

Sharlotte Kramer · Jennifer L. Jordan · Helena Jin
Jay Carroll · Alison M. Beese *Editors*

Mechanics of Additive and Advanced Manufacturing, Volume 8

Proceedings of the 2018 Annual Conference on
Experimental and Applied Mechanics



Conference Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Mechanics Series

Series Editor

Kristin B. Zimmerman, Ph.D.
Society for Experimental Mechanics, Inc.,
Bethel, CT, USA

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8922>

Sharlotte Kramer • Jennifer L. Jordan • Helena Jin • Jay Carroll
Alison M. Beese
Editors

Mechanics of Additive and Advanced Manufacturing, Volume 8

Proceedings of the 2018 Annual Conference on Experimental
and Applied Mechanics

Editors

Charlotte Kramer
Sandia National Laboratories
Albuquerque, NM, USA

Helena Jin
Sandia National Laboratories
Livermore, CA, USA

Alison M. Beese
Pennsylvania State University
State College, PA, USA

Jennifer L. Jordan
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Albuquerque, NM, USA

Jay Carroll
Sandia National Laboratories
Albuquerque, NM, USA

ISSN 2191-5644 ISSN 2191-5652 (electronic)
Conference Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Mechanics Series
ISBN 978-3-319-95082-2 ISBN 978-3-319-95083-9 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95083-9>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017953418

© The Society for Experimental Mechanics, Inc. 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

Mechanics of Additive and Advanced Manufacturing represents one of eight volumes of technical papers presented at the 2018 SEM Annual Conference & Exposition on Experimental and Applied Mechanics organized by the Society for Experimental Mechanics and held in Greenville, SC, June 4–7, 2018. The complete proceedings also includes volumes on *Dynamic Behavior of Materials*; *Challenges in Mechanics of Time-Dependent Materials*; *Advancement of Optical Methods & Digital Image Correlation in Experimental Mechanics*; *Mechanics of Biological Systems & Micro- and Nanomechanics*; *Mechanics of Composite, Hybrid and Multifunctional Materials*; *Fracture, Fatigue, Failure and Damage Evolution*; and *Residual Stress, Thermomechanics & Infrared Imaging, Hybrid Techniques and Inverse Problems*.

Mechanics of Additive and Advanced Manufacturing is an emerging area due to the unprecedented design and manufacturing possibilities offered by new and evolving advanced manufacturing processes and the rich mechanics issues that emerge. Technical interest within the society spans several other SEM technical divisions such as composites, hybrids and multifunctional materials, dynamic behavior of materials, fracture and fatigue, residual stress, time-dependent materials, and the research committee.

The topic of mechanics of additive and advanced manufacturing included in this volume covers design, optimization, experiments, computations, and materials for advanced manufacturing processes (3D printing, micro- and nano-manufacturing, powder bed fusion, directed energy deposition, etc.) with particular focus on mechanics aspects (e.g., mechanical properties, residual stress, deformation, failure, rate-dependent mechanical behavior, etc.).

Albuquerque, NM, USA

Livermore, CA, USA
Albuquerque, NM, USA
State College, PA, USA

Charlotte Kramer
Jennifer L. Jordan
Helena Jin
Jay Carroll
Alison M. Beese

Contents

1 Structure/Property Behavior of Additively Manufactured (AM) Materials: Opportunities and Challenges	1
George T. (Rusty) Gray III, Veronica Livescu, Cameron Knapp, and Saryu Fensin	
2 Fatigue Characterization of 3D-Printed Maraging Steel by Infrared Thermography	5
Corentin Douellou, Xavier Balandraud, and Emmanuel Duc	
3 Quasi-Static and Dynamic Fracture Behaviors of Additively Printed ABS Coupons Studied Using DIC: Role of Build Architecture and Loading Rate	11
John P. Isaac and Hareesh V. Tippur	
4 Compression and Shear Response of 3D Printed Foam Pads	21
Wei-Yang Lu	
5 Mechanical Structure-Property Relationships for 2D Polymers Comprised of Nodes and Bridge Units	25
Emil Sandoz-Rosado and Eric D. Wetzel	
6 Mechanical Behavior of Additively Manufactured Ti-6Al-4V Following a New Heat Treatment	29
Jonathan P. Ligda, Brady G Butler, Nathaniel Saenz, and James Paramore	
7 Dynamic Thermal Softening Behavior of Additive Materials for Hybrid Manufacturing	31
Steven Mates, Mark Stoudt, Gregor Jacob, Wilfredo Moscoso, and Vis Madhavan	
8 Correlation Between Process Parameters and Mechanical Properties in Parts Printed by the Fused Deposition Modeling Process	35
Samuel Attoye, Ehsan Malekipour, and Hazim El-Mounayri	
9 Mechanical Characterization of Cellulose Nanofibril Materials Made by Additive Manufacturing	43
Lisa M. Mariani, John M. Considine, and Kevin T. Turner	
10 Shock Propagation and Deformation of Additively-Manufactured Polymer Foams with Engineered Porosity	47
Jonathan E. Spowart, David Lacina, Christopher Kit Neel, Geoffrey Frank, Andrew Abbott, and Brittany Branch	
11 Mechanical Characterization of Fused Filament Fabrication Polyvinylidene Fluoride Printed (PVDF) Composites	59
Niknam Momenzadeh, Carson M. Stewart, and Thomas Berfield	
12 Influence of an Extreme Environment on the Tensile Mechanical Properties of a 3D Printed Thermoplastic Polymer	67
Jose Torres, Otito Onwuzurike, Amber J. W. McClung, and Juan D. Ocampo	
13 A Framework for Estimating Mold Performance Using Experimental and Numerical Analysis of Injection Mold Tooling Prototypes	71
Suchana Jahan, Hazim El-Mounayri, Andres Tovar, and Yung C. Shin	

14	Effect of Processing Parameters on Interlayer Fracture Toughness of Fused Filament Fabrication Thermoplastic Materials	77
	Devin J. Young, Cara Otten, and Michael W. Czabaj	
15	Forced-Response Verification of the Inherent Damping in Additive Manufactured Specimens	81
	Onome Scott-Emuakpor, Tommy George, Brian Runyon, Bryan Langley, Luke Sheridan, Casey Holycross, Ryan O’Hara, and Philip Johnson	
16	Computational and Experimental Characterization of 3D Printed Components by Fused Deposition Modeling	87
	Koohyar Pooladvand and Cosme Furlong	
17	Linking Thermal History to Mechanical Behavior in Directed Energy Deposited Materials	97
	Jian Cao	

Chapter 1

Structure/Property Behavior of Additively Manufactured (AM) Materials: Opportunities and Challenges



George T. (Rusty) Gray III, Veronica Livescu, Cameron Knapp, and Saryu Fensin

Keywords Additive manufacturing · 304 L SS · Microstructure · Mechanical behavior

1.1 Scope

The certification and qualification paradigms required for additively manufactured (AM) metals and alloys must evolve given the absence of any broadly accepted “ASTM- or DIN-type” AM certification/qualification processes or fixed AM-material produced specifications. This is in part due to the breadth of the evolved microstructures produced across the spectrum of AM manufacturing technologies including powder bed and directed energy systems. Accordingly, design and microstructure optimization, manufacture, and thereafter implementation and insertion of AM-produced materials to meet the wide range of engineering applications requires detailed quantification of the structure/property behavior of AM-materials, across the spectrum of metallic AM methods, in comparison/contrast to conventionally-manufactured metals and alloys [1–5]. The scope of this talk is a discussion of some present opportunities and challenges to achieving qualification and certification of AM produced metals and alloys for engineering applications.

1.2 Opportunities

AM of metallic components, as has been detailed by a number of recent reviews [1, 2, 4, 5], can offer opportunities for manufacturing components whose design can be topologically optimized into components that can neither be cast nor readily machined. In addition AM can lead to increased energy efficiency, cost, factory footprint reduction, time savings during manufacturing, and significant material savings for a number of low-volume-high value applications, plus the potential of increased yields from starting feed materials to finished components or subassemblies [1, 2, 4, 5].

1.2.1 Alloy Development

AM to date has been principally focused on utilizing either pure metals or existing engineering alloys available in powder or wire form. Ti-6Al-4 V is a good example of this but so are 2000, 5000, 6000, and 7000-series aluminum alloys. Ti-6Al-4 V is an alloy developed in the 1950’s for direct cast applications and/or where an initial cast billet is followed by thermomechanical wrought processing to produce product forms and thereafter machined to produce components with optimized microstructures to meet strength/ fracture toughness/fatigue requirements, in particular for aerospace/defense applications. The compositions of many wrought Ti- and Al-based alloys were optimized to achieve the desired microstructures for either the direct cast or as a prelude to wrought processing following solidification rates typical for cast billets. However, the rapid solidification rates in

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) is operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC, for the National Nuclear Security Administration of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC52-06NA25396. This research was funded by the LDRD DR-20170033 program at Los Alamos National Laboratory.

G. T. (Rusty) Gray III (✉) · V. Livescu · C. Knapp · S. Fensin
Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA
e-mail: rusty@lanl.gov

laser-powder-bed AM as one example, often in the 10^6 °C per second, are either far too fast or the solidification rates in high-vacuum wire-fed electron beam DED AM processing techniques are far too slow. Alloy development for AM processing cooling rates (both very fast in laser-powder-bed AM favoring atypical microstructures or very slow in wire directed energy deposition AM machines favoring large columnar epitaxial growth yielding poor fracture and fatigue properties) and inert build AM atmospheres enhancing interstitial pick-up affecting properties, each suggest a critical need for funding in alloy design for AM. An example of this need is addressed in a recent publication where a high-strength aluminum powder was functionalized to seed grain nucleation by adding nanoparticles of nucleants, to reduce or eliminate gross epitaxial growth by controlling solidification during AM processing [6].

1.3 Challenges

AM production of metallic components must be bracketed within the scope of the relatively high expense of AM machines, high feedstock (powder or wire) costs, limited build volumes and dimensions at present, slow deposition/build rates, in addition to the evolving certification/qualification procedures/requirements for critical applications [1, 5].

1.3.1 *Advances in Powder Production: Chemistry and Cost Reduction*

While the cost of cast or wrought alloys of widespread structural industrial importance can vary widely, the cost of powder or wire to support AM production currently ranges from $\sim 2\times$ to \sim an order of magnitude more than wrought or cast product at present for many metallic materials. For example, while wrought 304 L SS is currently \$6–10/kg, pedigreed 304 L SS powder is \sim \$85/kg and while wrought Ti-6Al-4 V is \sim \$40–50/kg, current ELI-grade Ti-6Al-4 V powder is \$300/kg. This substantial cost in the feedstock materials needed for AM, in addition to the substantial financial investments required for AM machine purchase, let alone the operating costs, significantly restricts the market for AM production. As such AM remains centered principally on high-value – low volume component manufacturing where AM can offer unique fabrication approaches not readily available or impossible to produce via direct casting and/or reductive techniques from existing feed stocks. Accordingly, research investment in optimized /high volume and yield/reduced cost powder production technologies is a promising opportunity that is crucial if AM is to be expanded from its current restriction to low-volume high-value component manufacturing applications.

1.3.2 *AM Technologies Produce a Spectrum of Microstructures*

Given the differences in feedstock employed and the melting and solidification rates between laser versus electron-beam and powder-bed versus DED techniques, it is not surprising that a spectrum of microstructures can be developed by different AM platforms [1, 2, 4]. An illustration of the spectrum of microstructures and properties produced by laser or electron-beam powder-bed, directed-energy-deposition (DED) techniques such as laser-directed energy powder fed or electron-beam wire fed machine AM produced 304 L SS in comparison to wrought is presented in Fig. 1.1.

Electron-backscatter diffraction (EBSD) images of the microstructures from an ASTM-spec. wrought plate of 304 L SS and the microstructures of identically sized AM-304 L SS plates produced on four different AM machines utilizing identical 304 L SS chemistry powder are given in Fig. 1.1. The microstructures of the wrought versus the 4-AM 304 L SS plates are seen to be significantly different. While the wrought 304 L SS displays an equiaxed-polycrystalline grain structure, the microstructures of the four different AM produced 304 L SS display a spectrum of microstructures in terms of both length scale as well as the degree of morphological anisotropy. With the exception of the ARCAM build, each of the other three AM builds exhibit anisotropic elongated larger grain structures aligned with the long axis of the grains parallel to the AM build direction consistent with the solidification direction during the build. Although structure-property data probing many PSPP relationships is important in qualifying AM products, a major concern remains when comparing AM parts with those made using traditional metal manufacturing methods, in particular the failure and damage mechanisms that arise from the unique microstructures produced during AM.

Further, efforts to formulate a rigorous roadmap to the certification and qualification of AM metals and alloys is impeded by: (1) a lack of systematically quantified processing/structure/properties/performance (PSPP) data covering even a small