

Udo Blum

# Plant-Plant Allelopathic Interactions III

Partitioning and Seedling Effects of  
Phenolic Acids as Related to their  
Physicochemical and Conditional  
Properties

 Springer

## Plant-Plant Allelopathic Interactions III

Udo Blum

# Plant-Plant Allelopathic Interactions III

Partitioning and Seedling Effects of Phenolic  
Acids as Related to their Physicochemical  
and Conditional Properties

 Springer

Udo Blum  
Department of Plant & Microbial Biology  
North Carolina State University  
Raleigh, NC, USA

ISBN 978-3-030-22097-6                      ISBN 978-3-030-22098-3 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22098-3>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG.  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

*This volume is dedicated to Mary Ann Blum,  
Amy Blum Grady, and Nicole Blum.*

# Preface

The first volume (Blum 2011) contains a retrospective analysis of the author's research program at North Carolina State University on plant-plant allelopathic interactions involving simple phenolic acids such as cinnamic and benzoic acids and their potential role in the inhibition of broadleaf weed emergence in cover crop debris no-till crop systems. It was written for researchers, students, farmers, as well as layman interested in science, reduced tillage, and plant-plant allelopathic interactions. The second volume (Blum 2014) contains a detailed description and discussion of the underlying features, issues, and suppositions associated with seed and seedling laboratory bioassays presented in the first volume. It is, however, broader in scope and substance in that the information provided is relevant to all water-soluble compounds released to soil by putative allelopathic living plants and their litter and residues. It updates and expands the practical guidelines for designing laboratory bioassays provided previously in the literature with the hope that the designs of future seed and seedling bioassays would become more relevant to field systems. It was written specifically for researchers and their graduate students interested in studying plant-plant allelopathic interactions, although a layman interested in science may also find it beneficial in understanding the benefits and limitations of laboratory bioassays in exploring the causes and effects of putative allelopathic compounds.

This volume continues the retrospective analysis but goes beyond that in an attempt to understand how phenolic acids are partitioned in seedling-solution and seedling-microbe-soil-sand culture systems and how their effects on seedlings may be related to the actual and conditional physicochemical properties of simple phenolic acids. It does this by presenting hypothetical analyses for the relationships between physicochemical properties and conditional properties of phenolic acids, such as cinnamic and benzoic acids, and the behavior of phenolic acids in seedling-solution culture systems and seedling-microbe-soil-sand culture systems. Hypothetical in that the raw data was not always readily available and thus published means, data points generated by regression analyses and data points for published figures were also utilized in combination with published physicochemical properties of phenolic acids to establish these relationships.

Specifically, it explores the quantitative source-sink relationships of phenolic acids in cucumber seedling-solution and cucumber seedling-microbe-soil-sand systems. It contains the following:

- (a) Chapter 1 describes the potential relationships, where they may exist, for direct transfer of organic compounds between plants, plant communication, and allelopathic interactions, defines the boundaries for allelopathic interactions, summarizes terminology, and outlines standard approaches to the study of allelopathic interactions.
- (b) Chapter 2 describes sources, sinks, turnover rates, modifying elements and identity, mobility, distribution, states, and effects of the potential allelopathic compounds.
- (c) Chapter 3 describes the conceptual models for system sources (inputs) and partitioning (sinks) of hydrophilic, hydrophobic, and volatile organic compounds for seedling-microbe-soil systems and the physicochemical properties of organic compounds with an emphasis on phenolic acids that may be useful in understanding and quantifying the behavior of individual organic compounds, actually molecules, in seedling-microbe-soil systems.
- (d) In Chap. 4, the author explores the potential roles of solution pH,  $pK_a$  of phenolic acids, and pH- $pK_a$  relationships in modifying the behavior of cucumber seedlings (*Cucumis sativus*) treated with simple phenolic acids and/or mixtures of simple phenolic acids in solution culture.
- (e) In Chap. 5, the author explores the potential roles of  $\log P$  (hydrophobicity),  $\log D$  (pH-adjusted  $\log P$ ), and molecular structures of phenolic acids in modifying the behavior of cucumber seedlings (*Cucumis sativus*) treated with phenolic acids and/or phenolic acid mixtures in solution culture.
- (f) In Chap. 6, the author explores whether the conditional properties of  $K_d$  and  $K_{oc}$  (sorption coefficients) for phenolic acids could assist in determining how phenolic acids are partitioned in sterilized Cecil and Portsmouth A and B horizon soils and compares the merit of using sorption  $K_d$  and  $K_{oc}$  values based on the batch equilibrium and desorption techniques with that of sorption  $K_d$  and  $K_{oc}$  values based on water, neutral EDTA, and/or Mehlich III extractions.
- (g) Chapter 7 describes how biological processes, such as microbial utilization and root and/or mycorrhizal uptake, may influence the available (reversibly sorbed and free) phenolic acids in Cecil and Portsmouth A and B horizon soil and soil-sand systems.
- (h) Chapter 8 describes the source (input)-sink relationships, processes, mechanisms, and causes and effects of phenolic acids, such as ferulic acid, *p*-coumaric acid, *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid, and/or vanillic acid, by means of a conceptual and hypothetical sub-models for a cucumber seedling-solution culture system.

- (i) Chapter 9 describes how conceptual sub-models for soil and soil-sand-culture bioassays in conjunction with quantitative relationships described in the previous chapters and the literature may be used to model how various system elements of soil and soil-sand cultures can potentially modify or control the actions and effects of simple phenolic acids on cucumber seedlings.
- (j) Chapter 10 describes the physicochemical and biotic partitioning of phenolic acids in Cecil soil and Cecil soil-sand systems plus or minus microorganisms and cucumber seedlings (*Cucumis sativus*) treated with phenolic acids with an emphasis on *p*-coumaric acid and presents quantitative data for how phenolic acids may be partitioned in hypothetical cucumber seedling-microbe-Cecil A horizon soil-sand systems. The hypothetical models for the two types of systems are provided, a continuous-input column system and a multiple-input cup system.
- (k) Chapter 11 describes the physicochemical and biotic partitioning of phenolic acids in Portsmouth A and B horizon soil and soil-sand systems plus or minus microorganisms and cucumber seedlings (*Cucumis sativus*) and the ways that various system elements, such as soil type, pH, and phenolic acid mixtures, affect this partitioning and/or seedling behavior and provides quantitative data for ways that phenolic acids may be partitioned in a hypothetical cucumber seedling-microbe-Portsmouth B soil-sand model system treated with ferulic acid.
- (l) In Chap. 12, the author reexamines the underlying assumptions of the conceptual and hypothetical models of this volume and attempts to answer the questions: Can physicochemical properties of phenolic acids be used as tools to help understand the complex behavior of phenolic acids and the ultimate effects of phenolic acids on sensitive seedlings? What insights do laboratory bioassays and the conceptual and hypothetical models of laboratory systems provide us concerning the potential behavior and effects of phenolic acids in field systems? What potential role may phenolic acids play in broadleaf weed seedling emergence in wheat debris no-till cover crop systems?

The third volume was written specifically for researchers and their students interested in understanding how a range of simple phenolic acids and potentially other putative allelopathic compounds released from living plants and their litter and residues may affect soil chemistry, microbial biology, and seedling behavior in seedling-solution and seedling-microbe-soil-sand culture systems.

Note 1: Because of the dynamics of the Internet, any web addresses or links contained in this volume may have changed since its publication and may no longer be valid. The reader will find some subject matter and data from references repeated in several chapters. This is because the chapters are written to be stand-alone as much as possible. Thus, the subject matter and references are described or discussed more than once but each time in the context of the topic of the chapter.



Note 2: Correction – In Volume 1 (Blum 2011) for Fig. 2.25 and Fig. 3.19, the units for the colony-forming units (CFU) should have been CFU/g root dry weight instead of CFU/g soil.

Raleigh, NC, USA  
3/19/2019

Udo Blum

## References

- Blum U (2011) Plant-plant allelopathic interactions: phenolic acids, cover crops and weed emergence. Springer, Dordrecht
- Blum (2014) Plant-plant allelopathic interactions II: laboratory bioassays for water-soluble compound with an emphasis on phenolic acids. Springer, Cham

# Acknowledgments

It appears appropriate for the final volume of this series to recognize the funding sources and the contributions of all the faculty members, technicians, graduate and undergraduate students, and family members who contributed to making this series possible. I have thus included slightly modified acknowledgments for both the first and second volume.

Volume I (Blum 2011)

Although my research interests in allelopathy have been a primary focus for most of my academic career, I did take several excursions into other research areas (e.g., air pollution biology and salt marsh ecology) before returning full time to the subject matter of allelopathy. In retrospect, these excursions turned out to be extremely beneficial to my understanding of stress physiology and ecosystem biology, the important insights needed when studying plant-plant allelopathic interactions. My teaching of beginning and advanced undergraduate botany courses and graduate courses in plant physiology, ecology, plant physiological ecology, and root ecology also proved to be invaluable in my pursuit of understanding the mechanisms of plant-plant allelopathic interactions by providing me with an opportunity to develop a much more in-depth appreciation of plant morphology, anatomy, physiology, and population biology and soil physics, chemistry, and microbiology.

Equally as important as a solid understanding of plant, microbial, and soil biology and chemistry was an appreciation of the scientific method. The importance of the scientific method as a tool for studying biological systems was instilled within me by EL Rice, my PhD mentor at The University of Oklahoma, and was reinforced by my teaching of botany courses using the Socratic method at both the University of Oklahoma and at North Carolina State University.

I also want to acknowledge the help of several statisticians at North Carolina State University who over the years provided me with the opportunity to develop and refine my skills in experimental design, data analysis, and modeling. In particular, I would like to express my appreciation to Professors RJ Monroe, JO Rawlings, and TM Gerig of the Department of Statistics.

Along the way, there were numerous faculty members, graduate and undergraduate students, and technicians who influenced, shaped, and reshaped my research program in allelopathy. A deep felt thank you to all of them. In particular, I would like to express my appreciation to faculty members C Brownie, RC Fites, TM Gerig, F Louws, LD King, SR Shafer, SB Weed, TR Wentworth, and AD Worsham; visiting scientist S-W Lyu; technicians/graduate students BR Dalton and K Klein; graduate students MF Austin, CL Bergmark, FL Booker, LJ Flint, AB Hall, LD Holappa, M Kochhar, ME Lehman, JV Perino, KJ Pue, J Rebbeck, JR Shann, K Staman, ER Waters, and AG White; and the assistance of CG Van Dyke in processing the samples and taking the electron micrographs of microbial populations on cucumber root surfaces.

I would also like to acknowledge the following organizations for providing research support and/or funding: the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service, USDA Competitive Research Grants Program, Southern Region Low-Input Agricultural Systems Research and Extension Program, North Carolina Agricultural Foundation Graduate Research Assistantship Program, and Departments of Botany (now Plant Biology and Microbial Biology), Crop Science, Soil Science, and Statistics.

Finally, I wish to thank MA Blum, SO Duke, JR Troyer, JD Weidenhamer, and AD Worsham for editing and reviewing and for the thoughtful and constructive comments.

#### Volume II (Blum 2014)

I wish to thank RG Belz, MA Blum, AN Blum, LF Grand, SO Duke, JD Weidenhamer, LA Weston, AD Worsham, and D Xie for editing and reviewing and for the thoughtful and constructive comments; A Blum Grady for the following illustrations, Figs. 1.1, 2.1, 3.1, 4.4, and 4.5; and the Department of Plant and Microbial Biology and the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences at North Carolina State University for their support. I would like to especially acknowledge the contribution of my wife, Mary Ann, and our two daughters, Amy and Nicole, for their continued support throughout the years and for their contributions to this volume. I also wish to acknowledge the contributions of the faculty, students, and technicians at North Carolina State University and the researchers worldwide who over the years contributed to the research upon which this volume is based. Writing this volume was truly a cooperative venture. Finally, in the previous volume (see Blum 2011) under acknowledgments, I neglected to specifically acknowledge the contributions of TM Gerig, C Brownie, and JO Rawlings for their help in the statistical analysis and modeling of data described in that volume and to also include FL Booker under the list of the faculty members who influenced, shaped, and reshaped my research program in allelopathy.

#### For volume (Volume III)

I would like to acknowledge the contributions of the following: MA Blum and several anonymous reviewers for editing and reviewing and for the thoughtful and constructive comments, the assistance of CG Van Dyke in processing the samples

and taking the electron micrographs of microbial populations on cucumber root surfaces and for the support provided by the Department of Plant and Microbial Biology and the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences.

## References

- Blum U (2011) Plant-plant allelopathic interactions: phenolic acids, cover crops and weed emergence. Springer, Dordrecht
- Blum (2014) Plant-plant allelopathic interactions II: laboratory bioassays for water-soluble compound with an emphasis on phenolic acids. Springer, Cham

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Reflections Regarding Plant-Plant Interactions, Communications and Allelopathic Interactions with an Emphasis on Allelopathic Interactions</b> .....	1
1.1	Plant-Plant Interactions.....	1
1.1.1	Direct Transfers of Compounds Between Plants .....	3
1.1.2	Plant-Plant Communications .....	4
1.1.3	Plant-Plant Allelopathic Interactions .....	5
1.1.4	Relationships.....	6
1.2	Defining the Boundaries of Plant-Plant Allelopathic Interactions.....	7
1.2.1	Plant-Plant Allelopathic Interactions and the Biotic and Physicochemical Environment.....	8
1.2.2	Boundaries for Plant-Plant Allelopathic Interactions .....	10
1.2.3	Terminology.....	13
1.3	Approaches .....	17
	References.....	20
<b>2</b>	<b>General Background for Plant-Plant Allelopathic Interactions</b> .....	27
2.1	Introduction.....	27
2.2	Sources of Available (Free) Organic Compounds in the Field Environment.....	28
2.2.1	Living Plants .....	28
2.2.2	Litter, Residues, and Organic Matter .....	29
2.2.3	Residual Available Organic Compounds and Recalcitrant Organic Matter .....	29
2.2.4	Formation of Available Secondary, Tertiary, Etc. Organic Compounds .....	30
2.3	Sinks for Available Organic Compounds.....	31
2.4	Source (Input)-Sink Relationships for Available Organic Compounds .....	31

2.4.1	Source (Input)-Sink Relationships .....	31
2.4.2	Turnover Rates of Available Organic Compounds.....	32
2.5	When Is an Organic Compound an Allelopathic Compound?.....	33
2.6	Identified Putative Allelopathic (IPA) Compounds.....	34
2.6.1	Effects for Identified Putative Allelopathic (IPA) Compounds .....	36
2.6.2	Modifying Elements for the Effects of IPA Compounds .....	37
2.6.3	Time Frame for Effects of IPA Compounds .....	37
2.6.4	Mobility and Distribution of IPA Compounds in the Environment.....	38
2.6.5	Fractions of IPA Compounds.....	39
2.6.6	Available/Active Fractions, Uptake, Depletion, Turnover Rates and Residual Concentrations .....	40
2.7	Modeling .....	42
	References.....	43
<b>3</b>	<b>Conceptual Models for the Input and Partitioning of Organic Compounds in Seedling-Microbe-Soil Systems and Physicochemical Properties of Organic Compounds with an Emphasis on Phenolic Acids .....</b>	<b>49</b>
3.1	Introduction.....	49
3.2	Sources (Inputs) and Transport .....	50
3.2.1	Hydrophilic Organic Molecules (All Non-Gaseous Water-Soluble Molecules No Matter Their Classification).....	50
3.2.2	Hydrophobic Organic Molecules (All Non-Gaseous Water-Insoluble Molecules No Matter Their Classification).....	54
3.2.3	Volatile Organic Molecules (All Gaseous Molecules No Matter Their Classification) .....	56
3.3	Sinks.....	56
3.3.1	Hydrophilic Organic Molecules (All Non-Gaseous Water-Soluble Molecules No Matter Their Classification) .....	57
3.3.2	Hydrophobic Organic Molecules (All Non-Gaseous Water-Insoluble Molecules No Matter Their Classification) .....	59
3.3.3	Volatile Organic Molecules (All Gaseous Volatile Molecules No Matter Their Classification).....	60
3.4	Conceptual Models for Source (Potential Inputs)-Sink Relationships.....	60
3.5	Physicochemical Properties for Individual Organic Compounds with an Emphasis on Phenolic Acids.....	62

3.6	Linkages Between Physicochemical Properties of Organic Compounds .....	67
	References .....	68
<b>4</b>	<b>Simple Phenolic Acids in Solution Culture I: pH and pK<sub>a</sub></b> .....	71
4.1	Introduction.....	71
4.2	Simple Phenolic Acids .....	71
4.3	Physicochemical Properties of Phenolic Acids in Solution Culture .....	72
4.4	pK <sub>a</sub> Values of Phenolic Acids.....	74
4.5	Calculating Neutral and Negative Fractions .....	89
4.6	Depletion (Uptake) of Neutral and Negative Fractions of Individual Phenolic Acids.....	91
4.7	Effects of Neutral Fractions of Individual Phenolic Acids on Growth .....	96
4.8	Neutral Fractions and Mixtures of Phenolic Acids .....	104
4.9	The Neutral Fraction vs the Negative Fraction as Causative Agents .....	105
4.10	Final Comments .....	111
	References.....	111
<b>5</b>	<b>Simple Phenolic Acids in Solution Culture II: Log P, Log D and Molecular Structure</b> .....	115
5.1	Introduction.....	115
5.2	Log P.....	115
5.3	Log D (pH Adjusted Log P).....	116
5.4	Potential Roles of Log P and Log D .....	117
5.4.1	Log P and Individual Phenolic Acids.....	117
5.4.2	Outliers.....	130
5.4.3	Log D and Individual Phenolic Acids .....	136
5.4.4	Log P and Concentrations of the Neutral Molecules .....	140
5.4.5	Mixtures of Phenolic Acids.....	145
5.5	Molecular Structure .....	150
5.6	Role of Microorganisms.....	151
5.7	Final Comments .....	152
	References.....	152
<b>6</b>	<b>Simple Phenolic Acids in Soil Culture I: Sorption, K<sub>d</sub> and K<sub>oc</sub></b> .....	155
6.1	Introduction.....	155
6.2	Sorption and Sorption Coefficients .....	156
6.2.1	Definitions.....	156
6.2.2	Sorption of Phenolic Acids in Soil Systems .....	157
6.2.3	Soil-Water (K <sub>d</sub> ) and Soil Organic Carbon-Water (K <sub>oc</sub> ) Coefficients .....	158

6.3	Soil Sorption of Phenolic Acids Based on Batch-Equilibrium-Desorption Techniques and Water and Neutral EDTA Extractions .....	162
6.3.1	Percent Sorption, $K_d$ and $K_{oc}$ of Phenolic Acids in Cecil and Portsmouth Soils.....	164
6.3.2	Percent E-Sorption .....	167
6.4	Final Comments .....	189
6.4.1	General Observations About Sorption/Desorption and Sorption Coefficients of Organic Compounds Including Phenolic Acids in Soil.....	189
6.4.2	Soil Phenolic Acid Extraction Procedures and Plant-Plant Allelopathic Interactions.....	190
6.4.3	Conclusions.....	192
	References.....	193
<b>7</b>	<b>Simple Phenolic Acids in Soil Culture II: Biological Processes in Soil.....</b>	<b>197</b>
7.1	Introduction.....	197
7.2	Utilization and Responses of Microorganisms to Phenolic Acids .....	200
7.2.1	Soil-Non-Mycorrhizal Root Systems.....	200
7.2.2	Mycorrhizosphere, Rhizoplane and Endorhizosphere of Mycorrhizal Roots .....	222
7.2.3	Nodulation.....	223
7.2.4	Field vs Laboratory Systems: Microbial Populations Based on Colony-Forming Units .....	224
7.3	Uptake of Phenolic Acids by Roots and Mycorrhizae .....	229
7.3.1	Root Uptake .....	229
7.3.2	Mycorrhizal Uptake .....	233
7.3.3	Final Comment.....	233
	References.....	233
<b>8</b>	<b>Hypothetical Solution-Culture System Sub-Models.....</b>	<b>239</b>
8.1	Introduction.....	239
8.2	General Background .....	239
8.2.1	Features of the Nutrient-Culture System .....	239
8.2.2	The Conceptual Model.....	253
8.2.3	Physicochemical Properties of Phenolic Acids and Phenolic Acid Effects .....	257
8.3	Hypothetical Models: Exploring the Source (Input)-Sink Relationships and Effects of Phenolic Acids by Means of the Conceptual Model.....	258
8.3.1	Depletion of Ferulic Acid, <i>p</i> -Coumaric Acid and Vanillic Acid and their Effects on Net Phosphorous Uptake (See Lyu et al. 1990).....	258



- 8.3.2 Depletion of Ferulic Acid, Vanillic Acid and an Equal-Molar Mixtures of Ferulic Acid and Vanillic Acid and their Effects on Net Phosphorous Uptake (See Lyu et al. 1990)..... 263
- 8.3.3 Depletion of Ferulic Acid from Treatment Solutions and Effects of Ferulic Acid on Absolute Rates of Leaf Expansion as Modified by pH over a 48-Hr Treatment Period (See Blum et al. 1985b)..... 270
- 8.4 Final Comments..... 277
- References..... 278
- 9 Hypothetical Soil-Culture System Sub-Models..... 281**
  - 9.1 Introduction..... 281
  - 9.2 Features of Soil and Soil-Sand Cultures ..... 282
    - 9.2.1 The Basic Systems ..... 282
    - 9.2.2 Media, Roots, Microorganisms, Treatment Solutions and Effects..... 284
  - 9.3 Measurements, Coefficients and Relationships ..... 290
    - 9.3.1 Determining Depletion, Sorption and Residual Concentrations of Phenolic Acids in Soil and Soil-Sand Systems..... 290
    - 9.3.2 Sorption,  $K_d$ ,  $K_f$  and  $K_{oc}$  Coefficients..... 291
    - 9.3.3  $pK_a$ , Log P and Log D..... 292
    - 9.3.4 Colony-Forming Units (CFU) of Microorganisms ..... 293
    - 9.3.5 Seedling Effects ..... 293
    - 9.3.6 Cause and Effect Relationships..... 294
  - 9.4 Hypothetical Models: Fundamentals of Cecil and Portsmouth Soil Systems..... 295
    - 9.4.1 Phenolic Acid Input..... 296
    - 9.4.2 Processes That Determine Available and Unavailable Phenolic Acids..... 297
    - 9.4.3 Available (Free and Reversibly Sorbed) and Unavailable (Lost) Phenolic Acids..... 316
    - 9.4.4 Seedling Effects and Some Modifying Factors..... 322
  - 9.5 Summary of System Processes and Protocols for Developing Quantitative Hypothetical Models ..... 335
    - 9.5.1 Essential Elements and Properties of Seedling-Microbe-Soil-Sand Systems..... 335
    - 9.5.2 System Features and Protocols for Developing Hypothetical Black Box Models..... 337
  - References..... 339
- 10 Quantitative Hypothetical System Models for Cecil Soil-Sand Systems ..... 345**

10.1	Introduction.....	345
10.2	The Systems and Their Hypothetical Models.....	345
10.2.1	Continuous-Input Column Open Systems.....	346
10.2.2	Single and Multiple Input Closed Systems.....	362
	References.....	404
<b>11</b>	<b>Quantitative Hypothetical System Model for a Portsmouth B Horizon Soil-Sand System</b> .....	<b>407</b>
11.1	Introduction.....	407
11.2	Quantitative Data Available for Portsmouth Soil and Soil-Sand Systems.....	408
11.2.1	Physicochemical Processes in Soil.....	408
11.2.2	Physicochemical Processes, Microbial Populations and Utilization of Phenolic Acids in Soil-Sand Systems .....	408
11.2.3	Rhizosphere Microbial Populations and Utilization of Phenolic Acids in Cucumber Seedling-Soil- Sand Systems .....	416
11.2.4	Seedling Inhibition.....	421
11.3	Hypothetical Model for Portsmouth Soil-Sand Systems .....	431
11.3.1	Systems .....	432
11.3.2	Potential Modifiers of Black Box Values .....	445
	References.....	449
<b>12</b>	<b>Epilog: Assumptions, Models, Hypotheses and Conclusions</b> .....	<b>451</b>
12.1	Introduction.....	451
12.2	Physicochemical Properties of Phenolic Acids.....	452
12.2.1	Solubility and Vapor Pressure .....	452
12.2.2	$pK_a$ .....	453
12.2.3	Log P.....	454
12.2.4	Molecular Structure .....	457
12.2.5	Sorption Coefficients ( $K_d$ , $K_f$ and $K_{oc}$ ) .....	457
12.2.6	Can Physicochemical Properties of Phenolic Acids Be Used as Tools to Help Understand the Complex Behavior of Phenolic Acids and the Ultimate Effects of Phenolic Acids on Sensitive Seedlings? .....	458
12.3	Other Tools.....	460
12.3.1	Soil Extractions.....	460
12.3.2	Plate-Dilution Frequency Technique.....	461
12.3.3	Leaf Area and Leaf Area Expansion .....	462
12.3.4	Water Utilization and Evapotranspiration.....	463
12.4	Assumptions for Model Systems .....	464
12.4.1	Assumption for Nutrient-Culture Systems.....	464
12.4.2	Assumptions for Continuous-Input Systems .....	465
12.4.3	Assumptions for Single or Multiple Input Closed-Cup Systems .....	468

- 12.5 Summary of Observations for Seedling-Microbe-Soil Systems .... 471
  - 12.5.1 Physicochemical Processes ..... 471
  - 12.5.2 Root Uptake and Microbial Utilization..... 471
  - 12.5.3 Effects of Phenolic Acids on Non-mycorrhizal Seedlings ..... 472
  - 12.5.4 Partitioning of Phenolic Acids in Seedling-Microbe-Soil-Sand Systems ..... 473
- 12.6 What Insights Do the Laboratory Bioassays and the Conceptual and Hypothetical Models of Laboratory Systems Provide Us Concerning the Potential Behavior and Effects of Phenolic Acids in Field Systems?..... 475
  - 12.6.1 Similarities for Laboratory and Field Systems ..... 475
  - 12.6.2 Differences Between Laboratory and Field Systems ..... 476
  - 12.6.3 Treatment Concentrations and Dose in Laboratory Systems ..... 478
  - 12.6.4 Potential Effects of Phenolic Acids on Weeds in Wheat Debris No-Till Crop Systems ..... 479
- References..... 484
- Name Index**..... 487
- Subject Index**..... 493

# Abbreviations

AGR	Absolute rates of leaf expansion
BE	Batch equilibrium technique
BE-D	Batch equilibrium-desorption technique
CAF	Caffeic acid
Cecil A and/or B	Cecil A and/or B horizon soil
CONC	Concentration
CFU	Colony-forming units
D	Desorption
Debris	Plant litter and residues
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
W-EDTA	Water-EDTA extraction technique
FAST BAC	Fast-growing bacteria
FER	Ferulic acid
GLU	Glucose
H	Hydrogen
HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatograph
IPA	Identified putative allelopathic compound
$K_d$	Soil sorption (distribution) coefficient
$K_{d,i}$	Soil sorption (distribution) coefficient for ionized molecules
$K_{d,n}$	Soil sorption (distribution) coefficient for neutral molecules
$K_f$	Soil Freundlich sorption coefficient
$K_i$	Concentration required for 50% inhibition
$K_{oc}$	Soil organic carbon normalized soil-water partition coefficient
$K_{oc,i}$	Soil organic carbon normalized soil-water partition coefficient for ionized molecules
$K_{oc,n}$	Soil organic carbon normalized soil-water partition coefficient for neutral molecules

$K_{om}$	Soil organic matter normalized soil-water partition coefficient
$K_{ow}$	Soil-water partition coefficient
Log D	pH-adjusted log P
Log $K_{oc}$	Log soil organic carbon normalized soil-water partition coefficient
Log P	Log n-Octanol-water partition coefficient
Log $P_n$	Log n-Octanol-water partition coefficient of the neutral fraction
MES	2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid
MET	Methionine
N-CONC	Neutral concentration
NUT	Nutrient solution
OH	Hydroxy
OMe	Methoxy
P	n-Octanol-water partition coefficient
PHE	Phenylalanine
$pK_a$	Acid dissociation constant
PA	Phenolic acids
PCO	<i>p</i> -Coumaric acid
POH	<i>p</i> -Hydroxybenzoic acid
Portsmouth A and/or B	Portsmouth A and/or B horizon soil
PRO	Protocatechuic acid
RGR	Relative rates of leaf expansion
SIN	Sinapic acid
SYR	Syringic acid
VAN	Vanillic acid
$\Phi_n$	Neutral fraction

# List of Figures

Fig. 3.1	Soil inputs of non-volatile hydrophilic (all water soluble) organic molecules to a soil pool or soil pools from seeds, living plants and their litter and residues and by soil organisms. Broken lines indicate water input, solid lines indicate movement of water-soluble organic molecules to the soil pool or pools and dotted lines indicate physical and biotic processes .....	53
Fig. 3.2	Inputs of non-volatile hydrophobic (water hating or insoluble) organic molecules from seeds, living plants and their litter and residues and by soil organisms into soil. Solid lines indicate transfer of non-volatile hydrophobic (all water insoluble) molecules into the soil adjacent to the source (boxes) and dotted lines indicate physical and biotic processes .....	55
Fig. 3.3	Inputs of gaseous volatile hydrophilic and hydrophobic organic molecules from seeds, living plants and their litter and residues and by soil organisms into soil. Dark solid lines indicate transfer of volatile molecules and dotted lines indicate physical and biotic processes .....	57
Fig. 3.4	Partitioning of non-volatile hydrophilic (all water soluble) molecules to soil sinks. Solid lines indicate losses, broken lines indicate losses or gains and dotted lines indicate modifications of all non-volatile water-soluble molecules by soil organisms and by physicochemical processes.....	58
Fig. 3.5	Partitioning of non-volatile hydrophobic (water hating or insoluble) organic molecules to soil sinks. Solid lines indicate losses, broken lines indicate losses or gains and dotted lines indicate modifications of all non-volatile water-insoluble organic molecules by soil organisms and by physicochemical processes.....	59

Fig. 3.6 Partitioning of gaseous volatile hydrophilic and hydrophobic organic molecules. Solid lines indicate losses from the atmosphere, the soil atmosphere and the soil solution, broken lines indicate losses or gains and dotted lines indicate modifications of all gaseous volatile hydrophilic and hydrophobic organic molecules within the atmosphere, the soil atmosphere and in the soil solution ..... 61

Fig. 4.1 Some common simple plant phenolic acids, cinnamic acid derivatives on the *right* and benzoic acid derivatives on the *left*, where H equals hydrogen, OH equals hydroxy, and OMe equals methoxy. Figure duplicated by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, Springer Science and Business Media B. V., Plant-plant allelopathic interactions: phenolic acids, cover crops and weed emergence, Blum (2011) ..... 73

Fig. 4.2 Absolute rates of leaf expansion and % inhibition of absolute rates of leaf expansion of 16–18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.25–1 mM ferulic acid (FER;  $pK_a = 4.58$ ) or *p*-coumaric acid (PCO;  $pK_a = 4.40$ ) solutions at 3 pH levels. The absolute rates of leaf expansion of the 0 mM treatments for the ferulic acid data sets were 40.04, 41.88 and 44.97  $cm^2/2$  days and for the *p*-coumaric acid data sets were 42.75, 38.79 and 47.88  $cm^2/2$  days at pH 5.5, 6.25 and 7, respectively. Data were generated using regressions in Table 2 and 3 of Blum et al. (1985). Regressions used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, J Chem Ecol 11:1567–1582, Effects of ferulic acid and *p*-coumaric acid in nutrient culture on cucumber leaf expansion as influenced by pH, Blum et al. (1985) ..... 79

Fig. 4.3 Relative rates of leaf expansion and % inhibition of relative rates of leaf expansion of 16–18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.25–1 mM ferulic acid (FER;  $pK_a = 4.58$ ) or *p*-coumaric acid (PCO;  $pK_a = 4.40$ ) solutions at 3 pH levels. The relative rates of leaf expansion of the 0 mM treatments for the ferulic acid data sets were 0.47, 0.45 and 0.42  $cm^2/2$  days and for the *p*-coumaric acid data sets were 0.48, 0.46 and 0.44  $cm^2/cm^2/2$  days at pH 5.5, 6.25 and 7, respectively. Data were generated using regressions in Table 2 and 3 of Blum et al. (1985). Regressions used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, J Chem Ecol 11:1567–1582, Effects of ferulic acid and *p*-coumaric acid in nutrient culture on cucumber leaf expansion as influenced by pH, Blum et al. (1985) ..... 80

- Fig. 4.4 Root uptake of ferulic acid (FER;  $pK_a = 4.58$ ) and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (POH;  $pK_a = 4.48$ ) by 14–18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.1–1 mM of phenolic acid solutions at 3 pH levels. Uptake based on 5-h depletion of phenolic acids. Data were based on data points in Figures 5 and 6 of Shann and Blum (1987a). Data points used by permission from Elsevier, *Phytochem* 26:2959–2964, The uptake of ferulic and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acids by *Cucumis sativus*, Shann and Blum (1987a) ..... 82
- Fig. 4.5 Leaf area of cucumber seedlings treated with 0.5 mM pH 5.0 solutions of *p*-coumaric acid (PCO), vanillic acid (VAN), *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (POH) and ferulic acid (FER) every other day. Based on original raw data of Blum and Gerig (2005) ..... 86
- Fig. 4.6 Root uptake of ferulic acid ( $pK_a = 4.58$ ) and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid ( $pK_a = 4.48$ ) by 14–18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.1–1 mM phenolic acid solutions at 3 pH levels plotted against the concentrations of the neutral and negative molecules for the phenolic acids. Uptake based on 5-h depletion of phenolic acids. Data were based on data points in Figure 5 and 6 of Shann and Blum (1987a). Data points used by permission from Elsevier, *Phytochem* 26:2959–2964, The uptake of ferulic and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acids by *Cucumis sativus*, Shann and Blum (1987a) ..... 95
- Fig. 4.7 Root uptake of ferulic acid (FER;  $pK_a = 4.58$ ) and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (POH;  $pK_a = 4.48$ ) by 14–18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.1–1 mM of phenolic acid solutions at 3 pH levels plotted against the concentrations of the neutral or negative molecules for the phenolic acids. Uptake determined by 5-h depletion of phenolic acids. Data were based on data points in Figures 5 and 6 of Shann and Blum (1987a). Data points used by permission from Elsevier, *Phytochem* 26:2959–2964, The uptake of ferulic and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acids by *Cucumis sativus*, Shann and Blum (1987a) ..... 96
- Fig. 4.8 Absolute rates of leaf expansion and % inhibition of absolute rates of leaf expansion of 16–18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.25–1 mM ferulic acid (FER;  $pK_a = 4.58$ ) and *p*-coumaric acid (PCO;  $pK_a = 4.40$ ) solutions at 3 pH levels plotted against the concentrations of the neutral molecules of the phenolic acids. The absolute rates of leaf expansion for the 0 mM treatments for the ferulic acid data sets were 40.04, 41.88 and 44.97 cm<sup>2</sup>/2 days and for the *p*-coumaric acid data sets were 42.75, 38.79 and 47.88 cm<sup>2</sup>/2 days. Data were generated using regressions



	in Tables 2 and 3 of Blum et al. (1985). Regressions used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, J Chem Ecol 11:1567–1582, Effects of ferulic acid and <i>p</i> -coumaric acid in nutrient culture on cucumber leaf expansion as influenced by pH, Blum et al. (1985) .....	100
Fig. 4.9	Relative rates of leaf expansion and % inhibition of relative rates of leaf expansion of 16–18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.25–1 mM ferulic acid (FER; $pK_a = 4.58$ ) and <i>p</i> -coumaric acid (PCO; $pK_a = 4.40$ ) solutions at 3 pH levels plotted against concentrations of the neutral molecules of the phenolic acids. The relative rates of leaf expansion for the 0 mM treatments for ferulic acid data sets were 0.47, 0.45 and 0.42 $cm^2/2$ days and for <i>p</i> -coumaric acid data sets were 0.48, 0.46 and 0.44 $cm^2/cm^2/2$ days. Data were generated using regressions in Tables 2 and 3 of Blum et al. (1985). Regressions used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, J Chem Ecol 11:1567–1582, Effects of ferulic acid and <i>p</i> -coumaric acid in nutrient culture on cucumber leaf expansion as influenced by pH, Blum et al. (1985) .....	101
Fig. 4.10	Percent inhibition of absolute rates of leaf expansion of 16–18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.25–1 mM ferulic acid (FER; $pK_a = 4.58$ ) and <i>p</i> -coumaric acid (PCO; $pK_a = 4.40$ ) solutions at 3 pH levels plotted against the concentrations of the neutral and negative molecules for the phenolic acids. Data were generated using regressions in Tables 2 and 3 of Blum et al. (1985). Regressions used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, J Chem Ecol 11:1567–1582, Effects of ferulic acid and <i>p</i> -coumaric acid in nutrient culture on cucumber leaf expansion as influenced by pH, Blum et al. (1985) .....	102
Fig. 4.11	Percent inhibition of relative rates of leaf expansion of 16–18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.25–1 mM ferulic acid (FER; $pK_a = 4.58$ ) and <i>p</i> -coumaric acid (PCO; $pK_a = 4.40$ ) solutions at 3 pH levels plotted against the concentrations of the neutral and negative molecules of the phenolic acids. Data were generated using regressions in Tables 2 and 3 of Blum et al. (1985). Regressions used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, J Chem Ecol 11:1567–1582, Effects of ferulic acid and <i>p</i> -coumaric acid in nutrient culture on cucumber leaf expansion as influenced by pH, Blum et al. (1985) .....	103
Fig. 4.12	Total uptake of phenolic acid and equal-molar mixtures of phenolic acids by 10 day old cucumber seedlings treated	

- with 0.25–1 mM ferulic acid (FER), vanillic acid (VAN) and *p*-coumaric acid (PCO) and equal-molar mixtures of these phenolic acids plotted against total phenolic acid concentration and the concentration of the neutral molecules of the phenolic acids. Solutions were adjusted to pH 5.5. The  $pK_a$  value for ferulic acid = 4.58, for vanillic acid = 4.43 and for *p*-coumaric acid = 4.40. The apparent-average  $pK_a$  for ferulic acid + vanillic acid = 4.50, for ferulic acid + *p*-coumaric acid = 4.49 and for *p*-coumaric acid + vanillic acid = 4.41. Data from Table 1 of Lyu et al. (1990). Data used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, J Chem Ecol 16:2559–2567, Effects of mixtures of phenolic acids on phosphorous uptake by cucumber seedlings, Lyu et al. (1990)..... 107
- Fig. 4.13 Total uptake of phosphorus by 10 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.25–1 mM ferulic acid (FER), vanillic acid (VAN) and *p*-coumaric acid (PCO) and equal-molar mixtures of these phenolic acids plotted against total phenolic acid concentrations and the concentrations of the neutral molecules of the phenolic acids. Solution were adjusted to pH 5.5. The  $pK_a$  value for ferulic acid = 4.58, for vanillic acid = 4.43 and for *p*-coumaric acid = 4.40. The apparent-average  $pK_a$  for ferulic acid + vanillic acid = 4.50, for ferulic acid + *p*-coumaric acid = 4.49 and for *p*-coumaric acid + vanillic acid = 4.41. Data from Table 2 of Lyu et al. (1990). Data used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, J Chem Ecol 16:2559–2567, Effects of mixtures of phenolic acids on phosphorous uptake by cucumber seedlings, Lyu et al. (1990)..... 110
- Fig. 5.1 Leaf area of 18 day old cucumber seedlings treated on alternate days starting with day 6 with 0.5 mM protocatechuic acid (log P = 0.86), syringic acid (log P = 1.04), caffeic acid (log P = 1.15), sinapic acid (log P = 1.24), vanillic acid (log P = 1.43), *p*-coumaric acid (Log P = 1.46), ferulic acid (log P = 1.51), and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (POH; log P = 1.58) plotted against log P values of the phenolic acids. Initial solution was pH 5.8. Data for analysis were generated using regressions in Table 1 of Blum et al. (1985a). Regressions used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, J Chem Ecol 11:619–641, Effects of various mixtures of ferulic acid and some of its microbial metabolic products on cucumber leaf expansion and dry matter in nutrient culture, Blum et al. (1985a)..... 123
- Fig. 5.2 Leaf area of cucumber seedlings treated with 0.25 to 1 mM vanillic acid (VAN), *p*-coumaric acid (PCO), ferulic acid (FER)

- and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (POH) on alternate days starting with day 6 (based on original raw data for leaf area used by Blum and Gerig 2005). Mean  $\pm$  Standard error for days 8 to 12 for each concentration plotted against log P. Sequence for leaf area from top to bottom for each log P is 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1 mM. Values in parenthesis are log P values. Initial solution was pH 5.0 ..... 128
- Fig. 5.3 Leaf areas and absolute rates of leaf expansion of 0.5 mM vanillic acid (log P = 1.43), *p*-coumaric acid (log P = 1.46) and ferulic acid (log P = 1.51) treated cucumber seedlings plotted against log P values of the phenolic acids (based on original raw data for leaf area and absolute rates of leaf expansion used by Blum and Gerig 2005). Seedlings were treated on days 6, 8 and 10. The leaf areas for the 0 mM treatments were  $14.41 \pm 0.30$ ,  $19.46 \pm 0.65$ ,  $27.17 \pm 1.47$ ,  $34.73 \pm 2.08$  and  $40.76 \pm 2.26$  for days 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12, respectively. The absolute rates of leaf expansion for the 0 mM treatments were  $5.05 \pm 0.45$ ,  $7.71 \pm 0.88$ ,  $7.56 \pm 0.80$  and  $6.02 \pm 0.50$  for days 8, 9, 10 and 11 (actually 8–9, 9–10, 10–11 and 11–12), respectively. Initial solution was pH 5.0 ..... 130
- Fig. 5.4 Leaf areas and absolute rates of leaf expansion of 0.5 mM vanillic acid (VAN; log P = 1.43), *p*-coumaric acid (PCO; log P = 1.46) and ferulic acid (FER; log P = 1.51) treated cucumber seedlings (based on original raw data for leaf area and absolute rates of leaf expansion used by Blum and Gerig 2005). Seedlings were treated on days 6, 8 and 10. The leaf areas for the 0 mM treatments were  $14.41 \pm 0.30$ ,  $19.46 \pm 0.65$ ,  $27.17 \pm 1.47$ ,  $34.73 \pm 2.08$  and  $40.76 \pm 2.26$  for days 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12, respectively. The absolute rates of leaf expansion for the 0 mM treatments were  $5.05 \pm 0.45$ ,  $7.71 \pm 0.88$ ,  $7.56 \pm 0.80$  and  $6.02 \pm 0.50$  for days 8, 9, 10 and 11 (actually 8–9, 9–10, 10–11 and 11–12), respectively. Initial solution was pH 5.0 ..... 131
- Fig. 5.5 Percent inhibition of leaf areas and absolute rates of leaf expansion of 0.5 mM vanillic acid (VAN; log P = 1.43), *p*-coumaric acid (PCO; log P = 1.46) and ferulic acid (FER; log P = 1.51) treated cucumber seedlings plotted against log P (percent inhibition based on original raw data used by Blum and Gerig 2005). Seedlings were treated on days 6, 8 and 10. Initial solution was pH 5.0 ..... 132
- Fig. 5.6 Percent inhibition of leaf areas and absolute rates of leaf expansion of 0.5 mM vanillic acid (VAN; log P = 1.43), *p*-coumaric acid (PCO; log P = 1.46) and ferulic acid (FER; log P = 1.51) treated cucumber seedlings plotted against day (percent inhibition based on original raw data used by Blum

- and Gerig 2005). Seedlings were treated on days 6, 8 and 10. Initial solution was pH 5.0 ..... 133
- Fig. 5.7 Leaf area and % inhibition of 0.5 mM vanillic acid (VAN), *p*-coumaric acid (PCO), ferulic acid (FER) and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (POH) treated cucumber seedlings (based on original raw data used by Blum and Gerig 2005). Seedlings were treated on days 6, 8, and 10. Values in parenthesis are log P values. The leaf areas for the 0 mM treatments were  $13.23 \pm 0.31$ ,  $19.95 \pm 0.57$ ,  $26.67 \pm 0.85$ ,  $33.40 \pm 1.14$  and  $40.12 \pm 1.42$  cm<sup>2</sup> for days 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12, respectively. Initial solution was pH 5.0 ..... 135
- Fig. 5.8 Uptake of ferulic acid (FER) and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (POH) by 14 to 18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.1 to 1 mM phenolic acid solutions at pH 4.0, 5.5 and 7.0. The order left to right for the log D values on the x axis are for pH 7.0, 5.5 and 4.0. The pK<sub>a</sub> value for ferulic acid is 4.58 and for *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid is 4.48. Data for analysis were based on data points in Figs. 5 and 6 of Shann and Blum (1987). Data points used by permission from Elsevier, *Phytochem* 26: 2959–2964, The uptake of ferulic and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acids by *Cucumis sativus*, Shann and Blum (1987) ..... 141
- Fig. 5.9 Absolute rates of leaf expansion and % inhibition of 16 to 18 day old cucumber seedlings treated with 0.25 to 1 mM ferulic acid (FER) and *p*-coumaric acid (PCO) solutions at pH 5.5, 6.25 and 7.0. The order left to right of the log D values on the x axis are for pH 7.0, 6.25 and 5.5. The pK<sub>a</sub> value for ferulic acid is 4.58 and for *p*-coumaric acid is 4.40. The absolute rates of leaf expansion for the 0 mM treatments for ferulic acid were 44.97, 41.88 and 40.04 and for *p*-coumaric acid were 47.88, 38.79 and 42.75 at pH 7, 6.25 and 5.5, respectively. Data for analysis were generated using regressions in Tables 2 and 3 of Blum et al. (1985b). Regressions used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, *J Chem Ecol* 11:1567–1582, Effects of ferulic and *p*-coumaric acids in nutrient culture on cucumber leaf expansion as influenced by pH, Blum et al. (1985b) ..... 142
- Fig. 6.1 Percent sorption of approximately 5 μmol/g of ferulic acid (FER), *p*-coumaric acid (PCO), *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (POH) and vanillic acid (VAN) in sterilized Cecil A soil based on water, EDTA and Mehlich III extractions. Data based on regressions in Table 4 and data points in Fig 6 and 7 of Blum et al. (1994) and data points in Fig 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Dalton et al. (1989a). Initial phenolic acid solution added to soil was pH 5.0 or 5.5. Regressions and data from Blum et al.

used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, *J Chem Ecol* 20:341–359, Use of water and EDTA extractions to estimate available (free and reversibly bound) phenolic acids in Cecil soils, Blum et al. (1994). Data from Dalton et al. used by permission from Soil Science Society of America, *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.* 53:757–762, Differential sorption of exogenously applied ferulic, *p*-coumaric, *p*-hydroxybenzoic, and vanillic acids in soil, Dalton et al. (1989a) ..... 175

Fig. 6.2 Percent sorption of approximately 5 μmol/g of ferulic acid (FER), *p*-coumaric acid (PCO), *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (POH) and vanillic acid (VAN) in sterilized Cecil B soil based on water, EDTA and Mehlich III extractions. Data based on regressions in Table 4 and data points in Fig 6 and 7 of Blum et al. (1994) and data points in Fig 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Dalton et al. (1989a). Initial phenolic acid solution added to soil was pH 5.0 or 5.5. Regressions and data from Blum et al. used by permission from Springer Customer Service Center GmbH: Springer Nature, *J Chem Ecol* 20:341–359, Use of water and EDTA extractions to estimate available (free and reversibly bound) phenolic acids in Cecil soils, Blum et al. (1994). Data from Dalton et al. used by permission from Soil Science Society of America, *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.* 53:757–762, Differential sorption of exogenously applied ferulic, *p*-coumaric, *p*-hydroxybenzoic, and vanillic acids in soil, Dalton et al. (1989a) ..... 176

Fig. 6.3 Percent sorption of approximately 5 μmol/g of ferulic acid (FER), *p*-coumaric acid (PCO), *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (POH) and vanillic acid (VAN) in sterilized Cecil A and B and Portsmouth A and B soils based on Mehlich III extractions. Data based on data points in Fig 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Dalton et al. (1989a). Initial phenolic acid solution added to soil was pH 5.5. Data used by permission from Soil Science Society of America, *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.* 53:757–762, Differential sorption of exogenously applied ferulic, *p*-coumaric, *p*-hydroxybenzoic, and vanillic acids in soil, Dalton et al. (1989a) ..... 178

Fig. 6.4 Percent reversibly sorbed, irreversibly sorbed and total sorbed ferulic acid in sterilized Cecil A and B soils. Soils were treated with 1–3 μmol/g ferulic acid. Initial phenolic acid solution added to soil was pH 5.0. Data from Table 4 of Blum et al. (1999). Data used by permission from Taylor & Francis, Website: <https://www.tandfonline.com>, *Crit Rev Plant Sci* 18:673–693, Evidence for inhibitory allelopathic interactions involving phenolic acids in field soils: concepts vs. an experimental model, Blum et al. (1999)..... 180

- Fig. 6.5 Percent reversibly sorbed, irreversibly sorbed and total sorbed *p*-coumaric acid in sterilized Cecil A and B soils. Soils were treated with 1–3  $\mu\text{mol/g}$  *p*-coumaric acid. Initial phenolic acid solution added to soil was pH 5.0. Data from Table 4 of Blum et al. (1999). Data used by permission from Taylor & Francis, Website: <https://www.tandfonline.com>, Crit Rev Plant Sci 18:673–693, Evidence for inhibitory allelopathic interactions involving phenolic acids in field soils: concepts vs. an experimental model, Blum et al. (1999) ..... 181
- Fig. 6.6 Percent reversibly sorbed, irreversibly sorbed and total sorbed *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid in sterilized Cecil A and B soils. Soils were treated with 1–3  $\mu\text{mol/g}$  *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid. Initial phenolic acid solution added to soil was pH 5.0. Data from Table 4 of Blum et al. (1999). Data used by permission from Taylor & Francis, Website: <https://www.tandfonline.com>, Crit Rev Plant Sci 18:673–693, Evidence for inhibitory allelopathic interactions involving phenolic acids in field soils: concepts vs. an experimental model, Blum et al. (1999) ..... 182
- Fig. 6.7 Percent reversibly sorbed, irreversibly sorbed and total sorbed vanillic acid in sterilized Cecil A and B soils. Soils were treated with 1–3  $\mu\text{mol/g}$  vanillic acid. Initial phenolic acid solution added to soil was pH 5.0. Data from Table 4 of Blum et al. (1999). Data used by permission from Taylor & Francis, Website: <https://www.tandfonline.com>, Crit Rev Plant Sci 18:673–693, Evidence for inhibitory allelopathic interactions involving phenolic acids in field soils: concepts vs. an experimental model, Blum et al. (1999) ..... 183
- Fig. 7.1 The approximate  $\mu\text{g}$  of *p*-coumaric acid utilized by *p*-coumaric acid-utilizing colony-forming units (CFU)/30 min and the total approximate  $\mu\text{g}$  utilized/30 min for columns treated with 74.25  $\mu\text{g}/30$  min of *p*-coumaric acid plotted against the CFU of *p*-coumaric acid-utilizing microbes present in Cecil A soil columns (see Table 7.2; Blum et al. 1999a). Data used by permission from Cadiz University Press, Recent advances in allelopathy I: a science for the future by Macias et al. (eds), pp. 159–166, The fates and effects of phenolic acids in a plant-microbe soil system, Blum et al. (1999a) ..... 208
- Fig. 7.2 Log colony-forming units (CFU) of rhizosphere phenolic acid-utilizing bacteria of cucumber seedlings treated with 0.6  $\mu\text{mol/g}$  of an equal-molar mixture of ferulic acid, *p*-coumaric acid, *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid and vanillic acid. Systems were treated on days 5, 7, 9 and 11. The pH of Cecil A soil-sand was 5.06. The pH of the solution added was 5.0. Carbon and/or energy source for the selection medium was 0.5 mM of the 4-way