

Human Well-Being Research and Policy Making  
*Series Editors: Richard J. Estes · M. Joseph Sirgy*

Mariano Rojas

# Well-Being in Latin America

Drivers and Policies

 Springer

# **Human Well-Being Research and Policy Making**

## **Series Editors**

Richard J. Estes, School of Social Policy & Practice, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

M. Joseph Sirgy, Department of Marketing, Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University, Blacksburg, VA, USA

This series creates a dialogue between well-being scholars and well-being public policy makers.

Well-being theory, research and practice are essentially interdisciplinary in nature and embrace contributions from all disciplines within the social sciences. With the exception of leading economists, the policy relevant contributions of social scientists are widely scattered and lack the coherence and integration needed to more effectively inform the actions of policy makers.

Contributions in the series focus on one more of the following four aspects of well-being and public policy:

- Discussions of the public policy and well-being focused on particular nations and worldwide regions
- Discussions of the public policy and well-being in specialized sectors of policy making such as health, education, work, social welfare, housing, transportation, use of leisure time
- Discussions of public policy and well-being associated with particular population groups such as women, children and youth, the aged, persons with disabilities and vulnerable populations
- Special topics in well-being and public policy such as technology and well-being, terrorism and well-being, infrastructure and well-being.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/15692>

Mariano Rojas

# Well-Being in Latin America

Drivers and Policies

 Springer

Mariano Rojas  
Universidad Internacional  
de La Rioja (UNIR)  
Logroño, Spain

Universidad Popular Autónoma  
del Estado de Puebla (UPAEP)  
Puebla, Mexico

ISSN 2522-5367                      ISSN 2522-5375 (electronic)  
Human Well-Being Research and Policy Making  
ISBN 978-3-030-33497-0              ISBN 978-3-030-33498-7 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33498-7>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

*With the aspiration for public policy and the social organization to foster the conditions for people to lead a life they are satisfied with.*

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Latin America and Well-Being</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	High Well-Being in Latin America . . . . .	1
1.2	Relevance of Latin American Well-Being Research . . . . .	2
1.3	The Latin American Region . . . . .	4
1.3.1	Latin America: Not Just a Geographical Region . . . . .	4
1.3.2	The Latin American Region . . . . .	4
1.3.3	The Latin American Culture . . . . .	5
1.4	The Structure of the Book . . . . .	7
	References . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Conceptual Framework of the Book</b> . . . . .	11
2.1	Well-Being as the Experience of Being Well . . . . .	11
2.2	Information on Well-Being from People’s Report . . . . .	13
2.3	On Drivers and Policies . . . . .	14
2.4	Actors for Well-Being . . . . .	14
2.5	The General Message of the Book . . . . .	15
	Reference . . . . .	17
<b>3</b>	<b>Well-Being and Its Conceptualization</b> . . . . .	19
3.1	Well-Being as the Experience of Being Well . . . . .	20
3.1.1	Essential Experiences of Being Well: Human Condition . . . . .	20
3.1.2	Evaluative Experiences. Failure and Achievement . . . . .	20
3.1.3	Affective Experiences. Joy and Suffering . . . . .	21
3.1.4	Sensory Experiences. Pleasure and Pain . . . . .	22
3.1.5	Flow Experiences . . . . .	22
3.1.6	Essential Experiences Overlap and Are Intertwined . . . . .	22
3.2	The Overall Well-Being Synthesis . . . . .	23
3.2.1	Domains of Life and Life Satisfaction . . . . .	24
3.2.2	Well-Being and Human Behavior . . . . .	24

3.2.3	Do not Confuse Drivers of Well-Being with the Experience of Being Well . . . . .	25
3.3	On the Measurement of Well-Being: Life-Satisfaction Conception . . . . .	26
	References . . . . .	27
<b>4</b>	<b>The Well-Being Situation in Latin America . . . . .</b>	<b>31</b>
4.1	Well-Being Overview . . . . .	31
4.1.1	Well-Being Information . . . . .	31
4.1.2	Different Sources of Information . . . . .	32
4.2	Well-Being: Latin America and the World . . . . .	34
4.2.1	High Life Satisfaction in Latin America . . . . .	34
4.2.2	Essential Experiences of Being Well in Latin America and the Rest of the World . . . . .	36
4.3	Well-Being in Latin America . . . . .	38
4.3.1	Life Satisfaction . . . . .	38
4.3.2	Essential Experiences of Being Well. Life Evaluation . . . . .	41
4.3.3	Essential Experiences of Being Well. Affective Experiences . . . . .	43
4.3.4	Life Satisfaction, Life Evaluation and Affective State . . . . .	45
4.4	Satisfaction in Domains of Life . . . . .	46
4.5	Well-Being and Socio-demographic Groups . . . . .	49
4.5.1	Gender . . . . .	49
4.5.2	Age Groups . . . . .	49
4.5.3	Indigenous Groups . . . . .	52
4.6	Final Considerations . . . . .	54
	References . . . . .	55
<b>5</b>	<b>Income and Well-Being in Latin America . . . . .</b>	<b>57</b>
5.1	A Mid-Income Region . . . . .	57
5.2	Income and Well-Being: Research Findings . . . . .	59
5.2.1	Social Comparisons and the Status-Race Treadmill . . . . .	59
5.2.2	Increasing Aspirations . . . . .	60
5.2.3	Consumption and Habituation . . . . .	60
5.2.4	Economic Growth May Weaken Other Well-Being Drivers . . . . .	61
5.3	Income and Well-Being in Latin America . . . . .	61
5.3.1	Which Income Proxy Should Be Used? . . . . .	61
5.3.2	The Importance of Relative Income in Latin America . . . . .	63



5.4	Gross Domestic Product and Life Satisfaction . . . . .	64
5.5	Economic Growth and Well-Being . . . . .	65
5.6	General Policy Considerations . . . . .	66
	References . . . . .	69
<b>6</b>	<b>Income Inequality and Well-Being . . . . .</b>	<b>71</b>
6.1	High Income Inequality in Latin America . . . . .	71
6.2	Income Inequality and Well-Being . . . . .	73
6.3	Income Inequality and Well-Being in Latin America . . . . .	74
6.3.1	Well-Being by Income Quintiles . . . . .	74
6.3.2	The Atypical Venezuelan Case . . . . .	77
6.3.3	Sense of Unfairness in the Distribution of Income and Well-Being . . . . .	78
6.4	Egalitarianism in the Distribution of Other Well-Being Drivers . . . . .	79
6.5	General Policy Considerations . . . . .	81
	References . . . . .	82
<b>7</b>	<b>Income Poverty and Well-Being . . . . .</b>	<b>83</b>
7.1	Income Poverty in Latin America . . . . .	84
7.2	Poverty and Well-Being . . . . .	86
7.2.1	Income Poverty and Well-Being . . . . .	86
7.2.2	The Abatement of Income Poverty: Conditional Cash Transfer Programs . . . . .	88
7.3	Poverty and Well-Being in Latin America . . . . .	89
7.3.1	Well-Being by Poverty Classification . . . . .	89
7.3.2	Domains-of-Life Satisfaction by Poverty Classification . . . . .	90
7.3.3	Dissonances Between Poverty Classification and People's Well-Being . . . . .	91
7.3.4	Paths in Getting People Out of Poverty . . . . .	95
7.3.5	Further Problems with an Absolute-Income Conception of Poverty . . . . .	96
7.4	Well-Being Lessons for Poverty-Abatement Programs . . . . .	97
7.5	General Policy Considerations . . . . .	99
	References . . . . .	100
<b>8</b>	<b>Relational Wealth: Quantity and Quality of Interpersonal Relations . . . . .</b>	<b>103</b>
8.1	Traditional Concerns in the Human Relations Literature . . . . .	104
8.1.1	Social Support . . . . .	104
8.1.2	Trust . . . . .	104

8.2	Relational Wealth in Latin America . . . . .	106
8.2.1	On the Relational-Wealth Concept. . . . .	106
8.2.2	High-Quality Interpersonal Relations in the Latin American Nuclear Family . . . . .	107
8.2.3	Abundance of High-Quality Relations in the Latin American Nuclear Family . . . . .	109
8.2.4	Relational Wealth and Essential Experiences of Being Well . . . . .	110
8.2.5	Relational Wealth Is not Correlated with Material Wealth . . . . .	111
8.3	Relational Wealth Extends to Other Relational Spheres . . . . .	111
8.3.1	Relational Wealth in the Extended Family . . . . .	112
8.3.2	Relational Wealth in Other Relations: Friends, Coworkers and Neighbors . . . . .	113
8.4	Relational Poverty and Well-Being in Latin America . . . . .	114
8.4.1	Relational Poverty Concept . . . . .	114
8.4.2	Relational Poverty Is Low in Latin America . . . . .	114
8.4.3	Relational Poverty Is not Correlated to Income Poverty . . . . .	115
8.4.4	Relational Poverty and Well-Being in Latin America . . . . .	115
8.4.5	A Closer Look at Poverty and the Affective State . . . . .	116
8.5	The Importance of Person-Based Interpersonal Relations . . . . .	118
8.6	General Policy Considerations . . . . .	120
	Appendix . . . . .	121
	References . . . . .	124
<b>9</b>	<b>Education and Well-Being . . . . .</b>	<b>125</b>
9.1	Education in Latin America . . . . .	126
9.1.1	Good Literacy Rates . . . . .	126
9.1.2	Deficiencies in Enrollment Rates . . . . .	127
9.2	Human Capital Theory. Education as Investment . . . . .	129
9.3	Education and Income in Latin America . . . . .	130
9.3.1	The Contribution of Education to Income . . . . .	130
9.3.2	Relative Effects in the Relationship Between Education and Income . . . . .	132
9.4	Education and Well-Being . . . . .	134
9.5	General Policy Considerations . . . . .	137
	References . . . . .	138

<b>10</b>	<b>Crime, Violence and Well-Being</b> .....	141
10.1	High Violence in Many Latin American Countries .....	141
10.1.1	Homicide Rates .....	141
10.1.2	Many Causes of Violence .....	143
10.1.3	Economic Impact of Crime .....	145
10.2	Crime and Well-Being .....	146
10.2.1	Victimization in Latin America .....	146
10.2.2	Fear of Crime in Latin America .....	147
10.3	Aggression and Well-Being .....	149
10.4	General Policy Considerations .....	151
	References .....	152
<b>11</b>	<b>Corruption and Weak Institutions</b> .....	155
11.1	Corruption in Latin America .....	156
11.2	Perception of Corruption and Well-Being in Latin American ...	159
11.3	The Complex Role of Bribes .....	160
11.3.1	Bribes Are Common in Latin America .....	160
11.3.2	Paying Bribes and Life Satisfaction .....	162
11.4	General Policy Considerations .....	163
	References .....	164
<b>12</b>	<b>New Development Strategy: Development as Well-Being</b> .....	165
12.1	The Paradigm of Development as Economic Growth .....	166
12.1.1	Economic Growth and the Development of Societies .....	166
12.1.2	Notions Associated with the Paradigm of Development as Economic Growth .....	167
12.1.3	Income also Plays a Central Role in Related Conceptions of Development .....	169
12.1.4	Doubts About Economic Growth as an Indicator of Development .....	169
12.2	Well-Being: A New Development Discourse .....	171
12.2.1	Paradigm Shift .....	171
12.2.2	From Physical Capital to the Livability of the Surroundings .....	172
12.2.3	From Natural Capital to Our Home .....	173
12.2.4	From Human Capital to Knowledge and Skills for a Satisfactory Life .....	173
12.2.5	From Social Capital to Human Relations .....	174
12.2.6	Leisure Is Productive .....	175
12.2.7	Job Satisfaction and Gratifying Work .....	175
12.2.8	Consumption Must Go Back to ‘The Back Seat’ .....	176

- 13 Well-Being: General Policy Considerations . . . . . 179**
  - 13.1 Distinguish Between Intermediate Objectives  
and Final Goals in Public Policy . . . . . 179
  - 13.2 Expanding the Scope of Instruments. Complexity  
and Opportunity . . . . . 180
  - 13.3 Greater Efficiency in the Use of Scarce Resources . . . . . 182
  - 13.4 Construction of a System of National Well-Being  
Accounts . . . . . 183

# List of Figures

Fig. 4.1	Life satisfaction in Latin American countries in 2005 and 2017. Percentage of population who are very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their life. Latinobarometer 2005 and 2017 . . . . .	40
Fig. 4.2	Life-evaluation trends in some Latin American countries, 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll, 2006–2016 years . . . . .	42
Fig. 4.3	Positive-affect trends in some Latin American countries, 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll, 2006–2016 waves . . . . .	44
Fig. 4.4	Negative-affect trends in some Latin American countries, 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll, 2006–2016 waves . . . . .	44
Fig. 4.5	Correlation coefficients for life satisfaction and essential experiences of being well; Latin Americans and the world. LS-LE (Life Satisfaction-Life Evaluation), LS-PA (Life Satisfaction-Positive Affect), LS-NA (Life Satisfaction-Negative Affect), LE-PA (Life Evaluation-Positive Affect), LE-NA (Life Evaluation-Negative Affect). <i>Source</i> Gallup World Polls 2006–2016; the life satisfaction correlations are computed on the basis of information from 2007 . . . . .	46
Fig. 4.6	Satisfaction with life and in domains of life, mean values for some Latin American countries (Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico) and for the white population of the United States (USA). Categorical scale from extremely unsatisfied (1) to extremely satisfied (7), treated as cardinal. <i>Source</i> Understanding Happiness in Latin America database, 2018 . . . . .	47
Fig. 4.7	Impact of satisfaction in domains of life on life satisfaction; estimated coefficients from linear regression analyses. Latin American countries: Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico; and the white population of the United States (USA) categorical scale from extremely unsatisfied (1) to extremely satisfied (7), treated as cardinal. <i>Source</i> Understanding Happiness in Latin America database, 2018 . . . . .	48

Fig. 4.8	Life satisfaction by gender and country. Life satisfaction: average of country means 2007. Gallup World Poll wave 2. . . .	49
Fig. 4.9	Life satisfaction and life evaluation in Latin America, by gender. Life satisfaction: average of country means 2007. Life evaluation: average of country means 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll 2006 to 2016 . . . . .	50
Fig. 4.10	Life satisfaction and life evaluation in Latin America, by gender. Average of country means 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll 2006 to 2016. . . . .	50
Fig. 4.11	Life satisfaction by age group and country, 2007, average values. Gallup World Poll wave 2. Young: 30 years old or less; adult: between 31 and 60 years old; elder: more than 60 years old . . . . .	51
Fig. 4.12	Life satisfaction and life evaluation by age group and country, average values 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll 2006 to 2016. Young: 30 years old or less; adult: between 31 and 60 years old; elder: more than 60 years old . . . . .	51
Fig. 4.13	Positive and negative affect by age group and country, average values 2006–2016. Gallup World Poll 2006 to 2016. Young: 30 years old or less; adult: between 31 and 60 years old; elder: more than 60 years old. . . . .	52
Fig. 4.14	Well-being of indigenous people, compared to non-indigenous people. Mexico 2014. Life satisfaction, positive affect and negative affect in a 0 to 10 scale; life evaluation in a 1 to 7 scale. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2014, INEGI. . . . .	53
Fig. 4.15	Explanatory structure of life satisfaction, importance of domains of life. P’urhépecha communities, Mexico. <i>Source</i> Rojas and Chávez (2019) . . . . .	54
Fig. 5.1	Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita in Latin American countries, years 2000 and 2017 (2014 for Venezuela). GDP per capita in constant 2011 international dollars and adjusted by purchasing power parity (PPP). <i>Source</i> World Bank Indicators . . . . .	58
Fig. 5.2	Economic growth and change in positive affect. Latin American countries 2006–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll and World Bank Indicators. . . . .	66
Fig. 5.3	Economic growth and change in negative affect. Latin American countries 2006–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll and World Bank Indicators . . . . .	67
Fig. 5.4	Economic growth and change in life evaluation. Latin American countries 2006–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll and World Bank Indicators . . . . .	67

Fig. 6.1	Income gap, ratio between decile 10 and decile 1, Latin American Countries. Household per capita income, recent data (2013–2016; except Venezuela—2006). <i>Source</i> CEDLAS . . . . .	72
Fig. 6.2	Income distribution in Latin American countries: Gini coefficient. Computed by CEDLAS on the basis of household surveys. Distribution of household per capita income. Different methodologies are used in household surveys across countries and along years. Most recent figures correspond—in most cases—to 2017 and 2016 . . . . .	73
Fig. 6.3	Life evaluation in Venezuela, by income quintile 2009–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2009–2016 . . . . .	77
Fig. 6.4	Positive affect in Venezuela, by income quintile 2009–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2009–2016 . . . . .	78
Fig. 6.5	Negative affect in Venezuela, by income quintile 2009–2016. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2009–2016 . . . . .	78
Fig. 6.6	Unfairness in the distribution of income; percentage who consider the distribution to be unfair or very unfair, Latin American countries 2016. <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016 . . . . .	79
Fig. 7.1	Poverty rates in Latin American countries. Individual estimates of headcount ratio on the basis of three income-poverty lines at USD 1.9, USD 3.2, and USD 5.5 household per capita income per day. Lines defined in dollars of 2011 and adjusted by purchasing power parity. Most recent figures correspond to years 2015–2017, except for Guatemala (2014), Nicaragua (2014), and Panama (2014). Figures computed by CEDLAS on the basis of Household Surveys. Different methodologies are used in household surveys across countries and along years. . . . .	85
Fig. 7.2	Poverty rates in Latin American countries. Individual estimates of headcount ratio on the basis of an income-poverty line defined at USD 3.2 household per capita income per day. Lines defined in dollars of 2011 and adjusted by purchasing power parity. Most recent figures correspond to years 2015–2017 except for Guatemala (2014), Nicaragua (2014), and Panama (2014). Early figures correspond to years 2000–2001 except for Uruguay (2006). Figures computed by CEDLAS on the basis of Household Surveys. Different methodologies are used in household surveys across countries and along years. . . . .	86
Fig. 7.3	Well-being by poverty groups. Extreme poverty: less than US\$2 dollars of household income per capita per day. Moderate poverty: between US\$2 and US\$5 per day. Non-poor: greater than US\$5 per day. Life satisfaction, positive affect and negative affect in a 0–10 scale; life evaluation in a 1–7 scale. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2014, INEGI, Mexico . . . . .	90

Fig. 7.4	Satisfaction in domains of life by poverty groups. Extreme poverty: less than US\$2 dollars of household income per capita per day. Moderate poverty: between US\$2 and US\$5 per day. Non-poor: greater than US\$5 per day. Satisfaction in domains of life in a 0–10 scale. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2014, INEGI, Mexico . . . . .	91
Fig. 7.5	Life satisfaction and satisfaction in domains of life, high well-being sample (life satisfaction of 8 or greater). Extreme poverty: less than US\$2 dollars of household income per capita per day. Non-poor: household income per capita greater than US\$5 per day. Satisfaction figures in a 0–10 scale. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2014, INEGI, Mexico . . . . .	93
Fig. 7.6	Life satisfaction and satisfaction in domains of life, non-poor sample (Non-poor: household income per capita greater than US\$5 per day). High well-being: life satisfaction of 8 or greater. Low well-being: life satisfaction of 5 or lower. Satisfaction figures in a 0–10 scale. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2014, INEGI, Mexico. . . . .	94
Fig. 7.7	Paths out of poverty . . . . .	95
Fig. 7.8	Life evaluation of people classified as poor on the basis of a poverty line of US\$2 of household per capita income per day. Latin American countries. Life evaluation in a 0–10 scale. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2016 . . . . .	96
Fig. 7.9	Positive and negative affect of people classified as poor on the basis of a poverty line of US\$2 of household per capita income per day. Latin American countries. Affective state in a 0–1 scale. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2016 . . . . .	97
Fig. 8.1	Social support; percentage who report having someone to count on in times of trouble, Latin American countries and world average, mean values 2006–2016. Specific question: “ <i>If you were in trouble, do you have relatives or friends you can count on to help you whenever you need them, or not?</i> ”, binary response: Yes or No. <i>Source</i> Gallup World Poll 2006–2016 . . .	105
Fig. 8.2	Trust in Latin American countries. Percentage who respond that ‘most people can be trusted’ rather than the alternative option (‘One can never be too careful when dealing with people’). <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016 . . . . .	106
Fig. 8.3	Relational wealth in the nuclear family. Percentage with a high relational level attribute. Three Latin American countries (Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico) and the white population of the United States. Seven relational variables: 1. Manifestations of affection with your partner. 2. Manifestations of affection with your children. 3. Everyone’s decisions are respected in the family.	



4. Taking into consideration the well-being of my family when making important decisions. 5. Being with the family gives the most meaning to my life. 6. Doing joint activities—such as reading or playing sports—with children. 7. Helping and supporting each other in the family. See Appendix for further details on measurement. *Source* Understanding High Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018 . . . . . 108

Fig. 8.4 Relational wealth in the nuclear family, quantity of interpersonal relations. Percentage with a high relational level attribute. Three Latin American countries (Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico) and the white population of the United States. Six relational variables: 1. Sharing positive emotions with close family. 2. We in the family spend a lot of time doing things together. 3. Taking time to share with partner. 4. Taking time to share with children. 5. Sharing at least one meal a day with children. 6. Taking time to share with adult children. See Appendix for further details on measurement. *Source* Understanding High Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018 . . . . . 109

Fig. 8.5 Relational wealth in the extended family. Percentage with a high relational level attribute. Three Latin American countries (Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico) and the white population of the United States. Six relational variables: 1 Visiting grandparents during childhood. 2 Extended family gatherings. 3 Manifestations of affect with siblings. 4 Meeting grandparents. 5 Meeting cousins. 6 Meeting aunts and uncles. See Appendix for further details on measurement. *Source* Understanding High Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018 . . . . . 112

Fig. 8.6 Relational wealth: Other relations. Percentage with high relational level. Three Latin American countries (Colombia, Costa Rica and Mexico) and the white population of the United States. Five relational variables: 1. Sharing positive emotions with friends. 2. Meeting with coworkers outside working place. 3. Talking with coworkers about interests, feelings and aspirations. 4. Helping and supporting each other in the working place. 5. Talking with neighbors about interests, feelings and aspirations. See Appendix for further details on measurement. *Source* Understanding High Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018. . . . . 113

Fig. 8.7	Gradients in positive emotions, difference in percentage experiencing the emotion ‘most of the day’ yesterday between those classified as in extreme poverty and those classified as non-poor under two different conceptions of poverty. <i>Source</i> Understanding Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018. . . . .	117
Fig. 8.8	Gradients in negative emotions, difference in percentage experiencing the emotion ‘most of the day’ yesterday between those classified as in extreme poverty and those classified as non-poor under two different conceptions of poverty. <i>Source</i> Understanding Happiness in Latin America survey, 2018. . . . .	118
Fig. 9.1	Literacy rates in Latin American countries, by age groups. Most recent year available (2017 or 2016; except for Nicaragua—2014, Chile—2015 and Venezuela—2006). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank) . . . . .	127
Fig. 9.2	Net enrollment rates in Latin American schools. Net enrollment defined as share of children in category school age attending their school category. Most recent year available (2017 or 2016; except for Nicaragua—2014, Chile—2015 and Venezuela—2006). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank) . . . . .	128
Fig. 9.3	Net enrollment rates in Latin American tertiary education, by equivalent-income quintile. Net enrollment defined as share of children in category X school age attending category X school. Most recent year available (2017 or 2016; except for Nicaragua—2014, Chile—2015 and Venezuela—2006). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank) . . . . .	128
Fig. 9.4	Income ratios by education level, with respect to uneducated level, for male population, Latin American countries, recent years (2015–2017). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank) . . . . .	131
Fig. 9.5	Income ratios by education level, with respect to uneducated level, for female population, Latin American countries, recent years (2015–2017). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank) . . . . .	132
Fig. 9.6	Change in the marginal contribution of education level to income generation over the past two decades, for male population, Latin American countries, change between education contribution in the early 2000s and recent years	

	(2015–2017). <i>Source</i> Socio-Economic Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (CEDLAS and World Bank) . . . . .	134
Fig. 9.7	Life satisfaction and education level, gradient with respect to uneducated category. Income refers to the logarithm of household per capita income. Life satisfaction in a 1–7 categorical response scale treated as cardinal in this quantitative exercise. Exercise controls by logarithm of household per capita income, gender, age, age squared, marital status, and municipality. <i>Source</i> ImaginaMéxico survey, Mexico, 2012 . . . . .	136
Fig. 10.1	Intentional homicide victims, counts and rates per 100,000 inhabitants. No data available for Argentina and Peru. Most recent available information; in most cases it corresponds to 2015 or 2016. <i>Source</i> United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC 2014, 2018) . . . . .	143
Fig. 10.2	Annual prevalence of the use of drugs in Mexico and the United States, 2016. It refers to the proportion of a population that uses a drug within the year. <i>Source</i> UNODC (2018) . . . . .	144
Fig. 10.3	Percentage of people who report being victim of crime during the twelve months previous to the survey, by country and gender. <i>Source</i> World Values Survey wave 2011–2014 . . . . .	146
Fig. 10.4	Impact of being victim of crime—during the past 12 months—on life satisfaction. Compared to impact of falling one step in the income-decile ladder. By gender. Latin American countries: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru, Uruguay. Life satisfaction measured in a 1–10 scale. Estimated coefficient from a regression that controls for: age, age squared, gender, education level, marital status, income position, and country. <i>Source</i> World Values Survey 2011–2014 wave . . . . .	147
Fig. 10.5	Fear of crime by country and gender, percentage who often or sometimes felt unsafe from crime in their own home during the past 12 months. Other response options were rarely or never. Some Latin American countries, as well as Spain and the United States. <i>Source</i> World Value Survey (2011–2014) . . . . .	148
Fig. 10.6	Impact of fear of crime on life satisfaction. Fear of crime: often or sometimes rather than rarely, never during the past 12 months. Compared to falling one step in the income-decile scale. By gender. Latin American countries: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru, and Uruguay. Life satisfaction measured in a 1–10 scale. Estimated coefficient from a regression that controls for: age, age squared, gender, education level, marital status, income position, and country. <i>Source</i> World Values Survey 2011–2014 wave . . . . .	149

Fig. 10.7	Percentage victim of physical aggression by different types of aggressor, Mexico 2012, by gender. <i>Source</i> BIARE 2012, INEGI. . . . .	150
Fig. 10.8	Impact on life satisfaction of physical aggression; different types of aggressors. Life satisfaction in a 0–10 scale. Comparison with impact of a 100% increase in income. Quantitative exercise controls for gender, age, age squared, education level, marital status and logarithm of current expenditure (income). <i>Source</i> BIARE 2012, INEGI . . . . .	151
Fig. 11.1	Control of corruption reflects perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as “capture” of the state by elites and private interests. Percentile rank in the worldwide classification. <i>Source</i> The Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI). . . . .	157
Fig. 11.2	Percentage who state that all or most of the officers are corrupt. Constructed as mean value for questions regarding the following officers: the (President)/(Prime Minister) and officials in his/her office, representatives in the legislature (i.e. Members of the parliament or senators), government officials, local government councillors, police, tax officials, judges and magistrates, business executives. <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016 . . . . .	158
Fig. 11.3	Decline in life satisfaction associated to perceptions of greater corruption. When moving from None is corrupted to All are corrupted. From estimated coefficients from regression analyses; controlling by age, age squared, gender, education, subjective economic situation, marital status and country dummies. Life satisfaction in a 1–4 scale (not at all satisfied, not very satisfied, fairly satisfied, and very satisfied). Graph also incorporates the estimated coefficient for being separated or divorced rather than married. <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016 . . . . .	160
Fig. 11.4	Percentage who paid a bribe in the past 12 months, by public services. Percentage computed on the basis of those who made contact with public office. <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016 . . . . .	161
Fig. 11.5	Percentage who paid a bribe to any of the six services under consideration (Public schools, hospitals, ID document offices, utilities services, police, and courts) in the past 12 months. Percentage of total population. <i>Source</i> Latinobarometer 2016 . . . . .	161