

SPRINGER BRIEFS IN COMPLEXITY

Shamik Gupta · Alessandro Campa  
Stefano Ruffo

# Statistical Physics of Synchronization

 Springer

# SpringerBriefs in Complexity

## Series editors

Henry D. I. Abarbanel, Department of Physics, University of California, La Jolla, CA, USA

Dan Braha, New England Complex Systems Institute, University of Massachusetts, North Dartmouth, MA, USA

Péter Érdi, Department of Physics, Center for Complex System Studies, Kalamazoo College, Kalamazoo, MI, USA

Karl J. Friston, Department of Cognitive Neurology, National Hospital, Institute of Neurology, London, UK

Hermann Haken, Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany

Viktor Jirsa, Institut des Sciences du Mouvement, Marseille, France

Janusz Kacprzyk, Systems Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

Kunihiko Kaneko, Research Center for Complex Systems Biology, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Scott Kelso, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL, USA

Markus Kirkilionis, Mathematics Institute and Centre for Complex Systems, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

Jürgen Kurths, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Brandenburg, Germany

Ronaldo Menezes, Department of Computer Science, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL, USA

Andrzej Nowak, International Center for Complexity and Conflict, SWPS School Warsaw, Warszawa, Poland

Hassan Qudrat-Ullah, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada

Peter Schuster, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Frank Schweitzer, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

Didier Sornette, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

Stefan Thurner, Section for Science of Complex System, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

SpringerBriefs in Complexity are a series of slim high-quality publications encompassing the entire spectrum of complex systems science and technology. Featuring compact volumes of 50 to 125 pages (approximately 20,000–45,000 words), Briefs are shorter than a conventional book but longer than a journal article. Thus Briefs serve as timely, concise tools for students, researchers, and professionals.

Typical texts for publication might include:

- A snapshot review of the current state of a hot or emerging field
- A concise introduction to core concepts that students must understand in order to make independent contributions
- An extended research report giving more details and discussion than is possible in a conventional journal article,
- A manual describing underlying principles and best practices for an experimental or computational technique
- An essay exploring new ideas broader topics such as science and society

Briefs allow authors to present their ideas and readers to absorb them with minimal time investment. Briefs are published as part of Springer's eBook collection, with millions of users worldwide. In addition, Briefs are available, just like books, for individual print and electronic purchase. Briefs are characterized by fast, global electronic dissemination, straightforward publishing agreements, easy-to-use manuscript preparation and formatting guidelines, and expedited production schedules. We aim for publication 8–12 weeks after acceptance.

SpringerBriefs in Complexity are an integral part of the Springer Complexity publishing program. Proposals should be sent to the responsible Springer editors or to a member of the Springer Complexity editorial and program advisory board ([springer.com/complexity](http://springer.com/complexity)).

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8907>

Shamik Gupta · Alessandro Campa  
Stefano Ruffo

# Statistical Physics of Synchronization

 Springer

Shamik Gupta  
Department of Physics  
Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda  
University  
Howrah, India

Stefano Ruffo  
SISSA  
Trieste, Italy

Alessandro Campa  
National Center for Radiation Protection  
and Computational Physics  
Istituto Superiore di Sanità  
Roma, Italy

ISSN 2191-5326 ISSN 2191-5334 (electronic)  
SpringerBriefs in Complexity  
ISBN 978-3-319-96663-2 ISBN 978-3-319-96664-9 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96664-9>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018949061

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2018  
This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

*To my beloved parents,  
Late Subir Kumar Gupta and Geetanjali  
Gupta*

Shamik Gupta

*To the memory of my mother, Maria*

Alessandro Campa

*To Antonella*

Stefano Ruffo

# Foreword

In 1965, a bright undergraduate at Cornell University named Arthur Winfree undertook an experimental study for his senior thesis. He wired 71 neon tube oscillators together into a contraption that he called the firefly machine. At a time when the theory of nonlinear oscillations was largely confined to two and three oscillators, Winfree was venturing out to study dozens of them. To allow the oscillators to feel one another's influence, he connected them all to a common terminal through a small capacitor, so that each oscillator interacted equally with all the others, and he grounded that terminal through a larger variable capacitor. This setup enabled him to easily adjust the coupling strength between the oscillators.

He found that in the absence of coupling, the neon tubes blinked on and off in an uncoordinated, incoherent fashion. That was to be expected; their natural periods varied by about 10%. As Winfree slowly dialed up the coupling, the oscillators remained incoherent until it reached a critical coupling strength. Above that threshold, all the neon tubes began discharging in unison, much like the famous congregations of synchronously flashing fireflies of Thailand, Malaysia, and other parts of Southeast Asia. Winfree had discovered the sudden onset of synchronization in a population of nonlinear oscillators.

Winfree's inspiration had always been biology—not just fireflies with their rapid flash rhythms, but the much slower rhythms in sleep and wake and body temperature of mammals, the nearly 24-hour rhythms known as circadian rhythms. The early 1960s were the heyday of research into circadian rhythms. With his firefly machine, Winfree opened up a theoretical avenue for studying such rhythms.

At the time, Winfree was a college student majoring in engineering physics. His training in solid-state theory led him to approach the question of biological synchronization from a perspective that only a physicist would have. He realized that an infinite-range approximation, in which each oscillator interacted equally with all the others, offered the best hope of making progress on this daunting, nonlinear, nonequilibrium, many-body problem. That was why he coupled all the oscillators through a common capacitor. He was doing the electronic counterpart of mean-field theory.

Next, Winfree abstracted his firefly machine into a set of differential equations that he could simulate on the university's mainframe computer. At the time, computer simulations were a rarity in science. One had to go to a computing center and feed punch cards into a room-sized behemoth. To simplify the differential equations, Winfree assumed that his model oscillators were weakly coupled, compared to their attraction to their limit cycles in state space. He realized intuitively that under that assumption, each oscillator could be represented by its phase alone as it moved along its limit cycle; amplitude variations could be neglected. In a now-celebrated paper published in *Journal of Theoretical Biology* in 1967, Winfree showed that his mathematical model could do what his firefly machine had done: it could spontaneously synchronize. As the coupling strength between the oscillators was increased, or as the variance of oscillators' natural frequencies was decreased, the oscillators abruptly switched from an incoherent, desynchronized state to an ordered state in which a macroscopic fraction of the system was locked in frequency. In this 1967 paper, he explicitly noted a remarkable connection to thermodynamic phase transitions. He wrote:

Disguised in the literature of solid-state physics under an interchange of spatial for temporal coordinates, the phenomenon of ferroelectric crystallization is strikingly analogous: the oscillators are replaced by a population of electric dipoles at crystal lattice points; the orientation of their phase vectors [...] becomes the angular orientation of dipoles under a communally-generated electric field, to which they contribute [...] according to orientation; the spread of synchronized phases [...] due to the spread of natural frequencies [...] becomes the distribution of dipole angles due to thermal buffeting; and the threshold [of synchronization] is mirrored in the Curie temperature for ferroelectric transition.

About a decade later, the Japanese statistical physicist Yoshiko Kuramoto reformulated Winfree's work and recast it as a beautifully elegant system of differential equations, now known as the Kuramoto model. Using an ingenious self-consistency argument, and retaining Winfree's assumptions of a mean-field model of phase-only oscillators, but using the more tractable form of coupling between the oscillators, Kuramoto was able to find his synchronization transition analytically and to calculate the extent of order above the synchronization threshold.

In the half a century since Winfree's landmark work, the study of collective synchronization has mainly been approached through nonlinear dynamics and computer simulation. The connection to statistical physics, though always present, has tended to play a subordinate role. The present monograph rectifies this situation. Shamik Gupta, Alessandro Campa, and Stefano Ruffo do a wonderful job of summarizing earlier work on the Kuramoto model and enlarging it to embrace the insights of statistical physics, using concepts like H-theorems, Fokker-Planck equations, and the breakdown of detailed balance. The problems they tackle are difficult and fascinating, both from the standpoint of nonlinear dynamics and from that of statistical physics, because of their nonequilibrium and many-body character. Furthermore, the authors explore the effects of inertia, always an important physical consideration, but one that has been given relatively little attention in the



nonlinear dynamics literature. This is a very valuable addition to the literature of dynamical systems and nonequilibrium statistical physics. I hope you'll enjoy reading it as much as I did.

Ithaca, New York, USA

Prof. Steven Strogatz  
Department of Mathematics  
Cornell University

# Preface

A remarkable phenomenon common in nature is that of spontaneous synchronization, whereby a large population of coupled oscillating units of diverse frequencies spontaneously evolve to operate in unison. Such a cooperative effect commonly occurs in physical and biological systems over length and time scales of several orders of magnitude. Examples are flashing of fireflies, animal flocking behavior, audience clapping in concert halls, pedestrians on footbridges, and a variety of experiments involving electrochemical and electronic oscillators, metronomes, Josephson junctions, and laser arrays. Besides its necessity in firing of cardiac cells that keeps the heart beating and life going, synchrony is desired in man-made systems, e.g., in parallel computing, whereby computer processors must coordinate to finish a task on time, and in electrical power grids, whereby generators must run in synchrony to be locked in frequency to that of the grid. Synchrony could also be hazardous, e.g., in neurons, leading to impaired brain functions in, e.g., epilepsy. Collective synchrony among oscillators has attracted immensely the attention of physicists and applied mathematicians, and finds applications in many fields, from quantum electronics to electrochemistry, from bridge engineering to social science, and others.

Synchronizing systems may be viewed from two contrasting perspectives, namely, that of dynamical systems theory and statistical physics. To summarize in one sentence the characterizing aspects of the two perspectives, one could say that in the former, spontaneous synchronization occurs as a bifurcation in the dynamical behavior of the system as a function of the strength of interaction between the oscillating units, while in the latter, it represents a phase transition between different forms of statistical distribution of the dynamical variables of the system constituents. Until now, the approach based on dynamical systems theory has received much more attention. This could be partly due to the fact that synchronizing

systems have mostly been investigated using models not belonging to any class of Hamiltonian systems, the latter constituting the prominent subject of study in mainstream statistical physics. The use of mainly models with first-order dynamics (only very recently are models with second-order dynamics being studied) has been one other reason for the abundance of studies employing tools of dynamical systems theory.

Viewed from the perspective of statistical physics, the following characteristics of synchronizing systems may be noted. Presence of long-range interactions in synchronizing systems allows the use of mean-field models, which may be seen as a major simplifying feature for extensive analytical treatments. The mean-field analysis becomes exact in the limit of a very large number of units (in particular, in the thermodynamic limit, which is naturally achieved in synchronizing systems) for systems where the interaction is the same between every pair of constituents. The latter feature is not always prevalent in real systems, as there are cases where the interaction, although long-ranged, decays slowly with the distance between the constituents; nevertheless, also in this case, the mean-field analysis is a very useful first approximation, and corrections can in principle be evaluated systematically. Another essential feature of synchronizing systems is the presence of diverse natural frequencies. In the language of statistical physics, diverse frequencies may be interpreted as quenched disordered random variables; the randomness implies the necessity to average observable quantities over the distribution of natural frequencies. Probably, the most notable feature of synchronizing systems is the fact that the stationary states to which the dynamics settles to after a transient are not equilibrium ones (in technical terms, such states do not satisfy detailed balance). Thus, synchrony is necessarily a nonequilibrium phenomenon, which therefore cannot be described by equilibrium statistical mechanics. There is as yet no theory akin to the latter that can treat and make predictions on general terms for nonequilibrium systems, thus necessitating the study of representative model systems so as to gain valuable insights into the physics of synchronizing systems. Summarizing, synchronizing systems involve the study of statistical physics of long-range systems with quenched random variables settling into nonequilibrium steady states. This brief monograph aims to present from this perspective a study of synchronizing systems.

Extensive studies of synchronizing systems over the years have led to the introduction of novel theoretical concepts in nonlinear science such as the chimera states. Chimeras are broken-symmetry states occurring in identical, symmetrically coupled oscillator ensembles in which synchronized and desynchronized subpopulations coexist. These states have been observed in a variety of experimental situations involving, e.g., chemical and mechanical oscillators. Dynamical phenomena such as chimeras have been studied analytically using the approach of dynamical systems theory. Our focus in this monograph is on statistical physics approach to synchronization, and interpreting chimeras, etc., within this approach is still largely an open issue. Hence, we will not dwell on such dynamical phenomena, interesting in their own right, in this brief monograph.

It is a great pleasure to warmly thank a number of colleagues for fruitful and enjoyable discussion and collaboration on topics discussed in this monograph: Eduardo G. Altmann, Julien Barré, Freddy Bouchet, Lapo Casetti, Pierfrancesco Di Cintio, Thierry Dauxois, Stefano Gherardini, Maxim Komarov, Haggai Landa, David Métivier, Giovanna Morigi, David Mukamel, Hyunggyu Park, Arkady Pikovsky, Antonio Politi, Max G. Potters, Alessandro Torcini, Hugo Touchette, and Lucas Wetzel. SG is thankful to his parent organization, the Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda University, for providing a conducive ambiance for writing this book.

Finally, we acknowledge the kind support of Springer-Verlag, Berlin. We are especially thankful to Aldo Rampioni and Kirsten Theunissen for their encouragement, assistance, and patience.

*Book Homepage:* Comments on the book may be sent to these email addresses: [alessandro.campa@iss.it](mailto:alessandro.campa@iss.it); [shamik.gupta@rkmvu.ac.in](mailto:shamik.gupta@rkmvu.ac.in); [ruffo@sissa.it](mailto:ruffo@sissa.it). Misprints and errors in the book will be posted on the webpage <https://sites.google.com/site/shamikguptaphysics/syncbook>.

Kolkata, India  
Roma, Italy  
Trieste, Italy  
May 2018

Shamik Gupta  
Alessandro Campa  
Stefano Ruffo

# Contents

<b>1 Synchronizing Systems</b> . . . . .	1
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2 The Oscillators and Their Interaction: A Qualitative Discussion . . . . .	4
1.3 Oscillators as Limit Cycles . . . . .	6
1.4 Interacting Limit-Cycle Oscillators . . . . .	9
1.5 Synchronizing Systems as Statistical Mechanics Systems . . . . .	13
1.5.1 A Single Oscillator with Noise . . . . .	13
1.5.2 Oscillators in Interaction . . . . .	18
1.6 The Features of a Statistical Physics Description . . . . .	20
1.6.1 The Advantages of the Fokker-Planck Equation . . . . .	22
1.7 Some Results for Noiseless Interacting Oscillators . . . . .	23
1.7.1 The Kuramoto Solution . . . . .	24
1.7.2 The Ott-Antonsen Solution . . . . .	28
1.8 The Oscillators with Inertia . . . . .	32
References . . . . .	38
<b>2 Oscillators with First-Order Dynamics</b> . . . . .	39
2.1 The Oscillators with Distributed Natural Frequencies . . . . .	40
2.1.1 Derivation of the Fokker-Planck Equation . . . . .	40
2.2 The Kuramoto Model . . . . .	44
2.3 Unimodal Symmetric $g(\omega)$ . . . . .	47
2.3.1 The Stability of the Incoherent State . . . . .	51
2.3.2 Examples of $r(K)$ : Computations and Simulations . . . . .	54
2.3.3 Uniform $g(\omega)$ . . . . .	59

2.4	Nonunimodal $g(\omega)$ . . . . .	61
2.4.1	The Noiseless Case, $D = 0$ . . . . .	64
2.4.2	The Noisy Cases . . . . .	66
2.4.3	Final Remarks . . . . .	68
2.5	Beyond the Kuramoto Model . . . . .	69
	References . . . . .	80
<b>3</b>	<b>Oscillators with Second-Order Dynamics</b> . . . . .	<b>81</b>
3.1	Generalized Kuramoto Model with Inertia and Noise . . . . .	83
3.1.1	Relation to Electrical Power Distribution Networks . . . . .	84
3.1.2	The Model as a Long-Range Interacting System . . . . .	85
3.1.3	Dynamics in a Reduced Parameter Space . . . . .	87
3.2	Nonequilibrium First-Order Synchronization Phase Transition: Simulation Results . . . . .	88
3.3	Analysis in the Continuum Limit: The Kramers Equation . . . . .	91
3.3.1	$\sigma = 0$ : Stationary Solutions and the Associated Phase Transition . . . . .	92
3.3.2	$\sigma \neq 0$ : Incoherent Stationary State and Its Linear Stability . . . . .	93
3.3.3	$\sigma \neq 0$ : Synchronized Stationary State . . . . .	96
3.4	Phase Diagram: Comparison with Numerics . . . . .	99
	References . . . . .	120