



VIOLENCE

An Interdisciplinary Approach to Causes,
Consequences, and Cures

BANDY X. LEE

WILEY Blackwell

Violence

Violence

An Interdisciplinary Approach to Causes, Consequences, and Cures

Bandy X. Lee

WILEY Blackwell

This edition first published 2019
© 2019 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions>.

The right of Bandy X. Lee to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

Registered Office

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

Editorial Office

9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Lee, Bandy X., 1970– author.

Title: Violence : an interdisciplinary approach to causes, consequences, and cures / Bandy X. Lee.

Description: First Edition. | Hoboken : Wiley-Blackwell, 2019. | Includes bibliographical references and index. |

Identifiers: LCCN 2018051423 (print) | LCCN 2018061188 (ebook) | ISBN 9781119240693

(Adobe PDF) | ISBN 9781119240709 (ePub) | ISBN 9781119240679 (hardback) |

ISBN 9781119240686 (paperback)

Subjects: LCSH: Violence. | Violence—Prevention. | Criminology. | BISAC: SOCIAL SCIENCE / Criminology.

Classification: LCC HM1116 (ebook) | LCC HM1116 .L434 2019 (print) | DDC 303.6—dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2018051423>

Cover Design: Wiley

Cover Image: © Museum of Fine Arts, Budapest/Wikimedia Commons

Set in 10/12pt Warnock by SPi Global, Pondicherry, India

Printed in United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

*To my mother, the healer whose work I continue
To Dr. Howard Zonana, in gratitude*

Contents

Preface *xiii*

Overview

Part I General Framework 1

- 1 Introduction 3**
- A Brief Introduction 3
 - Defining Violence 4
 - Redefining Violence 5
 - Examples of Violence 6
 - The Iliad 6
 - The Khmer Rouge 7
 - A Case of Child Neglect 7
 - Suicide by Gun 8
 - Connecting the Dots 8
 - A New Field 10
 - A New Awareness 12
 - Structure of the Text 15
 - What to Expect 17
 - Conclusion 18
 - References 19

Causes

Part II Intra-/Interpersonal Framework 23

- 2 The Biology of Violence 25**
- Introduction 25
 - The Tempting Idea 26
 - Case Vignettes 28
 - The Case of Phineas Gage 28
 - Familial Depression 29
 - Traumatic Brain Injury and Posttraumatic Stress 30

	Postpartum Depression and Psychosis	31
	Aggression versus Violence	31
	Mental Illness and Violence	32
	The Neuroplastic Brain	34
	Environment and Epigenetics	35
	The Interconnected Whole	36
	Conclusion	38
	References	39
3	The Psychology of Violence	45
	Introduction	45
	One Among Many	47
	Case Vignettes	48
	Clinical Depression	48
	Antisocial Personality	49
	Extreme Narcissism	50
	Heat of Passion	51
	The Mind as Iceberg	52
	Some Defense Mechanisms	53
	The Mind as Paradox	54
	A Developmental Matter	56
	Positive Psychology	57
	Conclusion	58
	References	60
4	The Symbolism (or Spiritual Causes) of Violence	64
	Introduction	64
	Violence and Meaning	65
	Case Vignettes	66
	Mythological Violence	66
	Cycle of Revenge	67
	Cult Violence	68
	Mob Violence	69
	A Longing for Life	70
	A Forbidden Concept	71
	Violence in Religion and Art	73
	Meaning and Moral Development	74
	Compassion and Creativity	76
	Conclusion	77
	References	78
	Causes (Continued)	
	Part III Social and Societal Framework	83
5	The Sociology and Anthropology of Violence	85
	Introduction	85
	Evolution of Sociology	86
	Evolution of Anthropology	87

Case Vignettes	88
A Cult of End Times	88
Social Exclusion	90
Social Negation	90
Warring Peoples	91
Domestic Terrorism	92
Sociological Theories	93
Anthropological Perspectives	95
Need for Belonging	97
Conclusion	98
References	99
6 The Political Science and Economics of Violence	104
Introduction	104
Evolution of the Two Disciplines	105
Case Vignettes	106
A Separatist Movement	106
Apartheid	108
Social Engineering	109
Rape as a Weapon of War	110
Military Dictatorship	110
Macro-Scale Political Science Theories	111
Micro-Scale Political Science Theories	113
Microeconomic Perspectives	114
Macroeconomic Perspectives	115
Concepts of Power	116
Conclusion	118
References	119
7 Structural Violence	123
Introduction	123
Origins of the Concept	124
Case Vignettes	127
A Prison Inmate's Predicament	127
A Poor Child's Martyrdom	127
A Lead Into Collective Violence	128
A Lead Into Self-Directed Violence	129
Healthcare, Nutrition, and Liberty Disparities	130
Gender, Racial, and Voting Rights Disparities	132
Expansion of the Concept	133
The Most Potent Stimulant	135
Conclusion	136
References	138
8 Environmental (and Nuclear) Violence	143
Introduction	143
Clarifying Misconceptions	144
Furthering the Concept	145
Case Vignettes	147
Violence Over Diminishing Resources	147

Premature Deaths due to Lack of Water 147
Migrations Due to Climate Change 148
Nuclear Devastation 149
Political Economy and Scarcity 150
Resource Curse and Violent Dispossession 151
Secondary Violence from the Environment 152
The Perils of Nuclear War 153
Conclusion 155
References 156

Consequences

Part IV Life Cycle Framework 161

9 Consequences of Violence 163
Introduction 163
Case Vignettes 164
 Child Abuse and Neglect 164
 Veteran Partner Violence 166
 Financial and Physical Elder Abuse 167
 Medical Consequences of Rape 168
 Wartime Collective Sexual Violence 169
Self-Directed Violence 170
Youth, Intimate Partner, and Sexual Violence 171
Child Maltreatment and Elder Abuse 173
Collective Violence 174
Consequences of Trauma 175
Conclusion 176
References 177

Cures

Part V Intervention Framework 183

10 Criminal Justice Approaches 185
Introduction 185
Historical Overview 186
Restoration versus Retribution 188
Discrimination and Legitimacy 191
Reform versus Abolition 192
Case Studies 194
 Scandinavian Prisons 194
 Prison Meditation 195
 Prison Animal Therapy 195
 Youth Violence Prevention 196
 Prison Violence Prevention Programming 196
Conclusion 197
References 199

11 International Law Approaches	204
Introduction	204
Historical Background	205
International Legal Structures	206
Challenges and Successes	208
Abuses and the Difficulty of Enforcement	209
Changing Landscapes	211
Case Studies	214
A and Others v. the UK (2004)	214
Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Serbia and Montenegro (2007)	215
Andrić and Others v. Banca Românească SA (2017)	215
F v. Bevándorlási és Állampolgársági Hivatal (2018)	216
Quinteros v. Uruguay (1983)	216
Conclusion	217
References	218

Cures (Continued)

Part VI Prevention Framework 225

12 Public Health Approaches	227
Introduction	227
History	228
The Ecological Framework	230
A Typology of Violence	231
The Public Health Method	232
Violence Prevention	232
Multisectoral Collaboration	234
Challenges and Possibilities	236
Case Studies	238
A Prenatal Care Program	238
Family Violence Prevention	239
School-Based Violence Prevention	240
Bullying Prevention	240
Firearm Restriction Policies	241
Conclusion	242
References	243
13 Global Medicine Approaches	248
Introduction	248
Historical Background	249
Human-Centered Healthcare	251
A CARE Model	253
A Creative Model	256
Local and Global	258
Case Studies	259
Médecins Sans Frontières (Doctors Without Borders)	259
Cure Violence	260
Mercy Corps	261

One Acre Fund 261
The Library Project 262
Conclusion 262
References 263

14 Nonviolence Approaches 268
Introduction 268
Historical Basis 269
More Recent Examples 270
Criticisms 272
Basic Tenets 273
Applications 274
Case Studies 276
 A Beacon of Hope Amid Racial Discrimination 276
 Fighting the Good Fight 277
 The Father of a Nation 278
 Lessons From a War Zone 279
 The Monk With a Cause 280
Conclusion 281
References 283

Conclusion

Part VII Overview and Analysis 287

15 Synthesis and Integration 289
Introduction 289
The Endgame of Violence 290
The Threat of Nuclear War 293
The Threat of Climate Catastrophe 295
The Threat of Escalating Inequality 296
The Fruits of Integration 298
The Power of Unity 299
Conclusion 302
References 303

Index 308

Preface

This volume aims to be an introductory textbook on a single topic that is an offspring of many fields—a topic of rapid growth in information and research but lacking in overall guidance. It may surprise some that a single author should undertake a text of such wide-ranging disciplines. However, as even a thousand-page thesis should be summarizable in a sentence, so should this project be possible. It seems indispensable for coherence and consolidation in this day of disparate scholarship. No doubt there will be compromises, since it will not be possible to do justice to every topic, but my hope is that the benefits are many: what we greatly need in our day is a unified framework capable of bringing our knowledge to completion and not just ever more pockets of complete knowledge.

Such a framework, I believe, is akin to teaching students to fish and feeding them for a lifetime. Students will learn to build a perspective for placing existing knowledge and advancing information in context, and this will prepare them for new developments, which are swiftly to come. It is natural for specialists of every area to believe that theirs is of central importance, but with the burgeoning of fields and subfields, an ability to integrate knowledge and to converse with other fields, more so than knowing more and more about less and less, has become an essential skill. Eventually, students will develop better ways to fish.

Human violence is a phenomenon that disciplines us in this way, due to its complexity and urgency—which require all our capacity for sufficient understanding and efficient application. We now know more than ever about the genetic, interpersonal, cultural, and structural causes of violence. Yet, seldom are the advances of multiple disciplines brought together under a single rubric. The purpose of this text is to present an integrative and interactionist, rather than a reductionist, approach to the study of violence, so as to prepare the student for such integration.

Aristotle observed that the whole is greater than the sum of its parts, to which I would add that synergy works better than fragmentation. While there will always be a need for new and better data, it is equally important to take an occasional pause to appraise the data we already have. A coherent body of knowledge—insofar as is possible—can give the student bearing with respect to what is important, which questions to ask, and how everything fits together. Persistent practice that builds on such groundwork may even go beyond knowledge to achieve wisdom.

This text assumes no previous exposure to the study of violence. It might serve as a comprehensive overview before delving into whatever field students choose: criminal violence reduction, conflict resolution, legal interventions, global health ethics, or human rights advocacy. It can also be a guideline for bringing together the disparate information one ordinarily has to study piecemeal. In order to make the material accessible, as well as to encourage an interdisciplinary conversation, this book has a unique structure: it starts not with a list of topics but domains of research, starting with the most basic but not implying a

hierarchy in either direction. Each chapter attempts to explain how its topic relates to the others.

This volume contains enough material for a one-year course. It could also be taught as a semester course, with an emphasis on broad concepts. Each chapter constitutes a unit of understanding with an overview, summary, and discussion questions. The progression of the chapters goes from a general introduction (Part I) to the intra- and interpersonal framework (Part II) to the social and societal framework (Part III) to consequences (Part IV), interventions (Part V) and prevention (Part VI), and then back to a general synthesis and integration (Part VII). Thematically, it covers biological, psychological and symbolic, sociocultural and political, and structural and environmental perspectives on violence; consequences of violence; and legal, medical, and nonviolent approaches to preventing violence, before putting it all together.

The purpose of a textbook is to compile existing information and to present it in the most reasonable way based on current knowledge. I expect that this will entail a letting go of many doctrines inherent in the particular fields and a deeper look at human nature than may be initially comfortable. It will challenge our ordinary notions of responsibility and require us to expand our notion of boundaries to include wider segments of scholarship as well as of society. However, the point is to equip the aspiring student with a broad range of material and an analytical armamentarium that will bolster efforts to arrive at one's own conclusions—and to cultivate that ability.

I believe in my institution's motto: *Lux et Veritas*, or light and truth. The purpose of education is not to inculcate a certain "truth" but to provide the learner with the "light" that is required to see one's own truth. If this text fulfills its purpose, it will not only teach content but the tools for learning, which the student can apply to other areas of life. A body of knowledge can change entirely over the course of a career, but this aptitude remains. True knowledge transcends mere accumulation of facts to become understanding, and inner sight. Therefore, while this is perhaps the first text of its scope for an emerging field, my hope is that it will not be the last—for each new generation has the task of restructuring and redefining knowledge for itself.

No ideas are freshly one's own. This applies especially here, for I am fortunate to stand on the shoulders of many giants: first and foremost, my long-term teacher, mentor, colleague, and friend, Dr James Gilligan, who taught me everything I know, and whose many thoughts I echo, however imperfectly; Dr Robert Jay Lifton, who gave me light in times of darkness; Dr Leon Eisenberg, who was my first inspiration and terrific mentor; Dr Arthur Kleinman, who encouraged me to do my own scholarship; Dr Kathy Sanders, who saw me through that transition; Dr Judith Herman, who accompanied me through another transition; Drs Howard Zonana and Madelon Baranoski, who gave me a home and nurtured my growth; Dr John Young, who came to all my lectures and supported me; and Dr Bruce Wexler, who guided and believed in me from my very beginnings as a medical student. I appreciate Drs Sylvia Kaaya, Jessie Mbwambo, Gad Kilonzo, and the villagers of Chamazi who gave me glimpses of the level of humanity that is possible, in peaceful Tanzania. I am also grateful to Drs Kaveh Khoshnood, James Leckman, and Catherine Panter-Brick for being my chief partners on this topic, as well as Drs Alexander Butchart, Etienne Krug, Christopher Mikton, and Berit Kieselbach at the World Health Organization Violence and Injury Prevention Department. I owe a great debt to the guest lecturers of my course who gave me feedback and offered essential guidance in their disciplines: Drs John Strauss, James Leckman (biology), Elijah Anderson (sociology), Francesca Grandi, David Simon (political science), Thomas Pogge, Atty James Silk (human rights), Dr Amity Doolittle (environmental studies), Prof Jonathan Schell (nuclear violence and nonviolence), Dr Michael Reed-Hurtado, Attys Fiona Doherty (criminal justice), Noah Zatz (public interest law), Drs Maya Prabhu (international law), Kathryn Falb, Kaveh Khoshnood, and Unni

Karunakara (public health). In terms of editorial and research help, I am greatly indebted to Dr Grace Lee, Morkeh Blay-Tofey, James Tierney, Nick Oliver, and Liz Seif. I would also like to acknowledge the student deciding to embark upon this text to get to the heart of a problem that is the source of much of humanity's suffering.

All that I do has one consistent guide: my mother, Dr Inmyung Lee, who taught me half of all the medicine I know, even before I entered medical school; she also showed me that the impulse to serve humanity offers a compass for all knowledge. Because of her, I could model my life after my grandfather, Dr Geun-Young Lee, whom I never met but who came to stir my vision of healing, including of society. My mother came to play a crucial role in the work that culminated in this book. When I was originally aiming for publication in 2015 while teaching and attending a busy clinic, she offered to spend an exceptional few months with me in the spring of 2014 and helped with literature searches, brought me books, collated thousands of pages of notes, and created indices for navigation. Like the parent who helps one to give birth of one's own, she was critical in my giving birth to this book. Most influential was her wellspring of ideas and lifetime of insights, which became the rock and the foundation of this volume. Although I put my name to it, she is more the book's author than I. She would not come to see its publication, but I trust that the student will benefit from her spirit as much as I. Here is one lesson from her: "Play, play with your subject of study—by the time you learn it, this will have been the shortcut!"

To close, I wish to thank all the students, patients, and prisoners who have taught me about human potential, who have shown me reasons for hope and convinced me of possibilities. They, above all, have demonstrated to me how we are all interconnected and could learn from one another. Finally, I also thank all my other colleagues at the Harvard Department of Social Medicine and the Yale Law and Psychiatry Division, my grandmother Eun-Suk Jang, my uncles Drs Soon-Hyung Lee and Sun-Hyung Lee, and my father Dr Yoo Sung Lee, as well as my sister and family: Patricia, Alan, Mirabelle, and Blake. I also cannot leave out my spiritual family: Frank O'Cain, Rebekah Samkuel, Anne Davenport, Leon Golub, Regis DeSilva, Luc Mahieu, Franck Rolin, Sophie Dupey, Hacène-Thierry Larbi, and my beloved J.

Overview

Part I General Framework

1

Introduction

A Brief Introduction

Both were remembering. Thinking of Hector, killer of men,
 Priam wept, abased at the feet of Achilles.
 But Achilles wept, now for this father.
 Now for Patroclus. And their sobs resounded through the house.

—Homer, *Iliad* (Eighth century BCE)

Human history is mired in violence. Tracing its origins would mean going back to the beginnings of humanity. Being one of the most familiar phenomena around us, hardly any society, community, or individual is immune to its influence. Yet it is also one of the most unfathomable. Even a single instance can be overwhelming—be it homicide, suicide, legal violence in the name of “justice,” warfare that devastates a society, terrorism that turns our worldview upside down, or systematic injustices that become a silent killer we call “structural violence.” Yet we do not experience one episode at a time but over 3,800 violent deaths per day—1.4 million a year—worldwide. Of these, more than half (56%) are the result of suicide, one-third (33%) are from injuries caused by another person, and slightly more than one-tenth (11%) are due to war or some other form of collective violence (World Health Organization [WHO], 2017). Many millions more suffer from nonfatal injuries, non-injury health consequences, and less visible forms of psychological and social trauma. For every death, there are dozens of hospitalizations, hundreds of emergency room visits, and thousands of clinic appointments (WHO, 2008). Medical technology buffers us from bigger numbers, as a large proportion of wounds that would have been fatal in the past no longer are (MacKenzie et al., 2006; Monkkonen, 2001). The true tragedy is therefore greater than what our imagination can grasp.

For the most vulnerable populations—women, children, and the elderly—nonfatal forms of violence are more frequent and consequential, and its devastating effects reverberate through generations as a major health, human rights, and human development problem. Not yet calculated, furthermore, are the diverted energies for human creativity and civilization. Ninety percent of violent deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries, which draw our attention to the extreme deprivation of certain regions, while alerting us also to the dangers of high economic disparities. More subtle are the effects of concentrated poverty, low education, harsh and inconsistent parenting, and violence-promoting social norms that impede thriving in general.

We need not, however, be fatalistic about violence: as much as it is human-generated, it has human solutions. It is understandable and preventable. To this end, this text is intended to be

as comprehensive as possible, bringing together the scholarship on violence that has largely been confined in academic silos until now. We live in an era when violence has reached, perhaps for the first time in history, a level of magnitude and sophistication that is astonishingly close to being incompatible with humanity's ability to continue surviving on earth. Yet, our awareness of the gravity of violence has also grown. If we combine the knowledge we have gained about it in many fields of study, we may attain a level of understanding that equips us with the ability to deal with the problem in new and creative ways. There may be no more urgent task for humankind than to figure out, above all, *how to think about violence* (Gilligan, 1996), which in turn will direct us in *how to understand violence*. Understanding brings clarity, and clarity is power—capable of bringing solutions to problems the way light illumines darkness.

This introductory chapter lays the groundwork, first by developing a broader definition for violence and then by proposing a model that can anchor all the multiple, disparate perspectives that arise from an interdisciplinary study. Along the way, it will delineate how different forms of violence are closely interrelated; help us to recognize that our violent potential changes according to the consciousness we bring to it; and outline a comprehensive course for integrating all research. Our goal is to learn how to think about violence in a ways that suit the complex, *human* nature of human beings. The comprehensive understanding we aim for will require theory, evidence, and storytelling, the last of which the student can expect to encounter in the form of case scenarios and vignettes.

Defining Violence

Violence is vast and varied, and before studying its parts, it is important to have a clear perspective of the whole. The study of violence suffered from a lack of uniform definition for a long time, which hampered measurement, characterization, and even identification. Having no agreed-upon definition can make a field fragmented and chaotic. In pursuing clarity, however, a definition can become too narrow or fixed. An ideal definition would therefore be clear but also be flexible and hold up over time as well as across different domains.

Much confusion ended when the WHO published its landmark *World Report on Violence and Health* (Krug et al., 2002). Assembling all available evidence up to that point, it defined violence as:

the intentional use of physical force or power, threatened or actual, that either results in or has a high likelihood of resulting in injury, death, psychological harm, maldevelopment or deprivation (Krug et al., 2002, p. 5).

This new concept of violence has revolutionized our thinking about violence and has shaped approaches to the topic ever since. This sequence is worth mentioning for understanding the history and trajectory of the field and therefore how best to formulate a future course.

Some of the innovations are as follows. First, the definition emphasizes intentionality, thereby emphasizing process over outcome. Second, it includes not only physical force but also power, widening its scope to include important types of violence that may be hidden but are far more destructive, such as the violence of deprivation or unequal sharing of resources. Third, it states that the intentional act may be threatened or actual, clarifying that the focus should be less on the overt act, which may be incidental, than on the psychological state.

The inclusion of psychological harm, maldevelopment, and deprivation allows for consideration of some of the worst forms of abuse: psychological abuse, rejection, and neglect, which are less

visible but may be more enduring than physical abuse (Hildyard & Wolfe, 2002). It has also made clear that sexual violence is not merely violent sexual behavior but primarily a manifestation of violence and domination (Bastick, Grimm, & Kunz, 2007). Whether violence is direct or indirect came to matter less, although intentionality matters: human-generated events are clearly more traumatic than natural calamities (Galea, Nandi, & Vlahov, 2005; Norris et al., 2002). Sociocultural influences play a large role in human behavior and are capable of creating epidemics of individual violence (Lee, Wexler, & Gilligan, 2014).

A broad definition of violence has many advantages. It allows for recognition of the full scope of the phenomenon, which can help prevent neglect of the topic when, for example, a familiar form recedes from view. A common danger of a narrow definition is mistaking the “decline” in one form for an overall decline, when expression may have merely shifted from one type of violence to another (e.g., from interstate wars to low-intensity civil conflict, or from murder epidemics to widespread suicides). Another danger is assuming that different types of violence are unrelated or neglecting to consider large areas because of different labels, when different types can also clearly rise and fall together (e.g., suicides and homicides, or homicides and collective violence) or combine in ways that elucidate larger patterns (Lee et al., 2014). Whether a general tendency for violence directs against the self, another, or a group depends on complex factors, and hence considering all forms together would be the first step to a clearer understanding.

A comprehensive definition helps with this. A consensus needs to develop on how properly to measure and compare concepts across fields of study while such scholarship should adapt to growing bodies of research and shape future inquiry. Where violence begins and where it ends—whether it includes psychological injury, verbal abuse, rape, property damage, or accidents—are questions we have answered through careful examination of research evidence. We now know, for example, that verbal aggression can be just as traumatizing as physical violence; that sexual assault is about dominating and overpowering, not about sexuality; and that harming a person has distinct motivations exceeding those of property damage, unless the latter is to threaten or to intimidate. We also know that accidents due to general recklessness or neglect share risk factors and similar characteristics as violence, even if we do not yet categorize them as violence.

Redefining Violence

We propose a slightly expanded definition, not because of any defect in the WHO definition but because of its strength: it has allowed research to advance widely and rapidly, and therefore may require updating. For example, we know far more about *structural violence*, so called because it refers to the avoidable limitations society places on groups of people through structures that prevent them from meeting their basic needs (Gilligan, 1999). It is the most lethal form of violence and calls for foremost consideration in any definition. Structural violence may at first seem a misnomer, for it concerns structures that are relatively stable and contrasts sharply with the dramatic manifestations of behavioral violence; however, it is a product of human decisions and ultimately has effects similar to those of individual violence (Morgan et al., 2014). Market globalization, furthermore, has given rise to a more rapid flux in existing structures as well as to new ones, creating opportunities for a redistribution of rights and goods.

A second major aspect to consider is our mounting capacity for catastrophic violence, through the proliferation of thermonuclear weapons and the desecration of our natural environment.

These have reached the point of qualifying as *collective suicidal behavior*. It means little to note that we engage in fewer high-intensity battles or deadly warfare when what we now face, more than ever, is the possibility that all of humankind could be wiped out instantaneously (Drell & Goodby, 2008). The extinction of our species—as well as most other life on Earth—will now more likely result from our own actions than from forces over which we have no control. This must be part of our consideration. Human violence, therefore, is not only a serious problem but may be the *most urgent problem* that humanity now confronts, as it places our entire species at greater immediate risk than any other single phenomenon (Rosenbaum, 2011).

An updated definition should reflect this urgency so that it can capture conceptually significant dimensions of violence to guide our thinking, research, and action. The literal definition is not as important as its theoretical ability to stimulate thought and increase awareness, as we will show later in this chapter is of central importance in preventing violence. To suit the complexity of violence, a definition should be broad enough to include the most important types but still specific enough to allow for concrete application. We propose the following new working definition:

intentional or threatened human action, either direct or through structural neglect and diminution of others, that results in or has a high likelihood of resulting in human deprivation, injury, or death, or contributes to the extinction of the human species (*Lee, personal notes, 2014*).

This modifies the WHO definition by avoiding use of the word “power,” despite the concept being helpful in ways that we noted earlier. Ultimately, violence is *the guise* of power rather than true power, which is its opposite (Arendt, 1970). We will cover in Chapter 3, “The Psychology of Violence,” and again in later chapters how violence is a guise to ward off feelings of powerlessness.

Examples of Violence

The Iliad

The Ancient Greek tale of Homer’s *Iliad* places humankind in a violent world and depicts one of its recurrent themes: war. War is taken for granted and heroism in war is hailed as the greatest of honorable acts. However, the *Iliad*’s status as a classic perhaps depends on the fact that it does not shy away from ambivalence. In doing so, it illustrates well a central characteristic of violence: *complexity*. Force is as pitiless to its possessor as its victim, and conquerors are inseparable from conquered persons. They have in common only the refusal to believe that they both belong to the same species.

The grand battles of the Trojan War echo through smaller conflicts between characters, while the smaller battles between individuals add up to the larger one. There is disruption of society and everyday life due to war, but the soldiers still carry their skills and their humanity into it. There is brutal chaos of the spirit in the classical hero just as there is in a present-day murderer. At times, warfare is portrayed as murder due to crises of the human condition, such as in the cycle of revenge.

Hector, not expecting Achilles to rejoin the battle, has ordered his men to camp outside the walls of the city of Troy, but when the Trojan army glimpses Achilles, it flees in terror behind the city walls. Achilles kills every Trojan he sees. Finally, Achilles confronts Hector outside the walls, chasing him around the city’s periphery three times until Hector

finally turns to fight. Achilles kills Hector, attaches the body to the back of his chariot, and drags it across the battlefield to the Achaean camp. Upon his arrival, the triumphant citizens honor Patroclus, whom Hector had slain, with a long series of athletic games. Each of the next nine days, Achilles drags Hector's body in circles around Patroclus' funeral bier. Priam, Hector's father, then tearfully pleads with Achilles to return Hector's body (Homer, 1990).

The Khmer Rouge

The cycle of violence is confined neither to antiquity nor to the Global North. Many societies have endured autocratic and repressive governments in their transition to modern nation-states; among the most notorious is the Khmer Rouge. From 1975 until 1979, they ruled the Democratic Republic of Kampuchea, now Cambodia. Pol Pot and Ieng Sary were prime minister and deputy prime minister under their Communist-based regime. Supporters of the former regime, including soldiers, officials, and civil servants, as well as students, professors, scientists, and members of opposition organizations, were brutally exterminated on a massive scale. Approximately four million people were herded into "communes"—disguised concentration camps where men, women, and children above the age of 10 were put to hard labor.

Mass killings happened alongside the abolition of religion, destruction of economic and cultural structures, and devastation of family and social relations. Tens of thousands were viciously tortured, their bodies cut open and subject to electroshock and live surgery. Forced marriages and rape were commonplace. Children were put to death, eaten, or recruited into armed units to fight. Vietnam launched an attack to overthrow the Khmer Rouge in January 1979, and in August 1979, the Revolutionary People's Tribunal of Cambodia found Pol Pot and Sary guilty of genocide. Executions and the combined effects of strenuous working conditions, malnutrition, and poor medical care had caused the deaths of approximately a quarter of the Cambodian population, or an estimated one to three million people (Boulet, 2009).

A Case of Child Neglect

Some of the most tragic cases of abuse occur within private homes, to those who are the most vulnerable. Allan (the name has been changed for confidentiality purposes) was 4 months old when he was removed from his home by a child service agency following a report of life-threatening neglect. He was placed in foster care, as no relatives were available to take him. Soon thereafter, upon referral to a home-based program by the agency, the court authorized periodic returns home. Despite the services provided to his mother, Allan returned to the foster home after supervised visits with very dirty diapers and the appearance of being underfed, according to the foster parent. Shortly before a court hearing when the mother was expecting Allan to be returned to her custody, the case manager together with an agency worker informed her that they would recommend continued foster home placement with extended visits home. The mother became upset, threatening to kill the case manager. The agency worker called 911, and the police arrived, removing Allan from the mother.

The agency worker reported the conditions of the home: the house was unkempt, and two other children were largely unsupervised and dressed in dirty clothing. There were concerning signs of the mother's unsatisfactory relationship with Allan, whose needs for food and diaper-changing often went unmet. When she interacted with him, she showed little response to his cooing or crying. At the court hearing, the judge ordered that Allan continue in foster care and that visits home be scheduled twice weekly with an evaluation in 1 month to consider overnight

visits. At the next court hearing 1 month later, the mother promised that she would be more responsible in caring for Allan. The judge ordered that the child be returned home immediately. Because of budget cuts, the social service agency determined the case closed without follow-up. Five months later, a news report announced that his home had burnt down while he and all family members were in it. Allan and his mother died of smoke inhalation, and the two other children sustained severe burn injuries. It was suspected that the older children, ages five and four, were playing with the stove while the mother was intoxicated with alcohol.

Suicide by Gun

Human violence takes many forms. In 2010 in the US, 19,392 people committed suicide with guns, more than the 11,078 who were killed by others with guns. Though gunshots are not the most common suicide method, they are the most lethal. About 85% of suicide attempts with a firearm end in death (Drexler, 2013).

Emily's 21-year-old husband, Ryan, shot himself with a semiautomatic in November 2008, soon after bringing a lawsuit against a priest who had molested him during his teenage years; the priest had been convicted in 2007 and sentenced to 30 days in jail. Ryan was one of the top five salespeople for a major national company but had struggled with nightmares since the molestation. He had never used a gun before. Pregnant at the time with their second child, Emily walked into the gas station where her husband had bought the gun and asked the owner about the process for selling a gun and whether they screened people for mental illness. The owner showed little emotion, and did not say he was sorry.

Kristyn is a detective. Her father, Bruce, a dentist, shot himself in August 2003, at the age of 63. Bruce had a great sense of humor and a thriving dental practice, but he had suffered for years from undiagnosed depression. After several rounds of drinks late one evening, he took cartridges from an open box of ammunition on a neighbor's refrigerator. He inserted them into an antique hunting rifle that had long been in the family and triggered the deadly blow.

Janyce is a school lunch worker. Her 23-year-old son, Zachary, killed himself with a firearm in 2008—hours after his first drunken driving arrest. He may have been afraid of losing his commercial driver's license, of which he was very proud. He was happy-go-lucky; loved his family, his sisters, and his nephews and nieces; and still lived at home. There were guns in their home because Janyce's husband owned hunting rifles. She was not a gun lover, but several family members owned guns, and she believed there were people who can be trusted with guns for the right purposes. Zachary was impulsive but not particularly more so than others his age. In this instance, an impulsive act cost him his life.

Connecting the Dots

Violence, as a whole, seems a phenomenon of such irrationality and perplexity as to be indecipherable, but putting together the different forms can help. What commonality does suicide have with homicide, and individual violence with warfare? What do the events of global violence as reported in the media have to do with what occurs in the privacy of the home? Much of the study of violence has taken the approach of creating smaller and smaller "niches" in the belief that the narrow scope will circumvent the complexity of the problem. However, with human violence, the exact opposite is true: attempts to divide the field into discreet units of observation have only made the topic more wieldy. The more we accept this complexity and consider the wider context, on the other hand, the more coherent and logical the

patterns become. When a student says, “It works in theory but not in practice,” one is really saying, “The theory does not work.” In the case of violence, a workable theory requires that we relinquish artificial subdivisions in order to confront the complex reality.

For the past couple decades, there has been a growing interest in the study of violence, with an accompanying explosion of information. One of the reasons we have not made more progress in understanding violence so as to prevent it more effectively is that we have fragmented the subject among disciplines that often do not speak with one another. An Indian parable about blind men describing an elephant—the man who feels a leg says it is like a tree trunk; the man who feels the tail says it is like a rope; the man who feels the trunk says it is like a hose; and so forth—implies that a single viewpoint is inherently limited if it fails to account for the totality. This illustrates what has happened in the study of violence: like six blind men (or 60 blind men if we count all the subfields), we have tried to understand the elephant of violence that has been affecting all of humankind throughout history, each according to our own limited point of view. Until very recently, homicide has been studied almost exclusively by criminologists; suicide just as exclusively by psychiatrists; warfare by political scientists and historians; capital punishment by criminal law specialists; and culturally specific forms of violence, such as genital mutilation, by anthropologists, all without much interdisciplinary dialog.

The WHO’s *World Report*, by contrast, has placed the different types of violence under the same rubric, welcoming efforts to understand the different types of violence—that is, self-directed, interpersonal, and collective violence—not just separately but in totality, with an ecological perspective (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). Consequently, we have dramatically expanded our understanding of the causes, manifestations, and prevention of violence. This simple concept has generated great progress for the field.

Yet, at a time when we need a global perspective to deal with the problem on a global scale, our information is coming from more and more sharply delineated sources. This obscures the magnitude of the problem. How we bring together the knowledge we now have, and how we think about violence and respond to it, will determine humanity’s future—and possibly whether or not we will have one. Returning to the scenarios of the previous section, what do ancient warfare, state oppression, child abuse, and suicides have in common? They may not seem like events that naturally go together, but in the *Iliad*, we see how the Trojan War leads to individual campaigns and vendettas. Observing Cambodia under the Khmer Rouge, we see how entire populations can vanish under dictatorship. In the case of Allan, we see how injury from child maltreatment is tied to the availability of social services. The suicides by firearm show us that what seem largely personal struggles are connected to gun policy. In order to understand fully how violence occurs and how to prevent it effectively, it is necessary to move away from assigning simple causes and solutions to considering multiple risk factors and layers of interventions. Throughout this text, we will explore how to connect seemingly unrelated events and to find commonalities among them.

In order to organize our thinking to this end, we will first propose the *bio-psycho-socio-environmental* model. An extension of the bio-psycho-social model of illness and health (Engel, 1977), this perspective means that human conditions require a broad view involving all of these different levels. The *biological* denotes processes that happen at the level of the physical brain or the body. The *psychological* encompasses the mind’s function and human behavior. The *social* involves relationships, social interactions, and society. Finally, the *environmental* implies the surroundings in which all the other processes occur, be they natural or human-produced. This complex model serves as a tentative reminder that many factors contribute to violence and that we can draw from different disciplines to find solutions at multiple levels. No one field of study has all the answers. The study of violence, furthermore, is an art that involves the integration of knowledge and scientific research.

The model is tentative: a complex whole with components in constant interaction is still difficult to conceive. Concerted influences of simultaneous action are characteristic of the environments human beings live in but are not what research designs study the most. Still, the complex nature of violence makes this conceptualization primary in importance. Consistency through simple reductionism, or compilation of data that do not illuminate the whole, does not advance our understanding of violence. Violence studies make clear that knowledge is abundant but wisdom is lacking—but the most critical problem confronting humankind, its own violence, requires no less than the greatest insight of which we are capable. It is best, therefore, to let the nature of violence guide our efforts.

The addition here of the environmental level to the customary schema helps account for effects that are not from direct social interactions, although they may derive from them. There is the natural physical environment, for example. Then there are institutions and “spiritual” traditions we may inherit. Meanwhile, we will prepare to apply the bio-psycho-socio-environmental model to a more systemic representation in the ecological model in Chapter 12’s “Public Health Approaches.” We conclude that, while there will always be a need for more and better data on the multiple causes and cures for human violence, an even greater need exists for theories capable of integrating the considerable body of information that already exists. The purpose of this book, therefore, is to take an unprecedented but necessary look at violence from an interdisciplinary, global perspective, in order to bring the scholarship of violence to a new stage.

A New Field

While we have made enormous advances in identifying the causes of and cures for most life-threatening processes, from infectious diseases to cancer to heart disease, we are only beginning to make similar progress in understanding causes and cures as they relate to violence. Why is this? Success in other areas of health and medicine have raised the average life expectancy to a level dramatically higher than a century ago, not just in high-income but also in most middle- and low-income countries (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2003). Now, we are in the process of wiping out those gains in some regions of the world because of violence. Why have we failed to prevent violence with anything close to the success we have achieved in preventing or curing other causes of death and disability? The twentieth century was “the most violent century in human history” as measured by the rates of deaths and injuries resulting from violence (Hobsbawm, 1994), and the potential for future violence dwarfs the scale of violence that has already occurred.

Our failure to prevent violence has already resulted in its rise in rankings of worldwide causes of death (Vos et al., 2016). The contrast is even starker if we take into account potential years of life lost (YLL) (Kassebaum et al., 2016), since violence truncates the lives of the young more frequently than degenerative diseases, which primarily kill people nearing the end of their life cycle.

The reasons for our failure to make a more significant dent in our own violence are varied and mutually reinforcing. First, there is the matter of political and economic will. In the United States, for example, despite the fact that violence causes more years of life lost than cancer and heart disease combined, funding for violence research is miniscule compared to other leading causes of death, while funding for gun violence research has been virtually eliminated (Ladapo et al., 2013). Second, violent cultures do not deal with violence seriously. US culture, for instance, treats violence largely as a source of entertainment, pride, or even solution to threats (murder mysteries, violent video games, war heroes, and preemptive defense). Third, powerful economic interests benefit from a continuation of violence. The gun lobby in the US is one of the more explicit examples: no quantity of mass shootings, massacres, innocent

deaths, and grieving parents has been able to force passage of substantial gun control laws that would limit commercial profitability (Goss, 2010). The military industry is similarly lucrative, with arms sales of the top one hundred largest arms-producing companies estimating at 395 billion US dollars per year (Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, 2012).

Finally, violence brings political advantage. Certain political groups have risen to power as a result of high levels of violence; in the US, they support racism (against non-whites), sexism (against women), classism (against the poor), ageism (against younger people), and militarism (against the public). Creating divisions in the population also allows for easier control, and given this advantage, there is little real motivation to reduce violence. All of these forces fuel a continued neglect of violence as a valid subject for research and study, perpetuating the problem.

The more we learn about violence and its variations, the more it becomes clear that its study calls for an examination of complex connections within a coherent theory. We go so far as to propose here that *we cannot fully understand even one form of violence without understanding all the others*. This is because these different phenomena are directly related to one another. In order to understand an individual fully, the entire ecological system of the person's development needs to be taken into account; in order to understand individual violence fully, social, structural, and environmental violence must enter the equation.

Another problem with a fragmented approach is that the temptation to abandon the study will be great once the urgency of the topic has passed. Like the individuals who become involved, violence has long been an orphan subject, relegated to the margins of every field. Psychiatry may seem a logical discipline within which to study violence, but it has long considered violent individuals as intractable and outside the domain of healing. Anthropology would appear appropriate, but its researchers have avoided addressing violence with the populations they are trying to befriend. Political science seems natural, but it has not been at ease with violence outside the sanitized structure of war. Law seems practical, but advocates often wish to divert attention from the flaws of those they are representing. While public health has been prominent in some respects, violence is still a marginal topic for the field, and many public health schools do not even teach a course on it. In this manner, everybody's business becomes nobody's business.

Shall we, then, risk being caught off guard if and when another massive world war breaks out, with greater-than-ever lethal potential? Just before the bloodiest battles of the twentieth century, it was believed that humankind had "civilized" itself beyond such violence (Elias, 1939). Following the end of the Cold War, we believed that we had eliminated the major social, historical, political, cultural, and economic risks for worldwide violence (Fukuyama, 1992)—only to be met with a newly fractious and turbulent world. If we have anything to learn from history, it is that violence seldom remains constant—in degree or in form—and each catastrophic eruption has followed a period of complacency. Therefore, it seems that the problem of violence is closely related to our own level of awareness. In recent years, the study of violence has enjoyed a level of popularity as never before (Kurtz, 2008; Wilkinson & Pickett, 2009). Harnessing this opportunity would entail finding a way to establish steady, persistent study so as not only to continue our relatively peaceful interim but to enhance it.

We thus propose here that *violence studies* become a discipline in its own right. With a potential for extreme violence that sets us apart from every other species and from humans at any other point in history, we must take every opportunity for preventing violence seriously. That seriousness could help avert instantaneous annihilation of human civilization, as in the case of thermonuclear war. Or it could help avoid a more gradual but pervasive and permanent destruction of our habitat, as through human-generated climate change. Violence may be the most important subject matter we could study—to prepare during any lull, if we can call it this—to form structured

and enduring ways of strengthening the conditions for peace. It is clear that any change to this perennial human problem must happen consciously.

As long as we engage in it, human violence remains a mystery that gives rise to a battery of questions. What makes people violent? What does it take to become nonviolent? What induces humanity's tendency to engage continually in irrational, self-destructive behavior? In spite of mounting research, we do not have the answers to the most basic questions. Therefore, this book is about violence but also, more than that, it is about the fundamental puzzle of human existence: why human beings engage in violence against others and against themselves. What an in-depth study of violence can achieve is the understanding of violence not as an event but as the final outcome of a long developmental process that takes place over the course of an affected individual's lifetime or a community's entire history. We can learn to recognize the many underlying causes that can culminate in a variety of behaviors. Thinking more clearly and consistently about the nature of the multiple causes and manifestations of violence will reduce much of the confusion as well as make prevention more possible.

The field of violence studies should include aspects of criminology but not be confined to that discipline, since most violence is not criminal and most crimes are not violent. It should also include the study of practices causing injuries and deaths that are permitted or even required by the law, as some laws can be violent. It would benefit from the knowledge of human behavior and disease that medicine can provide, since it is the field chiefly concerned with enhancing wellbeing and preventing deaths. It also needs to extend to institutional and structural forms of violence that are far more lethal than any direct violence.

Violence studies ought to complement *peace studies*, which have historically aimed to generate reconciliation and conflict resolution, while violence studies have striven to understand phenomenology and therefore prevention of violence before it happens. Violence studies deal with biological, psychological, sociological, and ecological causes and cures, whereas peace studies have traditionally dealt more with international relations, diplomacy, political science, and economics. That said, the two approaches have been converging as they share a common goal and as the different levels of violence prevention merge. They differ from but are closely related to the study of human rights, which primarily concerns itself with moral principles and may offer a useful perspective on systematic injustice.

All that having been said, the emphasis must lie with the conceptualization of humans as consisting of body, mind, and social-symbolic being, such that fulfilling human needs addresses all these levels as complementary and interacting counterparts. If we are truly to lift ourselves out of violence, we must learn to traverse the full extent of the human experience. By meeting the challenge in its depth and complexity, violence studies can be a foundation for deep-rooted peace-building efforts, as it excavates the human capacity not only for curbing violence but for accessing resilience and regenerative creativity.

A New Awareness

We have seen how a change in awareness has been able to produce the kind of scholarship that has drastically curtailed violence around the globe over the past couple decades. We have learned that we have the intelligence and the ability to deal with our own violence, but as is often the case with all-pervasive conditions, our awareness of violence as a problem needing attention has lagged. Indeed, for much of human history, individuals and societies have accepted it as an inevitable part of life, something we needed to tolerate, if not actively engage in. Naturally, the degree to which people participate in it and bystanders condone it (Latane &