

Cochrane Handbook for

Systematic Reviews of Interventions

SECOND EDITION

Edited by Julian P. T. Higgins James Thomas

Associate Editors

Jacqueline Chandler · Miranda Cumpston Tianjing Li · Matthew J. Page · Vivian A. Welch

WILEY Blackwell

Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions

Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions

Second Edition

Edited by Julian P.T. Higgins, James Thomas, Jacqueline Chandler, Miranda Cumpston, Tianjing Li, Matthew J. Page and Vivian A. Welch



WILEY Blackwell

This edition first published 2019 © 2019 The Cochrane Collaboration

This work is a co-publication between The Cochrane Collaboration and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Edition History

1e The Cochrane Collaboration

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

The right of Julian P.T. Higgins, James Thomas, Jacqueline Chandler, Miranda Cumpston, Tianjing Li, Matthew J. Page, Vivian A. Welch to be identified as the authors of the editorial material in this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

Registered Office(s)

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial Office

9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

The contents of this work are intended to further general scientific research, understanding, and discussion only and are not intended and should not be relied upon as recommending or promoting scientific method, diagnosis, or treatment by physicians for any particular patient. In view of ongoing research, equipment modifications, changes in governmental regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to the use of medicines, equipment, and devices, the reader is urged to review and evaluate the information provided in the package insert or instructions for each medicine, equipment, or device for, among other things, any changes in the instructions or indication of usage and for added warnings and precautions. While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Higgins, Julian P. T., editor. | Cochrane Collaboration, issuing body. Title: Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions / edited by Julian P.T. Higgins, James Thomas, Jacqueline Chandler, Miranda Cumpston, Tianjing Li, Matthew J. Page, Vivian A. Welch. Other titles: Cochrane book series. Description: Second edition. | Hoboken, NJ : Wiley-Blackwell, 2019. | Series: Cochrane book series | Includes bibliographical references and index. | "This work is a co-publication between The Cochrane Collaboration and John Wiley & Sons Ltd."-ECIP title page verso. Identifiers: LCCN 2019024539 | ISBN 9781119536628 (hardback) | ISBN 9781119536611 (adobe pdf) | ISBN 9781119536659 (epub) Subjects: | MESH: Outcome and Process Assessment (Health Care) | Evidence-Based Medicine-methods | Meta-Analysis as Topic | Systematic Reviews as Topic Classification: LCC RA427.3 | NLM W 84.41 | DDC 362.1-dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2019024539 Cover image: © ittipon2002/iStock.com, © Eraxion/iStock.com, © Raycat/iStock.com, © atoss/iStock.com, © anmbph/ iStock.com, © shapecharge/iStock.com, © adventtr/iStock.com, © PeopleImages/iStock.com, © Abel Mitja Varela/ iStock.com, © kiankhoon/iStock.com, © Wavebreakmedia/iStock.com, © 9comeback/iStock.com, © leoniepow/ iStock com

Cover design by Wilev

Set in 10/12pt SourceSansPro by SPi Global, Pondicherry, India

Printed in the UK by Bell & Bain Ltd, Glasgow

HB printing 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Contributors xiii Preface xxiii

Part One Core methods 1

- **1** Starting a review 3
- 1.1 Why do a systematic review? 3
- 1.2 What is the review question? 4
- 1.3 Who should do a systematic review? 5
- 1.4 The importance of reliability 7
- 1.5 Protocol development 8
- 1.6 Data management and quality assurance 11
- 1.7 Chapter information 12
- 1.8 References 12

2 Determining the scope of the review and the questions it will address 13

- 2.1 Rationale for well-formulated questions 13
- 2.2 Aims of reviews of interventions 15
- 2.3 Defining the scope of a review question 16
- 2.4 Ensuring the review addresses the right questions 21
- 2.5 Methods and tools for structuring the review 24
- 2.6 Chapter information 29
- 2.7 References 29
- **3** Defining the criteria for including studies and how they will be grouped for the synthesis 33
- 3.1 Introduction 33
- 3.2 Articulating the review and comparison PICO 35
- 3.3 Determining which study designs to include 51
- 3.4 Eligibility based on publication status and language 60
- 3.5 Chapter information 61
- 3.6 References 61

- 4 Searching for and selecting studies 67
- 4.1 Introduction 68
- 4.2 General issues 68
- 4.3 Sources to search 70
- 4.4 Designing search strategies 79
- 4.5 Documenting and reporting the search process 90
- 4.6 Selecting studies 92
- 4.7 Chapter information 99
- 4.8 References 99

5 Collecting data 109

- 5.1 Introduction 109
- 5.2 Sources of data 110
- 5.3 What data to collect 114
- 5.4 Data collection tools 125
- 5.5 Extracting data from reports 130
- 5.6 Extracting study results and converting to the desired format 136
- 5.7 Managing and sharing data 136
- 5.8 Chapter information 137
- 5.9 References 137

6 Choosing effect measures and computing estimates of effect 143

- 6.1 Types of data and effect measures 143
- 6.2 Study designs and identifying the unit of analysis 145
- 6.3 Extracting estimates of effect directly 148
- 6.4 Dichotomous outcome data 150
- 6.5 Continuous outcome data 156
- 6.6 Ordinal outcome data and measurement scales 168
- 6.7 Count and rate data 170
- 6.8 Time-to-event data 172
- 6.9 Conditional outcomes only available for subsets of participants 173
- 6.10 Chapter information 174
- 6.11 References 174

7 Considering bias and conflicts of interest among the included studies 177

- 7.1 Introduction 177
- 7.2 Empirical evidence of bias 180
- 7.3 General procedures for risk-of-bias assessment 185
- 7.4 Presentation of assessment of risk of bias 188
- 7.5 Summary assessments of risk of bias 188
- 7.6 Incorporating assessment of risk of bias into analyses 190
- 7.7 Considering risk of bias due to missing results 192
- 7.8 Considering source of funding and conflict of interest of authors of included studies *193*
- 7.9 Chapter information 199
- 7.10 References 199

- 8 Assessing risk of bias in a randomized trial 205
- 8.1 Introduction 205
- 8.2 Overview of RoB 2 206
- 8.3 Bias arising from the randomization process *212*
- 8.4 Bias due to deviations from intended interventions 214
- 8.5 Bias due to missing outcome data 217
- 8.6 Bias in measurement of the outcome 220
- 8.7 Bias in selection of the reported result 221
- 8.8 Differences from the previous version of the tool 225
- 8.9 Chapter information 226
- 8.10 References 227

9 Summarizing study characteristics and preparing for synthesis 229

- 9.1 Introduction 229
- 9.2 A general framework for synthesis 230
- 9.3 Preliminary steps of a synthesis 231
- 9.4 Checking data before synthesis 238
- 9.5 Types of synthesis 238
- 9.6 Chapter information 240
- 9.7 References 240

10 Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses 241

- 10.1 Do not start here! 242
- 10.2 Introduction to meta-analysis 242
- 10.3 A generic inverse-variance approach to meta-analysis 245
- 10.4 Meta-analysis of dichotomous outcomes 246
- 10.5 Meta-analysis of continuous outcomes 251
- 10.6 Combining dichotomous and continuous outcomes 254
- 10.7 Meta-analysis of ordinal outcomes and measurement scales 255
- 10.8 Meta-analysis of counts and rates 255
- 10.9 Meta-analysis of time-to-event outcomes 256
- 10.10 Heterogeneity 257
- 10.11 Investigating heterogeneity 265
- 10.12 Missing data 272
- 10.13 Bayesian approaches to meta-analysis 276
- 10.14 Sensitivity analyses 277
- 10.15 Chapter information 279
- 10.16 References 280

11 Undertaking network meta-analyses 285

- 11.1 What is network meta-analysis? 285
- 11.2 Important concepts 287
- 11.3 Planning a Cochrane Review to compare multiple interventions 293
- 11.4 Synthesis of results 297
- 11.5 Evaluating confidence in the results of a network meta-analysis 304
- 11.6 Presenting network meta-analyses 309

- 11.7 Concluding remarks 315
- 11.8 Chapter information 316
- 11.9 References 316

12 Synthesizing and presenting findings using other methods 321

- 12.1 Why a meta-analysis of effect estimates may not be possible 321
- 12.2 Statistical synthesis when meta-analysis of effect estimates is not possible 324
- 12.3 Visual display and presentation of the data 330
- 12.4 Worked example 333
- 12.5 Chapter information 345
- 12.6 References 346

13 Assessing risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis 349

- 13.1 Introduction 350
- 13.2 Minimizing risk of bias due to missing results 351
- 13.3 A framework for assessing risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis 354
- 13.4 Summary 369
- 13.5 Chapter information 370
- 13.6 References 370
- 14 Completing 'Summary of findings' tables and grading the certainty of the evidence 375
- 14.1 'Summary of findings' tables 375
- 14.2 Assessing the certainty or quality of a body of evidence 389
- 14.3 Describing the assessment of the certainty of a body of evidence using the GRADE framework 398
- 14.4 Chapter information 399
- 14.5 References 399

15 Interpreting results and drawing conclusions 403

- 15.1 Introduction 403
- 15.2 Issues of indirectness and applicability 405
- 15.3 Interpreting results of statistical analyses 408
- 15.4 Interpreting results from dichotomous outcomes (including numbers needed to treat) *411*
- 15.5 Interpreting results from continuous outcomes (including standardized mean differences) 416
- 15.6 Drawing conclusions 422
- 15.7 Chapter information 427
- 15.8 References 428

Part Two Specific perspectives in reviews 433

16 Equity and specific populations 435

- 16.1 Introduction to equity in systematic reviews 435
- 16.2 Formulation of the review 437

- 16.3 Identification of evidence 441
- 16.4 Appraisal of evidence 443
- 16.5 Synthesis of evidence 443
- 16.6 Interpretation of evidence 444
- 16.7 Concluding remarks 445
- 16.8 Chapter information 445
- 16.9 References 445

17 Intervention complexity 451

- 17.1 Introduction 451
- 17.2 Formulation of the review 461
- 17.3 Identification of evidence 468
- 17.4 Appraisal of evidence 469
- 17.5 Synthesis of evidence 469
- 17.6 Interpretation of evidence 472
- 17.7 Chapter information 473
- 17.8 References 474

18 Patient-reported outcomes 479

- 18.1 Introduction to patient-reported outcomes 479
- 18.2 Formulation of the review 480
- 18.3 Appraisal of evidence 482
- 18.4 Synthesis and interpretation of evidence 485
- 18.5 Chapter information 488
- 18.6 References 489

19 Adverse effects 493

- 19.1 Introduction to issues in addressing adverse effects 493
- 19.2 Formulation of the review 496
- 19.3 Identification of evidence 500
- 19.4 Appraisal of evidence 502
- 19.5 Synthesis and interpretation of evidence 503
- 19.6 Chapter information 504
- 19.7 References 505

20 Economic evidence 507

- 20.1 Introduction 507
- 20.2 Formulation of the review 512
- 20.3 Identification of evidence 517
- 20.4 Appraisal of evidence 519
- 20.5 Synthesis and interpretation of evidence 519
- 20.6 Chapter information 521
- 20.7 References 522

21 Qualitative evidence 525

21.1 Introduction 525

- 21.2 Designs for synthesizing and integrating qualitative evidence with intervention reviews 526
- 21.3 Defining qualitative evidence and studies 527
- 21.4 Planning a qualitative evidence synthesis linked to an intervention review 528
- 21.5 Question development 529
- 21.6 Questions exploring intervention implementation 530
- 21.7 Searching for qualitative evidence 531
- 21.8 Assessing methodological strengths and limitations of qualitative studies 532
- 21.9 Selecting studies to synthesize 533
- 21.10 Selecting a qualitative evidence synthesis and data extraction method 534
- 21.11 Data extraction 534
- 21.12 Assessing the confidence in qualitative synthesized findings 537
- 21.13 Methods for integrating the qualitative evidence synthesis with an intervention review 537
- 21.14 Reporting the protocol and qualitative evidence synthesis 538
- 21.15 Chapter information 539
- 21.16 References 539

Part Three Further topics 547

22 Prospective approaches to accumulating evidence 549

- 22.1 Introduction 549
- 22.2 Evidence surveillance: active monitoring of the accumulating evidence 550
- 22.3 Prospectively planned meta-analysis 554
- 22.4 Statistical analysis of accumulating evidence 561
- 22.5 Chapter information 564
- 22.6 References 565

23 Including variants on randomized trials 569

- 23.1 Cluster-randomized trials 569
- 23.2 Crossover trials 576
- 23.3 Studies with more than two intervention groups 585
- 23.4 Chapter information 590
- 23.5 References 591

24 Including non-randomized studies on intervention effects 595

- 24.1 Introduction 595
- 24.2 Developing criteria for including non-randomized studies of interventions 601
- 24.3 Searching for non-randomized studies of interventions 606
- 24.4 Selecting studies and collecting data 608
- 24.5 Assessing risk of bias in non-randomized studies 610
- 24.6 Synthesis of results from non-randomized studies 611
- 24.7 Interpretation and discussion 614
- 24.8 Chapter information 617
- 24.9 References 617

- 25 Assessing risk of bias in a non-randomized study 621
- 25.1 Introduction 622
- 25.2 Biases in non-randomized studies 623
- 25.3 The ROBINS-I tool 626
- 25.4 Risk of bias in follow-up (cohort) studies 632
- 25.5 Risk of bias in uncontrolled before-after studies (including interrupted time series) 635
- 25.6 Risk of bias in controlled before-after studies 638
- 25.7 Chapter information 640
- 25.8 References 640

26 Individual participant data 643

- 26.1 Introduction 643
- 26.2 Collecting IPD 647
- 26.3 Managing and checking IPD 650
- 26.4 Analysis of IPD 652
- 26.5 Reporting IPD reviews 655
- 26.6 Appraising the quality of IPD reviews 655
- 26.7 Chapter information 655
- 26.8 References 655

Index 659

Contributors

Akl, Elie A

Department of Internal Medicine American University of Beirut Medical Center Beirut Lebanon

Altman, Douglas G^{*} Centre for Statistics in Medicine University of Oxford Oxford UK

Aluko, Patricia Institute of Health and Society Newcastle University Newcastle upon Tyne UK

Askie, Lisa M NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre University of Sydney Sydney Australia

Beaton, Dorcas E Institute for Work & Health Toronto, Ontario Canada

Berlin, Jesse A Department of Epidemiology Johnson & Johnson Titusville, NJ USA

Bhaumik, Soumyadeep The George Institute for Global Health New Delhi India

Bingham III, Clifton O Department of Rheumatology Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, MD USA

Boers, Maarten Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics Amsterdam UMC Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Amsterdam The Netherlands

Booth, Andrew School of Health and Related Research University of Sheffield Sheffield UK

Boutron, Isabelle METHODS team, Centre of Research in Epidemiology and Statistics (CRESS-UMR1153), INSERM/Paris Descartes University;

^{*} Deceased 3 June 2018

Contributors

Centre d' Epidémiologie Clinique Assistance Publique des hôpitaux de Paris; Cochrane France Paris France

Brennan, Sue E Cochrane Australia School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine Monash University Melbourne Australia

Briel, Matthias Department of Clinical Research University of Basel Basel Switzerland

Briscoe, Simon College of Medicine and Health University of Exeter Exeter UK

Busse, Jason W Department of Anesthesia McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario Canada

Caldwell, Deborah M Population Health Sciences Bristol Medical School University of Bristol Bristol UK

Cargo, Margaret Health Research Institute University of Canberra Canberra Australia Carrasco-Labra, Alonso

Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence and Impact (HEI) McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario Canada Department of Oral and Craniofacial Health Science University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Chapel Hill, NC USA

Chaimani, Anna

METHODS team Centre of Research in Epidemiology and Statistics Sorbonne Paris Cité (CRESS-UMR1153), INSERM/Paris Descartes University Paris France

Chandler, Jacqueline

Wessex Academic Health Science Network University Hospital Southampton Southampton UK

Christensen, Robin Musculoskeletal Statistics Unit The Parker Institute Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg Hospital Copenhagen Denmark

Clarke, Mike Northern Ireland Methodology Hub Centre for Public Health Queen's University Belfast Belfast Northern Ireland

Craig, Dawn Institute of Health and Society Newcastle University Newcastle upon Tyne UK *da Costa, Bruno R* Applied Health Research Centre St. Michael's Hospital Toronto, Ontario Canada

Deeks, Jonathan J Institute of Applied Health Research University of Birmingham Birmingham UK

Devji, Tahira Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence and Impact (HEI) McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario Canada

Drummond, Michael Centre for Health Economics University of York York UK

Elbers, Roy G Population Health Sciences Bristol Medical School University of Bristol Bristol UK

El Dib, Regina Institute of Science and Technology UNESP - Univ Estadual Paulista São José dos Campos Brazil

Eldridge, Sandra Centre for Primary Care and Public Health Blizard Institute Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry Queen Mary University of London London UK *Elliott, Julian H* Cochrane Australia Monash University Melbourne Australia

Flemming, Kate Department of Health Sciences University of York York UK

Gagnier, Joel J Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Epidemiology University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI USA

Garside, Ruth European Centre for Environment and Human Health University of Exeter Medical School University of Exeter Truro UK

Ghersi, Davina Research Policy and Translation National Health and Medical Research Council Canberra Australia

Glanville, Julie York Health Economics Consortium York UK

Glasziou, Paul Institute for Evidence-Based Healthcare Bond University Queensland Australia

Golder, Su Department of Health Sciences University of York York UK

Contributors

Graybill, Erin Department of Economics Newcastle University Business School Newcastle upon Tyne UK

Guyatt, Gordon H Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence and Impact (HEI) McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario Canada

Hannes, Karin Social Research Methodology Group Faculty of Social Sciences KU Leuven Leuven Belgium

Harden, Angela Institute of Health and Human Development University of East London London UK

Harris, Janet School of Health and Related Research University of Sheffield Sheffield UK

Hartling, Lisa Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine & Dentistry University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta Canada

Henderson, Catherine Personal Social Services Unit London School of Economics and Political Science London UK Hernán, Miguel A Departments of Epidemiology and Biostatistics Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health Boston, MA USA

Higgins, Julian PT Population Health Sciences Bristol Medical School University of Bristol Bristol UK

Hróbjartsson, Asbjørn Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Odense (CEBMO) Odense University Hospital Odense Denmark

Johnston, Bradley C Department of Community Health and Epidemiology Dalhousie University Halifax, Nova Scotia Canada

Johnston, Renea V Monash Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Cabrini Institute School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University Melbourne Australia

Jull, Janet School of Rehabilitation Therapy Queen's University Kingston, Ontario Canada

Junqueira, Daniela R Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta Canada Klassen, Terry Manitoba Institute of Child Health Winnipeg, Manitoba Canada

Kneale, Dylan EPPI-Centre, Institute of Education University College London London UK

Kristjansson, Elizabeth School of Psychology Faculty of Social Sciences University of Ottawa Ottawa, Ontario Canada

Lasserson, Toby J Editorial & Methods Department Cochrane Central Executive London UK

Lefebvre, Carol Lefebvre Associates Ltd Oxford UK

Li, Tianjing Department of Epidemiology Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health Baltimore, MD USA

Littlewood, Anne Cochrane Oral Health University of Manchester Manchester UK

Loke, Yoon Kong Norwich Medical School University of East Anglia Norwich UK Lundh, Andreas Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Odense (CEBMO) Odense University Hospital Odense Denmark

Lyddiatt, Anne Ingersoll, Ontario Canada

Marshall, Chris Institute of Health and Society Newcastle University Newcastle upon Tyne UK

Maxwell, Lara J University of Ottawa Ottawa, Ontario Canada

McAleenan, Alexandra Population Health Sciences Bristol Medical School University of Bristol Bristol UK

McKenzie, Joanne E School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine Monash University Melbourne Australia

Metzendorf, Maria-Inti Institute of General Practice Medical Faculty of the Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf Düsseldorf Germany

Noel-Storr, Anna Radcliffe Department of Medicine University of Oxford Oxford UK

Contributors

Noyes, Jane School of Health Sciences Bangor University Bangor UK

Ostelo, Raymond W Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics Amsterdam UMC Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Amsterdam The Netherlands

Page, Matthew J School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine Monash University Melbourne Australia

Pantoja, Tomás Department of Family Medicine Faculty of Medicine Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile Santiago Chile

Pardo Pardo, Jordi Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group University of Ottawa Ottawa, Ontario Canada

Patrick, Donald L Health Services and Epidemiology University of Washington Seattle, WA USA

Peryer, Guy University of East Anglia Norwich UK *Petkovic, Jennifer* Bruyère Research Institute University of Ottawa Ottawa, Ontario Canada

Petticrew, Mark Faculty of Public Health and Policy London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine London UK

Rader, Tamara Evidence Standards Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health Ottawa, Ontario Canada

Reeves, Barnaby C Translational Health Sciences Bristol Medical School University of Bristol Bristol UK

Rehfuess, Eva Pettenkofer School of Public Health Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometry and Epidemiology LMU Munich Munich Germany

Robalino, Shannon Institute of Health and Society Newcastle University Newcastle upon Tyne UK

Ryan, Rebecca E

Cochrane Consumers and Communication Group Centre for Health Communication and Participation La Trobe University Melbourne Australia

Salanti, Georgia Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine University of Bern Bern Switzerland

Santesso, Nancy

Department of Health Research Methods Evidence and Impact (HEI) McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario Canada

Savović, Jelena Population Health Sciences Bristol Medical School University of Bristol Bristol UK

Schünemann, Holger J Departments of Health Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact (HEI) and of Medicine McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario Canada

Shea, Beverley Department of Medicine, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute; School of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Ottawa Ottawa, Ontario Canada *Shemilt, Ian* EPPI-Centre University College London London UK

Shokraneh, Farhad Cochrane Schizophrenia Group Division of Psychiatry and Applied Psychology Institute of Mental Health School of Medicine University of Nottingham Nottingham UK

Simmonds, Mark Centre for Reviews and Dissemination University of York York UK

Singh, Jasvinder School of Medicine University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, AL USA

Skoetz, Nicole Department of Internal Medicine University Hospital of Cologne Cologne Germany

Sterne, Jonathan AC Population Health Sciences Bristol Medical School University of Bristol Bristol UK

Stewart, Lesley A Centre for Reviews and Dissemination University of York York UK

Contributors

Stott, David J Academic Section of Geriatric Medicine Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences University of Glasgow Glasgow UK

Takwoingi, Yemisi Institute of Applied Health Research University of Birmingham Birmingham UK

Terwee, Caroline B Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute Amsterdam UMC Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Amsterdam The Netherlands

Thomas, James EPPI-Centre, Department of Social Science University College London London UK

Thomson, Denise Department of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta Canada

Thomson, Hilary J MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit University of Glasgow Glasgow UK *Tierney, Jayne F* MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL Institute of Clinical Trials and Methodology London UK

Tugwell, Peter Department of Medicine & School of Epidemiology and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa; Clinical Epidemiology Program, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute Ottawa, Ontario Canada

Ueffing, Erin Centre for Practice-Changing Research Ottawa Hospital Research Institute Ottawa, Ontario Canada

Vale, Luke Health Economics Group Institute of Health and Society Newcastle University Newcastle upon Tyne UK

Vist, Gunn E Department of Preventive, Health Promotion and Organisation of Care Norwegian Institute of Public Health Oslo Norway

Vohra, Sunita Department of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine & Dentistry University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta Canada *Welch, Vivian A* Bruyère Research Institute Ottawa, Ontario Canada

Wells, George A School of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Ottawa; University of Ottawa Heart Institute Ottawa, Ontario Canada

Wieland, L Susan

Center for Integrative Medicine Department of Family and Community Medicine University of Maryland School of Medicine Baltimore, MD USA

Williams, Katrina

Department of Paediatrics, Monash University; Developmental Paediatrics, Monash Children's Hospital; Neurodisability and Rehabilitation, Murdoch Children's Research Institute Melbourne Australia

Williamson, Paula R

Department of Biostatistics University of Liverpool Liverpool UK

Wilson, Edward CF Health Economics Group Norwich Medical School University of East Anglia UK

Young, Camilla Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences University of Glasgow Glasgow UK

Preface

'First, do no harm' is a principle to which those who would intervene in the lives of other people are often called to ascribe. However, in this era of data deluge, it is not possible for individual decision makers to ensure that their decisions are informed by the latest, reliable, research knowledge; and without reliable information to guide them, they can cause harm, even though their intentions may be good. This is the core problem that the founder of Cochrane, Sir Iain Chalmers, aimed to address through the provision of systematic reviews of reliable research.

By synthesizing the results of individual studies, systematic reviews present a summary of all the available evidence to answer a question, and in doing so can uncover important knowledge about the effects of healthcare interventions. Systematic reviews undertaken by Cochrane (Cochrane Reviews) present reliable syntheses of the results of multiple studies, alongside an assessment of the possibility of bias in the results, contextual factors influencing the interpretation and applicability of results, and other elements that can affect certainty in decision making. They reduce the time wasted by individuals searching for and appraising the same studies, and also aim to reduce research waste by ensuring that future studies can build on the body of studies already completed.

A systematic review attempts to collate all empirical evidence that fits pre-specified eligibility criteria in order to answer a specific research question. It uses explicit, systematic methods that are selected with a view to minimizing bias, thus providing more reliable findings from which conclusions can be drawn and decisions made. The key characteristics of a systematic review are:

- a clearly stated set of objectives with pre-defined eligibility criteria for studies;
- an explicit, reproducible methodology;
- a systematic search that attempts to identify all studies that meet the eligibility criteria;
- an assessment of the validity of the findings of the included studies, for example through the assessment of risk of bias; and
- a systematic presentation, and synthesis, of the characteristics and findings of the included studies.

For twenty-five years, Cochrane Reviews have supported people making healthcare decisions, whether they are health professionals, managers, policy makers, or individuals making choices for themselves and their families. The *Cochrane Handbook for*

Preface

Systematic Reviews of Interventions (the *Handbook*) provides guidance to authors for this work.

About Cochrane

Cochrane is a global network of health practitioners, researchers, patient advocates and others, with a mission to promote evidence-informed health decision making by producing high quality, relevant, accessible systematic reviews and other synthesized research evidence (www.cochrane.org). Founded as The Cochrane Collaboration in 1993, it is a not-for-profit organization whose members aim to produce credible, accessible health information that is free from commercial sponsorship and other conflicts of interest.

Cochrane works collaboratively with health professionals, policy makers and international organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO) to support the development of evidence-informed guidelines and policy. WHO guidelines on critical public health issues such as breastfeeding (2017) and malaria (2015), and the WHO Essential Medicines List (2017) are underpinned by dozens of Cochrane Reviews.

There are many examples of the impact of Cochrane Reviews on health and health care. Influential reviews of corticosteroids for women at risk of giving birth prematurely, treatments for macular degeneration and tranexamic acid for trauma patients with bleeding have demonstrated the effectiveness of these life-changing interventions and influenced clinical practice around the world. Other reviews of anti-arrhythmic drugs for atrial fibrillation and neuraminidase inhibitors for influenza have raised important doubts about the effectiveness of interventions in common use.

Cochrane Reviews are published in full online in the *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, which is a core component of the Cochrane Library (www.thecochranelibrary. com). The Cochrane Library was first published in 1996, and is now an online collection of multiple databases.

The evidence for Cochrane methodology

While Cochrane was one of the earliest organizations to produce and publish systematic reviews, there are now many organizations and journals doing so. One of the key elements that sets Cochrane apart is its rigorous methods, and Cochrane has played a unique role in fostering the development of methodology for systematic reviews throughout its history. Cochrane Methods Groups are voluntary collaborations of some of the world's leading methodological researchers in statistics, information retrieval, bias, qualitative methods, and many other specialist areas (see https://methods. cochrane.org). These Methods Groups support and disseminate methods research that identifies the most effective and efficient methods for systematic reviews, minimizing bias and increasing the appropriate analysis and interpretation of results.

The use of these rigorous methods is challenging and often time-consuming, but the recommendations are not made for their own sake. As McKenzie and colleagues wrote, "Our confidence in the findings of systematic reviews rests on the evidence base

underpinning the methods we use. Just as there are consequences arising from the choices we make about health and social care interventions, so too are there consequences when we choose the methods to use in systematic reviews." (McKenzie et al, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2015; 7: ED00010)

With this in mind, the guidance in this *Handbook* has been written by authors who are international leaders in their fields, many of whom are supported by the work of Cochrane Methods Groups.

Ongoing challenges for systematic reviews

The landscape in which systematic reviews are conducted continues to evolve. Old and emerging challenges continue to spark debate, research and innovation.

The time required to complete a full systematic review, which is often more than two years, is a barrier both for author teams (representing a considerable commitment of often volunteer time) and for decision makers (who often require evidence within much shorter time frames). Methodology for undertaking reviews more rapidly is developing quickly. However, difficult choices are required in the trade-off between rigour and speed. The rise of technological solutions offers much potential, including collaboration tools, online crowd sourcing and automation of many aspects of the review process. Alongside consideration of appropriate ways to prioritize work, technology is also supporting more efficient approaches to keeping reviews up to date, with some reviews moving towards a 'living' systematic review model of very frequent, even continuous updates.

Cochrane Reviews have always encompassed complex questions of multicomponent interventions, health systems and public health, and the challenging issues that arise from many of these reviews have prompted considerable thought and effort. Cochrane Reviews have always incorporated non-randomized studies where appropriate to the question, and a wider range of data sources is increasingly relevant to reviews, from the unpublished clinical study reports produced by pharmaceutical companies, to novel challenges in appraising and interpreting 'big data' repositories. The use of systematic reviews is expanding, and new methods developing, in areas such as environmental exposure and prognosis.

These conversations will continue, and new questions will continue to arise. Cochrane will continue to contribute actively to methodological development and application in each of these areas, continually striving to improve both the validity and usefulness of the reviews to decision makers.

Undertaking a Cochrane Review

Preparing a Cochrane Review is complex and involves many judgements. Authors work closely with Cochrane editorial teams in the production of reviews, supplying a highly structured format for both its protocols and reviews to guide authors on the information they should report. Cochrane groups and other research groups increasingly use priority-setting methods to engage stakeholders such as patients, the public, policy

Preface

makers and healthcare professionals to understand from them the most important uncertainties or information gap. Since its inception, Cochrane has advocated for routine updating of systematic reviews to take account of new evidence. In some fastmoving topics frequent updating is needed to ensure that review conclusions remain relevant.

While some authors new to Cochrane Reviews have training and experience in conducting other systematic reviews, many do not. Training for review authors is delivered in many countries by regional Cochrane groups or by the Cochrane Methods Groups responsible for researching and developing the methods used on Cochrane Reviews. In addition, Cochrane produces an extensive range of online learning resources. Detailed information is available via https://training.cochrane.org. Training materials and opportunities for training are continually developed and updated to reflect the evolving Cochrane methods and the needs of contributors.

About this Handbook

Work on a handbook to support authors of Cochrane Reviews began in 1993, and the first version was published in May 1994. Since then it has evolved and grown, through the stewardship of several editorial teams, with regular updating of its contents being punctuated by major new editions. This book represents Version 6 of the *Handbook*, the first major revision since the first print edition of the *Handbook* was published in 2008.

The book is divided into three parts. Part One provides the core methodology for undertaking systematic reviews on the effects of health interventions, with a particular emphasis on reviewing randomized trials. Part Two provides considerations for tackling these systematic reviews from different perspectives, such as when thinking about specific populations, or complex interventions, or particular types of outcomes. Part Three covers a range of further topics, including reviewing evidence other than straightforward randomized trials. The online version of the *Handbook* has an addition part, describing the particular organizational and procedural considerations when working specifically with Cochrane.

For this edition, each chapter that provides new or substantively updated guidance has been rigorously peer reviewed to ensure the guidance presented reflects the state of the science and is appropriate and efficient for use by Cochrane authors. The *Handbook* is updated regularly to reflect advances in systematic review methodology and in response to feedback from users. Please refer to https://training.cochrane.org/ handbook for the most recent online version, for interim updates to the guidance and for details of previous versions of the *Handbook*. Feedback and corrections to the *Handbook* are also welcome via the contact details on the website.

What's new in this edition

In this edition, every chapter of the *Handbook* has been extensively revised, new chapters added, and authors familiar with previous versions will find it valuable to re-read any chapter of interest.

In particular, this edition incorporates the following major new chapters and areas of guidance:

- Expanded advice on assessing the risk of bias in included studies (Chapter 7), including Version 2 of the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool (Chapter 8) and the ROBINS-I tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomized studies (Chapter 25).
- New guidance on summarizing study characteristics and preparing for synthesis (Chapters 3 and 9).
- New guidance on network meta-analysis (Chapter 11).
- New guidance on synthesizing results using methods other than meta-analysis (Chapter 12).
- Updated guidance on assessing the risk of bias due to missing results (reporting biases, Chapter 13).
- New guidance addressing intervention complexity (Chapter 17).

How to cite this book

Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. 2nd Edition. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons, 2019.

Acknowledgements

We thank all of our contributing authors and chapter editors for their patience and responsiveness in preparing this *Handbook*. We are also indebted to all those who have contributed to previous versions of the *Handbook*, and particularly to past editors Rachel Churchill, Sally Green, Phil Alderson, Mike Clarke, Cynthia Mulrow and Andy Oxman.

Many contributed constructive and timely peer review for this edition. We thank Zhenggang Bai, Hilda Bastian, Jesse Berlin, Lisa Bero, Jane Blazeby, Jacob Burns, Chris Cates, Nathorn Chaiyakunapruk, Kay Dickersin, Christopher Eccleston, Sam Egger, Cindy Farquhar, Nicole Fusco, Hernando Guillermo Gaitán Duarte, Paul Garner, Claire Glenton, Su Golder, Helen Handoll, Jamie Hartmann-Boyce, Joseph Lau, Simon Lewin, Jane Marjoribanks, Evan Mayo-Wilson, Steve McDonald, Emma Mead, Richard Morley, Sylivia Nalubega, Gerry Richardson, Richard Riley, Elham Shakibazadeh, Dayane Silveira, Jonathan Sterne, Alex Sutton, Özge Tunçalp, Peter von Philipsborn, Evelyn Whitlock, Jack Wilkinson. We thank Tamara Lotfi from the Secretariat for the Global Evidence Synthesis Initiative (GESI) and GESI for assisting with identifying peer referees, and Paul Garner and Taryn Young for their liaison with Learning Initiative for eXperienced Authors (LIXA).

Specific administrative support for this version of the *Handbook* was provided by Laura Mellor, and we are deeply indebted to Laura for her many contributions. We would also like to thank staff at Wiley for their patience, support and advice, including Priyanka Gibbons (Commissioning Editor), Jennifer Seward (Senior Project Editor), Deirdre Barry (Senior Editorial Assistant) and Tom Bates (Senior Production Editor).

Preface

We thank Ella Flemyng for her assistance and Elizabeth Royle and Jenny Bellorini at Cochrane Copy Edit Support for their assistance in copy editing some chapters of the *Handbook*. Finally, we thank Jan East for copy editing the whole volume, and Nik Prowse for project management.

This *Handbook* would not have been possible without the generous support provided to the editors by colleagues at the University of Bristol, University College London, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Cochrane Australia at Monash University, and the Cochrane Editorial and Methods Department at Cochrane Central Executive. We particularly thank David Tovey (former Editor in Chief, The Cochrane Library), and acknowledge Cochrane staff Madeleine Hill for editorial support, and Jo Anthony and Holly Millward for contributing to the cover design.

Finally, the Editors would like to thank the thousands of Cochrane authors who volunteer their time to collate evidence for people making decisions about health care, and the methodologists, editors and trainers who support them.

The Handbook editorial team

Julian P.T. Higgins (*Senior Editor*) is Professor of Evidence Synthesis at the University of Bristol, UK.

James Thomas (*Senior Editor*) is Professor of Social Research & Policy, and Associate Director of the EPPI-Centre at UCL, London, UK.

Jacqueline Chandler (*Managing Editor*) is Evaluation Programme Manager (Qualitative Evaluation) at Wessex Academic Health Science Network, University Hospital Southampton, Southampton, UK.

Miranda Cumpston (*Implementation Editor*) is an Editor at Cochrane Public Health in the School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, and the School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.

Tianjing Li (*Associate Scientific Editor*) is an Associate Professor of Epidemiology at Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, USA. She is a Coordinating Editor for Cochrane Eyes and Vision.

Matthew J. Page (*Associate Scientific Editor*) is a Research Fellow in the Research Methodology Division of the School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.

Vivian A. Welch (*Associate Scientific Editor*) is Editor in Chief of the Campbell Collaboration, Scientist at Bruyère Research Institute, Ottawa, Canada; Associate Professor at the School of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Ottawa, Canada.