

Lifelong Learning Book Series 25

Maria Slowey
Hans G. Schuetze
Tanya Zubrzycki *Editors*

Inequality, Innovation and Reform in Higher Education

Challenges of Migration and Ageing
Populations



Springer

Lifelong Learning Book Series

Volume 25

Series Editors

Karen Evans, *UCL, Institute of Education UCL, London, UK*

Andrew Brown, *UCL, Insitute of Education UCL, London, UK*

Advisory Board

Chiara Biasin, *University of Padova, Padova, Padova, Italy*

Richard Desjardins, *University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA*

Kitty te Riele, *Ctr for Educational Attainment, Univ of Tasmania,*

Peter Underwood, Hobart, Australia

Yukiko Sawano, *University of the Sacred Heart, Shibuya-ku, Japan*

Maria Slowey, *Dublin City University, Higher Education Research
Centre, Dublin, Ireland*

Maurice Taylor, *Faculty of Education, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada*

Ann-Charlotte Teglberg, *Ecole Supérieure Commerce Paris, Paris, France*

Rebecca Ye Rongling, *Stockholm University, Norrköping,*

Östergötlands Län, Sweden

Dayong Yuan, *Beijing Academy of Educational Sciences, Beijing, China*

Aims & Scope

Competing visions and paradigms for lifelong learning co-exist at national as well as international levels. The fact that one 'official' discourse may be dominant at any one time does not mean that other ways of thinking about learning throughout the life course have disappeared. They are alive and well in a range of critical traditions and perspectives that retain their power to engage and persuade.

In this series, contributors critically analyse issues in lifelong learning that have important implications for policy and practice in different parts of the world. Evidence, ideas and the polity can mobilise political thinking in new directions, as policy makers search for the new 'big idea'. In turbulent times, ideas for better connecting system worlds and life worlds in the pursuit of broader and more just forms of meritocracy can focus compellingly on learning as a lifelong process which links, rather than separates, the older and younger generations and incorporates the realities of working lives.

The series aims to engage scholars, practitioners, policy-makers and professionals with contemporary research and practice, and to provoke fresh thinking and innovation in lifelong learning. Each volume is firmly based on high quality scholarship and a keen awareness of both emergent and enduring issues in practice and policy. We welcome work from a range of disciplines and, in particular, inter- and multi-disciplinary research which approaches contemporary and emerging global and local challenges in innovative ways. Through advocacy of broad, diverse and inclusive approaches to learning throughout the life course, the series aspires to be a leading resource for researchers and practitioners who seek to rethink lifelong learning to meet the challenges and opportunities of the 21st Century.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/6227>

Maria Slowey • Hans G. Schuetze
Tanya Zubrzycki
Editors

Inequality, Innovation and Reform in Higher Education

Challenges of Migration and Ageing
Populations

 Springer

Editors

Maria Slowey
Higher Education Research Centre
Dublin City University
Dublin, Ireland

Hans G. Schuetze
Department of Educational Studies
The University of British Columbia
Vancouver, BC, Canada

Tanya Zubrzycki
Higher Education Research Centre
Dublin City University
Dublin, Ireland

Lifelong Learning Book Series

ISBN 978-3-030-28226-4

ISBN 978-3-030-28227-1 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-28227-1>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG.
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Series Editors' Note

The **Lifelong Learning Book Series** was launched in 2004 and by 2019 had published 24 volumes on topics of international significance. In this latest phase in the life of the series, we aim to engage our expanding international readership in 'Rethinking Lifelong Learning for the 21st Century'. Lifelong learning debates are renewed when scholars bring fresh perspectives and critical analyses of emergent and enduring issues in lifelong learning that have important implications for policy and practice around the globe.

In selecting books for the Lifelong Learning Book Series, we recognise that competing visions and paradigms for lifelong learning co-exist at national as well as international levels. The fact that one 'official' discourse may be dominant at any one time does not mean that other ways of thinking about learning throughout the life course have disappeared. They are alive and well in a range of critical traditions and perspectives that retain their power to engage and persuade. Evidence, ideas and the polity can mobilise political thinking in new directions, as policy-makers search for the new 'big idea'. In turbulent times, ideas for better connecting system worlds and lifeworlds can focus compellingly on learning as a lifelong process which links, rather than separates, the older and younger generations and incorporates the realities of working lives.

In *Inequality, Innovation and Reform in Higher Education: Challenges of Migration and Ageing Populations*, the editors and contributors address the implications for lifelong learning of two major twenty-first-century phenomena. Both migration and the ageing of the population create challenges for the way in which we think about learning across the life course and the role that higher education can play in meeting these challenges. This rethinking in turn produces opportunities for innovation and reform. The contributors to this volume explore these challenges and opportunities across 12 countries, each of which presents a complex of contextual factors, which demands a cross-disciplinary and intersectional approach to understanding the dynamics and implications of complex socio-democratic change. The resulting collection is consequently much more than a survey of practice across contexts. It presents an active engagement with migration and ageing populations in a range of settings which acknowledges the need to account for the multiple layers

of influence on provision from systemic features and economic factors through policy to institutional characteristics and pedagogic practice. Within this, individuals and communities trace intricate educational pathways across a convoluted and dynamic terrain. For higher education to meet the diverse aspirations and needs of these learners requires a deep commitment to inclusion and constant critical reflection on the accessibility, principles and practices of our institutions.

Throughout the collection, it is recognised that migration and the changing age profile of populations are intertwined, and both interact with the emergence of new forms of employment, expanding modes of educational provision and broader conceptions of well-being. This volume offers both insight and a conceptual model to navigate this complexity. In its scope, analytic rigour, international perspective and critical commitment to inclusivity and equality, the volume is an important and timely addition to the Lifelong Learning Book Series.

UCL, Institute of Education UCL
London, UK
October 2019

Karen Evans
Andrew Brown

Contents

Part I New Demographics and Lifelong Learning

- 1 Implications of Migration and Ageing Populations for Inclusion and Equality in Higher Education and Lifelong Learning** 3
Maria Slowey, Hans G. Schuetze, and Tanya Zubrzycki

Part II Contemporary Patterns of Migration and Higher Education: Opportunities for New Lifelong Learners?

- 2 Escher's Staircase: Higher Education and Migration in Australia** 25
Mary Leahy, John Polesel, and Shelley Gillis
- 3 Migration and Higher Education in Germany** 39
Andrä Wolter
- 4 The Impact of Recent Demographic Changes and Migration Patterns on Education in Puerto Rico** 59
María de los Ángeles Ortiz-Reyes and Liz Sepúlveda-Arroyo
- 5 New Patterns of Migration and Higher Education in Ireland: What Are the Implications?** 71
Daniel Faas
- 6 New Challenges in Higher Education Policies in Sweden** 87
Camilla Thunborg and Agnieszka Bron
- 7 Higher Education and Demographic Changes in Mexico** 101
Germán Álvarez-Mendiola and Brenda Pérez-Colunga
- 8 Migration and Linguistic Diversity in Higher Education: Implications for Language Teaching Practice and Policy** 119
Jennifer Bruen and Niamh Kelly

9	New Students, New Institutions: Challenges for Academic Legitimation and Social Sustainability of Intercultural Universities in Mexico.	131
	Sylvie Didou Aupetit	
10	Lifelong Learning in Multicultural Brazil: Challenges for Higher Education	145
	Ana Ivenicki	
11	Student Demographic Change and Pedagogical Issues in Higher Education	159
	Martha Cleveland-Innes	
Part III Ageing Populations and Changing Life Course Patterns: Implications for Higher Education and ‘Longlife’ Learners		
12	Acquiring Higher Levels of Education as an Adult Learner: Implications for Active Ageing.	177
	Richard Desjardins	
13	The Role of Higher Education in an Ageing Society: The Distinctive Case of Japan	191
	Hidehiro Nakajima	
14	Older Adults, Social Inequalities and Higher Education in Aotearoa/New Zealand	207
	Brian Findsen	
15	Adult Workers as Learners in the USA Higher Education Landscape	221
	Carol Kasworm	
16	Implications of New Life Course Patterns for Higher Education and Workforce Dynamics in Ireland	237
	Maria Slowey and Tanya Zubrzycki	
17	Older Adult Learners: An Opportunity for Universities.	251
	Walter Archer and William (Bill) J. Kops	
18	Blurring Boundaries: Exploring the Potential for ‘Big Data’ to Address Inequalities in Lifewide Learning Engagement	265
	Catherine Lido, Kate Reid, and Mike Osborne	
Part IV Reflections and Outlooks		
19	Mobility and Migration: Freedom and Threat?	287
	Pavel Zgaga	

20 Learning, Education and Active Ageing: A Key Policy Agenda for Higher Education	299
David Istance	
21 Higher Education in the Twenty-First Century: New Frontiers – Old Barriers	313
Hans G. Schuetze and Maria Slowey	
Index	325

About the Editors and Contributors

Editors

Hans G. Schuetze holds a Doctoral Degree (Juris Doctor) in International and Comparative Public Law from the University of Göttingen, Germany, and a Master's Degree (LLM) from the University of California at Berkeley. He worked in Germany as a lawyer and legal policy expert at two levels of government. Between 1977 and 1986, he was a Policy Analyst at the Centre for Educational Research and Innovation (CERI) of the OECD, Paris. His main responsibilities included policies of higher education, vocational and professional education and training as well as lifelong learning. From 1991 to 2004, he was Professor of Higher Education Research and Policy, Department of Educational Studies and Centre for Policy Studies in Higher Education and Training, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada (now Professor Emeritus). He taught part-time at the University of Oldenburg, Germany (2006–2017), and has been a Visiting Professor at universities in six countries; his last appointment was at the Renmin University of China (since 2017). He is a Member of the Editorial Boards of the *Journal of Adult and Continuing Education* and of *Frontiers of Education in China* (Springer).

Maria Slowey is Professor and Director of the Higher Education Research Centre, Institute of Education, Dublin City University, Ireland, where she also served as Vice President (Learning Innovation). She was previously Professor, Vice Dean Research and Founding Director of the Centre for Research and Development in Adult and Lifelong Learning, Glasgow University. She has published extensively on the sociology of lifelong learning, widening access and social responsibility in higher education and appointed expert adviser to national and international bodies including the OECD, UNESCO, EU and the Scottish Parliament. An elected Fellow of the British Academy of Social Sciences, she has held many visiting positions (most recently, in Stanford and Nagoya Universities) and plays an active role in learned societies and editorial boards—commencing, in 2020, a five year term as

Associate Editor, *Studies in Higher Education*. In 2015, she was inducted into the International Adult Education Hall of Fame.

Tanya Zubrzycki is a Research Assistant at the Higher Education Research Centre (HERC), Dublin City University. Her current research interests include lifelong learning, ageing populations and extended working lives. She is also a doctoral student at Trinity College Dublin, Ireland, where she explores the changes associated with an academic profession in the Institutes of Technology in Ireland, in connection with the proposals for the establishment of technological universities. She holds a Master of Business Administration (MBA) degree from the University of Massachusetts, Boston, and previously worked in the Office of the Dean of Graduate Studies and Research at the University of Puerto Rico as part of a graduate student-ship programme.

Contributors

Germán Álvarez-Mendiola was born in Mexico City. He received an Undergraduate Diploma in Sociology from the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM) and a Master's and Doctoral Degree in Educational Research from the Centre for Advanced Research and Studies (Cinvestav) in Mexico City, Mexico. From 2002 to 2004, he was a Postdoctoral Fellow at the University of British Columbia. Since 1993, he has been working for the Department of Educational Research at Cinvestav as Full Professor. His research interests in higher education include public policies and organizational change, private sector, lifelong learning policies and institutions and adult learners. He has written several books and articles for national and international journals and belongs to many scientific societies. Since 2008, he has coordinated the Higher Education Library book series of the National Association of Universities and Higher Education Institutions (ANUIES). He is part of the International Committee of International Workshops on Higher Education Reform.

Walter Archer served as Associate Dean of Extension and as Director of a number of programmes in the Faculty of Extension at the University of Alberta. He also served one term as Dean of Extension at the University of Saskatchewan. He served on the Board of the Canadian Society for the Study of Higher Education for several years and as President for 2 years. While he is now retired, his ongoing research interests include higher education for older adults as a worldwide phenomenon.

Agnieszka Bron is Professor at the Stockholm University, the former Chair of Education and previously the Chair of International Council for Adult Education at the Bochum University. She has published extensively on subjects such as higher education and comparative studies (blue-collar workers' access to post-secondary education and nontraditional students), biographical learning (ethnicity, gender,

informal learning and work), civil society and active citizenship. She is also a co-founder of the European Society for Research in the Education of Adults.

Jennifer Bruen is an Associate Professor in German and Applied Linguistics in the School of Applied Languages and Intercultural Studies at Dublin City University where she currently leads the Applied Linguistics Research Group. She has a primary degree in the field of business and language and higher degrees relating to applied linguistics, political education and eastern Germany. Her research interests and publications are primarily in the field of applied linguistics and include language planning and policy, language teaching and learning, the preparation of students for study abroad, the European language portfolio initiative and German for International Business.

Martha Cleveland-Innes is Professor and Programme Director, Master of Education Programme, Athabasca University, where she teaches research methods and leadership. She has received awards for her work on the student experience in online environments and holds a major research grant through the Canadian Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council. She is the recipient of many awards including, from Athabasca University, the Craig Cunningham Memorial Award for Teaching Excellence (2011) and the President's Award for Research and Scholarly Excellence (2009) and the Leadership Award from the Canadian Network for Innovation in Education (2019). She is currently an Affiliated Researcher at the Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm, Sweden. She coedited and coauthored three books on online and blended teaching and learning and published in international academic journals. Her current research interests are in the areas of leadership in open and distance higher education, open and online teaching and learning and the effects of emotion on learning in virtual spaces.

Richard Desjardins is a Professor of Education and Political Economy at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), since 2013. He worked at the OECD between 2010 and 2013 on the Programme for International Assessment of Adult Competencies (PIAAC) and has been involved in large-scale assessment of adult skills and adult learning for nearly 20 years, contributing to the 1994–1998 International Adult Literacy Survey and the 2003–2007 Adult Literacy and Lifeskills Survey.

Sylvie Didou Aupetit holds a PhD in Sociology from the School for Advanced Studies in the Social Sciences, Paris, France. She is a Full-Time Professor at the Center for Research and Advanced Studies (Cinvestav), Mexico City, Mexico, and holds a UNESCO Chair on Quality Assurance and New Providers of Higher Educational Services in Latin America, since 2004. She was the Former General Coordinator of the Network on Internationalization and Academic Mobility in Latin America (2014–2018) and Invited Professor/Expert at the UNESCO, OECD, University of Massachusetts at Dartmouth (the United States) and, in France, Paris Sorbonne, Toulouse-Le Mirail and Institute for Research and Development (IRD).

Her main topics of research are public policies in higher education, with focus on (a) academic cooperation and internationalization; (b) equity, diversity and inclusion; and (c) reforms and innovation of technological higher education. She published, wrote or coauthored more than 35 books and 110 scientific papers.

Daniel Faas is Associate Professor in Sociology at Trinity College Dublin, Convenor/Champion of the Research Theme ‘Identities in Transformation’ and Founding Director of the MSc Comparative Social Change (an inter-institutional partnership and joint degree programme with the University College Dublin). His research is in the sociology of migration and consists of three interlinked strands: (1) identities and integration, (2) comparative curriculum analyses and (3) religion and schooling in Ireland and Europe. He has published widely on these topics in high-impact peer-reviewed international journals, as well as a sole-authored monograph (*Negotiating Political Identities: Multiethnic Schools and Youth in Europe*, London: Routledge). In 2015, he was elected to fellowship at Trinity College Dublin in recognition of his scholarship and research achievements. He is also Winner of the Provost’s Teaching Award (2012) and Trinity Global Engagement Award (2016).

Brian Findsen has worked in the field of adult and continuing education for over 35 years, primarily in his home country of New Zealand but also in Glasgow, Scotland, from 2004 to 2008. He is a recently Retired Professor of (adult) Education from the Division of Education, University of Waikato, New Zealand. His main research interests include learning in later life, the sociology of adult education, social equity issues and international adult education. He has (co)written and edited several books, been Guest Editor for journals of special issues and additionally published extensively in later life learning. His most recent books are *International Perspectives on Older Adult Education: Research, Policies and Practice* (Springer, 2016) as co-editor with Marvin Formosa, and *Fresh perspectives in later life learning* (Routledge, 2019), as editor.

Shelley Gillis is Associate Professor and Co-director, Centre for Vocational and Educational Policy (CVEP), and Assistant Dean, Graduate Research in the Melbourne Graduate School of Education, University of Melbourne. She has managed a range of research projects focussing on quality and assessment issues in tertiary education. Her research interests include competence assessment, qualifications frameworks and quality assurance.

David Istance spent most of his professional life with the OECD in Paris – except for a spell as an Academic in Wales in the 1990s. At the OECD, he led a range of international projects including Innovative Learning Environments, Schooling for Tomorrow and Innovative Pedagogies for Powerful Learning. He also put together knowledge resources aimed at practitioners and policy shapers, including the Trends Shaping Education and Education Today series and the Educational Research and Innovation: The OECD Handbook for Innovative Learning Environments. In 2015, he led the OECD review of Scottish schooling; also in that year, he guest-edited an

issue in the volume to mark the 50th anniversary of the *European Journal of Educational Research*, each issue covering one of the four pillars of learning from the 1996 UNESCO Delors report. In 2002, he coedited with Hans Schuetze and Tom Schuller, an International Reader on lifelong learning. His earlier work was also on equity and teachers.

Ana Ivenicki holds a PhD in Education from the University of Glasgow. She is a Professor Emerita at the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, and a Researcher with the Brazilian National Council of Research. She has been publishing in Brazil and internationally about topics such as multicultural and comparative education and teacher education, adult and lifelong learning and higher education policies. In 2013, she received the award Cora Coralina for her studies and commitment towards cultural diversity and inclusion in education, given to her by the Secretary of Continuing Education, Literacy, Diversity and Inclusion (SECADI, linked to the Ministry of Education) and by the Brazilian National Society of Post-graduation and Research in Education (ANPEd).

Carol Kasworm is a Retired W. Dallas Herring Emerita Professor of Adult and Community College Education at North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA. Her main research interests have focused upon the adult undergraduate experience, including the nature of learning engagement and participation patterns of adult students, the situated influences of varied higher education contexts on adult learners and the role of adult higher education in a lifelong learning society. Her scholarship includes her recent coauthored foundational texts, *Foundations of Adult and Continuing Education* (2018), and her coeditorship of the *Handbook of Adult and Continuing Education*, 2010 Edition. She has received a number of honours including induction into the International Adult and Continuing Education Hall of Fame and selected as a Fellow of the International Self-Directed Learning Society.

Niamh Kelly teaches Japanese language and translation at Dublin City University, Ireland. Her research interests include second language teaching and learning and lexical innovation processes, particularly in the area of Japanese secret language. As a Member of the European-Japanese Teaching Materials bank, she was also involved in developing authentic teaching materials for Japanese and has coauthored self-study Japanese language courses.

William (Bill) J. Kops is Professor of Extended Education at the University of Manitoba. He teaches courses in adult and continuing education with research interests on topics related to older adult education, higher education administration and self-directed learning. He holds a BA in Economics and MBA in Human Resources from the University of Manitoba and EdD in Adult Education from the University of Toronto. He has recently completed a study entitled Older Adult Education and Canadian Universities that looked at the current state, key issues and future

directions of older adult education at Canadian universities. Some of the findings of the study are included in his chapter.

Mary Leahy is based in the Centre for Vocational and Educational Policy (CVEP), and is Programme Coordinator (Graduate Coursework) in the Melbourne Graduate School of Education, University of Melbourne. Her research focuses on access to education and employment, examining issues of inequality, transitions and vocational education. Her work draws on comparative education, theories of gender, theories of justice and Nussbaum and Sen's capabilities approach.

Catherine Lido is a Senior Lecturer and Social Psychologist in the School of Education, University of Glasgow, lecturing and researching educational inclusion in adult learning and the psychology of human diversity. She acts as Visiting Lecturer at the University of North Carolina Wilmington. Her main research interests lie in stereotyping, prejudice and inclusion in lifelong learning, explored using novel methodological approaches. She has been Invited Speaker in various conferences and workshops including the Royal Society of Edinburgh-Ministry of Science & Technology 'Big Data Workshop' (Taiwan) and the International Transformative Learning Community Pre-conference on Learning Cities (Columbia, NY). She has featured in *The Psychologist* magazine, as well as regularly appearing on BBC Radio Scotland. She is Co-investigator on the EPSRC-funded VisNET project supporting early career women in STEM, as well as Co-Investigator of Urban Big Data Centre Phase 2.

Hidehiro Nakajima is Associate Professor of Higher Education at the Center for the Studies of Higher Education (CSHE) at Nagoya University, Japan. His field of research is organizational management in higher education, especially organizational learning and organizational change for educational enhancement. He has engaged in diverse range of research projects including academic leadership development, learning outcomes assessment and instructional design and published several books and articles in those topics.

María de los Ángeles Ortiz-Reyes was born in Orocovis, Puerto Rico, and holds a PhD in Planning Research and Organizational Behavior in Higher Education from NYU. She is Professor at the Department of Graduate Studies of the Faculty of Education at the University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras Campus; President of Ortiz, Lord, Hope & Associates, Corporate Research & Training and Crestcom International Puerto Rico; and Former President of Bayamon Central University. She has over 26 years of experience in university management and 19 years of experience as a Corporate Management Consultant for diverse and complex organizations in the United States, Latin America and Europe. She was previously a Fulbright Scholar in Honduras, Accreditation and Higher Education Consultant in the Middle East and India, Visiting Professor in Higher Education and Entrepreneurship and Presenter of seminars at the local and international level in many countries.

Mike Osborne is Professor of Adult and Lifelong Learning at the University of Glasgow and Director of Research in the School of Education. He is also Director of the Centre for Research and Development in Adult and Lifelong Learning within the School of Education and Co-director of the PASCAL Observatory on Place Management, Social Capital and Lifelong Learning. He is a Co-Investigator and Associate Director of the Economic and Social Research Council-funded Urban Big Data Centre within which he has coordinated projects on education, place and disadvantage and on learning city metrics; is Principal Investigator of the British Academy supported project *Strengthening Urban Engagement of Universities in Africa and Asia*; and is also Co-I within the Research Council UK-funded Global Centre for Sustainable, Healthy Learning Cities and Neighbourhoods.

Brenda Pérez-Colunga is a Doctoral Student whose subject of interest is young people and adult students in higher education institutions. Born in Mexico City, she received an Undergraduate Diploma in Psychology from the Metropolitan Autonomous University (UAM) and a Master's Degree in Educational Research from the Centre for Advanced Research and Studies (Cinvestav) in Mexico City, Mexico.

John Polesel is Professor and the Co-director, Centre for Vocational and Educational Policy (CVEP) in the Melbourne Graduate School of Education, University of Melbourne. His research interests include issues of inequality, vocational education and youth transitions in Australia and internationally.

Kate Reid is a Lecturer and Chartered Health Psychologist at the University of Glasgow. Her recent Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) awards have led to involvement in social science communication with a diverse range of audiences underpinned by visual methods and new disruptive design technologies such as 3D printing and laser cutting. She is involved in a number of funded research projects which locate her within fields of social justice, life-wide learning and health psychology. She and Dr. Lido collaborated on an ESRC-funded project '*Visualising Life-Wide Literacies*', subsequently presenting innovative public engagement work at ESRC Festival of Social Science and Glasgow Science Sunday.

Liz Sepúlveda-Arroyo completed her graduate studies at the University of Virginia and Harvard Graduate School of Education, leading to Master's Degrees in the fields of Psychobiology and Mind, Brain and Education. She has over 13 years of experience developing, implementing and evaluating programmes in education and public health, including as a Member of the Building Human Services Research Partnership – established to promote evidence-based policy-making for low-income families and children in Puerto Rico. She has managed National Science Foundation projects, served as Evaluator for externally funded education and research projects in Puerto Rico and performed need assessments for agencies under the US Department of Health and Human Services in Puerto Rico (AIDS Task Force) and Head Start.

Camilla Thunborg is Professor at the Department of Education, Stockholm University. Her main interest is in how identities are formed and changed in and through adult life and in relation to different life settings. She has published on subjects relating to higher education and nontraditional students, employability and recruitment, workplace learning and biographical learning. At present, she is a Coordinator of the Adult Learning Research Group at the Department.

Andrä Wolter is Professor Emeritus of Higher Education Research at the Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany (since 2010). His previous positions include Academic Assistant for Empirical Educational Research at the University of Oldenburg (1976–1990); Head of the Department for Higher Education at the Institut für Entwicklungsplanung und Strukturforchung, University of Hanover (1990–1993); Professor for Policy Studies in Education, Dresden University of Technology (1993–2010); and Head of the Higher Education Research Department of HIS Hochschul-Informationen-System, Hanover (2004–2006). He is a member of the consortium for the national educational report in Germany. His main research fields include higher education research and policy, lifelong learning studies (university continuing education, nontraditional students) and monitoring procedures in education.

Pavel Zgaga is Professor of the Philosophy of Education at the University of Ljubljana, Slovenia. His central research interest is focused primarily on contemporary transformations of higher education and analyses of higher education policies and reforms (particularly the Bologna Process). He also addresses broader questions linked with the role of knowledge and education in contemporary society. In these areas, he has written six monographs (one as a Coauthor), as well as published a number of individual chapters in monographs and articles in scholarly journals. He has served as the Editor or Coeditor of 12 monographs. In 2006, he received the Prize of the Republic of Slovenia in the Field of Education for his research work, and in 2007, he was awarded the Golden Plaque of the University of Ljubljana as well as an Honorary Doctorate from Umeå University, Sweden.

Part I
New Demographics and Lifelong Learning

Chapter 1

Implications of Migration and Ageing Populations for Inclusion and Equality in Higher Education and Lifelong Learning



Maria Slowey, Hans G. Schuetze, and Tanya Zubrzycki

1.1 Setting the Scene: Migration, Ageing Populations and Higher Education

Climate change, and its potentially catastrophic effects, may form the dominating world challenge of the twenty-first century. However, also of social and economic importance is the impact of a new demographics emerging from the interaction of two major global developments. First, high levels of internal and cross-border mass migration, stimulated by climate change, violence and disparities in wealth and social stability within and between different countries, and between the global South and North. Second, the phenomenon of increasing longevity and rapidly ageing populations, especially in the developed world.

This book explores the central role that socially engaged higher education might potentially play in helping address these challenges, enhancing lifelong learning opportunities and facilitating more positive outcomes for both individuals and societies. As the UN evaluates progress in relation to achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals (UN 2019a) and the OECD maps increasing levels of educational attainment – while also highlighting persisting inequalities (OECD 2018a) – it becomes important to investigate the extent to which higher education systems are in fact responding to the societal challenges associated with contemporary socio-demographic upheavals.

M. Slowey (✉) · T. Zubrzycki
Higher Education Research Centre, Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland
e-mail: maria.slowey@dcu.ie; tanya.zubrzycki@dcu.ie

H. G. Schuetze
Department of Educational Studies, The University of British Columbia,
Vancouver, BC, Canada
e-mail: hans.schuetze@ubc.ca

Even in richer parts of the world, significant inequalities exist in terms of access to higher education and lifelong learning opportunities. Moreover, and potentially even more importantly in terms of the wider democratic purposes of higher education, ‘purpose and processes are inextricably linked—the means must be consistent with the ends, and the ends are defined by democratic culture’ (Saltmarsh and Hartley 2011, p. 17). It is these twin aims of seeking to enhance democratic culture while simultaneously widening access for individuals to lifelong learning opportunities which provide the backdrop for this book.

Specifically, we set out to explore the implications of two large scale global demographic trends – namely migration and ageing populations. The manner in which these issues are tackled by higher education policy and by institutions are, we propose, of considerable significance for the future wellbeing of societies. The core concerns can be viewed as two sides of a coin: on the one side, many global regions and countries are experiencing unprecedented levels of immigration as millions of people relocate – whether by choice or for survival. On the other side, with increasing longevity – particularly in the richer parts of the world – many countries are experiencing significant ageing of their populations.

There are important interconnections between these contemporary patterns of migration and ageing populations which are rarely drawn in the context of higher education and lifelong learning. Our aim is to make a contribution towards building a greater understanding of these interconnections, based on expert analysis from different parts of the world. Additionally, we also seek to bring different disciplines to bear on the issues – acknowledging as Brennan and Naidoo (2008) point out that ‘while there is an extensive research literature on social justice and equity in the social sciences, in general this is not fully engaged with by higher education researchers’ (p. 298).

Factors such as global inequalities, war, poverty and climate change are leading to mass migrations of people across many regions of the globe – a scale of mobility unprecedented since the second world war (IOM 2017). Education clearly has an important role to play. For obvious reasons the focus of policy attention is primarily on schools and the education of children. However, as will be discussed below, young and older adult migrants not only need initial education and vocational training – including, for many, acquisition of the language of host countries – but also access to higher level knowledge and skills. For some, this may involve helping them to demonstrate and have certified knowledge and competencies already acquired in their home countries, for others it may be the opportunity to further develop their education and training.

Simultaneously with the mass movement of people across the world – particularly from the global South to the global North – the world’s population is growing older. This is especially the case in the developed regions, with North America, many European countries and Japan being prime examples (the so-called ‘longevity dividend’). In Germany, for example, despite the arrival of 1.2 million refugees between 2015 and 2017 – mostly young adults – it is projected that by 2050 two in five Germans will be over 60 years of age, and the country would have shrunk from 82 million to 75 million (The Economist 2017).

The populations of many developing regions are also ageing – with the case of China being particularly striking and resulting in changes in 2015 to the long standing ‘one-child’ policy. Increasing longevity is a welcome development, reflecting improvements in nutrition, public health and education. The richer countries of the global North have had many decades of gradual improvements in average lifespans. However, for the poorer regions of the developing world of the global South the pace of change has been far more rapid, leading to a situation which has been characterized as countries becoming old before getting rich (Bloom et al. 2011, p. 32). Furthermore, while progress has been made in closing the longevity gap between countries, life expectancy at birth in the least developed countries is still estimated to be 7.4 years less than the global average in 2019 (UN 2019b).

As will be further discussed below, there is a growing body of work which explores the implications of providing lifelong learning opportunities for individuals across *all stages* of life. In the specific case of higher education, addressing issues of inclusion and equality of access for the social groups under consideration carries major implications for innovation and reform in policy and practice at a number of levels – institutional, national and international.

In a previous book (Slowey and Schuetze 2012a) we explored how the topic of lifelong learning in a higher education context had been interpreted across a range of countries and international organizations including OECD, UNESCO and the EU. The timing meant that the context of economic austerity measures resulting from the 2008 financial crisis formed part of the backdrop. Since then, austerity policies in many countries continued with systemic reductions in public expenditure based on dominant neoliberal economic arguments (Pritchard and Slowey 2017).

Migration and ageing populations are distinct phenomena. However, they intersect in a number of important ways. First, at the macro level, richer, ageing countries are destinations for most people who seek to move from their home country or region – whether by choice or driven by necessity, or some combination of both. Indeed, as the population of many OECD countries age, it becomes not only a humanitarian issue, but also a pragmatic policy objective to look to immigrants as a major pool of potentially qualified workers. Second, at the level of educational systems and institutions, both migrants and older adults face significant barriers in gaining access to higher education. If levels of inequality are to be addressed, the underrepresentation of these groups poses challenges for innovation and reform in higher education and the wider lifelong learning landscape.

These interconnections were initially discussed in the context of an international conference on Higher Education Reform hosted in 2016 by the Higher Education Research Centre, Dublin City University. In this introductory chapter we set the scene for individual contributions covering 12 countries as well as some more general perspectives and outlooks. In the first part (1.2) we outline the migration side of the ‘demographic coin’ in terms of the global context of migration patterns and the reasons why these are of interest from our perspective of inclusion in higher education and lifelong learning. The second part (1.3) shifts the focus to the other side of the coin, exploring patterns of ageing populations as people are living longer and, once women’s education and standards of living increase to certain levels, many

choose to have fewer children. In the third part (1.4) we outline a conceptual framework which summarizes our intersectional approach to understanding the implications of these complex socio-demographic changes for higher education and lifelong learning. This in turn leads to the final part (1.5) where we outline the structure of the book.

1.2 Migration – The Global Context

In 2017, an estimated 258 million people, approximately 3.4% of the global population, were international migrants living in a country other than a place of their birth, with three regions accounting for the bulk of this total – Asia (c. 80 million); Europe (c. 78 million); and Northern America (c. 58 million) (UN 2017, pp. 4–5).

Importantly, these numbers do not include those who migrate *within* their countries of birth where, in fact, most migration takes place, raising a set of additional issues with the relatively recent construction of nation states, and also contestations (up to the level of war) at ‘borderlands’. Adding to the complexity of the topic, mobility within a country or region with a variety of different languages presents different issues from mobility within a relatively mono-linguistic area.

All this leads to complexity in definitions of who actually is a ‘migrant’? The Council of Europe, for example, defines migrants as ‘people who move from their country of usual residence or nationality to another country’, adding that the reasons can be economic, educational, as well as to flee from natural disasters, escape persecution, ‘human rights abuses, threats to life of physical integrity, war and civil unrest’ (Ktistakis 2013, p. 9).

At the international level, there is no universally accepted definition for ‘migrant’, according to the International Organisation for Migration (IOM 2019). Reflecting the large numbers of internal migrants globally, IOM has developed a definition for its own purposes, with ‘migrant’ as

An umbrella term, not defined under international law, reflecting the common lay understanding of a person who moves away from his or her place of usual residence, whether within a country or across an international border, temporarily or permanently, and for a variety of reasons. The term includes a number of well-defined legal categories of people, such as migrant workers; persons whose particular types of movements are legally defined, such as smuggled migrants; as well as those whose status or means of movement are not specifically defined under international law, such as international students. (p.130)

Since 1951 the Geneva Convention on the Status of Refugees offers special protection and rights to asylum seekers and refugees, in recognition that such people represent particularly vulnerable groups of migrants (UN 1951). The geographic scope of the original Convention was subsequently extended in the 1967 Protocol (UN 2017).

Article 22 (‘Public Education’) of the Convention obliges the signatory states to accord to refugees the same treatment as is accorded to nationals with respect to elementary education ... [and] with respect to education other than elementary education and, in

particular, as regards access to studies, the recognition of foreign school certificates, diplomas and degrees, the remission of fees and charges and the award of scholarships. (United Nations General Assembly 1951)

The UN Refugee Agency defines a refugee as ‘a person forced to flee their country because of violence or persecution’ (UNHCR 2018a). Seeking sanctuary in another country and applying for asylum to become recognized as a refugee leads to the certain rights such as legal protection and material assistance (ibid.). In 2018, more than two-thirds of all refugees worldwide (67%) came from Syrian Arab Republic, Afghanistan, South Sudan, Myanmar and Somalia (UNHCR 2019, p. 3). The same report highlights that those over 18 years old constituted about a half of the global refugee population, with associated educational needs particular to this ‘post school’ age group.

Because of the high numbers of people migrating globally, the issue of integration (economic and sociocultural), including opening access to education for the migrant populations, has become an important topic on the political agenda of many countries (Huddleston et al. 2015; OECD 2018b). Policy debates in these countries emphasize the importance of facilitating access to vocational and higher education opportunities for immigrant and refugee students beyond the school age. Yet, while in 2016 the rate of enrolment in tertiary education across the world stood at around 36%, for refugees this proportion was just 1% (UNHCR 2018b, p. 19). Thus, despite some improvement in numbers due to scholarships and other programmes, access to university and other forms of tertiary education remained out of reach for almost all (99%) refugees. In addition to the standard barriers adults face in returning to study (Slowey and Schuetze 2012a), many adult migrants will have to learn the language of the host country. Furthermore, the formal initial education of some will have been limited due to low transition rates from primary to secondary education in many countries of the global South. Girls are particularly at risk in some countries, where relatively low educational attainment is a direct consequence of a lack of safe school environments. Some steps have been made in opening opportunities for higher education through, for example, scholarship schemes offered in 37 host countries in 2016 as part of an UNHCR higher education programme – and, while the numbers were limited it represented an increase of almost 90% compared to 2015 (UNHCR 2018b, p. 19).

In some respects the issue of access to higher education also tends to be predominantly viewed as an outcome – effectively a performance indicator of the formal school system, or as a pathway for young people to labour market and economic integration. With some notable exceptions, issues concerning opportunities for access to higher education for adult learners with a migrant background, or as a means of social and cultural integration of recently arrived (adult) migrants remain under-researched.

De Wit and Altbach draw attention to the fact that

the challenge for academic communities in Europe and elsewhere is to increase access of these refugees to higher education.... by offering more study places and scholarships for students, visiting scholarship positions to academics, and other measures. (2016, p. 117)

In a European context, Morland and Skjerven (2015) call for a comprehensive approach to evaluating and recognizing refugees' qualifications, including the establishment of a European Qualifications Passport for Refugees – as 'an important step for refugees, universities, the labour market, and society as a whole' (p. 121). From a policy perspective, a UN Refugee Agency report highlights that only substantial and long-term funding treated as an 'investment in sustainable development' would enable governments to respond to the challenges of education for refugees (UNHCR 2018b, p. 24).

In an attempt to assess the scale of the issues involved, a Migrant Integration Policy Index (MIPEX) based on integration policies across eight policy areas (including education, labour market mobility, political participation and the like) in 38 countries was developed with the support of the European Fund for the Integration of Third-Country Nationals and other relevant organizations (Huddleston et al. 2015)¹. Examples of the policy indicators or their descriptions relevant for the further and higher education of adult migrants include:

- educational guidance at all levels of compulsory and non-compulsory education;
- equality of access to higher education, vocational training and study grants depending on individuals' residential status;
- recognition of academic and professional qualifications acquired abroad;
- validation of skills/competencies acquired abroad;
- generic language training; job bridging courses;
- job specific language training;
- training required of public employment service staff on specific needs of migrants.

The MIPEX project also aimed to identify integration outcomes and contextual factors that can affect these outcomes, and to evaluate the effectiveness of policies. The analysis points to immigrants usually enjoying more equal rights and opportunities in larger and wealthier countries/regions (specifically, Western Europe and countries with long standing traditions of immigration such as Australia, Canada, New Zealand and the United States). At the same time, Sweden, Portugal and New Zealand ranked as the top three countries with favourable and inclusive integration policies.

At the time of the study, the number of non-EU citizens among EU residents was estimated at nearly 20 million, or c. 4% of the total. Individuals with relatively lower levels of formal educational qualifications comprised 37% of non-EU immigrants aged 18–64, while around 25% had a higher education qualification. The authors make the case that, while the number of non-EU newcomers remained relatively stable between 2008–2013 (due to a decrease in labour migration and higher number of recognized international protection beneficiaries), the employment context has changed since the economic crisis in 2008 and the resulting austerity measures. The employment rate of non-EU citizens aged 20–64 dropped on

¹ Website for full MIPEX 2015 results is <http://www.mipex.eu/>. Data cited in the following discussion is taken from Huddleston et al. 2015, Migrant Integration Policy Index 2015; Policy Indicators List and Questionnaire (<http://www.mipex.eu/methodology>); and, International Key Findings (<http://www.mipex.eu/download-pdf>).

average six points to 56.5% by 2014; at the same time, their risk of being in poverty or social exclusion increased by four points to 49%, which is twice the rate of EU citizens. Importantly, from our perspective on inequality, higher education and lifelong learning, women and those with relatively lower levels of formal educational qualifications were found to be at particular risk of being categorized as NEET (not in employment, education or training), while overall one third of working-age non-EU citizens were in this category.

Finally, in relation to labour market policies, MIPLEX found that most countries provided the immigrants with basic information about jobs and work-related training opportunities and, while most were in paid employment after 10 or more years, these jobs were often at levels for which people were overqualified and/or below the poverty line.

All the countries covered in this book are signatories to the 1951 Geneva Refugee Convention (UN General Assembly 1951). However, as the following chapters show (and is also evident in the MIPLEX results), significant national variations exist in relation to matters such as criteria for permanent residency and citizenship, policies aimed at family reunion and integration of newly arriving family members, and eligibility and access to higher education and lifelong learning. Variations may also exist in the situation of those who are first or second-generation immigrants, adding to lack of uniformity in definitions of who constitutes a student with a migration background.

As discussed in several chapters in this book, cultural issues are central to any discussion of migration and education: a continuum can be drawn between policy responses which emphasize integration on the one hand, and diversity on the other. Either way, there is a need for cultural competencies, at both individual and collective levels, in order to manage cultural diversity and minimize misunderstandings which may emerge from identity issues (UNESCO 2009).

In this context, language is evidently important with multilingual approaches in both formal and non-formal education helping to enhance the relevance of education and to expand educational opportunities for marginalized groups including migrant populations. Increasingly, the concept of cultural intelligence is explored in various contexts in the literature, including educational, as a way to allow cultural diversity to flourish and to promote intercultural competence (Goh 2012). There are many definitions of cultural intelligence. For the purposes of this book it can be defined as 'a *system* of interacting knowledge and skills, linked by cultural metacognition, that allows people to adapt to, select, and shape the cultural aspects of their environment' (Thomas et al. 2008, p. 127).

There is, of course, nothing new with respect to international mobility and intercultural issues in higher education. In the Western tradition, universities

have always been global institutions; they functioned in a common language (Latin) and served an international clientele of students. In fact, universities have come full-circle from the Medieval Ages as being centers of international learning, to the nineteenth and twentieth centuries rising as nation-state universities pursuing national interests, and now again as international centers of scholarship. . . (Nerad and Trzyna 2008, p. 300)

In the classic enlightenment tradition, universities have been not just places attracting scholars from other countries, but also a haven for refugees, students and

faculty members who had to leave their own countries because they were not given a chance to pursue their studies or research for the reasons such as gender, race or religious belief.

Marie Skłodowska Curie represents such an outstanding example: born in Warsaw in 1867 and not allowed to pursue university studies in Poland because she was a woman, she immigrated to France and enrolled at the University of Paris. Based on her research on radioactivity and discovering two new elements, Polonium (named after her home country) and Radium, she received the Nobel prize in physics, the first woman ever to receive this prestigious award, and later a second Nobel prize, this time in chemistry.

Another example of academic migration, was the mass exodus of Jewish and liberal scientists in the twentieth century who were forced into exile by Nazi Germany. Thousands of academics went to the United States where they made significant contributions especially to the development of some emerging disciplinary fields such as nuclear physics, psychology, economics and sociology. Six of these refugee scholars received Nobel prizes (in physics and chemistry). Others, such as the sociologists Hannah Arendt, Max Horkheimer, Theodor Adorno and the philosopher Herbert Marcuse became most influential in their fields (Bentwich 1953; Coser 1984; Fermi 1968).

More recently, especially during the tumultuous years of the 'Cultural Revolution' and the decade thereafter, many young Chinese left to study abroad as China did not have sufficient numbers of higher education institutions nor qualified academic teachers. As many of these young scientists and academics stayed in their host countries rather than returning to the mother country where opportunities were lacking for academic work and careers, they became part of a sizeable worldwide Chinese knowledge diaspora which is now a factor of global importance in promoting transnational scientific and business networks, and a source of China's competitive quest for recruiting experienced scholars to create their own brand of 'world-class' universities (Welch and Hao 2013).

Over recent decades, levels of international mobility of students and faculty, recruitment of international students and engagement in exchange programmes such as ERASMUS in Europe, are taken as indicators of quality in many league tables. Additionally, in some systems, the fees paid by non-national students provide a valuable source of income for universities and other institutions of higher education. In this push for recruitment, the challenges facing international students can be overlooked as they are citizens of one nation but residing in another – 'being outsiders, mobile students have ambiguous meanings for the country of education. Struggling to manage global people flows they never fully control, national governments flip between the benefits and the dangers (as they see it) of international students' (Marginson 2012, p. 500).

The focus of this book is on a rather different aspect of internationalization and inclusion – namely, access to higher level education and training for newly arrived immigrants (whatever their official status may be) as well as those with a first or second-generation immigrant background. In this regard, Détourbe and Goastellec (2018) highlight three ways in which refugees constitute a distinctive subgroup in

relation to access to higher education. In the first place, depending on national policies refugees often occupy a unique status, which can lead them to having a complex combination of access rights to higher education as both ‘domestic’ and ‘international’ students. Secondly, as such migration is forced, or in some way unchosen, it is consequently unplanned so their displacement can undermine typical social reproduction patterns. Thirdly, as refugees depend heavily on the social policies of the host country after their arrival, their opportunities for gaining access to higher education are conditioned by the ways in which immigration and welfare policies intersect with higher education policies. The case made by Détourbe and Goastellec for more research investigating the interaction of these factors informs aspects of the discussion in Part II of this book.

Turning to another side of the new demographics, at a time when substantial numbers of (mainly younger) people are migrating from one region or country to another, the populations of many of the ‘host’ countries are ageing as people live longer and birth rates decline. We now turn our attention to consideration of the implications for higher education policies and practices arising from an ageing population – particularly evident in the developed countries of the global North.

1.3 Ageing Populations – Global Trends

Addressing the demographic trends of ageing populations tends to be dominated by discussions of problems – health, pensions and the like. However, arguably

the problem of population aging is more a function of rigid and outmoded policies and institutions than a problem of demographic change per se.... [requiring] behavioral responses (including greater female labor force participation) and policy reforms (including an increase in the legal age of retirement). (Bloom et al. 2011, p. 29)

Central amongst these ‘rigid and outmoded policies’ are issues of supporting lifelong learning at all stages of people’s lives to help them to develop the knowledge and skills required for inclusion in contemporary societies (Slowey and Zubrzycki 2018). The concept of lifelong learning has a long history of promulgation by international organizations such as UNESCO and OECD in the 1970s, and subsequently by individual countries. In its early manifestation it comprised

a mix of social justice objectives relating to participation and inclusion – including the provision of second chance opportunities for people to return to education as adult students. Educational opportunity was seen as the foundation for participation in social and civic life, and therefore of critical importance in fostering a vibrant democracy, as well as preparation for employment. (Slowey and Schuetze 2012b, p. 7)

These original principles were contested by neoliberal agendas in the 1990s, viewing lifelong learning primarily as a mechanism for developing ‘human capital’ and associated skills and knowledge perceived to be required for ‘knowledge-based’ economies. While the concept of lifelong learning ‘featured prominently in national

and international educational policy discourse, the implications of this concept for *higher education* remained underdeveloped' (Slowey and Schuetze 2012b, p. 4).

Writing almost a decade ago, we identified two factors as contributing to this 'underdevelopment' and slow pace of change. First, a recognition that lifelong learning systems require complex processes of articulation and coordination between institutions and providers, each of which have their own interests, missions, resource bases and status positions. Second, a conflict between several, mainly two ideal-type models of lifelong learning derived from different underpinning principles – one model drawing mainly on traditions of social justice and equity, and the other based mainly on a more economic, human capital perspective (Schuetze and Casey 2006).

In this context, what are the implications for lifelong learning as many more people live to older ages? As discussed above, increased longevity and falling fertility rates are contributing to 'population ageing' as a global phenomenon, with people over 65 years old comprising the fastest-growing age group (UN 2019b). Thus, 'by 2050, one in six people in the world will be over age 65 (16%), up from one in 11 in 2019 (9%)', while in Europe and North America one in four persons could be aged 65 or more by 2050 (p. 2). While increasing longevity is a welcome development for individuals and societies, policy discussions are often couched in terms of 'challenges'. For example, the latter UN Report highlights 'the potential impact of population ageing on the labour market and economic performance as well as the fiscal pressures that many countries will face in the coming decades as they seek to build and maintain public systems of health care, pensions and social protection for older persons' (ibid.). Similarly, in Europe, the changing demographic is identified as one of its main challenges facing the region (EU-OSHA 2016). Simultaneously, there is a momentum to assure human rights in older age, including the right to education and to work with calls for 'more robust international instruments at all levels' (Kalache and Blewitt 2011, p. 89), while the World Health Organization aims to connect ageing and urbanization through the development of a convention on Age Friendly Cities (WHO 2007).

With people living longer and countries extending the retirement ages, more people in their 60s and 70s are potentially able – and may have to – work longer, contributing to the economy as a result of the 'improvements in health and functioning along with shifting of employment from jobs that need strength to jobs needing knowledge' (Christensen et al. 2009, p. 1205). This calls for further development of systems to support the emerging life course patterns and includes addressing the upskilling, reskilling and other learning needs of the individuals planning for, or already facing longer working lives. It becomes a policy issue in reconciling the interests of the individuals who may wish (or need to) work beyond the traditional retirement age with other stakeholders involved including education providers, employers and various NGOs.

Existing evidence points to the need to support individuals of all ages, including before and after the traditional retirement age, with formal and non-formal education opportunities, in order to enhance their potential in the labour market and facilitate other forms of participation in society (Findsen and Formosa 2011; Cantillon

and Vasquez del Aguila 2011). Higher education providers, both public and private, can play an important role in supporting lifelong learning and the development of new knowledge, skills and competencies over the working life, as well as creating an environment in which the continuing acquisition of new knowledge and skills is seen as both socially desirable and possible.

The disadvantages experienced by individuals with lower levels of formal educational attainment in relation to labour market participation are well documented. Report on key findings from the 2012 Survey of Adult Skills (OECD Programme for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies, or PIAAC) highlights that individuals with lower skill levels are ‘unlikely to engage in education and training on their own initiative and tend to receive less employer-sponsored training’, with great variation between countries in establishing systems that combine quality initial education with ‘opportunities and incentives for the entire population to continue to develop proficiency in reading and numeracy skills after the completion of initial education and training, whether outside work or at the workplace’ (OECD 2013, p. 32). At the same time, PIAAC survey data show significant proportions of adult population even in advanced industrialized countries with low levels of proficiency in key foundation skills such as literacy, numeracy, problem solving and information-processing.

Further detailed analysis of PIAAC data shows employer-sponsored training growing at a faster rate than that of public or non-profit provision carrying significant implications for public policy as the individuals with highest levels of previous education, or with higher levels of basic skills are found most likely to benefit from employer support – thus potentially exacerbating, rather than reducing, socio-economic inequalities (Desjardins 2017).

Unless redressed through broader educational and social policies and targeted government support for adult learning, inequality in general is likely to continue to grow, and potentially result in intergenerational inequalities. Public policy can address inequalities by supporting adults with lower levels of key foundation skills with initiatives aimed at developing such skills. Empirical research highlights a particular need for a discussion around the gender implications, as the situation facing women is especially challenging in terms of the earnings gap, pension coverage and caring responsibilities. In many developed countries, one of the most obvious demographic changes in higher education in recent decades has been the increasing level of women’s participation in higher education. To some commentators, this might suggest that the challenge of gender equality has been ‘solved’. However, traditional patterns of sex distribution by disciplines, areas of work and pay rates remain strong: women are excluded from opportunities of their choice for education and employment in many parts of the world, while in others, the implications of dramatically new life course patterns for both women and men are largely uncharted territories (Schuller 2019).

Bringing together the implications of two major trends of migration and ageing for higher education, this book deliberately strays into such ‘uncharted territories’. Such an analysis requires an intersectional approach, which provides the overarching conceptual framework for this book as we outline in the next part.