

Management for Professionals

Christoph E. Mandl

Managing Complexity in Social Systems

Leverage Points for Policy
and Strategy

 Springer

Management for Professionals

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/10101>

Christoph E. Mandl

Managing Complexity in Social Systems

Leverage Points for Policy and Strategy



Springer

Christoph E. Mandl
University of Vienna
Mandl, Lüthi & Partner
Vienna, Austria

ISSN 2192-8096
Management for Professionals
ISBN 978-3-030-01643-2
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01645-6>

ISSN 2192-810X (electronic)
ISBN 978-3-030-01645-6 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018961015

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

“No areas of human life are more in need of the tools of system modeling and complexity science than business, government and social services, in general. In this stunning volume, Christoph Mandl offers a world-class introduction to what these tools actually are and how they can be used to address questions and problems of everyday life. The best part is that Mandl takes the academic mystery out of system science, presenting the field in a form and style that is accessible to just about everyone. Read it!”

—John Casti, Founder of *X-Event Dynamics, LLC, San Jose, CA*

“This book clearly tells the story how to deal with system’s complexity in social systems by using the smart language of stock and flow diagrams. Weak spots and leverage points in the development of society and economy are addressed. The reader is supplied by a number of clear and easy to follow archetypes which constitute the basis of so many dynamic systems.”

—Manfred Gronalt, Professor at the *University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna*

“Managing complexity in social systems is a challenge for both professionals and academics. The main obstacle is time: Learning by experience is only possible if the time interval between cause and effect is short, but if cause and effects are in a circular relationship, feedbacks are delayed then control is not obvious at all—it’s a “complex task”. Understanding these phenomena form the topic of this book written in, what the author calls, “semasiographic” language for both educated managers and students of business analytics. The system behavior casted in stock and flows diagram provides an intuitive interface between qualitative and quantitative reasoning about dynamics by using “archetypical” stylized models. Learning takes place while you think about intervening in such a system what is by far a nontrivial task—this book helps to find your way!”

—Hans-Jakob Lüthi, Professor Emeritus at *ETH Zurich*

“This book looks at the world from a different, yet very effective vantage point: the systemic perspective. Anyone needs to and can improve his or her understanding of systems—social, ecological, economic, etc., and will benefit from the systemic approach. The main question here is: “What are the structures that generate the behavior of the system in focus?” The author delivers a perfect introduction to systemic thinking—unorthodox, insightful and practical.”

—Markus Schwaninger, *University of St. Gallen, Switzerland*

“Given the rapidly changing complexity of societal systems and the common thread of organizations ‘doing more of the same with less effect’ in a resource-scarce environment, this book is not just timely, but necessary. A book of this nature, with topics such as strategic behavior and operational challenges that a decision maker who is resource-stricken while striving for sustainable development can relate to, is an opportune and worthwhile endeavor.”

—Christian Stary, Professor at the *Johannes Kepler University Linz*

“A most inspiring approach to simulate the complex socioeconomic dynamics of managerial systems successfully applying Forrester’s Stock and Flow concept.”

—Hugo Tschirky, Professor Emeritus at *ETH Zurich*

*To my wife, Hanna
my children, Judith, and Ruth
my grandchildren, Fjor, Lucia, and Leander
and in memory of
my brother Gerhard, 1941–2018*

Foreword

Already before the year 2000 my speeches included the forecast, “Everyone in this audience will see more change over the next 25 years than you have experienced during the past 100.” That was a momentous idea for European audiences, given the vast changes in every sphere of their lives since 1900. However, observing the accelerating pace of events as they unfold in 2018, I believe my predictions will be justified. Despite the mounting chaos, we are still only in the very early phases of an exponential change process.

Changes are coming in every aspect of life—political, economic, environmental, technological, and psychological. They are being driven by climate change, automation, migration, debt, the growing reliance on force to resolve disputes, and many other factors. None of us will be able to escape the effects of these forces. But some of us will flounder while others will flourish. The individuals and organizations who are outstandingly successful in the coming decades will not be those who try to predict the future. I believe that is impossible. They will be the ones who try to perceive the underlying sources of change and to manage the dynamics of their interaction with the environment. No one yet knows how to do these things very well. But Christoph Mandl’s gives us all a wonderful boost toward mastery. He has been a student, manager, researcher, and teacher. He provides us in an accessible text many of the insights he has gained from over 50 years of professional effort.

His book uses graphics rather than mathematics to explain the causes and consequences of behavior. After an excellent introduction to the basic tools of system dynamics, he describes 11 common system malfunctions. His text is an important contribution to an emerging field of thought.

I have enjoyed and benefitted from reading this text; you will also.

Durham, NH, USA
July 2018

Dennis L. Meadows

Contents

1	Prelude: Scientific Journey into a Strange Paradigm	1
Part I From Logic to Circular Causality		
2	Perceiving Events, Patterns, and Structure	13
3	Homeostasis, Complexity, Emergence, and Purposeful Behavior	23
4	Decision and Forecast: The Cassandra Paradox	31
Part II Describing and Understanding Dynamics of Social Systems		
5	Language, Syntax, and Semantics for Describing Dynamics of Systems	41
6	Confusing Stocks with Flows: The Carbon Credit Fallacy	59
7	Leverage Points as Technology to Change Problematic Dynamics	67
8	Sensitivity and Uncertainty: Locust Plagues and Price Dynamics of Commodities	81
9	Generic Structures, Systems Archetypes, and Theories	91
Part III Managing Intraorganizational Phenomena		
10	Shifting the Burden: When Organizations Become Addicted	97
11	Accidental Adversaries: The Enigma of Sustained Cooperation	107
12	Adaptation: Drifting Goals, Invisible Hand, and Breastfeeding	113
13	Limits to Growth: Network Effect and Attractiveness Principle	121
14	Growth and Underinvestment: Aligning Performance and Resources	129

Part IV Managing Trans-organizational Phenomena

15	Policy Resistance: Rebound Effect and Other Paradoxes	137
16	Escalation: The Strength of Fear	147
17	Diffusion of Innovations: The Much Sought After Tipping Point . . .	155
18	Market for Lemons: When Dishonesty Prevails	163
19	The Commons: A Tragedy?	171
20	Path Dependence: Segregation, Increasing Returns, Success to the Successful	189

Part V Coda: The Sense of Possibility

21	Managing Complexity	205
Index		217

About the Author

Christoph E. Mandl is a PhD graduate in Operations Research at ETH Zurich; privatdozent at the Department of Business, Economics and Statistics, University of Vienna; senior lecturer at the Department of Economics and Social Sciences, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna; founding member of the Global Association of Society for Organizational Learning Communities; founding member of Austrian Society of Operations Research (OeGOR); and former member in the Management and Technology Area, International Institute of Applied System Analysis (IIASA); christoph.mandl@univie.ac.at, www.mlp.co.at



Prelude: Scientific Journey into a Strange Paradigm

1

Much as I dislike the idea of ages, I think a good case can be made that science has now moved from an Age of Reductionism to an Age of Emergence, a time when the ultimate causes of things shift from the behavior of the parts to the behavior of the collective.

Robert Laughlin

Dynamics—the study of motion—was always that part of natural science and, after graduation, the area of social science that fascinated me most. Of course, statics—the science about systems in equilibrium—was a necessary prerequisite, but it was dynamics that really caught my attention. This might be why music is more interesting to me than painting, why I enjoy skiing more than yoga, and why I prefer to read and analyze strategies rather than balance sheets.

My first encounter with the science of dynamics was in high school when I read the book by Norbert Wiener (1961) on cybernetics. I devoured it at least as far as I could grasp it because not everything was written in plain language. The parts expressed in the language of math were beyond me so I decided to study technical mathematics. Given my predilection for dynamics, calculus caught my attention, particularly its use in mechanics. Of all the books of that time the one I liked best—and I still have it on my bookshelf—is one by Heinz Parkus (1966) called *Mechanik der festen Körper* (Mechanics of Solid Bodies).

Besides wanting to learn mathematics, there was another reason to choose technical mathematics: it was the only program at that time at an Austrian university where I could learn computer language—programming codes like ALGOL and FORTRAN that are now as nearly extinct as Sumerian or Egyptian. While mathematics was the perfect tool to study systems in equilibrium, a rather frustrating insight was that solutions to problems of dynamics often could not be expressed as mathematical equations but required computational efforts using algorithms. Starting from an initial input, an algorithm describes a computation that proceeds

through a finite number of well-defined successive states, eventually producing output and terminating at a final end state. So I learned to design algorithms, translate them into computer language, and find answers to questions of dynamics. My undergraduate thesis, for instance, dealt with the question how a pendulum behaves when it is excited at random (Mandl 1970)—a question that could not be answered without computers.

Leaving mechanics behind, I then ventured into new territory, the dynamics of economic systems. Meanwhile, computer languages became more sophisticated but also more problem specific. Computer simulation emerged to analyze the dynamics of sociotechnical systems that benefit traffic patterns, manufacturing and distribution systems, as well as economic systems at large. One of these computer simulation languages that caught my attention was *Dynamo* by Alexander Pugh (1963). While *SIMSCRIPT* was ideal to study concrete dynamical issues of sociotechnical systems, *Dynamo* was perfect to analyze the dynamics of economic systems. Inspired by the book *Principles of Systems* by Jay Forrester (1968) and the *Lectures on the Mathematical Method in Analytical Economics* by Jacob Schwartz (1961), I ventured into writing a textbook on the use of computer simulation in business and economics which Springer gladly accepted—see Mandl (1977).

Although *The Limits to Growth*—Donella Meadows (1972)—was extremely enlightening, I confess my interest in the use of *Dynamo* faded so much that when I was a visiting scientist for a year at the MIT Operations Research Center I never thought of contacting Forrester—an oversight which I still regret.

I departed from science and entered the world of business and management. The day-to-day issues of strategic planning in a corporation at first and managing a software start-up afterward left no room for thinking about dynamics in business, or so I thought. I became heavily involved in the computer-integrated manufacturing (CIM) movement. To understand CIM's relevance and its relationship with organizational knowledge on a deeper level, the book *The Tree of Knowledge* by Humberto Maturana and Francisco Varela (1987) was another highly enlightening read, resulting in my article “Eine systemtheoretische Betrachtung von Computer-Integration” in 1988.

In 1989, Dietrich Dörner and his experiments about the logic of failure conveyed how easy it is to make inappropriate management decisions when delays between cause and effect are involved. Dörner was the first to show experimentally how thin the line is between doing too little and overreacting and how easily managers can be triggered to react excessively.

In 1990, the Web did not yet exist. It was therefore not easy to be up-to-date in Austria about new publications in the USA. Personal messengers were indispensable. Markus Hauser, a good friend, was such a messenger. At that time, he studied at the California Institute of Integral Studies in San Francisco. From him I learned about a then-recently published book called *The Fifth Discipline* by Peter Senge (1990). This book immediately caught my attention. It was the first book that plausibly demonstrated how systems thinking—Senge called it “fifth discipline”—could be used to make better management decisions. The gap between system dynamics as a way of modeling social systems and making appropriate management decisions was bridged. The most

immediate use I made of Senge's book was to introduce the Beer Distribution Game to management trainings together with my friend and colleague Hans-Jakob Lüthi, a professor at ETH Zurich. The Beer Distribution game is an experiential learning game where participants manage a supply chain of beer—and more often than not fail. Observing a group of senior managers utterly overreacting in the Beer Distribution Game thus causing the bullwhip effect—see Forrester (1961)—reconfirmed the relevance of systems thinking for management.

That was my state of mind when I stumbled over a scientist whose name I had not heard before: Gregory Bateson. Immersing into *Steps to an Ecology of Mind* and then *Mind and Nature: A Necessary Unity* and then *Angels Fear: Towards an Epistemology of the Sacred* made me aware that systems thinking is not just a method but a totally different way of seeing and understanding the world. Thomas Kuhn (1962) called it a paradigm shift:

When Aristotle and Galileo looked at swinging stones, the first saw constraint fall, the second a pendulum. . . . Though the world does not change with a change of paradigm, the scientist afterward works in a different world.

Along those lines, Bateson lectured in his typical somewhat ironic style as shown in the wonderful documentary by Nora Bateson (2010):

It is inculcated by our great universities that the world is made of separable items of knowledge in which if you were a student you could be examined by a series of disconnected questions called true or false quizzes. And the first point I want to get over to you is that the world is not like that at all or to put it more politely the world in which I live is not like that at all and as to you it's your business to live in whatever world you want to.

Until I read Bateson my worldview on systems thinking was firmly rooted in Forrester's and his scholars' work. Bateson writings were like switching from seeing a film in Academy ratio to widescreen. Bateson (1972) made me aware of the historical perspective of systems thinking:

I was privileged to be a member of the famous Macy Conferences on Cybernetics. My debt to Warren McCulloch, Norbert Wiener, John von Neumann, Evelyn Hutchinson, and other members of these conferences is evident in everything that I have written since World War II.

While Forrester (1971) stated as a matter of fact that social systems belong to the class called multi-loop nonlinear feedback systems, it was Bateson who clarified for me the immense and radical consequences of Forrester's bold premise.

Then another far-reaching idea emerged that neither Bateson nor Forrester anticipated: complexity. Having invited John Casti for a series of lectures at the Institute for Advanced Studies in Vienna, I became familiar with catastrophe theory, a branch in the study of dynamical systems where small changes in certain parameters can lead to large and sudden changes of the behavior. However, to me it seemed like an interesting new mathematical branch with little practical relevance. But then came chaos theory.

While catastrophe theory was mostly for enlightened mathematicians, chaos theory and its relevance for understanding dynamics caught the attention of the interested public particularly due to the bestseller *Chaos: Making a New Science*. Chaos theory is a branch of mathematics that deals with dynamical systems that are highly sensitive to slight changes in initial conditions. Small differences in initial conditions yield widely diverging outcomes, rendering long-term prediction of their behavior impossible. System dynamics and systems thinking did not account for that.

A scientific revolution occurs, according to Kuhn (1962), when scientists encounter anomalies that cannot be explained by the universally accepted paradigm within which scientific progress has been made. This anomaly in systems science was deepened when chaos theory led to the science of complexity as described in another bestseller, *Complexity: The Emerging Science at the Edge of Order and Chaos*. Systems thinking and complexity seemed at odds with each other. Curious with anything dealing with dynamics, I delved into the work of W. Brian Arthur as well as of Stuart Kauffman (1995). Particularly Arthur's (1994) book and Arthur's (1996) article revealed a totally new perspective on dynamics of social systems:

Outcomes were not predictable, problems might have more than one solution, and chance events might determine the future rather than be averaged away. The key to this work lay in the fact that these were processes driven by some form of self-reinforcement, or positive feedback.

The works of Forrester (1961) and Arthur (1994) were for the first time reconciled in John Sterman (2000) in the chapter entitled “Path Dependence and Positive Feedback”. Sterman’s book not only helped me integrate Forrester’s and Arthur’s ideas, it also was a very good starting point for a new course on “Systems Thinking and Modeling” at the University of Vienna. Until 2000 I experimented with various course formats to acquaint students with organizational learning, innovation management, and supply chain management, all of which require an understanding of system dynamics. Although I tried hard, Senge (1990)—the best book at that time on systems thinking in business—did not appeal to students as much as it did to me. Sterman’s book, however, changed all that. Students readily adopted it. This new course focused on supply chain management and the Beer Distribution Game as well as on dynamics of innovation—developing the former course further toward systems thinking.

Time was ripe to offer a seminar on systems thinking for managers and civil servants. Together with Hans-Jakob Lüthi and Markus Schwaninger, a professor at the University of St. Gallen, we devised it, emphasizing the work of Daniel Kim (1992) on systems archetypes as well as of Arthur (1996) on increasing returns. “Managing Complexity with System Dynamics” was the seminar title.

Meanwhile, I dealt with a large consulting project which started as an IT diagnosis and strategy process but surprisingly turned out to be a full-blown tragedy of the commons—a situation in a shared-resource system where individual users act independently according to their own self-interest, behaving contrary to the common

good by depleting or spoiling that resource through their collective action. I could only successfully complete the project by applying systems thinking. This experience and the collaboration with Lüthi and Schwaninger led me to orient the “Systems Thinking and Modeling” course more toward systems archetypes while maintaining Sterman’s approach toward systems modeling.

The difference between familiarizing students with systems thinking was—and still is—strikingly different from teaching the concept to managers and civil servants. Students are primarily interested in understanding system dynamics and show less interest in managing them. Managers and civil servants, on the other hand, want to learn how to make better decisions and show less interest in time-consuming learning about system dynamics basics. As always, Forrester (2000) was blunt about this:

Efforts in system dynamics have repeatedly shown the high hurdle to cross in drawing people to the dynamic viewpoint when they were already mature in established, or open-ended, or static views of their surrounding environments. Understanding dynamic behavior comes slowly. . . . It can take several years for a management to understand and accept the way in which their own policies are creating the problems they are experiencing.

Thus, Senge’s (1990) and Kim’s (1992) approach was more appealing to managers, while Sterman’s (2000) approach was more helpful to students. For some time, the Beer Distribution Game and its debriefing bridged the gap between systems thinking and decision making.

Then a publication by Donella Meadows (1997) about “Places to Intervene in a System” entered my worldview. Its impact on me was profound. It was the first publication that addressed management from a strictly system dynamics point of view. Meadows (1997) gave a vivid account how she conceived this concept:

One day I was sitting in a meeting about the new global trade regime, NAFTA and GATT and the World Trade Organization. The more I listened, the more I began to simmer inside. “This is a huge new system people are inventing!” I said to myself. “They haven’t the slightest idea how it will behave,” myself said back to me. “It’s cranking the system in the wrong direction—growth, growth at any price!! And the control measures these nice folks are talking about—small parameter adjustments, weak negative feedback loops—are puny!” Suddenly, without quite knowing what was happening, I got up, marched to the flip chart, tossed over a clean page, and wrote: Places to Intervene in a System.

This article and her publication on prediction and choice—Meadows (1999)—changed and inspired my thinking about what it means to manage forever.

While the inner journey was progressing, I also ventured into new territory. Thanks to my long-time colleague Manfred Gronalt, a professor at the University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, I started a course for students in natural resource management. It was fascinating to experience how much easier students with a background in life sciences grasp the idea of circular causality versus students of business administration where Taylorism still lingers. Manfred Gronalt and I also started a seminar “Mastering strategic and environmental challenges with complexity management” for alumni of the University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna.

Together these seminars and courses made it necessary to completely overhaul the syllabi. Being a visiting professor at the ETH Zurich Department of Management, Technology and Economics for one term was the perfect opportunity. Fish Banks Ltd. Game developed by Dennis Meadows (1996) replaced the Beer Game. After all, scholars of natural resource management were much more interested in tragedy of the commons than in the bullwhip effect. Meadows' (1997) article on leverage points became mandatory reading. To Senge's (1990) systems archetypes I added Rogers' (2003) diffusion of innovations and Arthur's (1994) increasing returns to adoption. What I kept though was Sterman's (2000) rigorous approach to systems modeling.

A good way to notice if students are interested is if they want to do a thesis on the topic. Patricia Hurschler (2005) did. Her thesis on the research question if generic drugs are path dependent exemplified how practical a good theory can be. Besides, her work clarified the close relationship between Arthur's path dependence and Senge's success to the successful.

Addressing systems thinking and complexity in natural resource management substantially broadened the idea of management. In business administration, a person who fulfills managerial tasks is typically seen as someone belonging to the upper or even top part of an organization's hierarchy. After all, the verb "manage" comes from the Italian *maneggiare* (to handle, especially tools or a horse), which derives from the two Latin words *manus* (hand) and *agere* (to act). Natural resource management, however, deals with managing the way in which people and natural landscapes interact. It brings together land use planning, water management, biodiversity conservation, and the sustainability of agriculture, mining, tourism, fisheries, and forestry. Such a managerial task is not embedded in a hierarchy but in a highly intertwined nonhierarchical network of independent yet, at the same time, interdependent systems. Natural resource managers who behave as if they were hierarchically above stakeholders and natural resources inevitably fail. For that reason I abandoned the pervasive concept of managers as leaders and replaced it with the concept of managers as persons who can change a system's behavior because they know (some of) its sensitive influence points.

That shift created a new difficulty. Most of what is described as problematic dynamics has to do with either too many or too few items of something in stock, too much CO₂ in the atmosphere, too little equity, and too much plastic waste in oceans. It was Jay Forrester who highlighted this truism in a plenary session at the 29th International Conference of the System Dynamics Society in Washington, DC. In his matter-of-fact way, he pointed out that if one wants to model a problematic dynamic, one has to start by identifying the problematic stocks rather than by identifying the causal loops. Forrester's small comment on someone's presentation was the singular most insightful information I took away from this conference.

Yet it was—and is—common practice to teach first circular causality (feedback), adding later the notion of stocks and flows. The underlying assumption is that circular causality is more important and more difficult to understand than the concept of stocks and flows. Again, an important piece of experimental research helped. Linda Booth Sweeney and Sterman (2000) and Sterman (2008) showed in their

publications that even well-educated people have great difficulties in distinguishing stocks from flows. These insights were completely in line with my own teaching experience with students, managers, and civil servants alike. The situation was further complicated by the idea that stocks may cause problems, but stocks can never be changed directly. Governing stocks require controlling inflows and outflows.

The more I used the aforementioned literature with students and managers, the more I appreciated the paradigm shift they advocated. Yet it also dawned on me that there was a gap between the different schools of thought about dynamics of social systems. The notion of leverage points was somewhat separated from (computer) models of social systems. Stock and flows were treated separately from circular causality. Management of businesses was seen as different to natural resource management. Segregation, increasing returns, and success to the successful were discussed as different phenomena. Understanding dynamics of systems, creating models of systems, and governing systems were considered as belonging to different domains of knowledge.

So I decided to write this book. My intention is to integrate the differing schools of thought wonderfully represented by Arthur (1994), Bateson (1979), Meadows (2008), Thomas Schelling (1978), Senge (1990), and Sterman (2000) into one single book for managers and students alike.

During the process of writing I happened to see the movie *Arrival* which at its core is about language and how it informs thinking. In this movie based on *Story of Your Life* by Ted Chiang (2016), aliens arrive in spaceships. Linguist Louise and physicist Gary are recruited by the U.S. Army to communicate with the aliens. In an attempt to learn their language, Louise finds their writing to be chains of semagrams in no linear sequence and semasiographic, having no reference to speech. She discovers that when writing in it, premises and conclusions become interchangeable. She finds herself starting to think in this language and begins to see time as the aliens do.

What fascinated me about this story was the very concept of a semasiographic language and the hypothesis of linguistic relativity—the Sapir–Whorf hypothesis—which holds that linguistic categories and usage influence thought and decisions. It struck me that stock and flow diagrams need to be treated not just as a graphical user interface, but also as a unique semasiographic language in its own right that can be used to describe and think about dynamics of systems. This insight gave the book an additional meaning: an introduction to the semasiographic language called stock and flow diagram.

In 1159 John of Salisbury (1955) wrote:

Bernard of Chartres used to compare us to dwarfs perched on the shoulders of giants. He pointed out that we see more and farther than our predecessors, not because we have keener vision or greater height, but because we are lifted up and borne aloft on their gigantic stature.

A dwarf perched on the shoulders of giants—that’s what I am. As such I am grateful beyond words for all the giants I mentioned above—the ones whose writings

I absorbed, the ones I was privileged to listen to, the ones who partnered with me to create seminars and who shared their thinking, my wife Hanna who always encouraged me to write this book, my supportive and extremely patient editor Barbara Feß, and all unnamed scholars who attended my classes and from whom I learned enormously through their struggle in understanding what I was trying to get across. Last but not least, I thank Ventana Systems Inc. for generously offering its simulation software Vensim PLE for free for educational use.

Enjoy and, please, let me know your experience with this book!

January 2019

Wien, European Union

References

Arthur WB (1994) Increasing returns and path dependence in the economy. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor

Arthur WB (1996) Increasing returns and the new world of business. *Harv Bus Rev*, July–Aug

Bateson G (1972) Steps to an ecology of mind. University of Chicago Press, Chicago

Bateson G (1979) Mind and nature: a necessary unity. Hampton Press, Cresskill

Bateson G, Bateson M (1987) Angels fear: towards an epistemology of the sacred. Macmillan, New York

Bateson N (2010) An ecology of mind: a daughter's portrait of Gregory Bateson. Film

Booth Sweeney L, Sterman J (2000) Bathtub dynamics: initial results of a systems thinking inventory. *Syst Dyn Rev* 16(4):249–286

Casti J (1979) Connectivity, complexity and catastrophe in large-scale systems. Wiley, New York

Chiang T (2016) Story of your life. In: Stories of your life and others. Vintage, New York

Dörner D (1989) Die Logik des Mißlingens: Strategisches Denken in komplexen Situationen. Rowohlt, Hamburg

Forrester J (1961) Industrial dynamics. MIT Press, Cambridge

Forrester J (1968) Principles of systems, 2nd edn. Productivity Press, Portland

Forrester J (1971) Counterintuitive behavior of social systems. *Technol Rev* 73(3):52–68

Forrester J (2000) From the Ranch to system dynamics: an autobiography. MIT Sloan School of Management, Cambridge

Gleick J (1987) Chaos: making a new science. Penguin Books, London

Hurschler P (2005) Unterliegt der Generika-Markt dem Modelltyp "Success to the Successful"? Diplomarbeit, ETH Zürich

Kauffman S (1995) At home in the universe: the search for laws of self-organization and complexity. Oxford University Press, New York

Kim D (1992) Systems archetypes I: diagnosing systemic issues and designing high-leverage interventions. Pegasus Communications, Cambridge

Kuhn T (1962) The structure of scientific revolutions. University of Chicago Press, Chicago

Laughlin R (2005) A different universe: reinventing physics from the bottom down. Basic Books, New York

Mandl C (1970) Stabilität und Lösung einer gewöhnlichen, nichtlinearen Differentialgleichung zweiter Ordnung mit stochastischer Erregung. Diplomarbeit. II. Institut für Mechanik der TU Wien

Mandl C (1977) Simulationstechnik und Simulationsmodelle in den Sozial- und Wirtschaftswissenschaften. Springer, Heidelberg

Mandl C (1988) Eine systemtheoretische Betrachtung von Computer-Integration. *Inf Manage* 3:54–57

Mandl C (1993) Computerintegrations-Projekte – Die Logik des Misslingens. *io Management Zeitschrift* 12:54–58

Mandl C (2001) Wörterbuch des Führens. In: Führen – Zwischen Hierarchie und . . . : Komplexität nutzen – Selbstorganisation wagen. Versus Verlag, Zurich

Mandl C (2006) Gewissheit, Risiko und Neues. In: Aufgabe Zukunft: Versäumen, planen, ermöglichen. Versus Verlag, Zurich

Mandl C (2011) Systemdenken lernen. profile 20:75–84

Maturana H, Varela F (1987) The tree of knowledge: the biological roots of human understanding. Shambhala Publications, Boston

Mead M, Bateston G (1976) For God's sake, Margaret. CoEvol Q 10:32–44

Meadows DL (1996) Fish Banks, Ltd. University of New Hampshire, Durham

Meadows D (1997) Places to intervene in a system. Whole Earth, Winter

Meadows D (1999) Chicken little, Cassandra, and the real wolf. Whole Earth, Spring

Meadows D (2008) Thinking in systems: a primer. Chelsea Green, Hartford

Meadows D, Meadows DL, Randers J, Behrens W (1972) The limits to growth. Universe Books, New York

Mitchell M (2009) Complexity: a guided tour. Oxford University Press, New York

Parkus H (1966) Mechanik der festen Körper. Springer, New York

Pugh A (1963) Dynamo user's manual, 2nd edn. MIT Press, Cambridge

Rogers E (2003) Diffusion of innovations, 5th edn. Free Press, New York

Salisbury J (1955) The Metalogicon: a twelfth-century defense of the verbal and logical arts of the trivium (trans: MacGarry D). University of California Press, Berkeley

Schelling T (1978) Micromotives and macrobehavior. Norton, New York

Schwartz J (1961) Lectures on the mathematical method in analytical economics. Gordon and Breach, London

Senge P (1990) The fifth discipline: the art and practice of the learning organization. Doubleday, New York

Sterman J (2000) Business dynamics: systems thinking and modeling for a complex world. McGraw-Hill, New York

Sterman J (2008) Risk communication on climate: mental models and mass balance. Science 322 (5901):532–533

Waldrop M (1992) Complexity: the emerging science at the edge of order and chaos. Touchstone, New York

Wiener N (1961) Cybernetics: or control and communication in the animal and the machine. MIT Press, Cambridge

Part I

From Logic to Circular Causality

Logic can often be reversed, but the effect does not precede the cause. . . . What is the case is that when causal systems become circular, a change in any part of the circle can be regarded as cause for change at a later time in any variable anywhere in the circle. It thus appears that a rise in the temperature of the room can be regarded as the cause of the change in the switch of the thermostat and, alternatively, that the action of the thermostat can be regarded as controlling the temperature of the room.

Gregory Bateson