionts. Nature Rev Microbiol 2:43–56
- Hase S, Shimizu A, Nakaho K, Takenaka S, Takahashi H (2006) Induction of transient ethylene and reduction in severity of tomato bacterial wilt by *Pythium oligandrum*. Plant Pathol 55:537–543
- Hassan HM, Fridovich I (1979) Intracellular production of superoxide radical and hydrogen peroxide by redox active compounds. Arch Biochem Biophys 196:385–395
- He P, Shan L, Lin NC, Martin GB, Kemmerling B, Nurnberger T, Sheen J (2006) Specific bacterial suppressors of MAMP signaling upstream of MAPKKK in *Arabidopsis* innate immunity. Cell 125:563–575
- Helliwell EE, Wang Q, Yang Y (2013) Transgenic rice with inducible ethylene production exhibits broad-spectrum disease resistance to the fungal pathogens *Magnaporthe oryzae* and *Rhizoctonia* solani. Plant Biotechnol J 11:33–42
- Hou Y, Ban Q, Meng K, He Y, Han S, Jin M, Rao J (2018) Overexpression of persimmon 9lipoxygenase DkLOX3 confers resistance to Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 and Botrytis cinera in Arabidopsis. Plant Growth Regul 84:179–189
- Huang PY, Yeh YA, Liu AC, Cheng CP, Zimmerli L (2014) The Arabidopsis LecRK-VI.2 associates with the pattern-recognition receptor FLS2 and primes Nicotiana benthamiana pattern-triggered immunity. Plant J 79:243–255
- Hwang IS, Hwang BK (2010) The pepper 9-lipoxygenase gene *CaLOX1* functions in defense and cell death responses to microbial pathogens. Plant Physiol 152:948–967
- Hwang IS, Hwang BK (2011) The pepper mannose-binding lectin gene *CaMBL1* is required to regulate cell death and defense responses to microbial pathogens. Plant Physiol 155:447–463
- Jami SK, Clark GB, Turlapati SA, Handley C, Roux SJ, Kirti PB (2008) Ectopic expression of an annexin from *Brassica juncea* confers tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses in transgenic tobacco. Plant Physiol Biochem 46:1019–1030
- Jaskiewicz M, Conrath U, Peterhänsel C (2011) Chromatin modification acts as a memory for systemic acquired resistance in the plant stress response. EMBO Rep 12:50–55
- Jaulneau V, Lafitte C, Jacquet C, Fournier S, Salamagne S, Briand X, Esquerré-Tugayé M-T, Dumas B. (2010) Ulvan, a sulfated polysaccharide from green algae, activates plant immunity through the jasmonic acid signaling pathway. J Biomed Biotechnol. Volume 2010, Article ID 525291. https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/525291