

Ute Fendler, Katharina Fink,
Nadine Siegert, Ulf Vierke (eds.)



REVOLUTION 3.0

Iconographies of Radical Change



AVM.edition

Revolution 3.0

Ute Fendler, Katharina Fink,
Nadine Siegert, Ulf Vierke (eds.)

Revolution 3.0

Iconographies of Radical Change



AVM.edition

A book made possible by



Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

AVM – Akademische Verlagsgemeinschaft München 2019
© Thomas Martin Verlagsgesellschaft, München

Umschlagabbildung: © Petrus Amuthenu
Layout: Karl-Heinz Best, mind the gap! design
Proofreading: Emily McDermott, Bernhard Rübenthal

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Dieses Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der Grenzen des Urhebergesetzes ohne schriftliche Zustimmung des Verlages ist unzulässig und strafbar. Das gilt insbesondere für Nachdruck, auch auszugsweise, Reproduktion, Vervielfältigung, Übersetzung, Mikroverfilmung sowie Digitalisierung oder Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung auf Tonträgern und in elektronischen Systemen aller Art.

Alle Informationen in diesem Buch wurden mit größter Sorgfalt erarbeitet und geprüft. Weder Herausgeber, Autoren noch Verlag können jedoch für Schäden haftbar gemacht werden, die in Zusammenhang mit der Verwendung dieses Buches stehen.

e-ISBN (ePDF) 978-3-96091-530-0
ISBN (Print) 978-3-95477-090-8

AVM – Akademische Verlagsgemeinschaft München
Schwanthalerstr. 81
D-80336 München
www.avm-verlag.de

Content

Introduction

Testimonies of the Coming.	
Images of Revolution as Seismographs of Change	12

Transcending Time: Powerful Images

Ute Fendler:	
Icons of Political Leaders – from Sacral to Popular Images	34
Drew Thompson:	
Visualizing Revolution Amid Photography's Counter-Insurgency:	
The Case Study of Samora Machel's Funeral and Mozambique's	
Post-Independence War	52
Nadine Siegert:	
“Another World is Possible”: The Socialist Mural in Luanda	
as Visual Anticipation of a New Socialist Society	74
Luís Carlos Patraquim:	
Mozambique: Permanent Reinvention	104
Johan Thom:	
A Luta ctd.	120

Constellations: Traveling Images

Katharina Fink:

Logbook Revolution: Iconic Images as Stylists
of Radical Change 126

Marco Russo:

The World Destruction Machine (WDM 0.1) 148

Onejoon Che:

The Monumental Gifts from North Korea.
On the art project Mansudae Master Class 168

Ute Fendler, Nadine Siegert, Fabio Vanin:

Responding to Onejoon Che –
The monument “Renaissance d’Afrique” and
the Memorial Dr. Agostinho Neto 192

Performing Archives: Radical Images

Ulf Vierke:

Unsettled Histories, Photography and the Anarchic Archive:
Cedric Nunn’s ‘Unsettled’ as a Post-colonial Reading of
Landscape, Architecture, Artifacts and Body 208

Goldendean:

The Queer Body as an Archive of Possibilities – A Script 228

Serubiri Moses:

On the Aesthetics of Protest 244

Aestheticising Revolution: Flipping Images

Perpetual Protest – in Conversation with Jelsen Lee Innocent.....	272
Ana Balona de Oliveira: Falling Radio Towers and Flying Mausoleums: Iconographies of Revolution and Utopia in the Work of Ângela Ferreira and Kiluanji Kia Henda	284
Stefanie Alisch: 'Switching': Reflections on the Intersection of Dance and Visual Media in Angolan Kuduro	330

Concluding: On the Pleasures of Revolution 3.0

Raí Gandra: RILF – Revolutionaries I'd Like to Fuck	356
--	-----

Bibliographic Notes.....	362
--------------------------	-----

Anna
Petrus M. Amuthenu

Statement by Petrus M. Amuthenu:
“Anna ‘Kakurukaze’ Mungunda (1932–10. December 1959) was a Namibian woman of Herero descent. She was the only woman among the casualties of the Old Location uprising in Windhoek on 10 December 1959. Since Namibia's independence on 21 March 1990, Mungunda is regarded as one of the heroes and as important icon of the Namibian history. I chose this particular image to add value to our national history, and to make sure she is remembered as a Namibian heroine. This is the only pose of Anna that exists from the Keith Irwin photo archives.”

Photo credit by: www.startartgallery.com



Introducing

Introducing

Testimonies
of the coming
Kingdom of God
and the Kingdom
of God in the

Testimonies of the Coming: Images of Revolution as Seismographs of Change

Revolutions are visual affairs. As “sudden, qualitative and fundamental transformations,” they not only alter societies, both internally and externally, but also their image cultures.¹ Revolutions and their relatives – uprisings, revolts, reforms – rely on visual politics; movements aimed at radical social change suppress some images while inventing and celebrating others. Visual inventions and interventions in moments of political change thus create new visual imaginaries by extending, editing and confronting existing images, or by erasing and overthrowing them. In the presence of a revolutionary moment, the *bild* reveals its unique potential to be a repository of the past and a resource for the future at the same time.² The writers and researchers presented in this book consider images to have agency, to be more

- 1 Hobsbawm, Eric: *The Age of Revolution: Europe 1789–1848*. London: 1962, p. 29.
- 2 The German notion of “das Bild” encompasses the English terms of “picture,” the physical presence of visual information, as well as “image,” the imaginative dimension of the visuality. See: Davis, Whitney: *Visuality and Virtuality: Images and Pictures from Prehistory to Perspective*. New York: 2017, p. 7.

than mere representations, more than signs that make meaning, more than passive objects of admiration. We follow the hypothesis that images act and react, that they create affect and call us into action. We, the editors of this book, ask how images intervene within historical processes, how they make history and form the memorialization thereof, therefore also creating its future.

Tremendous social change can be sparked by what appears at first glance to be a minor change in an image: a brush stroke, a cartoon figure placed in the right moment, a digital edit. To understand the impact of these changes, it must first be understood that images can communicate political visions of potential futures to the portion of a population illiterate in regards to text – but not to images – and are therefore used in revolutionary times. In this way, all utopian language draws on visual references, conceivable because of their graphicness, a tendency that is also reflected in figurative language. The activist Steve Biko took this approach in describing his vision of a South African future: “The great powers of the world may have done wonders in giving the world an industrial and military look, but the great gift still has to come from Africa – giving the world a more human face.”³ Similarly, Thomas Sankara, the first president of the Republic of Upper Volta, conceptualized his idea of a new, independent country by re-baptizing Burkina Faso as ‘the land of the upright people.’ Sankara’s life and work are illuminating examples of creating new images in order to secure a revolution and to visually create what Ernst Bloch dubbed, in his writings on utopia, the “not yet.”⁴ Sankara created images that had been previously unthinkable: the president on a motorbike, in the smallest car, wearing military gear or a workingman’s outfit. Sankara inserted images into a void that had been created by the colonial deprivation of a society. As Sankara’s visual politics show,

3 Biko, Steve: *I Write What I Like*. Chicago:1978, p. 47.

4 Daniel, Jamie Owen; Moylan, Tom: *Not Yet: Reconsidering Ernst Bloch*. New York: 1997.

images take over public communication in times of political uncertainty and instability, and they operate as acts of speech. These images shout, insinuate, whisper, follow rhetorical strategies and invent new poetics. They cross borders of visual language and commodity, yet much like language, they can never be completely decoded. Rather, they are read and understood in many different ways, making them simultaneously open and directed.

Images, we argue in this volume, are testimonies of the coming. They state something that is yet to come but, because of their phenomenology, is also already there. Images bear a creative value that points to the shifting core elements of culture and society, such as the conception of time – thus referring to the etymological meaning of revolution as something that revolves, returns, rotates – as well as to the utopian, the not yet here.⁵ Taking the former value, images create a theoretically endless archive that feeds our cultural memory and encompasses everything that was visible before and can now be revisited and activated within revolutionary moments. The concepts of “archive” and “cultural memory” are referred to here as those developed by Maurice Halbwachs, Karl Mannheim and Aleida Assmann, and were adapted to our subsequent work with and about images.⁶ According to the historian Gerhard Paul, the constant repetition and the practice of interpictorial referencing contribute to the production of cultural memory; what we remember is not the event as such but rather the image thereof.⁷ The latter value of the revolutionary image is linked to its anticipatory potential to imagine the not yet. In this respect, it is argued that images are a form of “concrete utopia,” as they enable us

5 Hobsbawm 1962, p. 29.

6 Halbwachs, Maurice: *On Collective Memory*. Chicago: 1992; Mannheim, Karl: *Wissenssoziologie: Auswahl aus dem Werk*. Munich: 1964; Assmann, Aleida: *Erinnerungsräume: Formen und Wandlungen des kulturellen Gedächtnisses*. Munich: 2006; Assmann, Jan: *Das kulturelle Gedächtnis*. Munich: 2005.

7 Paul, Gerhard (ed.): *Bilder, die Geschichte schrieben: 1900 bis heute*. Göttingen: 2011, p. 7.

to think about and imagine a future.⁸ It is the anticipatory characteristic of images that makes them so important in moments of societal change, because they are able, we believe, to hint towards – or even reach into – the future.

Doing Future Through Images

The research project Revolution 3.0 investigates the entanglement of aesthetics and politics in situations of radical social transformation, the processes of images turning into icons, and the traveling of images between different media, spaces and times. We focus on the lasting radical power of images and the seismographic power of icons as they predict and announce social change. Due to their persistent presence, images are part of visual memory cultures. They are enmeshed in thriving socio-political movements and recent medial transformations.

So what do we consider an “image” in this book? Drawing on the work of image theorists like Horst Bredekamp and Nicholas Mirzoeff, we consider every entity that is visually perceptible as an image. Images are distinctive from their media surroundings by what Gottfried Boehm called the “iconic difference” – a tension and play between the visible and the invisible.⁹ The necessary act of seeing and interpreting is inherent in this concept, and it is important to notice that the act of interpretation is not one of the viewer/reader alone; the image itself also acts. In the context of effective images during revolutionary struggle and mobilization, a concept termed *Bildakt* (act of the image) by Bredekamp is particularly helpful.¹⁰ He describes how distinctive images act in transmedial and transmaterial spheres, disengaging themselves from their original mediums and attaching to other

8 Bloch, Ernst: *The Principle of Hope*. Cambridge: 1995; Muñoz, José Esteban: *Cruising Utopia: The Then and There of Queer Futurity*. New York: 2009.

9 Boehm, Gottfried: *Wie Bilder Sinn erzeugen: Die Macht des Zeigens*. Berlin: 2008, p. 19.

10 Bredekamp, Horst: *Der Bildakt*. Berlin: 2015.

media. As such, they gain a certain independency and a life of their own. During this transformative process they might also gain new meaning or develop coalitions with other images, creating new pictorial milieus. When applied here, our definition of images therefore focuses on images as agents and mediators.¹¹

From the start of our joint research endeavors until the present moment, we have dissented from the outlook that images merely testify to revolutions and radical social change. Instead, we argue for the active role of images, stating that images hold an inherent power, that they are performative constructs comparable to acts of speech. In this understanding, we combine perspectives from both the pictorial turn and the performative turn.¹² Future-making, in our reading, is not a continuous evolution towards a predefined objective, but it is rather a performative act.¹³ We therefore apply the terminology “future-making” to a method that we find useful in analyzing and describing visual strategies and tactics for a desirable – or perhaps by all means avoidable – existence in time and space. Following Bredekamp, we argue that images recurrently develop a presence that lends them the ability to be more than inanimate objects. Relating this to the study of images, we ask: How does visuality contribute to the creation of an alternative time-space configuration?

Our broad definition of the image enables us to look at video stills, paintings, photographs, drawings and corporate symbols with the same rigor. For our book *Revolution 3.0*, we looked at these visual imageries in different media and genres within the arts and film as well as popular culture. In this conceptualization we bordered an understanding of images that art historian Aby Warburg first put forward in

11 Ibid.; Bredekamp 2015; Mitchell, W.J.T: *Picture Theory: Essays on Verbal and Visual Representation*. Chicago: 1995.

12 Curtis, Neal (ed.): *The Pictorial Turn*. London and New York: 2010; Bachmann-Medick, Doris: *Cultural Turns: New Orientations in the Study of Culture*. Berlin: 2016, p. 73.

13 Butler, Judith: *Gender Trouble*. London: 1999.

the 1920s: He compiled cut-out images from advertisements, newspapers and other formats, which were not considered art during his time of work, but Warburg's interest in the "afterlife of antiquity" and the image's transcended visual power led him to the conceptualization of the "image formula," later termed the "pathos formula" by Erwin Panofsky.¹⁴ The pathos formula describes the affective power of certain 'formula' of style in images. An example would be the gesture of the raised fist, which without a particular context needed stands for political revolt. This is of particular interest in the digital age, where there is always the ability to create yet another new image from an established icon with the help of a smart phone and freeware. In this project we thus explored visual material that ranged from updated versions of images in anticolonial archives to verbal and visual blog entries that interweave different periods within global history to images from fashion magazines and their archives as well as images from contemporary African and diasporic sci-fi imaginations.

Central to our investigations were diachronic and transcultural affiliations within visual culture in the *longue durée* related to Africa as a continent and a figuration. Here, images were of major importance during the liberation movements and revolutions in the "short 20th century,"¹⁵ and these images have their repercussions in today's political struggles as well as in the digital sphere. The imageries developed in Africa during this period are situated in the predetermined visual representations of a continent somewhere between projected dystopia and utopia. Contemporary visions draw on the pool of images and texts provided

14 Warnke, Martin in collab. with Brink, Claudia (eds.), Warburg, Aby: *Der Bilderalbum: Mnemosyne. Gesammelte Schriften II.1.* Berlin: 2008. Johnson, Christopher D.: *Memory, Metaphor and Aby Warburg's Atlas of Images.* New York: 2012; Klibansky, Raymond; Panofsky, Erwin; Saxl, Fritz: *Saturn and Melancholy: Studies in the History of Natural Philosophy, Religion and Art.* London: 1964.

15 Enwezor, Okwui: *The Short Century: Independence and Liberation Movements in Africa, 1945–1994.* Munich: 2001.

by the visual archives of revolutions and liberation struggles, which are then remixed, re-interpreted or repeated in different mediums, such as painting, photography and audiovisual media. In the course of our project, we therefore navigated between the disciplinary fields of *Bildwissenschaft* (“image studies”) as developed in a German academic context and the Anglophone visual culture studies, as the performative component of images became increasingly important. Is there a pathos formula for images of revolution? What does a revolution look like? In order to approach the recurrence of certain processes across time and space and in different media, we delved into the pathos formulae found within the iconography of politics, such as state funerals and independence ceremonies, and how these tactics are revisited today.

Images as Time Travelers and Their Media

As we moved forward, we became increasingly interested in the re-appearance of images from a different time and context than the one in which they are used in today. Visual culture, we argue, reworks the relations of space and time, and in the context of our interests, the temporality and mobility of images was key. Images unfold in multiple temporalities through various media and a relationality between these different times and spaces is created; the relations between the moments of producing and consuming images were thus central in the project. These relations can manifest in temporal differences (when images from the past reappear in contemporary discourse), spatial differences (as in the “transnational flow” of images) and medial differences (such as the transmedial transfers of images), all of which can be intersectional.¹⁶ They are cross-cut by practice: Images are contraposed, layered, merged as collage, edited, cut and reframed. We analyzed these cultural techniques, particularly those emerging

16 Hannerz, Ulf: *Cultural Complexity: Studies in the Social Organization of Meaning*. New York: 1992, p. 239.

in the digital age, and the continuous (re-)production of images in the virtual space. We asked: Is there a time slip between different so-called time zones (such as the before and after of a moment dubbed “historical”) in which “new” images have the potential and power to arise? Are there moments in which the complex net of hyper-visuality and intermedial references can interfere with a “new” visual regime, so that a new aesthetic takes over?

We believe that the movement between different times is one of the most important elements of an image's capabilities. Images have the ability to make culturally-built temporal distinctions permeable, which is best studied in the transcultural and transmedial movement of iconic configurations. In our research, a number of icons – also called “supericons” by Paul – constantly reappeared, such as the highly commercialized image of Che Guevara.¹⁷ Reflecting on icons stirs questions about the visual elements that are essential for the transcultural and transmedial transfer. In the original sense of the icon, an image closely resembles the referential person so that the image can also represent the absent person in a religious, mainly orthodox, context. In a transfer process, the ethical and/or symbolic meaning of the icon is also conveyed to the new context. But contrary to the religious context, icons in a political, social or medial context are reduced to their visual core elements, which transport the meaning. The icon of Che Guevara, for example, is based on a photograph made in 1960 by Alberto Korda and is sometimes reduced to only his beret, which indexes the political orientation of any wearer. Through this, the core meaning of the revolutionary impetus is turned into a symbol dissociated from any historical context or individual person. The reduction of meaning in a symbolic picture or an icon explains the image's transcultural, transmedial and transtextual usage, often cited and referred to in completely new contexts. The image of Angela Davis is another

17 Paul, Gerhard (ed.): *Das Jahrhundert der Bilder: Bildatlas 1949 bis heute*. Göttingen: 2008, p. 322.

example; her depiction moved from FBI wanted posters to the realm of pop art and screen-printed posters.¹⁸ The examples of Guevara and Davis, two of the most mediatised icons in popular culture, also show the transmedial component of images' movements. These images went from photographs to abstracted prints, the messages were translated into songs and poetry, and their appearances constantly changed. This sense of movement can be translated to the icons of African revolutions.

Visual cultures, expressed in public spaces like the street or internet, stipulate change by the mere presence of an icon. In Burkina Faso, this could be graffiti or T-shirts bearing the image of the assassinated first president that thus carry Thomas Sankara's presence in(to) the streets of Ouagadougou. The presence of an image, of a face, intervenes with the time of its resurgence and references values connected with its initial context – a vague but effective core element of revolutionary myth. Similar to visual icons of political leaders, slogans can also gain iconic status: photographer Jürgen Schadeberg documented a writing on a wall that read "We won't move" in Sophiatown, a now iconic suburb of Johannesburg. The graffiti was immortalized as part of the image production that fought against the forced removals of Sophiatown in the 1950s, understood as an assault against humanity within the greater context of the whole of apartheid South Africa. When asked what revolution looks like, many people in South Africa refer to Schadeberg's image. The resistance against the forced removals did not succeed in this instance – Sophiatown was bulldozed – but still, the graffiti was there on the wall, on a camera's film, in a magazine. Today, the image is reproduced in visual cultures of the web as well as on the street in urban spaces.

18 Marks, Ben: "Trailing Angela Davis, from FBI Flyers to 'Radical Chic' Art," in: *Collectors Weekly*, published on 3.7.2013. URL: www.collectorsweekly.com/articles/angela-davis-from-fbi-flyers-to-radical-chic-art/. (Last accessed January 29, 2019).

The conglomeration of resistance has become what W.J.T. Mitchell refers to as a “meta-picture” – an image behind an image – that holds revolutionary, decolonial topoi like freedom and independence.¹⁹ Following this idea of the meta-picture, images that refer to other photographs are common tools to communicate on a visual level in moments of political unrest. A considerable part of our research – in particular the one carried out together as a core team with guests and fellows – dealt with the seeing, perceiving and unpacking of these self-referencing filiations and inter-pictorial image clusters. Within this archive, pictures “know” each other; they refer to and thus also activate each other.²⁰

Project Approach

For this research project, the two dimensions of revolving and anticipating images were equally important. We explored them with different methods and often in dialogue with a number of guests from both academic and artistic fields. On a methodological level, we explored a form of intersubjective, image-based discussions that we coined the Icon Lab sessions.²¹ As in all of our work, cooperating with researchers and artists from the African continent and its diasporas was of utmost importance. Besides investigating methods of image-based research, we centered our questions on the temporal and spatial appearance and movement of images, their agency and their media formats. We questioned how revolutionary images travel through time and space,

19 Mitchell 1995, p. 56.

20 Ibid., p. 82.

21 In order to avoid repeating logics of not questioning but affirming existing archives and the logics that brought them into existence, curator Storm Janse van Rensburg conceptualized the Icon Lab, an innovative research and discussion platform. A forthcoming book will discuss this exploration of a new methodology and document a number of these sessions with international scholars.

how recent and historical imaginations of futures are negotiated in iconographies of revolutions, what impact they have, what people *do* with images, and finally which media images use as vehicles for their movements in both the analogue and virtual realms. More than tracing the origin of an image, our interests were focused on the traveling of images, on their trail of visual references the process out of which new icons emerge. The core question therefore addressed the negotiation of the imaginations of futures through the iconographies of revolutions by investigating their recent and historical visual archives.

Our project, “Revolution 3.0: Iconographies of Social Utopia in Africa and its Diasporas,” was one of five research projects concerned with visions of the future within the transdisciplinary discursive format of the Bayreuth Academy of Advanced African Studies (BA) at the University of Bayreuth, Germany. Conceptualized in 2012, the BA is a laboratory of researchers from various artistic and academic disciplines who are exploring future perspectives in relation to Africa and its diasporas, which, due to the hegemonic discourses in the academic fields of “future studies” and “area studies,” have been neglected so far. The BA’s projects have all wanted to shift the modes of discussing political, social and artistic practices, particularly those directed towards a future, in a digital, hyper-connected world. Each of the five subprojects focused on methodologies to approach this aim, ranging from a regionalized approach of area studies to a systematic scientific approach. One method our group developed was the Icon Lab sessions, a tool for intersubjective and collective research with images.

Our research firstly focused on Mozambique, particularly because of the foundation of the National Film Institute (*Instituto Nacional de Cinema*) directly after the country’s independence in 1975. Established in order to produce and promote “homemade” images for Mozambicans, it acted as a visual counter-discourse to colonial imageries. Furthermore, Mozambique, as part of the worldwide association the Socialist International, played an integral role in political dynamics during the Cold War period and was related to countries such as Algeria, Ango-

la, Namibia, Burkina Faso and Guinea Bissau. This inter- and trans-nationalism, both ideological and factual, resulted in references and relationships that reached beyond Africa as a continent and connected with countries like Cuba and Chile as well as the German Democratic Republic and the Soviet Union. Working with people who created new images in revolutionary times like Licínio Azevedo and Luís Carlos Patraquim, who were active filmmakers and screenwriters during the Mozambican revolution, was crucial. Their images indeed were testimonies of the coming, sometimes speculative musings but always carefully constructed visual proof of an anticipated new world. From a shared starting point, our four individual research projects departed in different directions: Ute Fendler focused on the role of icons in contemporary Mozambican and Burkinabé pop culture, transnationally related to revolutionary heroes such as Che Guevara; Nadine Siegert analyzed the afterlives of revolutionary art in post-revolutionary contexts, particularly in Angola; Ulf Vierke addressed the question of how an “anarchic archive” could look, and scrutinized photography within this context²²; Katharina Fink looked at images depicting performances of fashion and style and their power to proclaim a future present.

The topic that interwove and kept our works together was the notion of “3.0” in relation to revolutionary imagery. In contrast to other BA investigations, we leaned on the 3.0 because of its contemporary impact in digital cultures. Following the moment of production (1.0) and first level of consumption and distribution (2.0), such as through social media which also concerned us, we became interested in the afterlife of images (3.0) and their impact on contemporary culture as stated above. Therefore, 3.0 stood for these references: The third stage of (re)production of images as the third generation of information producers; the focus on the temporality within and created by

22 Vierke, Ulf: “Archive, Art, and Anarchy: Challenging the Praxis of Collecting and Archiving: From the Topological Archive to the Anarchic Archive.” In: *African Arts*, vol 48, no. 2, pp. 12–25.

images; and the affective relations of images. Especially in the 3.0, the semantic web-inspired relationality in interface cultures, a clear-cut framing of past-present-future becomes impossible to uphold. We thus investigated the 3.0, which claims to have a moment of originality, and were always also interested in the relations that connect the temporal markers of creation and (re)production set by producers.

The Aim of this Book

The initial results of our research are presented here in a textbook format that combines contributions by our core research staff as well as by professional affiliates, visiting researchers and artists.²³ The book is organized according to the questions we posed concerning the relations between images and revolutions.

Section one, “Transcending Time: Powerful Images,” deals with the temporalities altered and created by images. The chapter combines analyses of what images do with and in time from different angles. The essay “Icons of Political Leaders – from Sacral to Popular Images” by Ute Fendler (Bayreuth) discusses icons and their agency to create time in relation to poetics. Images, as they materialize as pictures in various media and formats, add a utopian potential to emerging processes of social change and can turn into icons of this change. The contribution by our project fellow Drew Thompson (New York), “Visualizing Revolution: The Case of Samora Machel’s Funeral”, is an insightful analysis of image cultures and cults, based on one of our Icon Lab sessions. The paper “‘Another World is Possible’: The Socialist

23 The core team of researchers in the project Revolution 3.0 are Ute Fendler, Katharina Fink, Nadine Siegert and Ulf Vierke. Throughout the course of the project, the following colleagues joined for longer periods of time: Stefanie Alisch, Storm Janse van Rensburg, Susanne Gerhard, Gilbert Ndi Shang and Aminata Mbaye. A number of disciplines and their respective perspectives are represented. These range from literature, film and art studies to musicology and curatorial studies.

Mural in Luanda as Visual Anticipation of a New Socialist Society” by Nadine Siegert (Bayreuth) focuses on mural painting as a specific genre of revolutionary art and how the agency of images in a certain mural has changed over time. Having been involved in the image production in post-revolutionary Mozambique himself, Luís Carlos Patraquim (Lisbon), an author of poetry, prose and film scripts, moves the focus from the purely visual to enmeshed political and cultural production in his essay “Mozambique. Permanent Reinvention”. He embeds the Mozambican, FRELIMO-centered revolution within a larger frame of temporal and political visions and forms a response to Achille Mbembe’s work on the diverse “selves” in post-colonial states of affairs.²⁴ The chapter concludes with an artwork by Johan Thom (Pretoria), the title of which ironically embraces the tightrope act of post-revolutionary work: “A Luta ctd.”

Section two offers a closer look at the relations between images and the webs of meaning they spin: “Constellations: Traveling Images.” The contribution by Katharina Fink (Bayreuth), “Logbook Revolution: Iconic Images as Stylists of Radical Change” departs from the film camera of Martin Luther King Jr. at the independence ceremonies in Accra, Ghana, and moves towards a constellation of images of style from different moments in time. Using style as a lens, Fink stresses the power of an image as a conglomeration of material culture, photography and performance to proclaim futures as present. The second contribution in this section fuses architecture, philosophy and speculation: “A Machine to Destruct the World | WZM 0.1” by Marco Russo (Innsbruck) creates new images itself and thereby provides a meta-reading of the book’s topic. As a bridge between chapters two and three, we invite readers to take a walk along monuments with Onejoon Che’s (Seoul) writing about the politics surrounding commemorative statues of political leaders and moments created by the North Korean company

24 Mbembe, Achille: *De la postcolonie. Essai sur l'imagination politique dans l'Afrique contemporaine*. Paris: 2000.

Mansudae Overseas Projects on the African continent. Ute Fendler, Nadine Siegert and Fabio Vanin respond to Onejoon Che's thoughts.

The third section, “Performing Archives of Radicality,” deals with ways of actualizing and thereby creating archives. It brings into dialogue three contributions. “Unsettled Histories, Photography and the Anarchic Archive: Cedric Nunn’s ‘Unsettled’ as a Post-colonial Reading of Landscape, Architecture, Artifacts and Body” by Ulf Vierke (Bayreuth) deals with the relations between photography and the visuality of place in the works of contemporary artist and activist Cedric Nunn. Vierke’s investigation of a photographic archive in the light of anarchy is followed by the script from a radical performance of an-archivism, which holds the dramaturgy of an intervention in Bayreuth by performance artist Goldendean (Cape Town) in 2015. With “The Queer Body as an Archive of Possibilities – A Script”, readers are invited to imagine the layered topics of Goldendean’s work – the subject matter of which is interwoven with quotations from poems and speeches during social movements – and to experience the aesthetics of a possible performance. The chapter closes with an essay by Moses Serubiri (Kampala), titled “On the Aesthetics of Protest,” that investigates the visual language of demonstrations and oppositions in Uganda.

The fourth and final section called “Aestheticising Revolution: Flipping Images” starts with “Perpetual Protest – In Conversation with Jelsen Lee Innocent,” a dialogue between Jelsen Lee Innocent (New York) and Katharina Fink which was inspired by an artwork. From there, Ana Balona de Oliveira (Lisbon) takes a closer look at two powerful image creators in contemporary art with her piece “Falling Radio Towers and Flying Mausoleums: Iconographies of Revolution and Utopia in the work of Ângela Ferreira and Kiluanji Kia Henda.” In “Switching Over: Reflections on the Intersection of Dance and Visual Media in Angolan kuduro,” an essay based on her work in Angola, Stefanie Alisch (Berlin) examines the intermedial relations in performances of past revolutionary movements.

Introducing

The last contribution is both an artistic statement and a reflection on the pleasures of queering archives; titled “RILF – Revolutionaries I’d Like to Fuck,” the piece places an artwork by video artist Raí Gandra (Rio de Janeiro).

This book aims to link these different visual contexts and diverse approaches to reading and working with images that are connected to social, political and cultural change. It reflects the wide variety of methods that are necessary to even partly capture the multilayered and multidirectional role of images in the development of meaning within contexts of change – their role within temporal orders and the creation of times. The contributions are all interconnected and open new perspectives within their respective fields. In this way, the final compilation is just one momentous take on a complex, expanding field of research and, as current socio-political movements show, this topic is far from exhausted. The revolutionary power of images has, indeed, never been more radical.

The research project of Revolution 3.0 took place during a temporal moment in which mainstream politics experienced a global shift towards the favoring of radical right wing and conservative parties. The agency of images – not only in political campaigns but also on social media platforms – became virulent. The manipulative power of images, whether in “real” or fake news, constantly challenged our research, and political events with revolutionary potential, such as the 2014 *Balai Citoyen* movement in Burkina Faso and the “Welcome to Hell” demonstrations against the 2017 G20 Hamburg summit, became a sort of testing ground for our hypothesis on the agency of images.

Bibliography

Assmann, Aleida: *Erinnerungsräume: Formen und Wandlungen des kulturellen Gedächtnisses*. Munich: 2006.

Assmann, Jan: *Das kulturelle Gedächtnis*. Munich: 2005.

Bachmann-Medick, Doris: *Cultural Turns: New Orientations in the Study of Culture*. Berlin: 2016.

Biko, Steve: *I Write What I Like*. Chicago: 1978.

Bloch, Ernst: *The Principle of Hope*. Cambridge: 1995.

Boehm, Gottfried: *Wie Bilder Sinn erzeugen: Die Macht des Zeigens*. Berlin: 2008.

Bredekamp, Horst: *Der Bildakt*. Berlin: 2015.

Butler, Judith: *Gender Trouble*. London: 1999.

Curtis, Neal (ed.): *The Pictorial Turn*. London and New York: 2010.

Daniel, Jamie Owen; Moylan, Tom: *Not Yet: Reconsidering Ernst Bloch*. New York: 1997.

Davis, Whitney: *Visuality and Virtuality: Images and Pictures from Prehistory to Perspective*. New York: 2017, p. 7.

Enwezor, Okwui: *The Short Century: Independence and Liberation Movements in Africa, 1945–1994*. Munich: 2001.

Halbwachs, Maurice: *On Collective Memory*. Chicago: 1992.

Hannerz, Ulf: *Cultural Complexity: Studies in the Social Organization of Meaning*. New York: 1992.

Hobsbawm, Eric: *The Age of Revolution: Europe 1789–1848*. London: 1962.

Johnson, Christopher D.: *Memory, Metaphor and Aby Warburg's Atlas of Images*. New York: 2012.